

Preliminary Thesis Defence

Supervisor: Jean-Louis Arcand¹

Second Reader: Ugo Panizza²

Bastiaan Quast³

The Graduate Institute, Geneva

February 25, 2014

1. Professor of Economics, The Graduate Institute, Geneva; jean-louis.arcand@graduateinstitute.ch

2. Professor of Economics, The Graduate Institute, Geneva; ugo.panizza@graduateinstitute.ch

3. PhD Student, The Graduate Institute, Geneva; bastiaan.quast@graduateinstitute.ch / bquast@gmail.com

Contents

Chapter 1

Introduction

In this Preliminary Thesis Defence I present the research projects that I intend to use for my PhD dissertation. These projects are titled:

- Additional South African Evidence on Pensions and Child Growth (??)
- Risk and Uncertainty in Unique Equilibria in Currency Attacks (??)
- Bitcoin and Remittances: The Potential of ‘Stupid Phones’ (??)
- Bitcoin Attacks: Or How Cryptocurrencies Gain Momentum (??)

These project are in various stages of completion. Below I will briefly describe the research idea, the current state, and the potential for each project.

Firstly, the paper Additional South African Evidence of Pensions and Child Growth builds upon **duflo2000child**; **duflo2003grandmothers**. These papers look at the effect of the gender of grandparents on the growth metrics of their grandchildren. A problem in this comparison lies in the fact that pension eligibility of men was at 65 years, whereas it was 60 for women. This paper makes use of a Household Survey from 2008, 2012 and 2013. The survey is especially of interest, because the pension eligibility age for men was lowered from 65 to 60 in 2009. I have analysed this data using a Difference-in-Differences approach. I will discuss this in ??.

morris1998unique claims that introducing a measure of risk in a model of currency attacks, leads to a unique equilibrium. It thus solves the previous multiple-equilibrium zone called ‘ripe for attack’ for a unique equilibrium. I believe that this is a result of the fact that the risk factor introduces replaces uncertainty, not certainty. We thus gain information. I discuss this paper in light of the distinction between Knightian uncertainty and quantified risk, and find there to be three major issues in the paper, each of which leads to the results not being replicable. This is done in ??.

Bitcoin is the first of a new breed of currencies, commonly referred to as a cryptocurrencies. Bitcoin transactions are conducted using an electronic process called signing. I would like to study the role of Bitcoin in remittances. For this I would like to participate in building Bitcoin applications for the type of simple phones common in developing economies (sometimes referred to a stupid phones). We could study the spread of Bitcoin by looking at the downloads

of the apps from regions, as well looking at how releasing the app in different languages evolves. This is discussed in ??

Lastly, I present a number of idea for modelling Bitcoin and alternative cryptocurrencies. These idea include, a model on how a cryptocurrency gains momentum, a model on how multiple models can gain momentum, and finally a model which describes a multi cryptocurrency economy. This last model will also enable us to address to issues about cryptocurrencies. Firstly, about how there supposedly there is no possibility for inflation, and secondly, how eventually an enormous amount of resources will be directed at the socially wasteful cryptocurrency mining. I discuss this idea in ??.

After this the papers follow, in the order listed above. A separate citations section is included for each chapter. For ease of reference, the titles of the citations in the bibliography link to the online articles using DOIs and URLs. In the end notes (??) I present a brief discussion of my dissertation, as it stands now, a whole. I also mention some very preliminary ideas.

Chapter 2

Pensions and Child Growth in South Africa

Abstract

In this paper we look at variation in the health of young children driven by the gender of the household income recipient. We do this by comparing z-scores of anthropometrics of South-African children living in the same household as state pension recipients.

This paper exploits the lowering of the state-pension eligibility-age of men, to the same age as women (60, previously 65). This takes place between two waves in the South-African National Income Dynamics Survey. This enables us to perform a Difference-in-Difference estimation on the panel data set.

Our finding is that policy change had a negative effect on long-term growth metrics of young children and the general male pension income had a negative effect on young children's BMI.

These results provide support for the idea that it is preferable to use female recipients in poverty-relief projects such as CCTs.

2.1 Introduction

This paper looks at the effect of the gender of pension recipients on the growth of children in the same household. The study is based on South-African data and the approach is very similar to **duflo2000child**; **duflo2003grandmothers**, and originally based on the work of **thomas1994like**. The difference from international standards (**who2006child**) for anthropometrics are computed as z-scores. Using these standardised metrics, we compare children living in household with pension recipients of different gender.

This study deviates from the Duflo study in several ways. The core contribution of this paper is the analysis of exogenous change in men's pension eligibility age, which is the main explanatum. The pension eligibility age for men was lowered from 65 to 60 between mid 2009 and 1-1-2011. This brought the pension eligibility age for men at par with women. There are two reasons why this warrants a further look at this topic in this dataset.

Firstly, life expectancy in South Africa is substantially below the pension eligibility age. Around the end of the first decade of the century, which is when our data was collected, the average life expectancy at birth was only slightly above 50 years old. In the year 1993, when **duflo2000child**; **duflo2003grandmothers** are analysed, the average life expectancy at birth was somewhat higher (slightly above 55 years old). A further discussion of this can be found in ?? However, in both cases, there is a substantial selection bias in the pension recipient base. Moreover, the fact that men receive pension only at 65, and women at sixty, causes an even more pronounced selection bias in the male pension recipient base. This also makes the comparing of the effect of male pension recipient and female pension recipients, on the anthropometrics of children in the same household problematic, since much more attrition will have taken place in the male pension base. The drop in life expectancy also aggravates the issue of attrition, and the associated selection bias. However, since pension eligibility becomes equal, for both men and women, at least, provides us with effects which internally are more comparable. We say more, because the difference in attrition between the male and female pension base in our sample has not entirely been eliminated. Throughout the evolution of the average life expectancy at birth in South Africa, female life expectancy has been higher than male life expectancy by about one year. Bearing in mind that the difference between average life expectancy and pension eligibility is around 9 years, this additional selection bias effect, should not be underestimated. Furthermore, it seems likely that a healthy lifestyle, which increases the chance of becoming a pension recipient, also has an effect on the lifestyle of household members. In this case, then our observed attrition will be an actual cause of a selection bias **effect**.

Secondly, we employ a Difference-in-Difference (or Fixed Effects) analysis of this change. Under the assumptions of the Difference-in-Difference model, this enables us to make a causal inference on the policy variable.

The other deviations are of a more practical nature. Firstly, the data from the **saldru2008nids**; **saldru2012nids**; **saldru2013nids** surveys contains actual information on income, including pension recipient status, whereas Duflo uses age as a proxy for recipient status. Secondly, another minor deviation is the usage of **who2006child**, instead of **nchs2000cdc**, since these have superseded the CDC charts. As long as all observations are held against the same standards, this should not be of any consequence.

