# ERA predictor

May 29, 2020

## 1 Year to year ERA prediction

This notebook analyzes which statistics best predict a pitchers Earned Run Average, (ERA) from one year to the next

```
[440]: import pandas as pd
  import numpy as np
  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
  from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
  from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
  from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
  from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler,MinMaxScaler
  from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score
  from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
  import sklearn
  import os
  os.environ['KMP_DUPLICATE_LIB_OK']='True'
  from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV

from xgboost import XGBRegressor

%matplotlib inline
```

We first import select pitching data for qualified starting pitchers from www.fangraphs.com in the date range 2002-2017, for an explanation of each statistic see https://www.fangraphs.com/library/pitching/.

```
[441]: stats=pd.read_csv('FanGraphs Leaderboard.csv')
[442]:
       stats.head()
[442]:
          Season
                                                     K/9
                                                          BB/9
                                                                 K/BB
                                                                        H/9
                                                                              HR/9
                                                                                    WHIP
                              Name
                                        Team
                                              Age
            2015
                      Zack Greinke
                                                    8.08
                                                           1.62
                                                                 5.00
                                                                       5.98
                                                                                    0.84
       0
                                    Dodgers
                                               31
                                                                              0.57
       1
            2015
                      Jake Arrieta
                                        Cubs
                                               29
                                                    9.28
                                                           1.89
                                                                 4.92
                                                                       5.90
                                                                              0.39
                                                                                    0.86
       2
            2014
                  Clayton Kershaw
                                    Dodgers
                                               26
                                                  10.85
                                                           1.41
                                                                 7.71
                                                                       6.31
                                                                              0.41
                                                                                    0.86
       3
            2013
                  Clayton Kershaw
                                    Dodgers
                                               25
                                                    8.85
                                                           1.98
                                                                 4.46
                                                                       6.25
                                                                              0.42
                                                                                    0.92
       4
                                                    7.88 2.64 2.98
                                                                       6.43
            2005
                     Roger Clemens
                                     Astros
                                               42
                                                                              0.47
                                                                                    1.01
```

```
F-Strike%
                      Κ%
                            BB%
                                  Soft%
                                            Med%
                                                   Hard%
                                                            ERA
                                                                 xFIP SIERA
                          4.7 %
                                                  26.8 %
0
         64.1 %
                 23.7 %
                                 21.7 %
                                          51.5 %
                                                           1.66
                                                                 3.22
                                                                       3.27
1
         60.2 %
                 27.1 %
                          5.5 %
                                 22.8 %
                                          55.2 %
                                                  22.1 %
                                                           1.77
                                                                 2.61
                                                                       2.75
         68.8 %
                 31.9 %
                         4.1 %
2
                                 24.5 %
                                          51.2 %
                                                  24.3 %
                                                           1.77
                                                                 2.08
                                                                       2.09
3
         65.1 %
                 25.6 %
                         5.7 %
                                 14.4 %
                                          56.7 %
                                                  28.9 %
                                                           1.83
                                                                 2.88
                                                                       2.99
                         7.4 %
         60.4 %
                 22.1 %
                                 16.3 %
                                          60.9 %
                                                  22.8 %
                                                           1.87
                                                                 3.31
 playerid
0
      1943
1
      4153
2
      2036
3
      2036
4
       815
```

[5 rows x 35 columns]

One sees that there is a column for each statistic and a row for each pitcher for each season

```
[443]: stats.columns

[443]: Index(['Season', 'Name', 'Team', 'Age', 'K/9', 'BB/9', 'K/BB', 'H/9', 'HR/9', 'WHIP', 'BABIP', 'GB/FB', 'LD%', 'GB%', 'FB%', 'IFFB%', 'HR/FB', 'O-Swing%', 'Z-Swing%', 'Swing%', 'O-Contact%', 'Z-Contact%', 'Contact%', 'Zone%', 'SwStr%', 'F-Strike%', 'K%', 'BB%', 'Soft%', 'Med%', 'Hard%', 'ERA', 'xFIP', 'SIERA', 'playerid'], dtype='object')
```

The included stats are listed above, I have only included rate stats because counting statistics will skew predictions in favor of pitchers who have pitched more innings in a given season.

