**Interviewer Observations**

| **abandonment\_statement\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Abandonment Indicators: Statement by older adults regarding abandonment. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| abandonment\_statement\_f | No evidence | 191 | 97.9 (94.6 - 99.2) |
| abandonment\_statement\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| abandonment\_statement\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| abandonment\_statement\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| abandonment\_statement\_f | Unable to assess | 4 | 2.1 (0.8 - 5.4) |
|  | | | |

| **abuse\_statement\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Abuse Indicators: Statement by older adult related to abuse. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| abuse\_statement\_f | No evidence | 200 | 97.1 (93.6 - 98.7) |
| abuse\_statement\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| abuse\_statement\_f | Probable evidence | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.4) |
| abuse\_statement\_f | Definite evidence | 3 | 1.5 (0.5 - 4.5) |
| abuse\_statement\_f | Unable to assess | 2 | 1.0 (0.2 - 3.8) |
|  | | | |

| **aps\_reported\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No longer used - In the original observational measures, it would indicate if the medic submitted an APS report. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| aps\_reported\_f | No | 194 | 98.5 (95.3 - 99.5) |
| aps\_reported\_f | Yes | 3 | 1.5 (0.5 - 4.7) |
|  | | | |

| **aps\_reported\_method\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No longer used - In the original observational measures, it would indicate the method through which the medic submitted the APS report (Phone, Online, Other). | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| aps\_reported\_method\_f | Other | 1 | 33.3 (0.1 - 99.7) |
| aps\_reported\_method\_f | Phone | 2 | 66.7 (0.3 - 99.9) |
|  | | | |

| **at\_abandon\_4cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| AT\_5. Do you believe abandonment has occurred? | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| at\_abandon\_4cat\_f | Yes | 3 | 0.5 (0.1 - 1.4) |
| at\_abandon\_4cat\_f | No | 641 | 99.5 (98.6 - 99.9) |
| at\_abandon\_4cat\_f | Don't know | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| at\_abandon\_4cat\_f | Refused | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **at\_emotional\_4cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| AT\_3. Do you believe emotional or psychological abuse has occurred? | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| at\_emotional\_4cat\_f | Yes | 20 | 3.1 (2.0 - 4.7) |
| at\_emotional\_4cat\_f | No | 622 | 96.1 (94.3 - 97.4) |
| at\_emotional\_4cat\_f | Don't know | 5 | 0.8 (0.3 - 1.8) |
| at\_emotional\_4cat\_f | Refused | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **at\_financial\_4cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| AT\_6. Do you believe financial or material exploitation has occurred? | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| at\_financial\_4cat\_f | Yes | 8 | 1.2 (0.6 - 2.5) |
| at\_financial\_4cat\_f | No | 636 | 98.5 (97.1 - 99.2) |
| at\_financial\_4cat\_f | Don't know | 2 | 0.3 (0.1 - 1.2) |
| at\_financial\_4cat\_f | Refused | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **at\_neglect\_4cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| AT\_4. Do you believe neglect has occurred? | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| at\_neglect\_4cat\_f | Yes | 7 | 1.1 (0.5 - 2.3) |
| at\_neglect\_4cat\_f | No | 639 | 98.6 (97.3 - 99.3) |
| at\_neglect\_4cat\_f | Don't know | 2 | 0.3 (0.1 - 1.2) |
| at\_neglect\_4cat\_f | Refused | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **at\_physical\_4cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| AT\_1. Do you believe physical abuse has occurred? | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| at\_physical\_4cat\_f | Yes | 9 | 1.4 (0.7 - 2.6) |
| at\_physical\_4cat\_f | No | 639 | 98.5 (97.2 - 99.2) |
| at\_physical\_4cat\_f | Don't know | 1 | 0.2 (0.0 - 1.1) |
| at\_physical\_4cat\_f | Refused | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **at\_self\_4cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| AT\_7. Do you believe self-neglect has occurred? | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| at\_self\_4cat\_f | Yes | 13 | 2.0 (1.2 - 3.4) |
| at\_self\_4cat\_f | No | 637 | 97.7 (96.2 - 98.6) |
| at\_self\_4cat\_f | Don't know | 2 | 0.3 (0.1 - 1.2) |
| at\_self\_4cat\_f | Refused | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **at\_sexual\_4cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| AT\_2. Do you believe sexual abuse has occurred? | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| at\_sexual\_4cat\_f | Yes | 9 | 1.4 (0.7 - 2.7) |
| at\_sexual\_4cat\_f | No | 634 | 98.1 (96.8 - 98.9) |
| at\_sexual\_4cat\_f | Don't know | 2 | 0.3 (0.1 - 1.2) |
| at\_sexual\_4cat\_f | Refused | 1 | 0.2 (0.0 - 1.1) |
|  | | | |