The impetus for this paper lies in the optimal design of cash transfer schemes such as CCTs and UCTs. The lack Pareto optimal allocation of resources within households as discussed in i.a. **udry1995gender**, **udry1996gender**, and **duflo2004intrahousehold**, indicates the necessity of optimal design in such schemes. Based on this lack of Pareto optimal allocation, we have to reject the idea of households acting as a unit in an economic sense. For the design of cash transfer schemes it is therefore necessary to determine the preferred recipient within the household ¹.

As mentioned above, we follow **duflo2000child**; **duflo2003grandmothers** general design. Looking at the gender of pension recipients gives a reasonably clean analysis, because of its relative exogeneity. We therefore use these pension receipts as the Right-Hand Side variables, or explanata. Anthropometrics for children are used, since these capture well, the effects of both malnutrition and disease, the two most common health impediments that we are addressing.

The South African pension system is an interesting object of study because of its eligibility criteria. The primary criterium is the age of the recipient. In addition to this there is a maximum income threshold. Outside of this, there are very few criteria. The relative general applicability of the program makes that there are few selection bias issues when studying this. A thorough, though a points somewhat dated, discussion can be found in **case1998large**. Although the pension system was intended as a form of poverty relief for the elder population, it has also become that for the South-African rural population(**tangwe2013impact**). Average household income in rural area is much lower than in urban areas. Pension receipt have therefore formed a large share of household income. Upon the initial expansion to include the black population, in 1991, this was a much as twice the mean monthly income.

The anthropometrics taken in the NIDS are useful for computing z-scores. We distinguish between Age Based Z-scores (ABZ) and Height-Based Z-scores (HBZ). We use two types ABZs and two types of HBZs, for a total of four types of z-scores. This is described in further detail in ??.

These z-scores are considered a good representation of short-term or long-term health issues, respectively. This relation is especially well observed for children between 6 and 60 months old. We therefore stay with the best practice and only include those observations in our analysis.

We formulate three models. One model without the treatment dummy, one model with the treatment dummy, and finally one model with the treatment dummy, and an interaction term with male pension recipient status. Each of these models is estimated with all four types of z-scores, which gives a total of twelve estimation equations. All twelve equation are estimated as fixed-effect panel models, with, where included, a time effect. We have only one data period before the policy change, which means that we cannot test for a common trend. The implicit assumption here is thus that the effects are level over the time period studied here.

Our main finding is a negative effect of the policy change on the age-based growth metrics on children (HAZ and WAZ). In the height-based metrics we find a negative effect of the state pension income of men on the body mass index (though not on the WHZ). Our results seems to indicate that the policy change had a negative impact on the long-term growth of children. Furthermore, we

1. For a good overview see e.g. **haddad1997intrahousehold**

see a negative effect of the mens pension income on the BMI of children. These results provide support for the theory that exogenous incomes in a poverty relief context, such as CCTs and UCTs are best transfered to women in the households.

2.2 Data

In this paper we use data from two sources. The first is the South African National Income Dynamics Survey (**saldru2008nids**; **saldru2012nids**; **saldru2013nids**) and the second is the World Health Organization’s Child Growth Standards (**who2006child**).

2.2.1 South Africa: National Income Dynamics Survey

The main source of data is the National Income Dynamics Survey of South Africa (**saldru2008nids**; **saldru2012nids**; **saldru2013nids**). Like the 1993 survey used by **duflo2000child**; **duflo2003grandmothers**, this survey is conducted in cooperation with the World Bank. Unlike the 1993 survey, this survey does not use a random selection household, rather it collects data on a representative set of approximately 10,000 South-African households over time. Currently three ‘waves’ of data are available, these waves date from 2008, 2012, and 2013 respectively. The primary information types we use are:

- child anthropometrics,
- child age (in days)
- child gender
- adult pension recipient status
- adult gender

In addition to these variables of interest, we include a number of covariates in the analysis, these are:

- Household income
- Parents education

Table 2.1: Z-score distributions

| | HAZ | WAZ | WHZ | BMIZ |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Min. | -5.972 | -6.000 | -4.916 | -4.994 |
| 1st | -1.768 | -1.110 | -0.302 | -0.602 |
| Median | -0.941 | -0.310 | 0.491 | 0.207 |
| Mean | -0.956 | -0.296 | 0.501 | 0.240 |
| 3rd | -0.132 | 0.516 | 1.351 | 1.069 |
| Max. | 5.975 | 4.958 | 4.967 | 4.992 |

For adults several variables measure the different amounts and sources of income. Among those, a variable if the adult receives a state pension, and if

so, how much. This is a numeric variable, the values of which lie very close together. We observe that 22.99% of household in our dataset have a female pension recipient as part of the household. Furthermore, we observe that 9.06% of households in our dataset have a male pension recipient. This implies that, despite the fact that men are now eligible at the same age as women, the vast majority of pension recipients is female. Therefore, there is still a selection bias issue in the data we are analysing.

The income from the pension system is just above 1000 SAR. There are a number of different exact amount, which we have simplified to a dummy. Since the variation in the amount is around 5% this should not be without much loss of generality. ?? gives a description of the distribution of income as found in the NIDS data sets.

Table 2.2: NIDS Income distribution

| | |
|---------|-----------|
| Min. | 0 |
| 1st Qu. | 1,070 |
| Median | 1,870 |
| Mean | 3,939 |
| 3rd Qu. | 3,150 |
| Max. | 3,413,000 |

Children’s anthropometrics are taken, these are length/height, weight, and waist. Using these anthropometrics and WHO growth standards, z-scores are calculated.

2.2.2 WHO: Child Growth Standards

In 2006 the WHO published its standards for child growth (**who2006child**). These standards measure the difference between a child’s anthropometrics standardised against an ideal score.

Z-score anthropometrics are used since they are considered to be a good representation of a child’s health, and by extension, the household in which they grow up. With z-scores we refer to the practice of standardising the anthropometrics using an ‘idea’ standard (**who2006child**).

For example, if we measure a height x for a child of age y (in weeks/months), then we refer to WHO tables, find the relevant ideal height and standard deviation for a child of age y . We then subtract the ideal height (μ_y) from the observed height, and divide by the standard deviation (σ_y), like so:

$$z_{xy} = \frac{x - \mu_y}{\sigma_y}$$

These ideal scores are based on a sample of children from different ethnic populations, in households which observed a healthy lifestyle. Any health issues, such as malnutrition or disease will affect these metrics, by causing the child to be shorter or lighter. However, it is impossible to distinguish between the different causes of an observed slowed growth.

We stay with the best practice of using only metrics for children between the ages of 6 months and 60 months.

In general, can distinguish between two types of anthropometric z-scores, the age-based z-scores and the height-based z-scores. Whereby ‘based’ refers to the reference point at which anthropometrics are standardised.

