

ERA predictor

January 18, 2019

1 Year to year ERA prediction

This notebook analyzes which statistics best predict a pitchers Earned Run Average, (ERA) from one year to the next

```
In [2]: import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score
%matplotlib inline
```

We first import select pitching data for qualified starting pitchers from www.fangraphs.com in the date range 2002-2017, for an explanation of each statistic see <https://www.fangraphs.com/library/pitching/>.

```
In [3]: stats=pd.read_csv('FanGraphs Leaderboard.csv')
```

```
In [4]: stats.head()
```

```
Out[4]:
```

	Season	Name	Team	Age	K/9	BB/9	K/BB	H/9	HR/9	WHIP	\
0	2015	Zack Greinke	Dodgers	31	8.08	1.62	5.00	5.98	0.57	0.84	
1	2015	Jake Arrieta	Cubs	29	9.28	1.89	4.92	5.90	0.39	0.86	
2	2014	Clayton Kershaw	Dodgers	26	10.85	1.41	7.71	6.31	0.41	0.86	
3	2013	Clayton Kershaw	Dodgers	25	8.85	1.98	4.46	6.25	0.42	0.92	
4	2005	Roger Clemens	Astros	42	7.88	2.64	2.98	6.43	0.47	1.01	
...		F-Strike%	K%	BB%	Soft%	Med%	Hard%	ERA	xFIP	\	
0	...	64.1 %	23.7 %	4.7 %	21.7 %	51.5 %	26.8 %	1.66	3.22		
1	...	60.2 %	27.1 %	5.5 %	22.8 %	55.2 %	22.1 %	1.77	2.61		
2	...	68.8 %	31.9 %	4.1 %	24.5 %	51.2 %	24.3 %	1.77	2.08		
3	...	65.1 %	25.6 %	5.7 %	14.4 %	56.7 %	28.9 %	1.83	2.88		
4	...	60.4 %	22.1 %	7.4 %	16.3 %	60.9 %	22.8 %	1.87	3.31		

	SIERA	playerid
0	3.27	1943
1	2.75	4153
2	2.09	2036
3	2.99	2036
4	3.47	815

[5 rows x 35 columns]

One sees that there is a column for each statistic and a row for each pitcher for each season

```
In [5]: stats.columns
```

```
Out[5]: Index(['Season', 'Name', 'Team', 'Age', 'K/9', 'BB/9', 'K/BB', 'H/9', 'HR/9',
              'WHIP', 'BABIP', 'GB/FB', 'LD%', 'GB%', 'FB%', 'IFFB%', 'HR/FB',
              'O-Swing%', 'Z-Swing%', 'Swing%', 'O-Contact%', 'Z-Contact%',
              'Contact%', 'Zone%', 'SwStr%', 'F-Strike%', 'K%', 'BB%', 'Soft%',
              'Med%', 'Hard%', 'ERA', 'xFIP', 'SIERA', 'playerid'],
              dtype='object')
```

The included stats are listed above, I have only included rate stats because counting statistics will skew predictions in favor of pitchers who have pitched more innings in a given season.

Below I reformat the data values in each column so that they are all floats and are suitable for analysis

```
In [6]: for col in stats.columns:
        if type(stats[col][1])==str:
            stats[col]=stats[col].str.replace('%','',regex=False)
```

```
In [7]: numbers=['Age', 'K/9', 'BB/9', 'K/BB', 'H/9', 'HR/9',
                'WHIP', 'BABIP', 'GB/FB', 'LD%', 'GB%', 'FB%', 'IFFB%', 'HR/FB',
                'O-Swing%', 'Z-Swing%', 'Swing%', 'O-Contact%', 'Z-Contact%',
                'Contact%', 'Zone%', 'SwStr%', 'F-Strike%', 'K%', 'BB%', 'Soft%',
                'Med%', 'Hard%', 'ERA', 'playerid', 'xFIP', 'SIERA']
        for col in numbers:
            stats[col]=stats[col].astype(float)
```

