

Serenoa repens monotherapy for benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH): an updated Cochrane systematic review

Roderick MacDonald*, James W. Tacklind*, Indulis Rutks* and Timothy J. Wilt*†

*Center for Chronic Disease Outcomes Research (111-0), Minneapolis Veterans Affairs Medical Center, and

†Department of Medicine, University of Minnesota and Section of General Medicine Minneapolis Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Minneapolis, MN, USA

Accepted for publication 12 March 2012

Supported in part by a Grant no. 5R01DK63300-4 (National Institutes of Health (NIH), and the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) and Department of Veterans Affairs Health Services Research and Development Service (HSR&D), USA

OBJECTIVE

- To estimate the effectiveness and harms of *Serenoa repens* monotherapy in the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) consistent with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

- We searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), and other sources through to January 2012 to identify randomised trials.
- Trials were eligible if they randomised men with symptomatic BPH to receive *Serenoa repens* extract monotherapy for at least 4 weeks in comparison with placebo, and assessed clinical outcomes and urodynamic measurements.
- Our primary outcome was improvement in LUTS, based on change in urological symptom-scale scores.

RESULTS

- In all, 17 randomised controlled trials ($N = 2008$) assessing *Serenoa repens* monotherapy (typically 320 mg/day) vs placebo met inclusion criteria, although only five reported American Urological Association Symptom Index (AUASI) or International Prostate Symptom Scores (IPSS). Trial lengths ranged from 4 to 72 weeks. The mean age of all enrollees was 64.3 years and most participants were of White race. The mean baseline total score

What's known on the subject? and What does the study add?

For the past 30 years *Serenoa repens* has become a widely used phytotherapy in the USA and in Europe, mostly because of positive comparisons to α -blockers and 5 α -reductase inhibitors.

During the last 4 years we have seen two very high quality trials comparing *Serenoa repens* to placebo and up to 72 weeks' duration. These trials found *Serenoa repens* no better than placebo, even (in one trial) at escalating doses.

was 14 points, indicating moderately severe symptoms. In all, 16 trials were double blinded and adequate treatment allocation concealment was reported in six trials.

- In a meta-analysis of three high quality long-to-moderate term trials ($n = 661$), *Serenoa repens* therapy was no better than placebo in reducing LUTS based on the AUASI/IPSS (weighted mean difference [WMD] -0.16 points, 95% confidence interval [CI] -1.45 to 1.14) or maximum urinary flow rate (Q_{max} ; WMD 0.40 mL/s, 95% CI -0.30 to 1.09). Based on mostly short-term studies, Q_{max} measured at study endpoint were also not significantly different between treatment groups (WMD 1.15 mL/s, 95% CI -0.23 to 2.53) with evidence of substantial heterogeneity (I^2 58%).
- One long-term dose escalation trial (72 weeks) found double and triple doses of *Serenoa repens* extract did not improve AUASI compared with placebo and the proportions of clinical responders (≥ 3 point decrease in the AUASI) were nearly identical (43% vs 44% for *Serenoa repens* and placebo, respectively) with a corresponding risk ratio of 0.96 (95% CI 0.76–1.22).

- Long-term, *Serenoa repens* therapy was no better than placebo in improving nocturia in one high-quality study ($P = 0.19$). Pooled analysis of nine short-term Permixon® trials showed a reduction in the frequency of nocturia (WMD -0.79 times/night, 95% CI -1.28 to -0.29), although there was evidence of heterogeneity (I^2 76%).

- Adverse events of *Serenoa repens* extracts were few and mild, and incidences were not statistically significantly different vs placebo. Study withdrawals occurred in $\approx 10\%$ and did not differ between *Serenoa repens* and placebo.

CONCLUSIONS

- Serenoa repens* therapy does not improve LUTS or Q_{max} compared with placebo in men with BPH, even at double and triple the usual dose.
- Adverse events were generally mild and comparable to placebo.

KEYWORDS

Serenoa repens, benign prostatic hyperplasia, phytotherapy, systematic review

INTRODUCTION

Bothersome LUTS associated with BPH are common among ageing men and can interfere with daily activities and reduce quality of life. Phytotherapy is often used as a first-line treatment in Western countries [1,2]. In the USA use of phytotherapeutic agents has also markedly increased. In a 2002 survey, *Serenoa repens* was used by 2.5 million adults, 'often for BPH' [3]. One survey reported that one-third of men choosing non-surgical therapy for BPH were using herbal preparations alone or combined with prescription medications [4].