Age-Based Z-scores

The Age-Based Z-scores (ABZs) are constituted by the Height-for-Age Z-score (HAZ) and the Weight-for-Age Z-score (WAZ). Since these metrics are age-based, they provide information about all past growth issues. Any past issues such a malnutrition and disease will have impaired growth, and these effects will still be captured by today’s height. This also applies to the WAZ, as standard weight is a function of the height, which is in turn a function of the age.

The ABZs are constructed on a weekly basis up to the age of 60 months, and on a monthly basis thereafter.

Height-Based Z-scores

The Height-Based Z-scores (HBZs) are the Weight-for-Height Z-score (WHZ) and Body Mass Index Z-score (BMIZ). Where the BMI (or Quetelet) is a transformed version of the WHZ, which has a quadratic height effect. The equation for BMI is:

$$\text{BMI} = \frac{\text{weight}(\text{kg})}{\text{height}(\text{m})^2}$$

These scores compare children with others of the same height, irrespective of their age. As a results we only observe the relatively short-term effect of weight. The height-based metrics thus provide is with a short-term insight.

The HBZs are available on a semi-centimeter level throughout all heights.

2.2.3 Data Structure

The NIDS uses a file and data structure which is ill suited for panel data analysis. We therefore transform the data to a format which is more conducive to our analysis. In doing so, we try to stay as close as possible to the ‘Tidy data’ structure, as described in **wickham2014tidy**. This is easiest using the R package ‘Reshape2’ by the same author (**wickham2007reshaping**).

2.3 Research Design

This study focuses on a policy change in the South-African state pension system. Until mid 2009, men became eligible for pension at the age of 65. Between mid 2009 and January 1st 2011, this was gradually lowered to 60. The South-African National Income Dynamics Survey is a full-panel dataset, which contains information on household from before and after this policy change. We study the effect of the policy change, as well as the general effect on the pension system, on the health of children in the same household. The research setup in discussed in further detail below.

2.3.1 Identification Strategy

The identification strategy in this paper is based on a policy change in the pension eligibility age for men, which was introduced between mid 2009 and January 1st 2011. This policy change thus fall between waves 1 and 2 (2008 and 2012 respectively) of the NIDS data sets.

Before this policy change, the eligibility age for men was 65 years old. Post the policy change, the eligibility age is 60 years old, which bring it at par with the pension eligibility age for women.

We operationalise this natural experiment, by constructing a policy dummy. This policy dummy is called **elig.men.60**, and takes the value **1** for data after the policy change (i.e. waves 2 & 3), and the value **0** otherwise (i.e. wave 1).

2.3.2 Estimation

In order to fully exploit the available data and the policy change, we employ a ‘Difference-in-Differences’ estimator (DiD). This estimator operationalised by using the fixed-effects (within) estimator, with a time-effect².

We perform the estimations using the R package ‘PLM’ (**croissant2008panel**). It is worth noting that questions have been raised about the Difference-in-Differences estimator being employed in certain situations, for example by (ironically) **bertrand2004much**.

Models and Variations

We define the variables for our estimation equations. The outcome variable is y_{it} , this outcome variable takes the form of the of the z-scores, such as HAZ or WAZ. Where t denotes the time and i the individual. The individual and time fixes effects are denoted by γ_i and λ_t respectively. Dummies for living in a household with a female or a male pension recipient are included as P_{it}^f and P_{it}^m respectively. The dummy variable T_{it} denoted the treatment status. Lastly, ϵ_{it} is the error term, which is assumed to be distributed as:

$$\epsilon_{it} \sim N(0, \sigma)$$

We can now formally specify our base estimations as in ??, this represents model 1.

$$y_{it} = \gamma_i + \lambda_t + \mu P_{it}^f + \nu P_{it}^m + X_{it} + \epsilon_{it} \quad (2.1)$$

In ?? we include our policy dummy variable, this variation is denoted as model 2 in our results.

$$y_{it} = \gamma_i + \lambda_t + \mu P_{it}^f + \nu P_{it}^m + X_{it} + \delta T_{it} + \epsilon_{it} \quad (2.2)$$

Lastly, we formulate a variant of the model which includes an interaction term of the policy dummy with the male pension-recipient dummy (as well as the variables themselves). We refer to this as model 3, and the formal specification is given in ??

$$y_{it} = \gamma_i + \lambda_t + \mu P_{it}^f + \nu P_{it}^m + X_{it} + \delta T_{it} + \rho T_{it} * P_{it}^m + \epsilon_{it} \quad (2.3)$$

2. The time effect estimated here is symmetric to the individual effect. We employ the term ‘time effect’, since it is a more meaningful description of the policy change.

These three models are variations that we use on the Right-Hand Side (RHS) of the estimation equations.

As described above, we have a total of four z-scores available as dependent variables, Height-for-Age (HAZ), Weight-for-Age (WAZ), Weight-for-Height (WHZ), and Body Mass Index (BMI). Each of these is used in a different estimation as the Left-Hand Side (LHS). Combining these four LHSs with each of the three RHSs, gives a total of twelve estimation equations. The results of the estimation of each of these twelve equations is presented in `autorefsa:results`.

As we have only one time period before the treatment goes into effect, we cannot establish a common trend. The assumption here made is thus that the effects of P_{it}^f and P_{it}^m are level over time.

2.4 Results

In ?? and ?? we present our estimation results for the age-based z-scores. In ?? and ?? we present our estimation results for the height-based z-scores.

In these tables the dependent variable used is defined on the top row. The second row defines the model used (as defined in ??). The other rows represent the independent variables. Where **w_spen_w** represents the dummy variable for children living in a household with a state pension eligible woman. The variable **w_spen_m** is the dummy for the child living in the same household as a male state pension recipient. The policy variable **elig.men.60** is a dummy which takes the value 1 for waves 2 and 3. An interaction term of the later two is also included as **eli.men.60:w_spen_m**. Lastly, we include the covariate **w_h_tinc** which represents total household income.

Table 2.3: Height-for-Age Z-score

| specification | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|------------|
| w_spen_m | 0.2366 | *0.8228 | 0.7908 |
| w_spen_w | -0.2331 | 0.1053 | 0.1072 |
| elig.men.60 | | ** -0.3419 | ** -0.3465 |
| w_spen_m1:elig.men.60 | | | 0.0446 |
| w_h_tinc | -0.0000 | -0.0000 | -0.0000 |

Table 2.4: Weight-for-Age Z-score

| specification | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------|------------|
| w_spen_m | 0.2366 | 0.2981 | 0.4780 |
| w_spen_w | -0.2331 | -0.3112 | -0.3280 |
| elig.men.60 | | *** -0.3475 | ** -0.3243 |
| w_spen_m1:elig.men.60 | | | -0.2545 |
| w_h_tinc | -0.0000 | -0.0000 | -0.0000 |

As ?? and ?? shows the Height-for-Age and the Weight-for-Age estimations for all three Right-Hand Side variations give similar results. For all the height-based z-score estimations, we find that the policy variable **elig.men.60** has a negative coefficient estimate, which is highly significant (where included). In

all cases the estimate has a p-value of less than **0.01**. Meaning that (meta-discussion aside) the probability that this coefficient represents a non-existent relation (Type II error) is less than one percent. In the model 2 specification of the Weight-for-Height dependent variable, the p-value is even less than 0.001. However, upon the inclusion of the interaction term (model 3) this falls back to below 0.01.