In the next three cells I create a new dataframe from the original that includes a column for the given pitchers ERA the following season, and rename the columns accordingly

```
In [8]: predseasons=['stats'+str(i)+str(i+1) for i in range(2002,2017)]
        i=2002
        for season in predseasons:

            globals()[season] = pd.merge(stats[stats['Season']==i],stats[stats['Season']==(i+1)])
            i+=1
```

```
In [9]: statsrel=pd.concat([globals()[season] for season in predseasons])
```

```
In [10]: statsrel=statsrel.rename(columns={'ERA_x':'ERA_current_year','ERA_y':'ERA_next_year'})
```

```
In [11]: statsrel.head()
```

```
Out[11]:
```

	Season	Name	Team	Age	K/9	BB/9	K/BB	H/9	HR/9	\
0	2002	Pedro Martinez	Red Sox	30.0	10.79	1.81	5.98	6.50	0.59	
1	2002	Derek Lowe	Red Sox	29.0	5.20	1.97	2.65	6.80	0.49	
2	2002	Greg Maddux	Braves	36.0	5.33	2.03	2.62	8.76	0.63	
3	2002	Barry Zito	Athletics	24.0	7.14	3.06	2.33	7.14	0.94	
4	2002	Bartolo Colon	- - -	29.0	5.75	2.70	2.13	8.45	0.77	

	WHIP	...	K%	BB%	Soft%	Med%	Hard%	ERA_current_year	xFIP	\
0	0.92	...	30.4	5.1	13.8	67.6	18.7	2.26	2.61	
1	0.97	...	14.9	5.6	13.3	71.2	15.4	2.58	3.42	
2	1.20	...	14.4	5.5	15.6	66.8	17.6	2.62	3.61	
3	1.13	...	19.4	8.3	18.7	61.4	19.9	2.75	4.28	
4	1.24	...	15.4	7.3	17.4	61.1	21.5	2.93	4.06	

	SIERA	playerid	ERA_next_year
0	2.43	200.0	2.22
1	3.18	199.0	4.47
2	3.75	104.0	3.96
3	4.13	944.0	3.30
4	4.26	375.0	3.87

```
[5 rows x 36 columns]
```

"features" is a list of statistics that we will use to try to predict a pitchers ERA.

"featureswithestimators" also includes the ERA estimators xFIP (eXpected Fielding Independent Pitching) and SIERA (Skill Interactive ERA), these stats use strikeout, walk and fly ball rates to say what a pitchers ERA 'should' be by removing factors such as team defense that are out of the pitchers control. For more information on these stats see <https://www.fangraphs.com/library/pitching/>.

```
In [12]: features=['Age', 'K/9', 'BB/9', 'K/BB', 'H/9', 'HR/9',
                  'WHIP', 'BABIP', 'GB/FB', 'LD%', 'GB%', 'FB%', 'IFFB%', 'HR/FB',
                  'O-Swing%', 'Z-Swing%', 'Swing%', 'O-Contact%', 'Z-Contact%',
                  'Contact%', 'Zone%', 'SwStr%', 'F-Strike%', 'K%', 'BB%', 'Soft%',
                  'Med%', 'Hard%', 'ERA_current_year']
```

```
In [13]: featureswithestimators=['Age', 'K/9', 'BB/9', 'K/BB', 'H/9', 'HR/9',
                                'WHIP', 'BABIP', 'GB/FB', 'LD%', 'GB%', 'FB%', 'IFFB%', 'HR/FB',
                                'O-Swing%', 'Z-Swing%', 'Swing%', 'O-Contact%', 'Z-Contact%',
                                'Contact%', 'Zone%', 'SwStr%', 'F-Strike%', 'K%', 'BB%', 'Soft%',
                                'Med%', 'Hard%', 'ERA_current_year', 'xFIP', 'SIERA']
```

