Numerical Optimization, Fall 2020 Quiz solution

Fan Zhang and Xiangyu Yang

December 9, 2020

I. Using KKT conditions to characterize the projections onto different convex sets Given a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(1) (20 pts)

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\
\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}.$$

$$(\mathcal{P}_1)$$

Solution:

We only analyse the case that the problem is feasible, that is $a \neq 0$ if $b \neq 0$.

The associated Lagrangian of problem (\mathcal{P}_1) is

$$L(\boldsymbol{x}, \lambda) = \boldsymbol{c}^T \boldsymbol{x} + \lambda (\boldsymbol{a}^T \boldsymbol{x} - b) = (\boldsymbol{c} + \lambda \boldsymbol{a})^T \boldsymbol{x} - \lambda b,$$

where λ is the multiplier. Therefore, if there is no λ such that $c + \lambda a = 0$, then the problem (\mathcal{P}_1) is unbounded from below.

Furthermore, any optimal pair (x^*, λ^*) must satisfy following KKT conditions

$$c + \lambda^* a = 0, \tag{1a}$$

$$\boldsymbol{a}^T \boldsymbol{x}^* = b, \tag{1b}$$

yielding the optimal primal and dual solutions.

(2) (20 pts)

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z}\|_{2}^{2}
\text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{a}^{T} \boldsymbol{x} = b.$$

$$(\mathcal{P}_{2})$$

Solution:

We only analyse the case that the problem is feasible, that is $a \neq 0$ if $b \neq 0$. Then We consider two cases.

- 1). Suppose $\langle a, z \rangle = b$, then the solution to (\mathcal{P}_2) is $x^* = z$.
- 2). Assume that $\langle a, z \rangle \neq b$. The associated Lagrangian of problem (\mathcal{P}_2) is

$$L(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} ||x - z||_2^2 + \lambda (a^T x - b),$$

where λ is the multiplier. Then, any optimal pair (x^*, λ^*) must satisfy following KKT conditions

$$x^* - z + \lambda^* a = 0, \tag{2a}$$

$$\boldsymbol{a}^T \boldsymbol{x}^* = b. \tag{2b}$$

Multiplying both sides of (2a) by a^T and combining with (2b), we have

$$||a||_2^2 = -a^T x^* + a^T z = a^T z - b,$$

which yields $\lambda^* = \frac{a^T z - b}{\|a\|_2^2}$. Combining with (2a)

$$x^* = z + \frac{(b - a^T z)}{\|a\|_2^2} a.$$

(3) (20 pts)

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \qquad \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z}\|_{2}^{2}$$
s.t. $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}$. (\mathcal{P}_{3})

Solution: We only analyse the case that the problem is feasible, that is $b \in \text{span}\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$, where c_i $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ is the *i*th column of A. Then We consider two cases.

- 1). Suppose Az = b, then the solution to (\mathcal{P}_3) is $x^* = z$.
- 2). Assume that $Az \neq b$. The additional assumption on matrix A is: A has full row rank. The associated Lagrangian of problem (\mathcal{P}_3) is

$$L(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} ||x - z||_2^2 + \lambda^T (Ax - b),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the multiplier. Then, any optimal pair $(\boldsymbol{x}^*, \lambda^*)$ must satisfy following KKT conditions

$$x^* - z + A^T \lambda^* = 0, \tag{3a}$$

$$Ax^* = b. (3b)$$

Multiplying both sides of (3a) by \mathbf{A} and combining with (3b), we have

$$AA^T\lambda^* = Az - Ax^* = Az - b.$$

According to the assumption \boldsymbol{A} has full row rank, it holds $\lambda^* = (\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^T)^{-1}(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{b})$. Combining with (3a)

$$\boldsymbol{x}^* = \boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{A}^T (\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A}^T)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{b}).$$

(4) (20 pts) Projecting y onto a (closed) halfspace:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2$$
s.t. $\boldsymbol{a}^T \boldsymbol{x} < b$, (4)

Solution: We consider two cases.

- 1). Suppose $\langle \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \leq b$, then the solution to (4) is $\boldsymbol{x}^* = \boldsymbol{y}$.
- 2). Assume that $\langle \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle > b$. The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{x}^*, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 + \lambda (\boldsymbol{a}^T \boldsymbol{x} - b), \tag{5}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is the introduced Lagrange multiplier. Then the first-order optimality conditions are

$$x^* - y + \lambda a = 0,$$
 ((3) stationay conditions)
 $a^T x^* \le b,$ ((4) primal feasibility)
 $\lambda \ge 0,$ ((5) dual feasibility)
 $\lambda(a^T x^* - b) = 0.$ ((6) complementary conditions)

By ((3) stationary conditions), we have

$$\lambda = \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{a} \rangle - b}{\|\boldsymbol{a}\|_2^2}. \qquad (why?)$$
 (7)

By substituting (7) into ((3) stationary conditions), we have

$$oldsymbol{x}^* = oldsymbol{y} - rac{\langle oldsymbol{y}, oldsymbol{a}
angle - b}{\|oldsymbol{a}\|_2^2} oldsymbol{a}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$m{x}^* = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} m{y} & ext{if } \langle m{a}, m{y}
angle \leq b, \\ m{y} - rac{\langle m{y}, m{a}
angle - b}{\|m{a}\|_2^2} m{a} & ext{if } \langle m{a}, m{y}
angle > b. \end{array}
ight.$$

(5) (20 pts) Projecting \boldsymbol{y} onto the unit ℓ_2 ball:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2
\text{s.t.} \quad \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2^2 \le 1.$$
(8)

Solution: We consider two cases.