The fact that this outcome is consistent across different Right-Hand Side, as well as Left-Hand Side specifications, further lends credibility of there not being a Type II error. As mentioned above, the HAZ and the WAZ Z-scores capture long-term or past health issues.

Furthermore, when using Height-for-Age as the LHS, and the model 2 on the RHS, we find a positive effect of living with a male pension recipient. However, the coefficient output here is no longer significant when we include the interaction term in the model 3 specification.

The interpretation of the coefficients of these dummy variables is as follows. The coefficient represents the change in the expected value of a child's deviation for the standard growth anthropometrics in standard deviations. A coefficient of **-0.3419** of the dummy **elig.men.60** in HAZ model 2, thus indicates that, after the lowering of the male pension eligibility age, ceteris paribus, a child's expected Height-for-Age Z-score is 0.3410 standard deviation lower than before the lowering of the eligibility age.

Table 2.5: Weight-for-Height Z-score

| specification | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| w_spen_m | -0.3532 | -0.3210 | -0.4303 |
| w_spen_w | 0.0655 | 0.0371 | 0.0478 |
| elig.men.60 | | -0.1417 | -0.1574 |
| w_spen_m1:elig.men.60 | | | 0.1484 |
| w_h_tinc | -0.0000 | -0.0000 | -0.0000 |

Table 2.6: Body-Mass-Index Z-score

| specification | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| w_spen_m | *-0.8058 | *-0.7905 | *-1.0226 |
| w_spen_w | -0.1592 | -0.1956 | -0.1742 |
| elig.men.60 | | -0.1674 | -0.2049 |
| w_spen_m1:elig.men.60 | | | 0.3407 |
| w_h_tinc | -0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

In ?? and ?? we do not find an effect of the **elig.men.60** variable. In the WHZ estimation we do not find any significant variables. However, the **BMIZ** estimation we find **w_spen_m** to be significant at a 5% level of all specifications.

We thus find a negative effect of the treatment on growth metrics. Furthermore, for one height-based z-score we also find a negative effect of male pension recipients on growth metrics. Additionally, it is surprising that we find significant coefficients for **w_spen_m** in one height-based z-score (**BMIZ**), but not in the other **WHZ**.

The last result is surprising, in the sense that it is significant for one dependent variable, but not the other. Especially considering that the coefficient

estimates for **w_spen_m** in the **WHZ** estimations are all similar to each other, and roughly half of the estimates of the **BMIZ** estimations.

For the higher coefficient estimates, it is important to note that **BMIZ** is essentially a convex mapping of **WHZ**, since height is squared in the denominator of the BMI function, as described in ???. In other words, the fact that the estimators, which give transformed coefficient estimates can have different significance levels, can be explained as follows. The squaring of the height in the denominator of the Body-Mass Index function, makes it a non-linear mapping of the Weight-for-Height Z-scores. Furthermore, from the significance at the 5% level of the coefficients in the **BMIZ** estimations, we can conclude that the coefficient estimates are higher than the standard error estimates, by a factor of several times (for Degrees of Freedom ~ 380). Combining the small estimates of the standard errors, with the convex mapping, gives the results that the standard errors are scaled up to a lesser degree than the coefficient estimates. This then gives the results, that with t-testing the significance of the convexly mapped coefficients and standard errors, we can find significance at the 5% level for the convexly mapped **BMIZ** estimates of **w_spen_m**, where for the **WHZ** estimates of **w_spen_m** we could not.


Regarding the negative effect of the expansionary policy change, we need to further disseminate the change in the independent variables. ?? and ?? describe the evolution of the number of children living in a household with a pension recipient. We observe a substantial drop in both children living with male and female pension recipients. The change for the number of children living in the same household as a male pension recipient is from 612 children in 2008 to 595 children in 2012. A drop of 17 or -2.79 percent. However, if we compare the number of children living in the same household as a male pension recipient for the year 2013, the results are quite different. In the year 2013 we observe 623 children living with a male pension recipient, a rise of 1.8 percent vis-a-vis the year 2008. When comparing the number of children living in the same household as a female pension recipient, we see a different picture. In 2008 we observe 1637 children living with a female pension recipient, and in the year 2012 we observe a number of 1498, a drop of 8.49 percent. In the year 2013 we observe 1509 children living with female recipients in 2013, a drop of 8.48 percent vis-a-vis 2008. We thus observe a drop in both the number of children living with male recipients, of children living with female recipients between the years 2008 and 2012. However, for male recipients the number rises to above 2008 levels in 2013, where as the number for female recipients remains around the lower 2012 levels.

We thus observe a drop even in the number of children living with female pension recipients, despite the fact that female pension recipients were unaffected by the policy change.

The most immediate explanation for this is the life expectancy in South Africa. Since the year 1990 the life expectancy for both women and men has consistently been dropping. The predominant reason for the this drop in life expectancy is taken to be HIV/AIDS. In ?? we plot the evolution of the life expectancy at birth in South Africa.

Around the year 2005 the life expectancy at birth was slightly above 50 years. Since pension eligibility age is 60 years (and 65 for men until 2009), there is an almost ten year gap between average life expectancy and pension eligibility. This gap causes a delayed in the effect of the drop in life expectancy. The attribution

Figure 2.1: Evolution of Life Expectancy in South Africa



effect of a person passing away at the age of fifty, on the pension recipient base, will thus only be observed ten years after death.

After the year 2005, life expectancy started rising slowly, however, as explained above, it will take some time for this effect to disseminate into the pension base.

Table 2.7: Children in Households with and without Male Pension Recipients

| Year | 2008 | 2012 | 2013 | Overall |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Male Recipient | 612 | 595 | 623 | 1830 |
| No Male Recipient | 6121 | 6138 | 6110 | 18369 |
| Ratio of Male Recipients | 0.0909 | 0.0884 | 0.0925 | 0.0906 |

Table 2.8: Children in Households with and without Female Pension Recipients

| Year | 2008 | 2012 | 2013 | Overall |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Female Recipient | 1637 | 1498 | 1509 | 4644 |
| No Female Recipient | 5096 | 5235 | 5224 | 15555 |
| Ratio | 0.2431 | 0.2225 | 0.2241 | 0.2299 |

2.5 Conclusions and Limitations

We find two results from our estimations. Firstly, we find that there is a significant and consistent negative effect of the policy variable **elig.men.60** on the age-based z-scores (i.e. Height for Age and Weight for Height). Secondly, we find a consistent and negative effect of the men's pension variable (**w_spen_m**) on the Body-Mass-Index Z-scores. Both of these effects are consistent across the different specifications used in our estimations.