First lets explore which stats are most correlated with a pitcher's ERA during the same year

```
In [14]: corrdict1={col:np.abs(statsrel[col].corr(statsrel['ERA_current_year'])) for col in feat
```

```
Corr=pd.DataFrame.from_dict(corrdict1, orient='index')
Corr.sort_values(by=0,ascending=False)
```

```
Out[14]:
```

	0
ERA_current_year	1.000000
WHIP	0.815086
H/9	0.759634
SIERA	0.638081
xFIP	0.634663
HR/9	0.590251
K%	0.534908
K/BB	0.489126
K/9	0.452299
SwStr%	0.433424
BABIP	0.432780
HR/FB	0.423012
Contact%	0.411598
O-Swing%	0.353715
Z-Contact%	0.338963
Soft%	0.304414
BB/9	0.299132
F-Strike%	0.248818
Swing%	0.233694
Hard%	0.230031
BB%	0.218658
LD%	0.144044
O-Contact%	0.125753
Zone%	0.114846
GB%	0.107033
GB/FB	0.090136
IFFB%	0.080803
Z-Swing%	0.071524
Age	0.064609
FB%	0.059323
Med%	0.039181

We see that WHIP and hits per nine innings have strong correlations to ERA, placing just above xFIP and SIERA as the most strongly correlated variables. Now lets take a look at how these stats are correlated to a pitcher's ERA the following season.

```
In [15]: corrdict2={col:np.abs(statsrel[col].corr(statsrel['ERA_next_year'])) for col in feature
```

```
Corr=pd.DataFrame.from_dict(corrdict2, orient='index')
Corr.sort_values(by=0,ascending=False)
```

```
Out[15]:
0
SIERA      0.453758
xFIP       0.445884
K%         0.424969
K/9        0.406644
ERA_current_year  0.339578
K/BB       0.335974
SwStr%     0.319018
WHIP       0.316859
Contact%   0.309912
H/9        0.302348
Z-Contact% 0.290058
HR/9       0.278060
O-Swing%   0.251271
Soft%      0.170777
F-Strike%  0.160567
HR/FB      0.156215
Swing%     0.145038
Age        0.117504
Med%       0.111272
BB/9       0.106611
Zone%      0.103316
BB%        0.079882
GB/FB      0.056518
GB%        0.054717
O-Contact% 0.054508
Z-Swing%   0.049796
FB%        0.042389
LD%        0.038722
IFFB%      0.016310
Hard%      0.015875
BABIP      0.014046
```

Here we see a very different picture, SIERA and xFIP have moved to the front of the pack, justifying their use as truer measures of a pitchers talent than ERA alone. We see that WHIP, and H/9 are now only the 8th and 10th most correlated stats respectively.

Besides xFIP and SIERA, it seems that the best raw stats to use to predict a pitchers ERA are the ones involving strikeouts, (K%, K/9,K/BB, SwStr%). Its no surprise then that strikeouts are a major component of both the SIERA and xFIP estimators.

Also of note is that a pitchers walk rate, (BB%) has a very low correlation to his ERA the next year. It seems that walks do not hurt a pitcher very much as long as he maintains high strikeout totals.

Finally, we try to use a combination of the raw features in a linear regressor to predict a pitchers ERA the following year.

First we build our design matrix and scale our features accordingly.

```
In [16]: X = StandardScaler().fit_transform(statsrel[features])
        X.shape
```

```
Out[16]: (773, 29)
```

```
In [17]: y= statsrel['ERA_next_year'].values
        len(y)
```

```
Out[17]: 773
```

Next we shuffle the order of the data so that the initial ordering does not bias the model.

```
In [18]: from sklearn.utils import shuffle
        X,y=shuffle(X,y)
```

Now we can use a linear regressor on the data to try and make predictions. We test our model using 5 fold cross validation, and evaluate it using the root mean squared error.

```
In [19]: regressor = LinearRegression()
        MSE=cross_val_score(regressor, X,y, cv=5,scoring='neg_mean_squared_error').mean()
        (-MSE)**.5
```

```
Out[19]: 0.7260648375826294
```