| **bathroom\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Cleanliness - Bathroom. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| bathroom\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 0 - Clean, no evidence of unsanitary conditions | 126 | 79.2 (72.2 - 84.9) |
| bathroom\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 1 | 19 | 11.9 (7.7 - 18.1) |
| bathroom\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 2 | 7 | 4.4 (2.1 - 9.0) |
| bathroom\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 3 | 7 | 4.4 (2.1 - 9.0) |
| bathroom\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 4 - Very dirty, unwashed dishes, trash litter | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **bedroom\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Cleanliness - Bedroom. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| bedroom\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 0 - Clean, no evidence of unsanitary conditions | 124 | 68.9 (61.7 - 75.3) |
| bedroom\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 1 | 36 | 20.0 (14.7 - 26.6) |
| bedroom\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 2 | 12 | 6.7 (3.8 - 11.4) |
| bedroom\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 3 | 7 | 3.9 (1.9 - 8.0) |
| bedroom\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 4 - Very dirty, unwashed dishes, trash litter | 1 | 0.6 (0.1 - 3.9) |
|  | | | |

| **bruising\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Abuse Indicators: Bruising. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| bruising\_f | No evidence | 200 | 98.5 (95.5 - 99.5) |
| bruising\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| bruising\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| bruising\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| bruising\_f | Unable to assess | 3 | 1.5 (0.5 - 4.5) |
|  | | | |

| **c\_detect\_status\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Calculation indicating if the DETECT screener was positive or negative. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| c\_detect\_status\_f | Negative | 785 | 90.6 (88.5 - 92.4) |
| c\_detect\_status\_f | Positive | 81 | 9.4 (7.6 - 11.5) |
|  | | | |

| **caregiver\_anxious\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| caregiver\_anxious\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| caregiver\_anxious\_f | Yes | 3 | 0.3 (0.1 - 1.1) |
| caregiver\_anxious\_f | No | 396 | 46.0 (42.7 - 49.4) |
| caregiver\_anxious\_f | No caregiver present | 461 | 53.6 (50.3 - 56.9) |
| caregiver\_anxious\_f | Unable to assess | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| caregiver\_anxious\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **caregiver\_frustrated\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| caregiver\_frustrated\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| caregiver\_frustrated\_f | Yes | 5 | 0.6 (0.2 - 1.4) |
| caregiver\_frustrated\_f | No | 391 | 45.4 (42.1 - 48.8) |
| caregiver\_frustrated\_f | No caregiver present | 465 | 54.0 (50.7 - 57.3) |
| caregiver\_frustrated\_f | Unable to assess | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| caregiver\_frustrated\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **caregiver\_lack\_knowledge\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| caregiver\_lack\_knowledge\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| caregiver\_lack\_knowledge\_f | Yes | 5 | 0.6 (0.2 - 1.4) |
| caregiver\_lack\_knowledge\_f | No | 396 | 45.9 (42.6 - 49.2) |
| caregiver\_lack\_knowledge\_f | No caregiver present | 462 | 53.5 (50.2 - 56.8) |
| caregiver\_lack\_knowledge\_f | Unable to assess | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| caregiver\_lack\_knowledge\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **caregiver\_unengaged\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| caregiver\_unengaged\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| caregiver\_unengaged\_f | Yes | 7 | 0.8 (0.4 - 1.7) |
| caregiver\_unengaged\_f | No | 390 | 45.1 (41.8 - 48.4) |
| caregiver\_unengaged\_f | No caregiver present | 468 | 54.1 (50.8 - 57.4) |
| caregiver\_unengaged\_f | Unable to assess | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| caregiver\_unengaged\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **caretaker\_withdrawn\_care\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Abandonment Indicators: Evidence that a caretaker has withdrawn care precipitously without alternate arrangements. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| caretaker\_withdrawn\_care\_f | No evidence | 177 | 95.2 (90.9 - 97.5) |
| caretaker\_withdrawn\_care\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| caretaker\_withdrawn\_care\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| caretaker\_withdrawn\_care\_f | Definite evidence | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.8) |
| caretaker\_withdrawn\_care\_f | Unable to assess | 8 | 4.3 (2.2 - 8.4) |
|  | | | |