There are ~30 phytotherapeutic compounds available for the treatment of symptomatic BPH, and some of the most widely used are extracts from the fruit of the American saw palmetto *Serenoa repens*. We have updated a previous a systematic review to address the effects and harms of monotherapeutic preparations of *Serenoa repens* in the treatment of LUTS associated with BPH [5].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We electronically searched MEDLINE, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled trials (CENTRAL), EMBASE, Web of Science®, Scopus, BIOSIS Previews®, LILACS, <http://clinicaltrials.gov/>, <http://www.controlled-trials.com/>, <http://www.who.int/ictpr/en/>. Systematic reviews, references, clinical-practice guidelines, and conference abstracts were also hand-searched. We included studies that were:

- (1) randomised
- (2) enrolled men with LUTS consistent with BPH
- (3) compared monotherapeutic preparations of *Serenoa repens* with placebo
- (4) treatment duration was ≥30 days

As a measure of overall methodological study quality we used criteria developed by Schulz and The Cochrane Collaboration [6,7]. Trials were considered of 'high quality' if they reported adequate treatment allocation concealment, double-blinding, and addressed incomplete data (used intention-to-treat analysis and adequately described reasons for study withdrawal).

Our primary outcome was mean change in validated urological symptom scores, such as the AUA Symptom Index (AUASI) and the IPSS. Both scale scores use an identical scale of 0–35, with mild symptoms scored 1–8, moderate 9–18, and severe ≥19. Secondary outcomes included change in maximum urinary flow rate (Q_{max} ; mL/s), nocturia (times/night), change in prostate size (mL), and adverse events. We also assessed the percentage of men who had a clinically important improvement (≥3 points) on the AUASI/IPSS.

Analyses were performed using RevMan 5.1 software [8]. Relative risks (RR) and weighted mean differences (WMD) with 95% CIs were calculated using a random effects model. To minimise the uncertainty of the pooled-effect estimate, we used an inverse variance method, which allows larger trials with a smaller standard error of the mean more weight over smaller trials with larger standard error of the mean. Heterogeneity between studies was assessed using and the I^2 test (50% or greater indicates substantial heterogeneity) [9] and the chi-squared test for heterogeneity (present if $P < 0.1$).

RESULTS

LITERATURE SEARCH AND TRIAL CHARACTERISTICS

The combined search strategies identified 17 trials enrolling 2008 participants meeting

inclusion criteria (Table 1) [10–26]. Overall the mean age of the participants was 64.3 years [10–14,16,17,19,24–26] and most were White race (94%). The mean baseline AUASI/IPSS score was 14 points indicating moderate symptoms [10–12,19]. The mean baseline values for Q_{max} and nocturia were 11.5 mL/s and 2.7 times/night, respectively. Eight of the included trials used Permixon®, a commercialized extract, and all were conducted in Europe [13,15–18,21,24,25]. The standard daily dose was 320 mg. One trial used a 100 mg dose of *Serenoa repens* extract [20] and one trial increased the standard dose up to three times (960 mg) [10]. Most of the included studies had a short-term study duration, ≤3 months. Only two trials were considered long-term, a minimum trial duration of 1 year [10,12]. The most recent trials were conducted in the USA [10,12,19] and Australia [26].

STUDY QUALITY

Six trials reported adequate treatment allocation concealment [10,12,14,16,19,20]. Nearly all trials indicated subjects and investigators were 'blinded' to treatment assignment [10–12,14–26]. Most of the trials adequately addressed incomplete data indicating low risk for attrition bias. Studies considered 'high quality' included Barry *et al.* [10] 2011, Bent *et al.* [12] 2006, Gerber *et al.* [19] 2001, and Löbelenz *et al.* [20] 1992.

AUASI/IPSS SYMPTOM SCORES

In all, 11 trials (two long-term (>1 year), two moderate-term (6–12 months), 13 short-term (<6 months) reported outcomes for urinary symptom-scale scores comparing *Serenoa repens* monotherapy with placebo but only five studies used the validated AUASI/IPSS indexes [10–12,19,26]. Shown in Table 2 [10,12,19], long- or moderate-term

