

CSE 383C: Numerical Linear Algebra

Fall 2016

1 Algorithm Complexity

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $H \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

- i. **Inner Product** $x^t y$ - $O(2n)$ time, $O(1)$ space.
- ii. **Outer Product** xy^t - $O(n^2)$ time, $O(n^2)$ space.
- iii. **Outer Product Vector** $(xy^t)z = x(y^t z)$ - $O(3n)$ time, $O(n)$ space.
- iv. **Dense Matrix Vector** Ax - $O(2mn)$ time, $O(m)$ space.
- v. **Sparse Matrix Vector** Ax - $O(m + n)$ time, $O(m + n)$ space.
- vi. **Gram Schmidt** - $O(\frac{3}{2}mn^2)$ time.
- vii. **Householder Vector** $Hv = (I - 2uu^*)v = v - 2uu^*v$ - $O(4m)$ time.
- viii. **Householder** - $O(2mn^2 - \frac{2}{3}n^3)$ time.
- ix. **Givens** - $O(mn^2)$ time.
- x. **SVD** - $O(2mn^2 + 11n^3)$ time.
- xi. **Backsubstitution** - $O(n^2)$ time.
- xii. **LU (With or without PP)** - $O(\frac{2}{3}m^3)$ time.
- xiii. **Cholesky** - $O(\frac{1}{3}m^3)$ time.

2 QR Factorizations

Given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, we can write $A = QR$, where Q is orthonormal and R is upper triangular. This matrix factorization exists **for all** matrices.

2.1 Reduced QR

If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, then $A = QR$ produces $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

The typical GS orthogonalization produces this.

2.2 Full QR

If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, then $A = QR$ produces $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$.

A typical GS factorization loops over the columns of A and orthogonalizes that column with respect to the previous columns of A . But if $m > n$, there are only n columns of A and so there are $m - n$ more orthogonal vectors that we need to form a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$.

This means we need $m - n$ more linearly independent vectors. Well we can just pick random vectors to orthogonalize because the probability of picking a vector that aligns exactly with a previous one (linearly dependent vector) is 0.

Another option is just to use Householder QR, or Givens QR.

2.3 Gram Schmidt

Given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, A full rank (why? explained later), we want to form an orthogonal basis for the range of A .

Pick the first column of A , called a_1 . We want an orthogonal basis for span of a_1 , well we can just pick $v_1 = a_1$.

Now we are on the second column of A , called a_2 . We want to now find an orthogonal vector to v_1 . Well we can just find the projection onto v_1 , defined as $v_1 v_1^T a_2$, and then subtract this bit off a_2 . So $v_2 = (I - v_1 v_1^T) a_2$.

We are now on the third column of A , called a_3 . We want to find an orthogonal vector to v_1, v_2 . Well we can find this by finding the component of a_3 that lives in $\text{span}\{v_1, v_2\}$, then subtracting that component from a_3 . So $v_3 = (I - v_1 v_1^T - v_2 v_2^T) a_3$.

We continue until we have gone through every column, now we have an orthogonal basis for $\text{Range}(A)$, but this is not orthonormal. We can simply normalize each column $q_i = \frac{v_i}{|v_i|}$.

Now we have formed our matrix Q , and the R follows. A column of R , say r_j , tells us the linear combination of Q that we need to form the corresponding column a_j . By construction, R is upper triangular.

Why does A have to be full rank? If the columns of A are not linearly independent, then when we try to find a orthogonal vector, we will get a $v_i = 0$, and get NaNs in our answer.

2.4 Modified Gram Schmidt

In CGS, we use $v_i = a_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} q_j q_j^* a_i$, but if the columns of A are almost linearly dependent, the inner product and subtraction operations will cause large numerical instabilities, and cause $q_i \cdot q_j \neq 0$.

Instead, we will initialize $v_i = a_i$, but then for every iteration, we do $v_i = v_i - q_j q_j^* v_i \forall j < i$. This makes it so that even though we have some instabilities in R , we focus on the orthogonality of Q , and we can bound $|Q^*Q - I| = O(\kappa(A)\epsilon_m)$.

3 LU Decomposition

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and A nonsingular. Then, A admits a LU Decomposition of the form $A = LU$, where L lower triangular and U upper triangular, and both matrices have nonzeros along the diagonal.

Then, the solution to $LUx = b$ will be $Ux = L^{-1}b$, which has a forward substitution and a backwards substitution that take $O(2m^2)$.

If A is diagonally dominant, or symmetric positive definite, then the unpivoted LU decomposition exists, and the growth factor $p = O(1)$.

3.1 Pivoted LU Decomposition

Even if A is well conditioned, a naive LU decomposition will fail. We have to introduce pivoting at each step.

Theorem 1. Pivoted LU is backwards stable.

Let $PAQ = LU$ be the exact pivoted factorization of a non-singular matrix A . Let $\tilde{L}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}$ be the computed factorization on an IEEE-754 machine.

Then,

$$\tilde{L}\tilde{U} = \tilde{P}A\tilde{Q} + \delta A; \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} = O(p \epsilon_m)$$

Where p is called the growth factor of A and depends on the pivoting method.

There are a couple forms of pivoting - partial, full, and rook. In practice, partial pivoting is used, and the growth factor $p \leq 2^m$. So even though this algorithm is backwards stable, this can be potentially highly erroneous.

3.2 Cholesky Decomposition

If A is symmetric, positive-definite, then $A = LU = R^T R$, where R is upper triangular. This takes half the amount of time and space as a typical LU decomposition.

4 Least Squares

4.1 Underdetermined Systems

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, where $m < n$, and A full rank. Now we have an infinite number of solutions x to $Ax = b$. There are a couple methods to pick the “best” x .

Theorem 2. Underdetermined System Error Analysis

If $\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} < \sigma_{\min}$, $\frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} < \sigma_{\min}$.

Then $\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \kappa(A) \left\{ \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} + \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} \right\}$.

4.1.1 Regularized SVD

This method penalizes the norm of the solution x by a factor of β , known as the regularization term. We have some squared terms and $\frac{1}{2}$ terms to make differentiation easier, but it is the same minimization problem.

$$\arg \min_x \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta \|x\|_2^2 \quad (1)$$

Using the reduced SVD, we have $A = U\Sigma V^*$, and $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $\Sigma \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, and $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$.

We substitute $x = Vy$, since the solution should live in the row space of A , and $q = U^*b$ and now we have the following.

$$\arg \min_y \frac{1}{2} \|\Sigma y - q\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta \|y\|_2^2 \quad (2)$$

Now, each y_i term is independent, and taking the partial derivatives of y and setting it to 0, we can solve for the optimal y .

$$\arg \min_{y_i} J(y_i) = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_i y_i - q_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta y_i^2 \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} J(y_i) = (\sigma_i^2 y_i - \sigma_i q_i) + \beta y_i \quad (4)$$

$$y_i = \frac{\sigma_i q_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \beta} \quad (5)$$

4.1.2 Truncated SVD

Truncated SVD is just a specific case of regularized SVD, with $\beta = 0$.

A full SVD decomposition of A gives us Σ with have $n - m$ zero columns, which correspond with the $n - m$ rightmost columns of V , where $\text{span}\{v_{n-m}, \dots, v_m\} = \text{Null}(A)$.

Truncated SVD says let's forget about the vectors in $\text{Null}(A)$, and take Σ_t to be the first m columns of Σ , and take V_t to be the first m columns of V . We now have $\Sigma_t \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, and $V_t \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$. This is the reduced SVD of A .

The solution to $Ax = b$ is now clearly $x = V_t \Sigma_t^{-1} U^* b$.

4.2 Rank Deficient Systems

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, where $m > n$, and $\text{rank}(A) < n$.

The SVD decomposition of A shows us that we have some singular values that are 0. We can ignore the bottom $n - r$ rows of Σ and the corresponding U and V vectors, and solve this with the techniques described in underdetermined systems.

4.3 Nearly Rank Deficient Systems

If we have $\kappa_2(A) = \frac{\sigma_{max}}{\sigma_{min}}$ very large, this tells us the spread of singular values is very large, and numerical methods will have high relative error. Using the SVD of A , we can easily tell A is ill conditioned, and set the corresponding singular values under some threshold τ to 0, and solve an underdetermined system.

However, SVD is not viable for large systems, and we have to use Pivoted QR, which will reveal the rank of A .

Theorem 3. The singular values of the block matrix produced by Column Pivoted QR $R_{k,k}$ are related to the singular values of A .

$$\sigma_k(R_{k,k}) = O(\sigma_k(A))$$

The R matrix produced by Column Pivoted QR will have small values along the diagonal, which tells us the corresponding vectors of Q that are not spanned. We can ignore these values and truncate our Q to $Q_t \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times r}$, and R to $R_t \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$.

Now we can solve a generic $Q_t R_t x' = b$, for $x' \in \mathbb{C}^r$. We fill in $n - r$ values of x' to 0 to get $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Finally, we have to permute the rows since $AP = QR$, and acquire our final solution $x = P\bar{x}$.

5 Eigenvalue Problems