| **clothes\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale General Assessment: Clothes. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| clothes\_5cat\_f | 0 - Clean/neat | 127 | 61.7 (54.8 - 68.1) |
| clothes\_5cat\_f | 1 | 62 | 30.1 (24.2 - 36.8) |
| clothes\_5cat\_f | 2 | 14 | 6.8 (4.0 - 11.2) |
| clothes\_5cat\_f | 3 | 3 | 1.5 (0.5 - 4.5) |
| clothes\_5cat\_f | 4 - Very soiled | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **clutter\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Clutter. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| clutter\_5cat\_f | 0 - Neat/orderly | 117 | 61.3 (54.1 - 68.0) |
| clutter\_5cat\_f | 1 | 39 | 20.4 (15.2 - 26.8) |
| clutter\_5cat\_f | 2 | 22 | 11.5 (7.7 - 16.9) |
| clutter\_5cat\_f | 3 | 10 | 5.2 (2.8 - 9.5) |
| clutter\_5cat\_f | 4 - Very cluttered/difficult to move around or find things | 3 | 1.6 (0.5 - 4.8) |
|  | | | |

| **contracture\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Neglect Indicators: Contractures. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| contracture\_f | No evidence | 201 | 98.5 (95.5 - 99.5) |
| contracture\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| contracture\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| contracture\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| contracture\_f | Unable to assess | 3 | 1.5 (0.5 - 4.5) |
|  | | | |

| **decubitus\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Neglect Indicators: Decubitii Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| decubitus\_f | No evidence | 167 | 82.3 (76.3 - 87.0) |
| decubitus\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| decubitus\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| decubitus\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| decubitus\_f | Unable to assess | 36 | 17.7 (13.0 - 23.7) |
|  | | | |

| **dehydration\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Neglect Indicators: Dehydration. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| dehydration\_f | No evidence | 196 | 95.6 (91.7 - 97.7) |
| dehydration\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| dehydration\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| dehydration\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| dehydration\_f | Unable to assess | 9 | 4.4 (2.3 - 8.3) |
|  | | | |

| **depressed\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| depressed\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| depressed\_f | Yes | 23 | 2.7 (1.8 - 4.0) |
| depressed\_f | No | 810 | 94.1 (92.3 - 95.5) |
| depressed\_f | No caregiver present | 26 | 3.0 (2.1 - 4.4) |
| depressed\_f | Unable to assess | 2 | 0.2 (0.1 - 0.9) |
| depressed\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **depression\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Neglect Indicators: Depression. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| depression\_f | No evidence | 175 | 91.1 (86.2 - 94.4) |
| depression\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| depression\_f | Probable evidence | 10 | 5.2 (2.8 - 9.5) |
| depression\_f | Definite evidence | 3 | 1.6 (0.5 - 4.8) |
| depression\_f | Unable to assess | 4 | 2.1 (0.8 - 5.5) |
|  | | | |

| **diarrhea\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Neglect Indicators: Diarrhea. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| diarrhea\_f | No evidence | 176 | 86.3 (80.8 - 90.4) |
| diarrhea\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| diarrhea\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| diarrhea\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| diarrhea\_f | Unable to assess | 28 | 13.7 (9.6 - 19.2) |
|  | | | |

| **difficulty\_medications\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| difficulty\_medications\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| difficulty\_medications\_f | Yes | 6 | 0.7 (0.3 - 1.6) |
| difficulty\_medications\_f | No | 733 | 85.6 (83.1 - 87.8) |
| difficulty\_medications\_f | No caregiver present | 22 | 2.6 (1.7 - 3.9) |
| difficulty\_medications\_f | Unable to assess | 95 | 11.1 (9.2 - 13.4) |
| difficulty\_medications\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **disarray\_hoarding\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| disarray\_hoarding\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| disarray\_hoarding\_f | Yes | 39 | 4.6 (3.4 - 6.2) |
| disarray\_hoarding\_f | No | 799 | 93.9 (92.1 - 95.3) |
| disarray\_hoarding\_f | No caregiver present | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| disarray\_hoarding\_f | Unable to assess | 13 | 1.5 (0.9 - 2.6) |
| disarray\_hoarding\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **evidence\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Exploitation Indicators: Evidence. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| evidence\_f | No evidence | 169 | 82.8 (77.0 - 87.5) |
| evidence\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| evidence\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| evidence\_f | Definite evidence | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.4) |
| evidence\_f | Unable to assess | 34 | 16.7 (12.1 - 22.5) |
|  | | | |