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of the included placebo-controlled trials (N = 17)

| Reference/country | N | Withdrawals, n (%) | Intervention daily dose, mg | Duration, months | Mean age, years* | AUASI/IPSS, points* | Q _{max} , mL/s* |
|---|------|-----------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Long-term trials (≥12 months) | | | | | | | |
| Barry et al. [10] 2011/USA | 369 | 36 (10) | <i>Serenoa repens</i> extract 320–960 (×1, 2, and then 3 doses) | 18 | 61 | 14.6 | 14.9 |
| Bent et al. [12] 2006/USA | 225 | 19 (8) | <i>Serenoa repens</i> extract 320 | 12 | 63 | 15.4 | 11.5 |
| Moderate-term trials (≥6 to <12 months) | | | | | | | |
| Gerber et al. [19] 2001/USA | 85 | 6 (7) | <i>Serenoa repens</i> extract 320 | 6 | 65 | 16.2 | 11.9 |
| Bauer et al. [11] 1999/Germany, Italy | 101 | 3 (3) | Sabal extract (LG166/S) 320 | 6 | 66 | 9.2 | 12.3 |
| Short-term trials (<6 months) | | | | | | | |
| Willettts et al. [26] 2003/Australia | 100 | 7 (7) | <i>Serenoa repens</i> extract 320 | 3 | 63 | ≈14–17** | 11.2 |
| Mohanty et al. [23] 1999/India | 75 | 2 (3) | <i>Serenoa repens</i> extract | 2 | – | – | 11.8 |
| Descotes et al. [17] 1995/France | 215 | 39 (18) | Permixon 320 | 2 | – | – | 12.1 |
| Braeckman et al. [14] 1994/Belgium | 238 | 33 (14) | Serendar 320 | 3 | 65 | – | 10.7 |
| Löbelenz [20] 1992/Germany | 60 | 0 | Sabal extract 100 | 1.5 | – | – | 12.7 |
| Mattei et al. [22] 1990/Italy | 40 | 2 (5) | Talso 320 | 3 | – | – | – |
| Reece-Smith et al. [24] 1986/UK | 80 | 10 (13) | Permixon 320 | 2 | 67 | – | ≈6.2† |
| Cukier et al. [16] 1985/France | 168 | 22 (13) | Permixon 320 | 2 | 69 | – | – |
| Tasca et al. [25] 1985/Italy | 30 | 3 (10) | Permixon 320 | 2 | 62 | – | 12.1 |
| Champault et al. [15] 1984/France | 110 | 16 (15) | Permixon 320 | 1 | – | – | 5.2 |
| Boccafroschi and Annoscia [13] 1983/Italy | 22 | 0 | Permixon 320 | 2 | 68 | – | 9.9 |
| Emili et al. [18] 1983/Italy | 30 | 0 | Permixon 320 | 2 | – | – | 9.8 |
| Mandressi et al. [21] 1983/Italy | 60†† | 0 | Permixon 320 | 1 | – | – | – |

*Overall (*Serenoa repens* and placebo); **from graph, there was a statistically significant difference between treatment groups; †from graph; ††three-arm trial with *Pygeum africanum*.

TABLE 2 Changes in AUASI and Q_{max} for moderate-to-long-term trials

| Reference | Treatments, n | Study duration, months | Baseline, mean (SD)* | Endpoint, mean (SD)* | Mean change (SD) | Difference between Groups (95% CI); I ² ** |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--|
| AUASI | | | | | | |
| Barry et al. [10] 2011 | <i>Serenoa repens</i> , 176 | 18 | 14.4 | 12.2 | –2.20 (9.1) | 0.79 (–0.78 to 2.36) |
| | Placebo, 181 | | 14.7 | 11.7 | –2.99 (5.6) | |
| Bent et al. [12] 2006 | <i>Serenoa repens</i> , 112 | 12 | 15.7 (5.7) | – | –0.68 (3.7) | 0.04 (–0.93 to 1.01) |
| | Placebo, 113 | | 15.0 (5.3) | – | –0.72 (3.7) | |
| Gerber et al. [19] 2001 | <i>Serenoa repens</i> , 41 | 6 | 16.7 (4.9) | 12.3 (5.5) | –4.4 (5.9) | –2.20 (–4.61 to 0.21) |
| | Placebo, 44 | | 15.8 (4.8) | 13.6 (6.6) | –2.2 (5.4) | |
| WMD | | | | | | –0.16 (–1.45, 1.14); 52% |
| Q _{max} (mL/s) | | | | | | |
| Barry et al. [10] 2011 | <i>Serenoa repens</i> , 176 | 18 | 15.0 | 14.8 | –0.18 (6.0) | 0.61 (–0.58 to 1.80) |
| | Placebo, 181 | | 14.8 | 14.0 | –0.79 (5.4) | |
| Bent et al. [12] 2006 | <i>Serenoa repens</i> , 112 | 12 | 11.4 (3.5) | – | 0.42 (3.6) | 0.43 (–0.51 to 1.37) |
| | Placebo, 113 | | 11.6 (4.3) | – | –0.01 (3.6) | |
| Gerber et al. [19] 2001 | <i>Serenoa repens</i> , 41 | 6 | 10.7 (4.7) | 11.7 (5.8) | 1.0 (4.9) | –0.40 (–2.48 to 1.68) |
| | Placebo, 44 | | 12.9 (6.8) | 14.3 (17.5) | 1.4 (4.9) | |
| WMD | | | | | | 0.40 (–0.30 to 1.09); 0% |