We see that our model is off by an average of about .726 runs in predicting a pitcher's ERA. For comparison, lets look at our errors if we use the pitchers ERA from the previous year as our prediction.

```
In [20]: RMSEERA = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_true = statsrel['ERA_next_year'], y_pred = statsrel['ERA_previous_year']))
        RMSEERA
```

```
Out[20]: 0.8972064594000275
```

Our regressor fares significantly better, how about if we use SIERA and xFIP?

```
In [21]: RMSESIERA = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_true = statsrel['ERA_next_year'], y_pred = statsrel['SIERA']))
        RMSESIERA
```

```
Out[21]: 0.753193803454823
```

```
In [22]: RMSExFIP = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_true = statsrel['ERA_next_year'], y_pred = statsrel['xFIP']))
        RMSExFIP
```

```
Out[22]: 0.7460069642340079
```

Our regressor fares slightly better than xFIP and SIERA, which is no surprise given they both largely use stats from our list of features as inputs.

We can improve our Regression model further by incorporating a regularization scheme, this will help keep the model from overfitting the data. Lets first try a Ridge regressor, which helps keep the coefficients of our features small.

```
In [23]: from sklearn.linear_model import Ridge

regressor=Ridge(alpha=100)
MSE=cross_val_score(regressor, X,y, cv=5,scoring='neg_mean_squared_error').mean()
(-MSE)**.5
```

Out[23]: 0.7136777144534229

We see a slight improvement in our MSE from earlier (.714 vs .717). Next lets try a Lasso regressor, this will make the algorithm concentrate on a smaller number of features.

```
In [31]: from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score
from sklearn.linear_model import Lasso
regressor=Lasso(alpha=.012,max_iter=10000)
MSE=cross_val_score(regressor, X,y, cv=5,scoring='neg_mean_squared_error').mean()
(-MSE)**.5
```

Out[31]: 0.7129750823496179

We find a similar improvement to our model.

One may wonder how I chose the specific parameters for my Regularization models, this is done using grid search. Grid search performs the regression task for a given parameter range and outputs the parameter that leads to the best performing model. An example of grid search for a Ridge Regression model is given below:

```
In [30]: from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
regressor=Ridge()
parameters = {'alpha': [1,10,100,1000]}
gs_reg = GridSearchCV(regressor,parameters, cv=5, iid=False, n_jobs=-1)
gs_reg = gs_reg.fit(X, y)
for param_name in sorted(parameters.keys()):
    print('Optimal parameter'+ ' '+"%s: %r" % (param_name, gs_reg.best_params_[param_name]))
```

Optimal parameter alpha: 100

Finally, lets look at the coefficients of our model to see which stats most strongly influence its prediction. (We use our Ridge regression model)

```
In [32]: regressor=Ridge(alpha=100)
np.sort(np.abs(regressor.fit(X,y).coef_))
```

```
Out[32]: array([0.00271109, 0.00489842, 0.0054238 , 0.0055514 , 0.00706891,
0.00731305, 0.00760477, 0.01047926, 0.01317121, 0.01371499,
0.0156922 , 0.01611565, 0.01791775, 0.02021155, 0.02034564,
0.02096831, 0.02151497, 0.02183266, 0.03510153, 0.03534835,
0.04880524, 0.05602134, 0.06233745, 0.0624701 , 0.06616216,
0.07124827, 0.08518022, 0.0973724 , 0.10872144])
```

Our top three coefficients are .109, .097, and .085, lets see which stats these correspond to

```
In [33]: most=np.argsort(np.abs(regressor.fit(X,y).coef_))[-4:-1]
         for i in reversed([statsrel[features].columns[i] for i in most]):
             print(i)
```

K/9

Z-Contact%

K/BB

Given our correlation analysis above and the success of xFIP and SIERA it is unsurprising that K/9 and K/BB are among the strongest predictors of future performance. Interestingly, Z-Contact% (the rate at which a batter makes contact on pitches in the strike zone against a given pitcher) has a higher coefficient than we would expect.