| **exploitation\_statement\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Exploitation Indicators: Statement by older adult related to exploitation. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| exploitation\_statement\_f | No evidence | 202 | 99.0 (96.1 - 99.8) |
| exploitation\_statement\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| exploitation\_statement\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| exploitation\_statement\_f | Definite evidence | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.4) |
| exploitation\_statement\_f | Unable to assess | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.4) |
|  | | | |

| **exterior\_condition\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Exterior condition. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| exterior\_condition\_5cat\_f | 0 - Neat/well kept/no trash, debris | 136 | 71.6 (64.7 - 77.6) |
| exterior\_condition\_5cat\_f | 1 | 32 | 16.8 (12.1 - 22.9) |
| exterior\_condition\_5cat\_f | 2 | 10 | 5.3 (2.8 - 9.6) |
| exterior\_condition\_5cat\_f | 3 | 10 | 5.3 (2.8 - 9.6) |
| exterior\_condition\_5cat\_f | 4 - Poorly maintained/trash, other debris | 2 | 1.1 (0.3 - 4.2) |
|  | | | |

| **fractures\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Abuse Indicators: Fractures. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| fractures\_f | No evidence | 200 | 96.6 (93.0 - 98.4) |
| fractures\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| fractures\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| fractures\_f | Definite evidence | 3 | 1.4 (0.5 - 4.4) |
| fractures\_f | Unable to assess | 4 | 1.9 (0.7 - 5.1) |
|  | | | |

| **goods\_for\_services\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Exploitation Indicators: Reports of demands for goods in exchange for service. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| goods\_for\_services\_f | No evidence | 199 | 98.5 (95.5 - 99.5) |
| goods\_for\_services\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| goods\_for\_services\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| goods\_for\_services\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| goods\_for\_services\_f | Unable to assess | 3 | 1.5 (0.5 - 4.5) |
|  | | | |

| **hair\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale General Assessment: Hair. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| hair\_5cat\_f | 0 - Clean/combed | 130 | 63.1 (56.3 - 69.5) |
| hair\_5cat\_f | 1 | 65 | 31.6 (25.5 - 38.3) |
| hair\_5cat\_f | 2 | 9 | 4.4 (2.3 - 8.2) |
| hair\_5cat\_f | 3 | 2 | 1.0 (0.2 - 3.8) |
| hair\_5cat\_f | 4 - Matted/dirty | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **health\_safety\_concern\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| health\_safety\_concern\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| health\_safety\_concern\_f | Yes | 23 | 2.7 (1.8 - 4.0) |
| health\_safety\_concern\_f | No | 811 | 93.6 (91.8 - 95.1) |
| health\_safety\_concern\_f | No caregiver present | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| health\_safety\_concern\_f | Unable to assess | 32 | 3.7 (2.6 - 5.2) |
| health\_safety\_concern\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **hoarding\_medications\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| hoarding\_medications\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| hoarding\_medications\_f | Yes | 7 | 0.8 (0.4 - 1.7) |
| hoarding\_medications\_f | No | 705 | 82.4 (79.7 - 84.8) |
| hoarding\_medications\_f | No caregiver present | 21 | 2.5 (1.6 - 3.7) |
| hoarding\_medications\_f | Unable to assess | 123 | 14.4 (12.2 - 16.9) |
| hoarding\_medications\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **hygiene\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| hygiene\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| hygiene\_f | Very good | 106 | 51.2 (44.4 - 58.0) |
| hygiene\_f | Good | 92 | 44.4 (37.8 - 51.3) |
| hygiene\_f | Poor | 8 | 3.9 (1.9 - 7.6) |
| hygiene\_f | Very poor | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.4) |
| hygiene\_f | Unable to assess | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **impaction\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Neglect Indicators: Impaction. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| impaction\_f | No evidence | 163 | 80.7 (74.6 - 85.6) |
| impaction\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| impaction\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| impaction\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| impaction\_f | Unable to assess | 39 | 19.3 (14.4 - 25.4) |
|  | | | |

| **inability\_account\_money\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Exploitation Indicators: Inability to account for money/property. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| inability\_account\_money\_f | No evidence | 201 | 98.5 (95.5 - 99.5) |
| inability\_account\_money\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| inability\_account\_money\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| inability\_account\_money\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| inability\_account\_money\_f | Unable to assess | 3 | 1.5 (0.5 - 4.5) |
|  | | | |