Below I reformat the data values in each column so that they are all floats and are suitable for analysis

In the next three cells I create a new dataframe from the original that includes a column for the given pitchers ERA the following season, and rename the columns accordingly

```
[446]: predseasons=['stats'+str(i)+str(i+1) for i in range(2002,2017)]
       i=2002
       for season in predseasons:
           globals()[season] = pd.
        →merge(stats[stats['Season']==i], stats[stats['Season']==(i+1)][['Name', 'ERA']], on="Name")
           i += 1
       statsrel=pd.concat([globals()[season] for season in predseasons])
       statsrel=statsrel.rename(columns={'ERA_x':'ERA_current_year','ERA_y':
[448]:
        [449]:
       statsrel.head()
[449]:
          Season
                             Name
                                         Team
                                                       K/9
                                                             BB/9
                                                                   K/BB
                                                                          H/9
                                                                                HR/9
                                                Age
                                               30.0
       0
            2002
                  Pedro Martinez
                                     Red Sox
                                                     10.79
                                                             1.81
                                                                   5.98
                                                                         6.50
                                                                                0.59
       1
            2002
                       Derek Lowe
                                     Red Sox
                                               29.0
                                                      5.20
                                                             1.97
                                                                   2.65
                                                                         6.80
                                                                                0.49
       2
            2002
                      Greg Maddux
                                       Braves
                                               36.0
                                                      5.33
                                                             2.03
                                                                   2.62
                                                                         8.76
                                                                                0.63
       3
                                                                   2.33
            2002
                       Barry Zito
                                               24.0
                                                      7.14
                                                             3.06
                                                                         7.14
                                                                                0.94
                                   Athletics
       4
            2002
                   Bartolo Colon
                                               29.0
                                                      5.75
                                                             2.70
                                                                   2.13
                                                                         8.45
                                                                                0.77
          WHIP
                      K%
                          BB%
                               Soft%
                                      Med%
                                             Hard%
                                                    ERA_current_year
                                                                       xFIP
                                                                              SIERA
          0.92
                   30.4
                          5.1
                                13.8
                                      67.6
                                              18.7
                                                                       2.61
                                                                               2.43
                                                                 2.26
          0.97
                   14.9
       1
                          5.6
                                13.3
                                      71.2
                                              15.4
                                                                 2.58
                                                                       3.42
                                                                               3.18
          1.20
                    14.4
                                              17.6
                                                                               3.75
       2
                          5.5
                                15.6
                                      66.8
                                                                 2.62
                                                                       3.61
          1.13
                    19.4
                                      61.4
                                              19.9
                                                                 2.75
                                                                       4.28
                                                                               4.13
       3
                          8.3
                                18.7
          1.24
                    15.4
                         7.3
                                17.4
                                      61.1
                                              21.5
                                                                 2.93
                                                                       4.06
                                                                               4.26
          playerid
                    ERA_next_year
       0
             200.0
                              2.22
             199.0
                              4.47
       1
       2
             104.0
                              3.96
       3
             944.0
                              3.30
       4
             375.0
                              3.87
       [5 rows x 36 columns]
  []:
```

<sup>&</sup>quot;features" is a list of statistics that we will use to try to predict a pitchers ERA.

<sup>&</sup>quot;featureswithestimators" also includes the ERA estimators xFIP (eXpected Fielding Independent Pitching) and SIERA (Skill Interactive ERA), these stats use strikeout, walk and fly ball rates to say what a pitchers ERA 'should' be by removing factors such as team defense that are out of the pitchers control. For more information on these stats see https://www.fangraphs.com/library/pitching/.

```
[450]: features=['Age', 'K/9', 'BB/9', 'K/BB', 'H/9', 'HR/9',
              'WHIP', 'BABIP', 'GB/FB', 'LD%', 'GB%', 'FB%', 'IFFB%', 'HR/FB',
              'O-Swing%', 'Z-Swing%', 'Swing%', 'O-Contact%', 'Z-Contact%',
              'Contact%', 'Zone%', 'SwStr%', 'F-Strike%', 'K%', 'BB%', 'Soft%',
              'Med%', 'Hard%', 'ERA_current_year']
[451]: | featureswithestimators=['Age', 'K/9', 'BB/9', 'K/BB', 'H/9', 'HR/9',
              'WHIP', 'BABIP', 'GB/FB', 'LD%', 'GB%', 'FB%', 'IFFB%', 'HR/FB',
              'O-Swing%', 'Z-Swing%', 'Swing%', 'O-Contact%', 'Z-Contact%',
              'Contact%', 'Zone%', 'SwStr%', 'F-Strike%', 'K%', 'BB%', 'Soft%',
              'Med%', 'Hard%', 'ERA_current_year', 'xFIP', 'SIERA']
      First lets explore which stats are most correlated with a pitcher's ERA during the same year
[452]: corrdict1={col:np.abs(statsrel[col].corr(statsrel['ERA current year'])) for col___
        →in featureswithestimators}
       Corr=pd.DataFrame.from_dict(corrdict1, orient='index')
       Corr.sort_values(by=0,ascending=False)
[452]:
       ERA_current_year 1.000000
       WHIP
                         0.815086
      H/9
                         0.759634
       SIERA
                         0.638081
       xFIP
                         0.634663
      HR/9
                         0.590251
      K%
                         0.534908
       K/BB
                         0.489126
      K/9
                         0.452299
       SwStr%
                         0.433424
       BABIP
                         0.432780
       HR/FB
                         0.423012
       Contact%
                         0.411598
       O-Swing%
                         0.353715
       Z-Contact%
                         0.338963
       Soft%
                         0.304414
       BB/9
                         0.299132
      F-Strike%
                         0.248818
       Swing%
                         0.233694
      Hard%
                         0.230031
      BB%
                         0.218658
      LD%
                         0.144044
       O-Contact%
                         0.125753
```

```
Zone%
                   0.114846
GB%
                   0.107033
GB/FB
                   0.090136
IFFB%
                   0.080803
Z-Swing%
                   0.071524
Age
                   0.064609
FB%
                   0.059323
Med%
                   0.039181
```

