

Lab Sheet 3

Digital Circuits using Multilayer Perceptron Network

Date: 25/08/2025

Aim

To design digital circuits using multilayer perceptron network.

Introduction

Digital circuits are the backbone of modern computers, enabling Boolean logic through arrangements of logic gates. Conventional designs rely on hardware components such as AND, OR, XOR, and NAND gates to build arithmetic units like adders and subtractors.

This experiment examines both the classical method of Boolean circuit design and an alternative approach using neural networks. Multilayer Perceptrons (MLPs) provide a learning-based framework that can approximate logical operations after training. Unlike single-layer perceptrons, which are limited to linearly separable tasks, MLPs are capable of handling more complex operations such as XOR and XNOR by incorporating hidden layers.

The study highlights how neural networks can mimic Boolean logic by applying gradient descent and backpropagation for optimization. In particular, the system is designed to implement arithmetic circuits (full adders and full subtractors) through gate-level logic, while also training an MLP with a 2–2–1 structure, sigmoid activations, and mean squared error loss to learn XOR and XNOR functions.

Code Snippets and Explanation

1. Full adder using XNOR

A full adder design using XNOR gates takes advantage of the fact that XNOR is the complement of the XOR operation.

```
def XOR(a, b):  
    return a ^ b  
  
def XNOR(a, b):  
    return ~(a ^ b) & 1  
  
def NAND(a, b):  
    return ~(a & b) & 1  
  
def full_adder_XNOR(a, b, cin):  
    sum_ = XNOR(XNOR(a, b), cin)  
    cout = (a & b) | (cin & XNOR(a, b))  
    return sum_, cout
```

```

print("\nFull Adder (XNOR):")
for A in [0,1]:
    for B in [0,1]:
        for Cin in [0,1]:
            s, c = full_adder_XNOR(A,B,Cin)
            print(f"A={A}, B={B}, Cin={Cin} => Diff={s}, Cout={c}")

```

Listing 1: Full adder with XNOR

2. Full adder using XOR

A standard full adder made utilising the XOR operation.

```

def full_adder_XOR(a, b, cin):
    sum_ = XOR(XOR(a, b), cin)
    cout = (a & b) | (cin & XOR(a, b))
    return sum_, cout

print("Full Adder (XOR):")
for A in [0,1]:
    for B in [0,1]:
        for Cin in [0,1]:
            s, c = full_adder_XOR(A,B,Cin)
            print(f"A={A}, B={B}, Cin={Cin} => Sum={s}, Cout={c}")

```

Listing 2: Full Adder with XOR

3. Full Subtractor using XOR

A basic full subtractor utilising only the XOR operation.

```

def full_subtractor_XOR(a, b, bin_):
    diff = XOR(XOR(a, b), bin_)
    bout = ((~a & b) | (bin_ & ~XOR(a, b))) & 1
    return diff, bout

print("\n\nFull Subtractor (XOR):")
for A in [0,1]:
    for B in [0,1]:
        for Bin in [0,1]:
            d, b = full_subtractor_XOR(A,B,Bin)
            print(f"A={A}, B={B}, Bin={Bin} => Diff={d}, Bout={b}")

```

Listing 3: Full Subtractor with XOR

4. Full Subtractor using NAND

A basic full subtractor utilising only the NAND operation.

```

def NAND(a, b):
    return ~(a & b) & 1

def NOT(a):
    return NAND(a, a)