*If reported; **I² test for heterogeneity – 50% or greater indicates substantial heterogeneity.

treatment with *Serenoa repens* did not improve LUTS compared with placebo based on mean changes from baseline in the AUASI/IPSS (WMD -0.16 points, 95% CI -1.45 to 1.14) [10,12,19]. There was substantial heterogeneity between studies (I^2 52%) but this was removed when the moderate-term trial of 6 months was removed from the analysis [19]. Clinically meaningful improvements (≥ 3 points in the AUASI) did not differ between the *Serenoa repens* treatment and placebo groups in the longest trial, 43% vs 44%, respectively (RR 0.96, 95% CI 0.76–1.22) [10]. Two trials compared IPSS total scores at study endpoint [11,26]. The moderate-term study (6 months) by Bauer *et al.* [11] reported statistically significant improvement ($P < 0.01$) with *Serenoa repens* therapy (37% improvement) vs placebo (14% improvement), although the mean baseline score was 9.2 indicating mild symptoms. The short-term trial of 12 weeks reported improved symptoms for both arms but the difference between groups was not statistically significant (WMD 1.74, 95% CI -0.54 to 4.03) and the mean IPSS scores at baseline were significantly different between the two groups ($P = 0.028$) [26].

Q_{MAX} AND SECONDARY OUTCOMES

Long- or moderate-term *Serenoa repens* therapy did not improve Q_{max} compared with placebo based on mean changes from baseline (WMD 0.40 mL/s, 95% CI -0.30 to 1.09 ; I^2 0%; Table 2) [10,12,19]. An analysis of mostly short-term studies also found Q_{max} values were not significantly different between treatment groups based on rates when measured at study endpoint (WMD 1.15 mL/s, 95% CI -0.23 to 2.53) with evidence of substantial heterogeneity (I^2 58%) [12,14,15,17–19, 24,26]. Long-term, *Serenoa repens* therapy was no better than placebo in improving nocturia based on the AUA Nocturia score ($P = 0.19$) [10]. Pooled analysis of nine short-term Permixon trials found a significant reduction in the frequency of nocturia with a WMD of -0.79 times/night [95% CI -1.28 to -0.29], although there was evidence of profound heterogeneity (I^2 76%) [13,15–18,21,22, 24,25]. One long-term trial reported no significant reduction in prostate volume after treatment with *Serenoa repens* vs

placebo (WMD -1.22 mL, 95% CI -3.90 to 1.47) [12].

STUDY WITHDRAWALS AND ADVERSE EVENTS

The percentages of study withdrawals were comparable for the *Serenoa repens* therapy and placebo groups, 9.5% and 10.2%, respectively ($P = 0.76$). Adverse events of *Serenoa repens* therapy were few and mild, and compared with placebo, not statistically significantly different. The most common adverse events reported included gastrointestinal discomfort, upper respiratory tract infections, diarrhoea, and headache. In the two long-term trials, percentages of participants reporting at least one adverse event were also similar, 59% for *Serenoa repens* treatment group vs 57% for the placebo group ($P = 0.71$) [10,12]. The long-term trial by Barry *et al.* [10] reported that 136 men randomised to *Serenoa repens* therapy had at least one adverse event compared with 137 men randomised to placebo ($P = 0.80$) but none of the adverse events were deemed attributable to the treatment. In addition, $<2\%$ of participants discontinued due to adverse events, four men in *Serenoa repens* therapy group and two men in the placebo arm.