| **inadequately\_clothed\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| inadequately\_clothed\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| inadequately\_clothed\_f | Yes | 17 | 2.0 (1.2 - 3.2) |
| inadequately\_clothed\_f | No | 814 | 95.0 (93.3 - 96.3) |
| inadequately\_clothed\_f | No caregiver present | 23 | 2.7 (1.8 - 4.0) |
| inadequately\_clothed\_f | Unable to assess | 3 | 0.4 (0.1 - 1.1) |
| inadequately\_clothed\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **inappropriate\_medication\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Neglect Indicators: Inappropirate medications (over/under). Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| inappropriate\_medication\_f | No evidence | 167 | 81.1 (75.1 - 85.9) |
| inappropriate\_medication\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| inappropriate\_medication\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| inappropriate\_medication\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| inappropriate\_medication\_f | Unable to assess | 39 | 18.9 (14.1 - 24.9) |
|  | | | |

| **interior\_condition\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Interior condition. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| interior\_condition\_5cat\_f | 0 - Intact/not broken or missing | 136 | 74.3 (67.4 - 80.2) |
| interior\_condition\_5cat\_f | 1 | 26 | 14.2 (9.8 - 20.1) |
| interior\_condition\_5cat\_f | 2 | 14 | 7.7 (4.6 - 12.6) |
| interior\_condition\_5cat\_f | 3 | 7 | 3.8 (1.8 - 7.9) |
| interior\_condition\_5cat\_f | 4 - Multiple sections broken/missing | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **isolated\_home\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| isolated\_home\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| isolated\_home\_f | Yes | 20 | 2.3 (1.5 - 3.6) |
| isolated\_home\_f | No | 801 | 93.1 (91.2 - 94.7) |
| isolated\_home\_f | No caregiver present | 32 | 3.7 (2.6 - 5.2) |
| isolated\_home\_f | Unable to assess | 7 | 0.8 (0.4 - 1.7) |
| isolated\_home\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **kitchen\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Cleanliness - Kitchen. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| kitchen\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 0 - Clean, no evidence of unsanitary conditions | 138 | 71.5 (64.7 - 77.5) |
| kitchen\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 1 | 33 | 17.1 (12.4 - 23.1) |
| kitchen\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 2 | 12 | 6.2 (3.5 - 10.7) |
| kitchen\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 3 | 7 | 3.6 (1.7 - 7.5) |
| kitchen\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 4 - Very dirty, unwashed dishes, trash litter | 3 | 1.6 (0.5 - 4.8) |
|  | | | |

| **lacerations\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Abuse Indicators: Lacerations. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| lacerations\_f | No evidence | 204 | 99.0 (96.2 - 99.8) |
| lacerations\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| lacerations\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| lacerations\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| lacerations\_f | Unable to assess | 2 | 1.0 (0.2 - 3.8) |
|  | | | |

| **left\_alone\_unsafe\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Abandonment Indicators: Evidence that older adult is left alone in an unsafe environment for extended periods of time without adequate support. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| left\_alone\_unsafe\_f | No evidence | 186 | 97.9 (94.5 - 99.2) |
| left\_alone\_unsafe\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| left\_alone\_unsafe\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| left\_alone\_unsafe\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| left\_alone\_unsafe\_f | Unable to assess | 4 | 2.1 (0.8 - 5.5) |
|  | | | |

| **living\_room\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Cleanliness - Living room. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| living\_room\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 0 - Clean, no evidence of unsanitary conditions | 132 | 69.5 (62.5 - 75.7) |
| living\_room\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 1 | 33 | 17.4 (12.6 - 23.5) |
| living\_room\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 2 | 15 | 7.9 (4.8 - 12.7) |
| living\_room\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 3 | 7 | 3.7 (1.8 - 7.6) |
| living\_room\_cleanliness\_5cat\_f | 4 - Very dirty, unwashed dishes, trash litter | 3 | 1.6 (0.5 - 4.8) |
|  | | | |

| **malnutrition\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Neglect Indicators: Malnutrition. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| malnutrition\_f | No evidence | 199 | 98.0 (94.8 - 99.3) |
| malnutrition\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| malnutrition\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| malnutrition\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| malnutrition\_f | Unable to assess | 4 | 2.0 (0.7 - 5.2) |
|  | | | |