We see that WHIP and hits per nine innings have strong correlations to ERA, placing just above xFIP and SIERA as the most strongly correlated variables. Now lets take a look at how these stats are correlated to a pitcher's ERA the following season.

```
[453]: corrdict2={col:np.abs(statsrel[col].corr(statsrel['ERA_next_year'])) for col in

→featureswithestimators}

Corr=pd.DataFrame.from_dict(corrdict2, orient='index')

Corr.sort_values(by=0,ascending=False)
```

```
[453]:
       SIERA
                          0.453758
       xFIP
                          0.445884
       Κ%
                          0.424969
       K/9
                          0.406644
       ERA_current_year
                          0.339578
       K/BB
                          0.335974
       SwStr%
                          0.319018
       WHIP
                          0.316859
       Contact%
                          0.309912
       H/9
                          0.302348
       Z-Contact%
                          0.290058
       HR/9
                          0.278060
       O-Swing%
                          0.251271
       Soft%
                          0.170777
       F-Strike%
                          0.160567
       HR/FB
                          0.156215
       Swing%
                          0.145038
       Age
                          0.117504
       Med%
                          0.111272
       BB/9
                          0.106611
       Zone%
                          0.103316
       BB%
                          0.079882
       GB/FB
                          0.056518
       GB%
                          0.054717
```

```
O-Contact% 0.054508
Z-Swing% 0.049796
FB% 0.042389
LD% 0.038722
IFFB% 0.016310
Hard% 0.015875
BABIP 0.014046
```

Here we see a very different picture, SIERA and xFIP have moved to the front of the pack, justifying their use as truer measures of a pitchers talent than ERA alone. We see that WHIP, and H/9 are now only the 8th and 10th most correlated stats respectively.

Besides xFIP and SIERA, it seems that the best raw stats to use to predict a pitchers ERA are the ones involving strikeouts, (K%, K/9,K/BB, SwStr%). Its no surprise then that strikeouts are a major component of both the SIERA and xFIP estimators.

Also of note is that a pitchers walk rate, (BB%) has a very low correlation to his ERA the next year. It seems that walks do not hurt a pitcher very much as long as he maintains high strikeout totals.

Finally, we try to use a combination of the raw features in a linear regressor to predict a pitchers ERA the following year.

First we build our design matrix and define our feature scaler.

```
[498]: scaler=StandardScaler()
[499]: X = statsrel[features]
    X.shape
[499]: (773, 29)
[500]: y= statsrel['ERA_next_year'].values
    len(y)
[500]: 773
```

Next we shuffle the order of the data so that the initial ordering does not bias the model.

```
[501]: from sklearn.utils import shuffle X,y=shuffle(X,y)
```

Now we can use a linear regressor on the data to try and make predictions. We test our model using 5 fold cross validation, and evaluate it using the root mean squared error.

### [502]: 0.5856881454028778

We see that our model is off by an average of about .586 runs in predicting a pitcher's ERA. For comparison, lets look at our errors if we use the pitchers ERA from the previous year as our prediction.