- 1). Suppose $\|\mathbf{y}\|_2^2 \leq 1$, then the solution to (8) is $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{y}$.
- 2). Assume that $\|\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 > 1$. The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{x}^*, \lambda^*) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x}^* - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 + \lambda^* (\|\boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2 - 1), \tag{9}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is the introduced Lagrange multiplier. Then the first-order optimality conditions

$$\boldsymbol{x}^* - \boldsymbol{y} + 2\lambda \boldsymbol{x}^* = \boldsymbol{0},\tag{10a}$$

$$\|x^*\|_2^2 \le 1,\tag{10b}$$

$$\lambda^* \ge 0,\tag{10c}$$

$$\lambda^*(\|\boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2 - 1) = 0. \tag{10d}$$

By (10a), we have

$$\lambda^* = \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}^* \rangle - 1}{2}. \qquad (why?)$$
 (11)

By substituting (11) into (10a), we have

$$(\boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{x}^*) \boldsymbol{x}^* - \boldsymbol{y} = 0. \tag{12}$$

Note that $y^T x^*$ is a scalar, it means that x and y share the same direction, and consequently, the solution is the intersection of the ℓ_2 ball surface with the line connecting the point y to the origin. Therefore, we have

$$\boldsymbol{x}^* = \begin{cases} & \boldsymbol{y} & \text{if } \|\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 \le 1, \\ \frac{\boldsymbol{y}}{\|\boldsymbol{y}\|_2} & \text{if } \|\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 > 1. \end{cases}$$
 (13)

(6) (0 points) Projection \boldsymbol{y} onto the unit ℓ_1 ball problem:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \quad \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2
\text{s.t.} \quad \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_1^2 \le \gamma.$$
(14)

Solution: We consider two cases.

- 1). Suppose $\|\boldsymbol{y}\|_1^2 \leq 1$, then the solution to (14) is $\boldsymbol{x}^* = \boldsymbol{y}$.
- 2). Assume that $\|\boldsymbol{y}\|_1^2 > 1$. The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{x}^*, \lambda^*) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x}^* - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 + \lambda^* (\|\boldsymbol{x}^*\|_1^2 - 1), \tag{15}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is the introduced Lagrange multiplier. Then the first-order optimality conditions

$$x^* - y + 2\lambda^* s = 0, (16a)$$

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}^*\|_1^2 \le 1,$$
 (16b)
 $\lambda^* \ge 0,$ (16c)

$$\lambda^* \ge 0,\tag{16c}$$

$$\lambda^*(\|\boldsymbol{x}^*\|_1^2 - 1) = 0, (16d)$$

where $s = \partial ||x^*||_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $s_i = \text{sign}(x_i)$ if $x_i \neq 0$, $s_i \in [-1, 1]$ if $x_i = 0$. By (16a), we have

$$x_i^* = [S_{2\lambda^*}(\boldsymbol{y})] = \begin{cases} y_i - 2\lambda^* & \text{if } y_i > 2\lambda^*, \\ 0 & \text{if } -2\lambda^* \le y_i \le 2\lambda^*, \\ y_i + 2\lambda^* & \text{if } y_i < -2\lambda^*. \end{cases}$$
(17)

where $S_{2\lambda^*}(\cdot)$ is the soft-thresholding operator. Use a more compact expression, x^* can be characterized by

$$\boldsymbol{x}^* = \operatorname{sgn}(\boldsymbol{y}) \max(|\boldsymbol{y}| - 2\lambda^*, 0), \tag{18}$$

where sgn is the signum function. (Note: In (18), all operations are defined in a component-wise manner.)

Therefore, the only thing is left for obtaining x^* is to find the value of λ^* (why?). For this, we define the function

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{i} \max(|y_i| - 2\lambda, 0) - 1; \tag{19}$$

the solution to $f(\lambda) = 0$ leads to obtain λ^* . Moreover, $f(\lambda)$ is convex and piece-wise linear, and the well-known root-finding algorithm—Newton's method, can thus be applied. (Note: Regarding the projection onto the ℓ_1 ball, please refer to [1, 2] for more details.)

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Condat, "Fast projection onto the simplex and the ℓ_1 ball," Mathematical Programming, vol. 158, no. 1-2, pp. 575–585, 2016.
- [2] F. Zhang, H. Wang, J. Wang, and K. Yang, "Inexact primal—dual gradient projection methods for nonlinear optimization on convex set," *Optimization*, vol. 69, no. 10, pp. 2339–2365, 2020.