```

```

def AND(a, b):
    return NOT(NAND(a, b))

def OR(a, b):
    return NAND(NOT(a), NOT(b))

def XOR(a, b):
    t1 = NAND(a, b)
    t2 = NAND(a, t1)
    t3 = NAND(b, t1)
    return NAND(t2, t3)

def full_subtractor_NAND(A, B, Bin):
    # Diff = A ^ B ^ Bin
    t1 = XOR(A, B)
    Diff = XOR(t1, Bin)

    # Bout = (~A & B) | (Bin & ~(A ^ B))
    term1 = AND(NOT(A), B)
    term2 = AND(Bin, NOT(t1))
    Bout = OR(term1, term2)

    return Diff, Bout

# Test all combinations
print("Full Subtractor using NAND only:")
for A in [0,1]:
    for B in [0,1]:
        for Bin in [0,1]:
            d, bout = full_subtractor_NAND(A, B, Bin)
            print(f"A={A}, B={B}, Bin={Bin} => Diff={d}, Bout={bout}")

```

Listing 4: Full Subtractor with NAND

5. Multilayer Perceptron Implementation for XOR and XNOR

A neural network model with two inputs, a two-neuron hidden layer, and a single output node is used to train and replicate Boolean functions.

```

import numpy as np

def sigmoid(x):
    return 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x))

def d_dx_sigmoid(x):
    return x * (1 - x)

def train_gate(gate, epochs=1000, lr=0.5):
    X = np.array([[0,0],[0,1],[1,0],[1,1]])

    if gate == "XOR":
        y = np.array([[0],[1],[1],[0]])
    elif gate == "XNOR":

```

```

    y = np.array([[1],[0],[0],[1]])
else:
    raise ValueError("Gate must be XOR or XNOR")

np.random.seed(42)
w_hidden = np.random.uniform(size=(2, 2))
b_hidden = np.random.uniform(size=(1, 2))
w_output = np.random.uniform(size=(2, 1))
b_output = np.random.uniform(size=(1, 1))

for _ in range(epochs):

    # Forward Pass
    hidden_input = np.dot(X, w_hidden) + b_hidden
    hidden_output = sigmoid(hidden_input)
    final_input = np.dot(hidden_output, w_output) + b_output
    final_output = sigmoid(final_input)

    # Error Calc
    error = y - final_output

    # Backward Pass
    d_output = error * d_dx_sigmoid(final_output)
    error_hidden = d_output.dot(w_output.T)
    d_hidden = error_hidden * d_dx_sigmoid(hidden_output)
    w_output += hidden_output.T.dot(d_output) * lr
    b_output += np.sum(d_output, axis=0, keepdims=True) * lr
    w_hidden += X.T.dot(d_hidden) * lr
    b_hidden += np.sum(d_hidden, axis=0, keepdims=True) * lr

    print(f"---Epoch {_+1}/1000
    -----")

    # Print weights for forward pass and backward pass
    print(f"Hidden Layer Weights: {w_hidden}, Hidden Layer Biases: {
b_hidden}")
    print(f"Output Layer Weights: {w_output}, Output Layer Biases: {
b_output}")

    def gate_fn(a, b):
        inp = np.array([[a, b]])
        hidden = sigmoid(np.dot(inp, w_hidden) + b_hidden)
        out = sigmoid(np.dot(hidden, w_output) + b_output)
        return int(round(out[0][0]))

    return gate_fn

```

Listing 5: MLP for XOR and XNOR

Forward Pass Explanation

1. Input layer receives 2-bit Boolean inputs: $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2]$.
2. Hidden layer computation: $\mathbf{z}^{(1)} = W^{(1)}\mathbf{x} + b^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{a}^{(1)} = \sigma(\mathbf{z}^{(1)})$.
3. Output layer computation: $z^{(2)} = W^{(2)}\mathbf{a}^{(1)} + b^{(2)}$, $\hat{y} = \sigma(z^{(2)})$.

4. Sigmoid activation function: $\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$.

Backward Pass Explanation

1. Output error: $\delta^{(2)} = (\hat{y} - y) \cdot \sigma'(z^{(2)})$, where $\sigma'(a) = a(1 - a)$.
2. Output gradients: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W^{(2)}} = \delta^{(2)} \cdot (\mathbf{a}^{(1)})^T$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial b^{(2)}} = \delta^{(2)}$.
3. Hidden error: $\delta^{(1)} = (W^{(2)})^T \cdot \delta^{(2)} \cdot \sigma'(\mathbf{z}^{(1)})$.
4. Hidden gradients: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W^{(1)}} = \delta^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{x}^T$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial b^{(1)}} = \delta^{(1)}$.
5. Weight updates: $W \leftarrow W - \eta \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial W}$, $b \leftarrow b - \eta \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial b}$.

