
Response to Referee Report
JHEP_252P_0420_EDREP003650920

Once again, I wish to thank the referee for their insightful feedback. I have detailed my response to each of the referee's points below.

1. (a)
(b)
(c)
2. (a) I have amended the abstract to limit the masses covered to those within the bounds of $m_{BF}^2 < m^2 \leq 0$.
(b) I have included reference [26] at the end of page 5.
(c) Yes, the “and” was intended to be an “an.” I have made the appropriate correction.
(d) The duplication has been removed.
(e) I have corrected T_ℓ to \bar{T}_ℓ above section 3.2.
(f) Indeed, in these two cases $S_\ell = \bar{T}_\ell$. In later sections, however, we consider cases where S_ℓ contains contributions from multiple resonant channels (e.g. Figures 3, 4, 5). In these cases S_ℓ is the sum of these channels. Therefore, while the notation may seem redundant for early uses, I believe it provides consistency by always representing the sum of all resonant channels.
(g) I agree that (2.21) is incorrect; I have removed the factor of $\cos(\omega_\ell t + \varphi_\ell)$ so that the equation is of the form of (42) in [17].