Study	Au- thors	Year	Sample Degraphics	emo-	Sample Size	Tests/Measures Used	Key Find- ings
Diagg- nos- ing AI in Adu An Ex- am- ina- tion of the Discritive Va- lid- ity of Neu ropsy- cho- logi- cal Tes and Diagg- nos- tic Assess ment Instru- ments	nts:	2018	Adult psychi patients in clir setting		108 patients (60 with ADHD, 48 without ADHD)	• DIVA 2.0• ASRS Screener• QbTest Plus• PASAT• CPT- II• Digit Span For- ward/Backward• Trail Making Test A/B• FAS Letter Fluency	Neuropsy- chological tests had poor dis- crimina- tive abil- ity. DIVA showed the best dis- criminative ability with sensitivity of 90.0% and specificity of 72.9%.
Neu- ropsy- cho- logi- cal Tes ing in Adu	t-	1998	Adults referred tertiary care h tal specialty cli	ospi-	Not fully specified	• Shifting Sets Test• Recognition Memory Test• Wechsler Memory Scale• Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test• Bender-Gestalt•	Adults with ADHD showed deficits in reversing, inhibiting, and re-en-

Study	Au- thors	Year	Sample I graphics	Jemo-	Sample Size	Tests/Measures Used	Key Findings
At- ten- tion Do Hy- per- ac- tiv- ity Dis- or- der: A	eficit					Continuous Performance Test• Trail Making Test• Wisconsin Card Sorting Test	gaging specific cognitive an motor set Significant findings is memory and reaction tim measures.
ti- fied Be- hav- ioral Test —A Co fir- ma- tory Test in the Di- ag- nos- tic Pro of Adu	horst-Ka et al.		Adult outpa at psychiatric		91 patients (31 with ADHD, 60 without ADHD)	• QbTest	QbTest showed low discriminative power with AUC of 0.65, sensitivity of 76%, and specificity of 40% Only QbActivity significantly differentiated ADHI from other clinical groups.
ADHE Ob- jec- tive M sures of Be-	Edebol et al.	2013	Adults with without ADH		341 participants (55 with ADHD, 202 non-ADHD,	• QbTest-Plus	QbTest-Plu yielded 86 sensitiv- ity and 83 specificity when di

Study Autho		Sample Demographics	Sample Size	Tests/Measures Used	Key Find- ings
hav- ior Man- ifes- tations in Adult ADHD			84 ADHD normative)		ferentiat- ing ADHD from non- ADHD par- ticipants.
Effi- Addicacy et a of Continuous Performance Testing in Adult ADHD in a Clinical Sample Using QbTest	ıl.	Adults referred for ADHD assessment to specialist NHS service	69 adults	• QbTest+	QbTest+ failed to dif- ferentiate between pa- tients diag- nosed with ADHD and those with- out the di- agnosis.
DiscrimSocial street st	öm	Adults at psychiatric clinic	63 patients (41 with ADHD, 22 without ADHD)	• QbTest Plus• ASRS Screener• Current Symptom Scale (CSS)	Self-rating scales showed high sensitivity but low specificity; QbTest Plus variables showed opposite pattern with high specificity but low sensitivity.

Study Au- thors	Year	Sample graphics	Demo-	Sample Size	Tests/Measures Used	Key Find- ings
of ADHD in Adults						
Adult Niko- ADHD las et and al. Comor- bid Dis- orders: Clin- ical Im- pli- cations of a Dimen- sional Approach	2019	Adults with Adepression, disorder	-	246 participants (109 ADHD, 52 depressed, 85 controls)	• CVLT short de- lay free recall• Salthouse Listen- ing Span• DKEFS CWIT inhibition/ switching• TOVA (RT variability, RT, omission errors)• Various other neu- ropsychological measures	Single test measures performed poorly. Combined approach using self/informant ratings, family history, and RT variability measure correctly classified 87% of cases.
A Neu- Woods ropsy- et al. cho- log- ical Test In- ter- pre- ta- tion Method for Clas- sify- ing ADHD	2002	Adults with without ADE		Sample size not clearly specified in extract	Multiple neuropsy- chological tests with discrepancy analysis	Significant discrep- ancies be- tween in- tellectual ability and frontal/ executive test per- formance in ADHD adults. Sup- port for dis- crepancy analysis in ADHD as- sessment.