The main impetus for this paper lies in the optimal design of cash transfer schemes, such as Conditional Cash Transfers (CCTs) and the newly fashion-

able Unconditional Cash Transfers (UCTs). Which is the optimal manner in which these grants are distributed, measured along the bars of health, as well as education and work force participation. In this paper (**duflo2000child**; **duflo2003grandmothers**) we try to address the issue of differential effects relating to the gender of the cash recipient.

We do this by evaluating the z-scores of anthropometrics of children between the ages of six and sixty months old, living in the same household as recipients of the South-African old-age state pension system. We then compare the z-scores for children living with male pension recipients, with the z-scores of children living with female pension recipients.

This approach is identical to **duflo2000child**; **duflo2003grandmothers**, however this analysis offers some additional value. Firstly, we analyse data around a policy change, which lowers the pension eligibility age for men from 65 to 60, which brings it at par with women’s pension eligibility age. There are two impeti for further analysis of this data.

Firstly, this partly overcomes major issues with attrition and the associated selection bias. Since average life expectancy is well below pension eligibility age, there is a strong indication that such a selection bias issue would be present. It is also very likely that confounding variables would be effecting both the selection bias, as well as the dependent variable. With the differential in pension eligibility age, this would make the effect incomparable, however, as the men’s eligibility age is brought to the same as women’s, this makes comparison less problematic. Considering the fact that selection bias in our analysis is comparable, but nevertheless still present, we note that we cannot automatically draw inferences about the external validity of the results presented here.

Secondly, analysing data around the policy change allows us to employ a Difference-in-Differences estimation (DiD). Which enables us to draw a causal inference from the treatment effect, which is ontologically more interesting than a correlation.

We use the South-African National Income Dynamics Survey data (**saldru2008nids**; **saldru2012nids**; **saldru2013nids**). This full-panel dataset provides observations from 2008, 2012, and 2013. The treatment, a policy change which lowers the pension eligibility age for men from 65 to 60, takes place around 2009. Since we have only one time period before the treatment (the year 2008), we cannot establish the common trend. This common trend is used to validate the common trend assumption, which unpins the Difference-in-Differences method. As discussed in ??, we find that not establishing the trend proves problematic for our analysis. Between the years 2008 and 2012, we see a substantial drop in the number of children living in the same household as a female pension recipient. Since female pension recipients are unaffected by the policy change, we have to assume that a further exogenous factor is the cause of this drop.

In our estimations we use the Difference-in-Differences method, which is operationalised a fixed-effect panel estimation with a time effect. The construct three Right-Hand Side (RHS) model. The first model is a fixed-effect estimation without the treatment dummy. The second model is a fixed-effects estimation with the treatment dummy (**elig.men.60**). the third model is a fixed-effects estimation with the treatment dummy, as well as an interaction term with the male pension recipient dummy (**w_spen_m**).

On the Left-Hand Side (LHS) we use four different anthropometric z-scores, Height-for-Age (HAZ), Weight-for-Age (WAZ), Weight-for-Height (WHZ), and

BMI (BMIZ). The first two z-scores are age based (ABZ), the latter two height based (since height factors in the BMI equation, HBZ).

Combining the three RHS models with the four LHS z-scores gives us twelve estimation equations. In the estimation of these twelve equations, we find two effects.

Firstly, we find that in for both the Age-Based Z-scores (ABZs), the treatment dummy is significant for the models 2 and the models 3 (we do not find this in model 1, since it is not included the model 1 equation). In all cases, the coefficient for the treatment dummy is negative. Since both these Age-Based Z-scores (ABZs) reflect long-term or past health issues, this seems to indicate a negative correlation between the policy change on the long-term anthropometrics. Since this is the treatment dummy, this would suggest a negative causal effect of male pension receipts on child health. However, as discussed in ??, this is likely to be a consequence of the common trend not having been established. Since we also observe a sharp drop in the number of children living with female pension recipients, despite the fact that the female pension recipient base is unaffected by the policy change.

Secondly, we find that living with male pension recipient (**w_spen_m**), is negatively correlated with a the BMI z-scores (**BMIZ**). As discussed in ??, the Body-Mass-Index Z-score is a convex mapping of the Weight-for-Height z-score. This can explain the fact that these essentially similar estimations, give different significance levels. Considering that the use of the Body Mass Index is far more wide spread than the Weight for Height metric, we believe that the significance of these coefficients carries more weight. The fact that these coefficients are negative, indicates that for this short-term z-score, the preferred recipient would be female household members.

In conclusion, we find a negative correlation between the treatment dummy and the long-term ABZs. This is likely to be a consequence of the common trend not having been established, since there is also a drop in the number of children living with female pension recipients, which serves as the control group. Furthermore, we find a negative correlation between the male pension recipient variable (**w_spen_m**) and the short-run **BMIZ**.

The later result seems to indicate that, at least in the short-run, the preferred recipient of cash transfers would be a female household member. As discussed, the selection bias issue in age, leads to the need for a careful interpretation of the possible external validity of this results.

Regarding the former result, the significant negative coefficient of the treatment variable, the analysis in ?? seems to indicate a need to establish the common trend, at least over the period 2008-2012. Since the female recipient base in this period serves as a control group, we possibly could establish this, using the evolution here. Alternatively, it would be possible to establish a trend using statistics on nationwide pension recipients.

Chapter 3

Risk and Uncertainty in Unique Equilibria in Currency Attacks

Abstract

morris1998unique finds that the introduction of a risk factor leads to a unique equilibrium in their model of self-fulfilling currency attacks. The currency attack model thus has the surprising feature, with the introduction of perception distortion, the multiple equilibria region seems to solve to a unique equilibrium.

It is our contention that this is not a surprising result, it a consequence of the second-order effect, where the risk factor replaces a previous existent, Knightian uncertainty. Previously, speculators had no expectations on other speculators expectations. Here, the risk factor replaces uncertainty, this is the main driver of the surprising result of the paper. Also, the new quantified-risk region only solves a certain part of the multiple equilibria region, not the whole of it. Lastly, if we replace the somewhat strange assumption of a bounded uniform distribution of risk perceptions, with the more orthodox, normal distribution, we cannot derive the same result.