DISCUSSION

In previous Cochrane reviews [5,27], first published in 1998, we reported that *Serenoa repens* extracts provided mild improvement of urological symptoms. However, the trials evaluated were mostly under-powered, short-term (<6 months), had variable study designs, outcomes, and used unvalidated symptom-scale scores. Method of allocation concealment was unclear in most of these trials, which may have led to selection bias. Thus we urged caution in concluding effectiveness. Beginning in 2008, with the addition of rigorous, high quality, long-term trials, we reported that *Serenoa repens* therapy was no better than placebo in reducing LUTS in men with BPH. One high quality 12-month trial enrolling 225 participants reported that *Serenoa repens* therapy did not improve symptoms or urinary flow and other objective measures [12]. Another recent long-term (follow-up 72 weeks),

adequately powered, dose-escalation trial (320, 640, and 960 mg/day) of high quality, also found *Serenoa repens* no better than placebo at 24, 48, and 72 weeks, respectively [10].

Of the 17 included trials in the present review, only six enrolled ≥ 100 men. The most recent trials included in this update have included at least 200 men [10,12] and these two larger studies were longer term and of higher methodological quality. Another problem in many studies was the use of unvalidated symptom scores. In our 1998 systematic review none of the *Serenoa repens* monotherapy, placebo-controlled trials used the AUASI/IPSS to assess LUTS [28]. All of the included trials published since 2001 included in the present review have done so, although most of the older trials were conducted before the creation of the AUASI/IPSS. All of the *Serenoa repens* monotherapy, placebo-controlled trials included in the first review were considered short-term, <6 months in duration, and none of these studies were >3 months.

We do not know if the present conclusions are generalizable to proprietary products of *Serenoa repens* extracts, such as Permixon® or Prostagutt® forte. Lack of standardisation is a long-recognised problem of phytotherapeutic products, and that includes *Serenoa repens* [29,30]. A systematic review reported improvements in the IPSS for of Permixon and placebo but included only one direct comparison between the two arms [31]. Another analysis asserted Permixon appeared to as effective as α -blockers and 5 α -reductase inhibitors, therefore as efficacious [32]. In the present review, there was no difference in reported improvements in the AUASI but none of the trials used Permixon. Future randomised studies using proprietary *Serenoa repens* preparations should be placebo-controlled, have a study duration of at least 1 year, be methodologically sound, well powered, and use validated symptom-scale scores, such as the AUASI/IPSS.

In conclusion, *Serenoa repens* extracts are widely used to treat symptomatic BPH. Based on high quality, long-term trials, treatment with *Serenoa repens*, even at escalating doses, did not improve LUTS or Q_{max} associated with BPH.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared. Source of funding: NIH, NIDDK and VA HSRD.