| **money\_misuse\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Exploitation Indicators: Misuse of money. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| money\_misuse\_f | No evidence | 196 | 97.5 (94.1 - 99.0) |
| money\_misuse\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| money\_misuse\_f | Probable evidence | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.5) |
| money\_misuse\_f | Definite evidence | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.5) |
| money\_misuse\_f | Unable to assess | 3 | 1.5 (0.5 - 4.6) |
|  | | | |

| **nails\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale General Assessment: Nails. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| nails\_5cat\_f | 0 - Clean/trimmed | 124 | 60.5 (53.6 - 67.0) |
| nails\_5cat\_f | 1 | 70 | 34.1 (27.9 - 41.0) |
| nails\_5cat\_f | 2 | 8 | 3.9 (2.0 - 7.6) |
| nails\_5cat\_f | 3 | 2 | 1.0 (0.2 - 3.9) |
| nails\_5cat\_f | 4 - Long/untrimmed/dirty | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.4) |
|  | | | |

| **neglect\_statement\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Neglect Indicators: Statement by older adult related to neglect. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| neglect\_statement\_f | No evidence | 195 | 95.6 (91.7 - 97.7) |
| neglect\_statement\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| neglect\_statement\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| neglect\_statement\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| neglect\_statement\_f | Unable to assess | 9 | 4.4 (2.3 - 8.3) |
|  | | | |

| **nutrition\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| nutrition\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| nutrition\_f | Very good | 104 | 50.5 (43.6 - 57.3) |
| nutrition\_f | Good | 96 | 46.6 (39.8 - 53.5) |
| nutrition\_f | Poor | 5 | 2.4 (1.0 - 5.7) |
| nutrition\_f | Very poor | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| nutrition\_f | Unable to assess | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.4) |
|  | | | |

| **obvious\_disease\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Neglect Indicators: Failure to respond to warning of obvious disease Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| obvious\_disease\_f | No evidence | 196 | 96.6 (92.9 - 98.4) |
| obvious\_disease\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| obvious\_disease\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| obvious\_disease\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| obvious\_disease\_f | Unable to assess | 7 | 3.4 (1.6 - 7.1) |
|  | | | |

| **odor\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Odor. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| odor\_5cat\_f | 0 - Normal household odor | 150 | 77.7 (71.2 - 83.1) |
| odor\_5cat\_f | 1 | 29 | 15.0 (10.6 - 20.8) |
| odor\_5cat\_f | 2 | 6 | 3.1 (1.4 - 6.8) |
| odor\_5cat\_f | 3 | 5 | 2.6 (1.1 - 6.1) |
| odor\_5cat\_f | 4 - Pungent, unpleasant odor (may be human/animal waste, rotting food) | 3 | 1.6 (0.5 - 4.8) |
|  | | | |

| **pets\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Pets. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| pets\_5cat\_f | 0 - All animals cared for | 168 | 96.0 (91.8 - 98.1) |
| pets\_5cat\_f | 1 or 2 - Uncared animals | 6 | 3.4 (1.5 - 7.5) |
| pets\_5cat\_f | 3 or 4 - Uncared animals | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| pets\_5cat\_f | 5 or 6 - Uncared animals | 1 | 0.6 (0.1 - 4.0) |
| pets\_5cat\_f | 6 or more - Uncared animals | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **poor\_hygiene\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Neglect Indicators: Poor hygiene. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| poor\_hygiene\_f | No evidence | 198 | 98.5 (95.4 - 99.5) |
| poor\_hygiene\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| poor\_hygiene\_f | Probable evidence | 2 | 1.0 (0.2 - 3.9) |
| poor\_hygiene\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| poor\_hygiene\_f | Unable to assess | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.5) |
|  | | | |

| **poor\_personal\_hygiene\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| poor\_personal\_hygiene\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| poor\_personal\_hygiene\_f | Yes | 9 | 1.0 (0.5 - 2.0) |
| poor\_personal\_hygiene\_f | No | 824 | 96.0 (94.5 - 97.2) |
| poor\_personal\_hygiene\_f | No caregiver present | 23 | 2.7 (1.8 - 4.0) |
| poor\_personal\_hygiene\_f | Unable to assess | 2 | 0.2 (0.1 - 0.9) |
| poor\_personal\_hygiene\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **repetitive\_hosp\_admission\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Neglect Indicators: Repetitive hospital admissions due to probable failure of health care surveillance. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| repetitive\_hosp\_admission\_f | No evidence | 180 | 87.0 (81.6 - 90.9) |
| repetitive\_hosp\_admission\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| repetitive\_hosp\_admission\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| repetitive\_hosp\_admission\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| repetitive\_hosp\_admission\_f | Unable to assess | 27 | 13.0 (9.1 - 18.4) |
|  | | | |