3.1 The Paper

morris1998unique addresses the issue of multiple equilibria in models of currency attacks. In situations of a currency peg, there is the possibility of a currency attack. Speculators are able to short the pegged currency, hoping the government will release the peg. If a sufficiently large proportion of the market participates in this, the cost of maintaining the peg for the government becomes too high, which will lead to the government releasing the peg. Currency attacks thus have a self-fulfilling nature, which leads to a situation of multiple equilibria, as described in **obstfeld1986rational**; **obstfeld1995logic**; **obstfeld1996models**.

In **morris1998unique** the authors describe such a model. This model is characterised by a situation where there is a stable, unstable, and a ‘ripe for attack’ region (based on the underlying economic fundamentals). The authors expand on the standard model by introducing second-order expectations. Hereby speculators do not only look at the economics fundamentals of a currency, but also at other speculators’ perceptions of these fundamentals.

The authors proceed by introducing a measure of risk around perceptions of the fundamentals by investors. Investors do not know the actual state of the fundamentals, but rather a distorted form of it. As a result, the investors’ perception of other investors’ perceptions are even more distorted. Paradoxically, the leads to model being solvable to a unique equilibrium.

3.2 The Model

I will give a brief description of the model as it is defined, and some of its features. There is a state of economic fundamentals θ which is distributed as $\theta \sim U[0, 1]$. The exchange rate is the hypothetical situation of no government intervention is a function only of these fundamentals ($f(\theta)$), it is assumed that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} > 0$. The currency is pegged at a level larger than that derived from the fundamentals ($e^* \geq f(\theta)$). Speculators can short the currency at a cost t , their payoff is described by:

$$e^* - f(\theta) - t \quad (3.1)$$

The government derives a positive value $\nu > 0$ from defending the peg. The cost of the peg is determined by the economic fundamentals and the proportion of the speculators (α) that attack the currency. The payoff the government is thus:

$$\nu - c(\alpha, \theta) \quad (3.2)$$

Lastly, the following assumptions are imposed: $c(0, 0) > \nu$ (with worst fundamentals the peg always has to be released), $c(1, 1) > \nu$ (with all speculators attacking, the peg always has to be released), $e^* - f(1) < t$ (with best fundamentals, it is inopportune for speculators to attack).

3.2.1 The Possible Outcomes

When we set ?? and ?? equal to zero, we derive the two turning points in the model. We define $\underline{\theta}$ which solves $c(0, \underline{\theta}) = \nu$. Also, $\bar{\theta}$ solves $f(\bar{\theta}) = e^* - t$.

Using the turning points described above, we can define three possible outcome intervals:

1. $[0, \underline{\theta}]$, the cost is always too high, this is the unstable region.
2. $[\underline{\theta}, \bar{\theta}]$, if enough speculators attack, the cost of defending the peg becomes too high, this is the ‘ripe for attack’ region.
3. $[\bar{\theta}, 1]$, the cost of shorting the currency will always outweigh the possible gains, this is the stable region.

The two corner intervals have unique equilibria, however, the middle interval, does not. This is thus the multiple equilibria region.

3.3 The Introduction of Risk

The authors expand the model by introducing a measure of risk for fundamentals:

$$x \sim U[\theta - \epsilon, \theta + \epsilon] \quad (3.3)$$

Where x is the state of the fundamentals *observed* by the speculators. A speculators observation can thus *at most* deviate from the true value of the fundamentals by ϵ . The speculators are also aware of the nature of the distortion. This means that they are also aware of the fact that other speculators’ perceived value of the fundamentals can at most, deviate from their own with a magnitude of 2ϵ .

3.3.1 The Outcomes with Risk

Having established the new model, the authors state:

The unique optimal strategy for the government is then to abandon the exchange rate only if the observed fraction of deviators, α , is greater than or equal to the critical mass $a(\theta)$ in the prevailing state θ .
(morris1998unique)

By solving out this government ‘optimal’ strategy, the speculators can ensure themselves of a certain abandonment of the peg, for a sufficiently low observed x . The authors proceed to derive from this the main result of the paper, which is:

THEOREM 1: There is a unique θ^* such that, in any equilibrium of the game with imperfect information, the government abandons the currency peg if and only if $\theta \leq \theta^*$.
(morris1998unique)

We will now discuss the issues we find in the derivation of this result.

3.4 The Issues

By introduction the idea of perceptions of other speculators’ perceptions, the authors state a more realistic model of such a currency attack. It is thus very surprising that the outcome of their more realistic model corresponds less to reality, than the more incomplete models do. After all, in reality, currency

attacks do seem to be characterised by multiple equilibria. This is also explicitly expressed by the authors in their introduction, with the mentioning of **eichengreen1993unstable** and **dornbusch1994mexico**.

We believe that this is due to a number of issues in the derivation of the results, we identify three issues with this model:

1. The unique equilibrium does not apply to the entire ‘ripe for attack’ region.
2. The measure of risk replaces uncertainty, since previously there were no second-order perceptions.
3. The model has the unrealistic assumption that distortion would be on a bounded uniform distribution.

In the following subsections, we will describe these problems in more detail.

3.4.1 The ‘Ripe for Attack’ Region

We can summarise the first problem with the model as follows:

1. Multiple equilibria exist in the interval $(\theta, \bar{\theta})$.
2. The introduction of quantied risk, removes uncertainty with 2ϵ of x .
3. Here $u(x, \theta) > 0$ leads to $\pi(x) = 1$.
4. Authors claim that i.f.f. $x < k$ (the quantified-risk region) speculators will attack.
5. This is assumption does not follow from the model.
6. Outside the quantified-risk area the previously existing uncertainty remains.
7. Multiple equilibria remain possible here.

Consider the statement of the authors:

For the next step, consider the strategy profile where every speculator attacks the currency if and only if the message x is less than some fixed number k .
(**morris1998unique**)

The risk profile of the speculator is being redefined here. In the first iteration of the model, speculators might decide to the attack the currency without knowing what other investors would do. In this second iteration, speculators will only attack if they believe that there is a sufficient number of other speculators doing the same. Yet in the first model, attacks were possible, in absence of this certainty. It thus follows that speculators should be willing to attack the currency, even in outside the quantified-risk region (which now provides certainty).

To illustrate this, consider the following. The risk factor has a magnitude ϵ , where it is assumed that $\epsilon > 0$. However, if in stead we state that $\epsilon = 0$, we have eliminated the risk factor. By eliminating the risk factor, we return to a state of perfect information. Therefore, solving the second iteration of the model, with $\epsilon = 0$ should give us the same result as the first iteration. In stead, we find that we end again in a situation of unique equilibria. This thus indicates that the

second order effect are not really taken into account in the first iteration of the model.

As a consequence of this we have the previously mentioned:

The unique optimal strategy for the government is then to abandon the exchange rate only if the observed fraction of deviators, α , is greater than or equal to the critical mass $a(\theta)$ in the prevailing state θ .
(morris1998unique)

This should say “...abandon the exchange rate *always* if the observed fraction is great than or equal to...”. Since quantified-risk region now gives the speculators certainty about the other speculators behaviour, this will now always lead to an sustainable attack.