REFERENCES

- Di Silverio F, Flammia GP, Sciarra A et al. Plant extracts in benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Minerva Urol Nefrol* 1993; 45: 143–9
- Buck AC. Phytotherapy for the prostate. *Br J Urol* 1996; 78: 325–6
- Barnes PM, Powell-Griner E, McFann K, Nahin RL. Complementary and alternative medicine use among adults: United States, 2002. *Adv Data* 2004; 343: 1–19
- Bales G, Christiano AP, Kirsh E, Gerber GS. Phytotherapeutic agents in the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms: a demographic analysis of awareness and use at the University of Chicago. *Urology* 1999; 54: 86–9
- Tacklind J, MacDonald R, Rutks I, Wilt TJ. *Serenoa repens* for benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009; (2): CD001423. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001423.pub2
- Schulz KF, Chalmers I, Hayes RJ, Altman DG. Empirical evidence of bias: dimensions of methodological quality associated with estimates of treatment effects in controlled trials. *JAMA* 1995; 273: 408–12
- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Stern JA. Assessing risk of bias in included studies. In Higgins JP, Green S eds, *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*, Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011], Chapter 8. The Cochrane Collaboration; Available at <http://www.cochrane-handbook.org>. Accessed 4 March 2011
- Review Manager (RevMan). [Computer program]. Version 5.1. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2003; 327: 557–60
- Barry MJ, Meleth S, Lee JY et al. Effect of increasing doses of Saw palmetto extract on lower urinary tract symptoms. *JAMA* 2011; 306: 1344–51
- Bauer HW, Casarosa C, Cosci M, Fratta M, Blessmann G. Saw palmetto fruit extract for treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia. Results of a placebo-controlled double-blind study. *MMW Fortschr Med* 1999; 141: 127–32
- Bent S, Kane C, Shinohara K et al. Saw palmetto for benign prostatic hyperplasia. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 354: 557–66
- Boccafroschi C, Annoscia S. Confronto fra estratto di *Serenoa repens* e placebo: mediale prova clinica controllata in pazienti con adenomatosi prostatica. *Urologia* 1983; 50: 1257–68
- Braeckman J, Denis L, de Lavel J et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the plant extract *Serenoa repens* in the treatment of benign hyperplasia of the prostate. *Eur J Clin Res* 1997; 9: 247–59
- Champault G, Patel JC, Bonnard AM. A double-blind trial of an extract of the plant *Serenoa repens* in benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 1984; 18: 461–2
- Cukier J, Ducassou J, Le Guillou M, Leriche A, Lobel B, Toubol J. Permixon versus placebo; resultats d'une 'etude multicentrique. *C R Ther Pharmacol Clin* 1985; 4: 15–21
- Descotes JL, Rambeaud JJ, Deschaseaux P, Faure G. Placebo-controlled evaluation of the efficacy and tolerability of Permixon in benign prostatic hyperplasia after the exclusion of placebo responders. *Clin Drug Investig* 1995; 5: 291–7
- Emili E, Lo Cigno M, Petrone U. Risultati clinici su un nuovo farmaco nella terapia dell'ipertrofia della prostata (Permixon). *Urologia* 1983; 50: 1042–8
- Gerber GS, Kuznetsov D, Johnson BC, Burstein JD. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of saw palmetto in men with lower urinary tract symptoms. *Urology* 2001; 58: 960–4
- Löbelenz J. Extractum Sabal fructus bei benignen prostatahyperplasie (BPH). klinische prufung im stadium I und II. *Therapeutikon* 1992; 6: 34–7
- Mandressi S, Tarallo U, Maggioni A, Tombolini P, Rocco F, Quadraccia S. Terapia medica dell'adenoma prostatico: confronto della efficacia dell'estratto di *Serenoa Repens* (Permixon®) versus l'estratto di *Pigeum Africanum* e placebo. Valutazione in doppio cieco. *Urologia* 1983; 50: 752–8
- Mattei FM, Capone M, Acconcia A. Medikamentöse therapie der benignen prostatahyperplasie mit einem extrakt der sagepalme. *TW Urol Nephrol* 1990; 2: 346–50
- Mohanty NK, Jha RJ, Dutt C. Randomized double-blind controlled clinical trial of *Serenoa repens* versus placebo in the management of patients with symptomatic Grade I to Grade II benign prostatic hyperplasia (PBH). *Indian J Urol* 1999; 16: 26–31
- Reece Smith H, Memon A, Smart CJ, Dewbury K. The value of Permixon in benign prostatic hypertrophy. *Br J Urol* 1986; 58: 36–40
- Tasca A, Barulli M, Cavazzana A, Zattoni F, Artibani W, Pagano F. Trattamento della sintomatologia ostruttiva da adenoma prostatico con estratto di *Serenoa repens*. Studio clinico in doppio cieco. *Minerva Urol Nefrol* 1985; 37: 87–91
- Willets KE, Clements MS, Champion S, Ehsman S, Eden JA. *Serenoa repens* extract for benign prostate hyperplasia: a randomized controlled trial. *BJU Int* 2003; 92: 267–70
- Wilt TJ, Ishani A, Stark G et al. *Serenoa repens* for benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000; (2): CD001423. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001423
- Wilt TJ, Ishani A, Stark G, MacDonald R, Lau J, Mulrow C. Saw palmetto extracts for treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia: a systematic review. *JAMA* 1998; 280: 1604–9
- Habib FK, Wyllie MG. Not all brands are created equal: a comparison of selected components of different brands of *Serenoa repens* extract. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis* 2004; 7: 195–200
- Lowe FC, Ku JC. Phytotherapy in treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia: a critical review. *Urology* 1996; 48: 12–20
- Boyle P, Robertson C, Lowe F, Roehrborn C. Updated meta-analysis of clinical trials of *Serenoa repens* extract in the treatment of symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia. *BJU Int* 2004; 93: 751–6
- Maccagnano C, Salonia A, Briganti A

et al. A critical analysis of Permixon™ in the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic enlargement. *Eur Urol Suppl* 2006; 5: 430–40

Correspondence: Timothy J. Wilt, Minneapolis VA Center for Chronic Disease Outcomes Research, 1 Veterans Drive (111-0), Minneapolis, MN 55417, USA. e-mail: tim.wilt@va.gov

Abbreviations: AUASI, AUA Symptom Index; Q_{max} , maximum urinary flow rate; RR, relative risk; WMD, weighted mean difference.