| **report\_elder\_abuse\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| report\_elder\_abuse\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| report\_elder\_abuse\_f | Yes | 13 | 1.5 (0.9 - 2.6) |
| report\_elder\_abuse\_f | No | 823 | 96.0 (94.5 - 97.2) |
| report\_elder\_abuse\_f | No caregiver present | 19 | 2.2 (1.4 - 3.5) |
| report\_elder\_abuse\_f | Unable to assess | 2 | 0.2 (0.1 - 0.9) |
| report\_elder\_abuse\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **roof\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Roof. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| roof\_5cat\_f | 0 - Intact/no structural damage | 139 | 77.2 (70.5 - 82.8) |
| roof\_5cat\_f | 1 | 25 | 13.9 (9.5 - 19.8) |
| roof\_5cat\_f | 2 | 9 | 5.0 (2.6 - 9.4) |
| roof\_5cat\_f | 3 | 6 | 3.3 (1.5 - 7.3) |
| roof\_5cat\_f | 4 - Dilapidated/poorly maintained, holes | 1 | 0.6 (0.1 - 3.9) |
|  | | | |

| **sexual\_abuse\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Abuse Indicators: Evidence of sexual abuse. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| sexual\_abuse\_f | No evidence | 182 | 88.8 (83.6 - 92.5) |
| sexual\_abuse\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| sexual\_abuse\_f | Probable evidence | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.4) |
| sexual\_abuse\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| sexual\_abuse\_f | Unable to assess | 22 | 10.7 (7.1 - 15.8) |
|  | | | |

| **skin\_integrity\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| skin\_integrity\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| skin\_integrity\_f | Very good | 104 | 50.7 (43.9 - 57.6) |
| skin\_integrity\_f | Good | 98 | 47.8 (41.0 - 54.7) |
| skin\_integrity\_f | Poor | 3 | 1.5 (0.5 - 4.5) |
| skin\_integrity\_f | Very poor | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| skin\_integrity\_f | Unable to assess | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **stages\_healing\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Abuse Indicators: Various stages of healing of any bruises or fractures/ Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| stages\_healing\_f | No evidence | 201 | 97.1 (93.7 - 98.7) |
| stages\_healing\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| stages\_healing\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| stages\_healing\_f | Definite evidence | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.4) |
| stages\_healing\_f | Unable to assess | 5 | 2.4 (1.0 - 5.7) |
|  | | | |