However, as described above, outside of the new quantified-risk region, the old ‘ripe for attack region’ remains. In this region of multiple equilibria, currency attacks may be successful, or they may not be.

The condition the governments action is thus a sufficient, but not a necessary one.

3.4.2 Risk and Uncertainty

The second problem is the most fundamental. The distortion measure is presented as a function that adds uncertainty. If we differentiate between Knightian uncertainty and risk, then this is not true.

In relation to the first order effect, the model introduces a quantifiable risk. (which is not the same as uncertainty)

What is more important, is the effect of this risk on the second-order expectations, that is to say, a speculator’s perception of other speculators perceptions. In the first iteration of the model, there is no second-order expectation, we are thus in a situation of Knightian uncertainty. By introducing the quantifiable risk into the second iteration, **and making speculators aware of the maximum magnitude of the distortion**, speculators can quantify second-order expectations.

Summierly, the introduction of the distortion, has two effects, the first-order effect is moving from perfect information to risk, the second-order effect is moving from uncertainty to risk. It is this second order effect which leads to the surprising outcome of this model.

3.4.3 A Bounded Uniform Distortion

The third problem is relatively straightforward. The assumption of a uniform distortion is unorthodox. We would normally expect that these distortion would take the shape of e.g. a normal distribution. The effect of this is extremely relevant. A uniform distribution is bounded, which allows the distortion to be quantified with absolute certainty. An unbounded distribution, such as the normal distribution, would not allow a speculator to know the limit of other speculators perception, with absolute certainty.

3.5 Conclusion

morris1998unique presents a model for self-fulfilling currency attacks. Under perfect information in the first order (and uncertainty in the second order), this leads to a region of the state of economic fundamentals, where multiple equilibria are possible. With a introduction of a quantified measure of distortion on the perception of this risk, the authors claim that the model solves for a unique equilibrium.

We have identified three problems with this model and its outcomes.

Firstly, the new equilibrium, does not cover the entire previously existing multiple equilibria region. Even though a larger part of the region (w.r.t. the economic fundamentals) leads to a unique equilibrium, a part of the old ‘ripe for attack’ region, remains subject to a situation of multiple equilibria. It is only through two assumptions which do not follow from the model, that this region also solves for a unique equilibrium.

Secondly, the most fundamental issue is the distinction between risk and uncertainty. The first-order effect of the distortion is moving from perfect knowledge to a situation of quantifiable risk. However, by making speculators aware of the maximum distortion, they can now form expectations of other speculators expectations. The second order effect, is thus moving from uncertainty to risk. This is the driving mechanism behind the new equilibrium in **part of** the ‘ripe for attack’ region.

Thirdly, the authors introduce a distortion which takes the form of a uniform distribution. It is only due to the bounded nature of the uniform distribution, that speculators are able to form bounded quantitative expectations on other speculators expectations, with absolute certainty. As mentioned above, it is this absolute certainty on the bounded quantifiable second-order expectations, that drives the result.

In conclusion, we analyse the model introduced by **morris1998unique** and find that the results are driven by a second-order effect, as well as certain unjustifiable assumptions.

Chapter 4

Bitcoin and Remittances: The Potential of ‘Stupid Phones’

Abstract

In this chapter I describe the basis of cryptocurrencies, particularly Bitcoin. I give an overview of what sources of data are available for analysis, and how these can be employed. I propose a project which enables simple telephones or ‘stupid phones’ to perform bitcoin transaction, this can provide insights into the remittances that are transacted in Bitcoin.

4.1 Introduction

In the 2009 white paper (**nakamoto2008bitcoin**) called **nakamoto2008bitcoin** an anonymous individual or collective referred to as Satoshi Nakamoto (the Japanese equivalent of John Smith) describes an online currency called Bitcoin (BTC). This currency -the first of a group called cryptocurrencies- has the following economics features:

- public transactions
- anonymous addresses
- fixed amount of units
- high granularity (1 Bitcoin = 100,000,000 Satoshi)
- no or low transaction costs

An addition to these economic features, the key feature of Bitcoin is decentralisation. All transactions are kept in a public ledger called the blockchain. An incentive in the form of transaction fees is provided to stimulate the creation of multiple copies of this ledger. Conflict resolution, in this system, is done through majority consensus of blockchain copy holders.

There are a number of features which make Bitcoin attractive for remittances. Firstly, the low transaction costs are a huge step up from the current facilitators, which charge high percentages. Secondly, government intervention (especially in the recipient country) is prevented by the anonymity. Thirdly, recipients can choose to keep their remittance in a currency which is not controlled by their government. Fourthly, the granularity ensures very small transactions can be conducted.

Bitcoin initially became popular in advanced economies such as those in Europe and North America. However, since mid 2013 there has been a large shift towards developing economies, in which comparative advantages of Bitcoin are more pronounced. For example, since its inception, Mt.Gox (located in Japan) was the largest Bitcoin exchange (marketplace), however in October 2013, Mt.Gox was overtaken by a Chinese exchange.

Bitcoin transactions are conducted by the electronic ‘signing’ of a transaction. This is a very simple electronic process. Currently many smartphone apps are available to this purpose. However, in the targeted developing economies, many phones are not smartphones, the vast majority using Nokia Operating System (Nokia OS). These phones can also run applications, using the Java Mobile App platform. Currently there are no Bitcoin apps available for this platform.

I propose to participate in the development of open-source applications which would enable the users of stupid phones. We can then exploit the temporal difference when releasing the applications in different languages at different times, as well as the downloads from traceable IP addresses.

4.2 Data

In this section I briefly describe the data which is available to us, in order to undertake such a project.

- The blockchain (public ledger)
 - IP address
 - Language of transaction notice
 - Transaction times
- The exchanges
 - Location of exchanges
 - Transaction costs for each currency
 - The order books
 - Transaction times
 - The traded currency
- Software
 - Downloads
 - Connections to server

Funds held at Bitcoin exchanges are not held in the owners bitcoin wallet. Rather, the exchange holds them in their wallet, and the clients have a claim on this wallet. Much like the way bank clients have a claim to their money, which is in the bank's vault.

Therefore Bitcoin purchases at exchanges do not lead to transaction being recorded in the blockchain. Since the Bitcoins remain in the Exchange's wallet, the only thing which changes is the claims of the clients.

4.3 Conclusion

The Bitcoin transaction mechanism is often mentioned as being interesting for remittances, which are plagued by high costs. The much more efficient protocol would be a major improvement over established systems.

There are existing networks in many developing (especially African) countries which enable phone to phone transactions. However, these network (and thus entire economies) are privately owned by telecom providers. Enabling these phones to conduct Bitcoin transactions would thus have two benefits. Firstly, the cost of remittances can go down. Secondly, the economies of phone to phone transactions would no longer be privately owned.

The idea lies thus in linking these two networks, and analysing how the this new mechanism would disseminate itself. The public nature of the Bitcoin network provides us with a wealth of information which we can use to analyse this dissemination.

Chapter 5

Bitcoin Attacks: Or How Cryptocurrencies Gain Momentum

Abstract

In this chapter I set out the idea of employing the models used for currency attacks, to analyse how cryptocurrencies gain momentum. Additionally, I discuss how inflation in the cryptocurrency economy is possible.

5.1 Introduction

As discussed in ??, there has been a new phenomenon in international finance called cryptocurrencies. The first of these was the cryptocurrency called Bitcoin (**nakamoto2008bitcoin**). However, soon after Bitcoin started gaining momentum, alternative cryptocurrencies were being designed. All of these cryptocurrencies are based to a large extent on the Bitcoin protocol, but often introduce small technological innovations.

The most known of all of these alternative cryptocurrencies is Litecoin. Litecoin introduces a number of small technological innovations, the most important of these is the change that the process of ‘mining’ coins is 4 times faster, this has two consequences, transactions are approved faster than in the bitcoin protocol. It also means that the technological limit for the total number of Litecoins ever available is 4x times higher. For Bitcoin the technical limit is around 21 million Bitcoin, which is expected to be reached in the year 2140. For Litecoin, the limit is around 84 million bitcoin, which is also expected to be reached around this time.

The key thing to note here is the perspective of the new cryptocurrency adopt. Even though the value of Bitcoin is much higher than that of Litecoin, it does not have more to offer. The lower valued new cryptocurrency is technologically superior and thus more attractive. Most new cryptocurrencies do not gain momentum and their value is only trivially more than zero. However, some currencies have gain momentum, the previously mentioned litecoin introduces a technological innovation and build it base on that. However, recently a new cryptocurrency has arisen and become quite popular. This cryptocurrency is called ‘Dogecoin’ and besides its name, it does not introduce any novelty. Dogecoin is a reference to an internet phenomenon called ‘Doge Meme’.

Despite the fact that ‘Dogecoin’ does not have a real reason for being considered valuable (and a name that does not inspire much trust), it has become quite valuable. This has been done by utilising a previously existing internet phenomenon, and its existing fan base. We thus observe that from the perspective of economic and technological fundamentals, there is a situation of multiple equilibria.

5.2 Positive Currency Attacks

My idea is to adapt standard models of currency attacks such as in **obstfeld1986rational; obstfeld1995logic; obstfeld1996models** to model the gaining of long (v.s. currency attacks’ short) momentum for cryptocurrencies. Initially we would model how a currency can gain sufficient momentum to establish itself as a stably above zero.

5.2.1 A Multi-currency Model

This could later be expended to a model with several cryptocurrencies, competing for the investment of the same new cryptocurrency adopt, who is converting his/her fiat currency into one of several cryptocurrencies.

5.3 Multi-cryptocurrency Economy and Inflation

Finally, an extension of such a multi-cryptocurrency model can provide a valuable insight into the issue of inflation.

One of the key selling points of the cryptocurrencies, and equally one of the key criticisms of many economics (e.g. Paul Krugman), is that there is no inflation in a cryptocurrency. The cryptocurrencies are designed specifically so that inflation eventually becomes impossible (once all coins are mined).

However, it is key here to understand the economy as a multi-cryptocurrency object. Since creating a currency can be done at negligible cost, and the only value of the cryptocurrency lies in its utility as a mechanism for transactions, more cryptocurrencies will come into existence. Especially since it is very simple for a merchant to accept several cryptocurrencies as a form of payment. The effect of this will be that inflation in each cryptocurrency will remain as defined, however, the cryptocurrency economy as a whole, will be able to experience inflation.

Additionally, this partly addresses one of social critiques of Bitcoin, namely the issue of bitcoin mining being excessively wasteful. Bitcoin mining does not produce anything of any social value (not unlike gold mining), the higher value of bitcoin, the more computing power (and electricity) will be diverted to this. However, in a multi-currency model, it will be more effective to mine alternative cryptocurrencies, as these can initially be mined with relative ease.

Chapter 6

End notes

In the previous chapters I have presented my current research projects. These projects are in various stages of completions.

The South Africa paper provides an interesting opportunity to exploit the data from the household survey, done before and after a highly relevant policy change. Especially since the methodology and location are the same as in **duflo2000child**; **duflo2003grandmothers**. We find results that are highly relevant for the optimal design of cash transfer schemes. At this point, the analysis is troubled by the non establishment of the common trend, prior to the treatment. Using additional data or the control group this can be remedied, which will make the results more relevant still.

morris1998unique is analysed in detail. The main issue is the conflation of risk (quantifiable) and Knightian uncertainty (unquantifiable). However, there are two more issues in this paper which I also discuss, each of these invalidate the results. However, I would like to present a worked out example which demonstrates how this convolution leads to the main result of the paper. In addition to this, this example should demonstrate how only a part of the ‘ripe for attack’ region is solved for the unique equilibrium.

Relating to Bitcoin (**nakamoto2008bitcoin**), I present two ideas. I think that there is a enormous potential for uncontrolled low-cost remittances using Bitcoin. I would like to analyse the spread of this. I propose to follow the spread of applications for platforms which are popular in developing economies.

Lastly, I present a proposal for a theoretical model on how cryptocurrencies gain momentum, this model can be extended to address two issues relating to Bitcoin, namely the supposed non-inflation, as well as the unlimited socially wasteful coin mining.

Here I present two more ideas which are too preliminary to include in the main body of the text.

Firstly, I was in charge of setting up a baseline diagnostic into security perceptions in Conakry, Guinea. This diagnostic will be used to analyse the impact of a police reform conducted here. The reform constitutes of the implementation of a model of ‘police de proximitee’. The baseline consist of 4500 interviews using smartphones in the commune of Conakry as well a large number of interviews in N’Zerekore (east Guinea). Some time after the implementation of the police reform as follow up study will be conducted. I propose to conduct an impact evaluation using the results from the studies in which I have taken and

will take part.

Secondly, I have previously studied philosophy of science in my bachelors in Theoretical Philosophy. I would like to write one paper about an issue relating to this. In particular I would like to at the effect of outliers. The effect how these outliers has extensively been discussed in contexts such as finance and international economics (**taleb2010black; sornette2009dragon**), as well as individual behaviour (**kahneman2011thinking**). I would like to see how these principles affect research in development microeconomics.

In this document I have tried to give a description of my research projects. None of these projects are near completion and many are in fact in a very early stage. The purpose of this has been to describe the direction I am taking with my thesis, and to receive feedback on this. As a result of this being work in progress, the articles are still incomplete, this includes lacking the proper attribution of ideas using citations.