Results and Output

The logic-gate implementations of the full adder and full subtractor produced correct outputs for all input combinations. Both XOR- and XNOR-based designs generated accurate sums and carry values, while the NAND-only subtractor validated that universal gates are sufficient to construct arithmetic circuits. For the neural approach, the MLP successfully learned the XOR and XNOR truth tables after training. The forward pass produced outputs close to the target values for all four Boolean input pairs, with mean squared error reducing progressively across epochs. The plotted results (Figures 1–3) show convergence of the network toward stable weights and biases. The trained models were then used as functional gates to replicate digital operations, confirming that the MLP architecture can approximate non-linearly separable Boolean functions.

```

---Epoch 999/1000 -----
Hidden Layer Weights: [[3.04094256 5.27785962]
 [3.05232241 5.3435211 ]], Hidden Layer Biases: [[-4.62536337 -2.03181835]]
Output Layer Weights: [[-6.69193106]
 [ 6.24292317]], Output Layer Biases: [[-2.76703615]]
---Epoch 1000/1000 -----
Hidden Layer Weights: [[3.04271871 5.27903926]
 [3.05409084 5.34462398]], Hidden Layer Biases: [[-4.6282421 -2.03287231]]
Output Layer Weights: [[-6.69557792]
 [ 6.2458154 ]], Output Layer Biases: [[-2.76838496]]

```

Figure 1: XOR Training

```

---Epoch 999/1000 -----
Hidden Layer Weights: [[5.16453618 2.88555237]
 [5.10296475 2.87495203]], Hidden Layer Biases: [[-1.89119715 -4.3541904 ]]
Output Layer Weights: [[-5.9247285 ]
 [ 6.24844118]], Output Layer Biases: [[2.63933425]]
---Epoch 1000/1000 -----
Hidden Layer Weights: [[5.16605773 2.88799614]
 [5.1045845 2.87740677]], Hidden Layer Biases: [[-1.8926872 -4.35819833]]
Output Layer Weights: [[-5.92847956]
 [ 6.25345667]], Output Layer Biases: [[2.6410089]]

```

Figure 2: XNOR Training

```

print("XOR and XNOR Gate: ")
for A in [0,1]:
    for B in [0,1]:
        xor_out = XOR_gate(A,B)
        xnor_out = XNOR_gate(A,B)
        print(f"A={A}, B={B} => XOR={xor_out}, XNOR={xnor_out}")

XOR and XNOR Gate:
A=0, B=0 => XOR=0, XNOR=1
A=0, B=1 => XOR=1, XNOR=0
A=1, B=0 => XOR=1, XNOR=0
A=1, B=1 => XOR=0, XNOR=1

```

Figure 3: Using the trained NN gates

Conclusion and Inference

This experiment demonstrated two complementary methods for implementing digital circuits: classical Boolean gate-level design and neural network-based learning. The hardware logic approach verified that adders and subtractors can be built using XOR, XNOR, or even NAND alone, highlighting the universality of certain gates.

The neural implementation showed that a simple 2-2-1 MLP with sigmoid activation is capable of learning both XOR and XNOR, which are not linearly separable. Backpropagation with gradient descent enabled the network to minimize error and approximate the truth tables reliably.

In conclusion, neural networks provide a flexible alternative to fixed digital logic, demonstrating their ability to learn and generalize Boolean functions. While traditional circuits remain more efficient for hardware implementation, the neural approach illustrates the adaptability of learning-based systems in modeling logical and arithmetic operations.

Signature of Staff: