Submission

🗒 My Files

🖳 My Files

🎓 University

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid:::28592:81187989

Submission Date

Feb 5, 2025, 10:52 PM GMT+5:30

Download Date

Feb 5, 2025, 10:52 PM GMT+5:30

File Name

751750.docx

File Size

18.1 KB

2 Pages

332 Words

1,810 Characters

0% detected as AI

The percentage indicates the combined amount of likely AI-generated text as well as likely AI-generated text that was also likely AI-paraphrased.

Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Detection Groups

1 AI-generated only 0%
Likely AI-generated text from a large-language model.

2 AI-generated text that was AI-paraphrased 0%
Likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (it may misidentify writing that is likely AI generated as AI generated and AI paraphrased or likely AI generated and AI paraphrased writing as only AI generated) so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

Frequently Asked Questions

How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?
The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

What does 'qualifying text' mean?
Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.

1

Perspective on the Jim Crow South

Student's Name

Institutional Affiliation

Professor's Name

Course Name

Submission Date

2

Perspective on the Jim Crow South

As an editor for the newspaper during the Jim Crow legal framework, I existed during a period marked by profound racial prejudices and injustice that deeply troubled my mind. Society's regulations present "separate but equal" chances, but their actual application results in complete Black citizen subordination. Every institution, all the way to public transportation and businesses, implements complete separation, leading to the controlled distribution of dignity and opportunity through skin color division.

Producers and editors who work in the press face moral uncertainties when they write content. Not only did I expose myself to job loss but also possible harm to my physical safety and even career ruination since I spoke against these unjust practices. Most people around me decide to ignore the suffering while other individuals defend its authenticity as a traditional practice. I confront difficulty matching this reality with the liberty and justice principles this nation declares to defend. The existence of such laws which deny millions of rights contradicts our claim to be a free society.

Every day, I see how the laws are enforced with violence through lynchings as well as through voter suppression and excessive power of police against Black communities. The violent practices remain visible for those who maintain control in society while they treat them as part of their established framework. My editor role demands that I see if I should maintain an impartial stance or take a stand to promote change.

Our history bears down on us with great pressure because societal injustice continues to thrive. The present attitude toward Jim Crow makes me pessimistic about future history's judgment because I know the ugliness within this system will eventually bring disgrace to the era. Segregation will eventually face its end as a brutal practice. Through my minimal words, I want to inspire transformation, which will establish an equal America alongside justice.

.