Study Au- thors		Sample Demographics	Sample Size	Tests/Measures Used	Key Find- ings
Ob- Emse jec- et al. tive Measures in Adult ADHD Diag- no- sis	er 2018	Both children and adults with and without ADHD	30 children with ADHD, 30 child controls, 38 adults with ADHD, 38 adult controls	• QbTest 6-12 (for children)• Qb+ (for adults)• Conners 3 rating scales	QbTest discriminated between ADHD and controls with overall correct classification of 73.8%. Activity variables had greatest impact.
Ex- Love ecu- joy tive Fund- tion Measures in Adults with ADHD		Adults with and without ADHD	Not clearly specified in extract	• Executive function measures (multiple)• Battery approach	Battery approach hypothe- sized to in- crease abil- ity to de- tect ADHD. Study sup- ported the role of exec- utive func- tion deficits in adult ADHD.
Neu- Lee F rocog- et al. ni- tive Tests	500k sh0 10	College students	Not clearly specified in extract	• Multiple attention measures	Study examined attention measures in college students; findings suggest specific attention deficits in ADHD population.

Study Au- thors	Year	Sample Demographics	Sample Size	Tests/Measures Used	Key Find- ings
The Schreit Util- et al. ity of the Rey- Os- ter- ri- eth Com- plex Fig- ure in the Assess- ment of Adult ADHD	ber1999	Adults with and without ADHD	Not clearly specified in extract	• Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure	Study examined the validity of the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test in identifying adults with ADHD.
A QuickNielse Test & of Cog-Wiig ni- tive Speed (AQT)	n 2011	Adults with and without ADHD	Not clearly specified in extract	• A Quick Test of Cognitive Speed (AQT)	Study explored the utility of AQT in identifying processing speed deficits in adults with ADHD.
The DIVPet- 2.0 ters- vs Neu-son e ropsy- al. cho- logi- cal Tests		Adults in clinical psychiatric setting	108 patients	• DIVA 2.0• 8 neuropsychological tests	DIVA 2.0 showed better discriminative ability than any of the neuropsychological tests.

Study Au- thors	Year	Sample Demographics	Sample Size	Tests/Measures Used	Key Find- ings
Con- El- tin- baum u- et al. ous Per- formance Tests Com- bined with Mo- tion Track- ing	2020	Adults with and without ADHD	Not clearly specified in extract	MOXO-dCPT with eye tracking	Study combined continuous performance testing with eye tracking to improve diagnostic accuracy.
Ex- Shep- ecu- ler & tive Funcallan tion- ing Dif- ferences	2024	Adults with and without ADHD	Not clearly specified in extract	• Executive functioning measures	Study examined specific executive functioning differences in adults with ADHD compared to controls.
CAARSGroom + et al. QB Test, ADHD vs. Autism	2016	Adults with ADHD or autism	Not clearly specified in extract	• CAARS• QB Test	Study found QbTest could discriminate between ADHD and ASD with 90% accuracy.
The Stretopal Test, Stretopl. Plus, and Per- cep-	2019	Adults with and without ADHD	Not clearly specified in extract	• Stroop test• Stroop Plus• Per- ceptual Selectivity test	Study evaluated the utility of these measures in identify-

Study Au- thors	Year	Sample Demographics	Sample Size	Tests/Measures Used	Key Find- ings
tual Se- lec- tiv- ity Test					ing attention and inhibition deficits in adults with ADHD.
Neu- Mostert ropsy- et al. cho- log- ical Test Per- formance and DIVA 2.0	2015	Adults with and without ADHD	Not clearly specified in extract	• DIVA 2.0• Multiple neuropsychological tests	Study compared diagnostic accuracy of structured interview (DIVA 2.0) with neuropsychological testing.
WURS Paucke + CAAR\$ al. + Go/NoGo	2021	Adults with and without ADHD	Not clearly specified in extract	• Wender Utah Rating Scale (WURS)• CAARS• Go/NoGo task	Study examined the combined utility of rating scales and neuropsychological testing.
Neu- Bag- ropsy- gio et cho- al. logi- cal Tests in ADHD	2020	Adults with and without ADHD	•	• Multiple neuropsychological tests	Study examined neuropsychological test performance patterns in adults with ADHD.
MOXOLev et dCPT al. with	2022	Adults with and without ADHD	Not clearly specified in extract	 MOXO-dCPT with eye tracking 	Study combined continuous per-

Study Au-	Year Sample	Demo-	Sample	Tests/Measures	Key Find-
thors	graphics		Size	Used	ings
Eye Track- ing					formance testing with eye track- ing to im- prove di- agnostic accuracy for adult ADHD.