[503]: 0.7212031047865459

Our regressor fares significantly better, how about if we use SIERA and xFIP?

[504]: 0.6183699870633894

[505]: 0.6088874514877103

Our regressor fares slightly better than xFIP and SIERA, which is no surprise given they both largely use stats from our list of features as inputs.

We can improve our Regression model further by incorporating a regularization scheme, this will help keep the model from overfitting the data. Lets first try a Ridge regressor, which helps keep the coefficients of our features small.

[506]: 0.5789539841667606

We see a slight improvement in our MAE from earlier (.579 vs .586). Next lets try a Lasso regressor, this will make the algorithm concentrate on a smaller number of features.

```
[507]: from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score from sklearn.linear_model import Lasso
```

### [507]: 0.5780968961181404

Finally we try XGboost, a gradient boosted regressor:

```
[508]: regressor = XGBRegressor(n_estimators=100,learning_rate=0.05,booster='gblinear')
pipeline=Pipeline([('scaler',scaler),('regressor',regressor)])
MAE=cross_val_score(pipeline, X,y, cv=5,scoring='neg_mean_absolute_error').

-mean()
-MAE
```

#### [508]: 0.5787518024180891

In summary we find that Lasso Regression gives us the best estimator, but only very slightly better than XGBoost

One may wonder how I chose the specific parameters for my Regularization models, this is done using grid search. Grid search performs the regression task for a given parameter range and outputs the parameter that leads to the best performing model. An example of grid search for a Lasso Regression model is given below:

Fitting 5 folds for each of 4 candidates, totalling 20 fits

```
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 8 concurrent workers.
      [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done
                                    2 tasks
                                                 | elapsed:
                                                               2.3s
      [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 10 out of 20 | elapsed:
                                                               2.3s remaining:
                                                                                  2.3s
      [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 15 out of 20 | elapsed:
                                                               2.4s remaining:
                                                                                  0.8s
                                                               2.4s remaining:
      [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 20 out of
                                              20 | elapsed:
                                                                                  0.0s
      [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 20 out of 20 | elapsed:
                                                               2.4s finished
[509]: GridSearchCV(cv=5, error score='raise-deprecating',
             estimator=Pipeline(memory=None,
```

steps=[('scaler', StandardScaler(copy=True, with\_mean=True,

with\_std=True)), ('regressor', Lasso(alpha=1.0, copy\_X=True, fit\_intercept=True,

```
max_iter=1000,
   normalize=False, positive=False, precompute=False, random_state=None,
   selection='cyclic', tol=0.0001, warm_start=False))]),
    fit_params=None, iid=False, n_jobs=-1,
    param_grid={'regressor_alpha': [0.005, 0.01, 0.02, 0.05]},
    pre_dispatch='2*n_jobs', refit=True, return_train_score='warn',
    scoring='neg_mean_absolute_error', verbose=5)
```

We print out the best parameter found using grid search and the associated croos-validation score

```
[510]: print(model.best_params_)
print(-1*model.best_score_)
```

```
{'regressor__alpha': 0.01} 0.5780968961181404
```

Finally, lets look at the coefficients of our model to see which stats most strongly influence its prediction. (We use our best performing model, the Lasso regression)

```
[437]: best_model=model.best_estimator_
[438]: np.sort(np.abs(best model.named steps['regressor'].coef ))
[438]: array([0.
                                                               , 0.
                                     , 0.
                                                               , 0.
              0.
                         , 0.
                                                  , 0.
              0.
                         , 0.
                                     , 0.
                                                  , 0.
                                                               , 0.
              0.
                         , 0.
                                     , 0.
                                                  , 0.00256554, 0.00644565,
              0.00700854, 0.01604372, 0.03344088, 0.03958521, 0.04195595,
              0.06125372, 0.09552277, 0.11899635, 0.20287045])
```

Our top five coefficents are .203, .119, .096, .061, and .042. Lets see which stats these correspond to

```
[439]: most=np.argsort(np.abs(best_model.named_steps['regressor'].coef_))[-5:]
for i in reversed([statsrel[features].columns[i] for i in most]):
    print(i)
```

K%
HR/9
K/BB
Z-Contact%
O-Contact%

Given our correlation analysis above and the success of xFIP and SIERA it is unsurprising that K% and K/BB are among the strongest predictors of future performance. Interestingly, Z-Contact% (the rate at which a batter makes contact on pitches in the strike zone against a given pitcher) has a higher coefficient than we we would expect.