| **structural\_problems\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Structural problems. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| structural\_problems\_5cat\_f | 0 - No structural problems | 144 | 84.2 (77.9 - 89.0) |
| structural\_problems\_5cat\_f | 1 | 20 | 11.7 (7.6 - 17.5) |
| structural\_problems\_5cat\_f | 2 | 2 | 1.2 (0.3 - 4.6) |
| structural\_problems\_5cat\_f | 3 | 5 | 2.9 (1.2 - 6.9) |
| structural\_problems\_5cat\_f | 4 - Severe structural damage (e.g., holes in sheetrock, floor leaking, ceiling | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **summary\_evidence\_abandonment\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Summary - Evidence of abandonment. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| summary\_evidence\_abandonment\_f | No evidence | 198 | 100.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| summary\_evidence\_abandonment\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| summary\_evidence\_abandonment\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| summary\_evidence\_abandonment\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| summary\_evidence\_abandonment\_f | Unable to assess | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **summary\_evidence\_abuse\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Summary - Evidence of abuse. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| summary\_evidence\_abuse\_f | No evidence | 194 | 98.5 (95.3 - 99.5) |
| summary\_evidence\_abuse\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| summary\_evidence\_abuse\_f | Probable evidence | 2 | 1.0 (0.3 - 4.0) |
| summary\_evidence\_abuse\_f | Definite evidence | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.6) |
| summary\_evidence\_abuse\_f | Unable to assess | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **summary\_evidence\_exploitation\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Summary - Evidence of exploitation. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| summary\_evidence\_exploitation\_f | No evidence | 194 | 99.5 (96.4 - 99.9) |
| summary\_evidence\_exploitation\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| summary\_evidence\_exploitation\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| summary\_evidence\_exploitation\_f | Definite evidence | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.6) |
| summary\_evidence\_exploitation\_f | Unable to assess | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **summary\_evidence\_neglect\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Summary - Evidence of neglect. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| summary\_evidence\_neglect\_f | No evidence | 194 | 99.5 (96.4 - 99.9) |
| summary\_evidence\_neglect\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| summary\_evidence\_neglect\_f | Probable evidence | 1 | 0.5 (0.1 - 3.6) |
| summary\_evidence\_neglect\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| summary\_evidence\_neglect\_f | Unable to assess | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **unmet\_needs\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| unmet\_needs\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| unmet\_needs\_f | Yes | 10 | 1.2 (0.6 - 2.2) |
| unmet\_needs\_f | No | 819 | 95.6 (94.0 - 96.8) |
| unmet\_needs\_f | No caregiver present | 20 | 2.3 (1.5 - 3.6) |
| unmet\_needs\_f | Unable to assess | 8 | 0.9 (0.5 - 1.9) |
| unmet\_needs\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **unusual\_odor\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| unusual\_odor\_f | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| unusual\_odor\_f | Yes | 15 | 1.7 (1.1 - 2.9) |
| unusual\_odor\_f | No | 840 | 97.6 (96.3 - 98.4) |
| unusual\_odor\_f | No caregiver present | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| unusual\_odor\_f | Unable to assess | 6 | 0.7 (0.3 - 1.5) |
| unusual\_odor\_f | Did not enter patient’s home | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **urine\_burn\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Scale Possible Neglect Indicators: Urine burns. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| urine\_burn\_f | No evidence | 161 | 78.5 (72.3 - 83.7) |
| urine\_burn\_f | Possible Evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| urine\_burn\_f | Probable evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| urine\_burn\_f | Definite evidence | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| urine\_burn\_f | Unable to assess | 44 | 21.5 (16.3 - 27.7) |
|  | | | |

| **utilities\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Utilities. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| utilities\_5cat\_f | 0 - All utilities work | 170 | 97.1 (93.3 - 98.8) |
| utilities\_5cat\_f | 1 - No phone | 4 | 2.3 (0.9 - 6.0) |
| utilities\_5cat\_f | 2 - No gas/electricity | 1 | 0.6 (0.1 - 4.0) |
| utilities\_5cat\_f | 3 - No water | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
| utilities\_5cat\_f | 4 - Multiple utilities do not work | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **walls\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Walls Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| walls\_5cat\_f | 0 - Intact/no structural damage | 141 | 77.9 (71.2 - 83.4) |
| walls\_5cat\_f | 1 | 28 | 15.5 (10.9 - 21.6) |
| walls\_5cat\_f | 2 | 8 | 4.4 (2.2 - 8.6) |
| walls\_5cat\_f | 3 | 4 | 2.2 (0.8 - 5.8) |
| walls\_5cat\_f | 4 - Dilapidated/poorly maintained, holes | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **windows\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Windows. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| windows\_5cat\_f | 0 - Intact/not broken or missing | 141 | 79.2 (72.6 - 84.6) |
| windows\_5cat\_f | 1 | 26 | 14.6 (10.1 - 20.7) |
| windows\_5cat\_f | 2 | 6 | 3.4 (1.5 - 7.4) |
| windows\_5cat\_f | 3 | 5 | 2.8 (1.2 - 6.6) |
| windows\_5cat\_f | 4 - Multiple sections broken/missing | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |

| **yard\_sidewalk\_5cat\_f** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Elder Assessment Instrument (EAI) / Self-neglect Severity Environment status of subject: Yard/Sidewalk. Question from earlier version of follow-up interview. | | | |
| **Variable** | **Category** | **N** | **Percent of N (95% CI)** |
| yard\_sidewalk\_5cat\_f | 0 - Neat/well kept/no trash, debris | 134 | 71.7 (64.7 - 77.7) |
| yard\_sidewalk\_5cat\_f | 1 | 34 | 18.2 (13.2 - 24.4) |
| yard\_sidewalk\_5cat\_f | 2 | 9 | 4.8 (2.5 - 9.0) |
| yard\_sidewalk\_5cat\_f | 3 | 10 | 5.3 (2.9 - 9.7) |
| yard\_sidewalk\_5cat\_f | 4 - Poorly maintained/trash, debris | 0 | 0.0 (NaN - NaN) |
|  | | | |