

SI231 Matrix Computations

Lecture 4: Orthogonalization and QR Decomposition

Ziping Zhao

Fall Term 2020–2021

School of Information Science and Technology
ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai, China

Lecture 4: Orthogonalization and QR Decomposition

- QR decomposition
- Solving LS via QR decomposition
- Gram-Schmidt QR
- Householder QR
- Givens QR
- Solving Underdetermined Linear Systems via QR decomposition

Summary

QR decomposition/factorization: Any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ admits a decomposition

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{QR},$$

where $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is orthogonal, $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ takes an upper triangular form. (\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}) is called a QR factor of \mathbf{A} . (see Theorem 5.2.1 in [\[Golub-Van Loan'13\]](#))

- efficient to compute
 - done algorithmically by either Gram-Schmidt, Householder reflections, or Givens rotations
- can be used to compute (thread for most of the algorithms in matrix computations)
 - a basis for $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$ or for $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})^\perp$;
 - LS solutions;
 - linear systems (not the standard method).
- a building block for [the QR algorithm](#)—a popular numerical method for solving the eigenvalue problem (all eigenvalues) (cf. [Lecture 5](#)) and computing SVD
- for complex $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is unitary

Thin QR Decomposition for Tall or Square \mathbf{A}

- for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $m \geq n$,

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{QR} = [\mathbf{Q}_1 \quad \mathbf{Q}_2] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{R}_1,$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{Q}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (m-n)}$, $\mathbf{R}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ which is upper triangular

- the decomposition $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{R}_1$ is called the **thin QR** (reduced/economic QR) decomposition of \mathbf{A} ; $(\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{R}_1)$ is called a thin QR factor of \mathbf{A}
- in contrast, the QR in the previous page is also called **full QR** decomposition
- properties under thin QR and $m \geq n$:
 - \mathbf{A} has full column rank if and only if $r_{ii} \neq 0$ for all i ;
 - if \mathbf{A} has full column rank (**Quiz**),

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}_1), \quad \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})^\perp = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}_2)$$

- see Theorem 5.2.2 in **[Golub-Van Loan'13]**

QR Decomposition for Full Column-Rank Matrices

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be a full column-rank matrix. Then \mathbf{A} admits a decomposition

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{R}_1,$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is semi-orthogonal; $\mathbf{R}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is upper triangular. If we restrict $r_{ii} > 0$ for all i , then $(\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{R}_1)$ is unique.

- **Proof:**

1. let $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$, which is PD if \mathbf{A} has full column rank
2. since \mathbf{C} is PD, it admits the Cholesky decomposition $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R}_1^T \mathbf{R}_1$
3. \mathbf{R}_1 , as the upper triangular Cholesky factor, is unique (cf. Theorem 2.3)
4. let $\mathbf{Q}_1 = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R}_1^{-1}$. It can be verified that $\mathbf{Q}_1^T \mathbf{Q}_1 = \mathbf{I}$, $\mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{A}$

- see Theorem 5.2.3 in **[Golub-Van Loan'13]**

- Remark: the proof above reveals that thin QR may be computed via Cholesky decomposition, but this is not what we usually do in practice

Solving (Well-determined) Linear Systems via QR

- **Problem:** compute the solution to

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$$

with nonsingular $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

- if $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{QR}$ is a QR factorization, we have $\mathbf{QRx} = \mathbf{y}$ or

$$\mathbf{Rx} = \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{y}$$

- **Solution** (computational):
 1. factorize \mathbf{A} as $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{QR}$, $\mathcal{O}(2n^3)$ (to be shown next)
 2. compute $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{y}$, $\mathcal{O}(2n^2)$
 3. solve $\mathbf{Rx} = \mathbf{z}$ via backward substitution, $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$
- more expensive than Gauss elimination and LU decompositions, and hence not the standard method (to be shown next...)

Solving LS via QR

- **Problem:** compute the solution to

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2,$$

with \mathbf{A} being of full column rank

- this is to solve an well-determined or overdetermined linear system
- observe ($\|\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{z}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{z}\|_2$)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 &= \|\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1^T \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{Q}_2^T \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{Q}_1^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{Q}_2^T \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

- it reduces to solve $\mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{Q}_1^T \mathbf{y}$
- **Solution** (computational):
 1. compute the thin QR factor $(\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{R}_1)$ of \mathbf{A} ;
 2. compute $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{Q}_1^T \mathbf{y}$
 3. solve $\mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}$ via backward substitution.

Gram-Schmidt for Thin QR

Recall the Gram-Schmidt (GS) orthogonalization procedure in [Lecture 1](#):

Algorithm: Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization

input: a collection of linearly independent vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$

$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_1 = \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{q}_1 = \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_1 / \|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_1\|_2$

for $i = 2, \dots, n$

$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i = \mathbf{a}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\mathbf{q}_j^T \mathbf{a}_i) \mathbf{q}_j$

$\mathbf{q}_i = \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i / \|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i\|_2$

end

output: $\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n$

Gram-Schmidt for Thin QR

- let $r_{ii} = \|\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i\|_2$, $r_{ji} = \mathbf{q}_j^T \mathbf{a}_i$ for $j = 1, \dots, i-1$
- we see that $\mathbf{a}_i = \sum_{j=1}^i r_{ji} \mathbf{q}_j$ for all i , or, equivalently,

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_n \end{bmatrix}}_{=\mathbf{A}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1 & \mathbf{q}_2 & \dots & \mathbf{q}_n \end{bmatrix}}_{=\mathbf{Q}_1} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \dots & r_{1n} \\ & r_{22} & \dots & r_{2n} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & r_{nn} \end{bmatrix}}_{=\mathbf{R}_1}$$

i.e.,

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{R}_1,$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_1 = [\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n]$; \mathbf{R}_1 is upper triangular with $[\mathbf{R}_1]_{ji} = r_{ji}$ for $j \leq i$

Gram-Schmidt for Thin QR

Algorithm: (classical) Gram-Schmidt iteration for thin QR

input: full column-rank \mathbf{A}

$\mathbf{Q}_1 = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{0}$

$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{A}(:, 1), \mathbf{R}_1(1, 1) = \|\mathbf{z}\|_2, \mathbf{Q}_1(:, 1) = \mathbf{z}/\mathbf{R}_1(1, 1)$

for $i = 2, \dots, n$

$\mathbf{R}_1(1 : i - 1, i) = \mathbf{Q}_1(:, 1 : i - 1)^T \mathbf{A}(:, i) \quad \% (2m - 1)i \text{ flops}$

$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{A}(:, i) - \mathbf{Q}_1(:, 1 : i - 1)\mathbf{R}_1(1 : i - 1, i) \quad \% (2i - 1)m + m \text{ flops}$

$\mathbf{R}_1(i, i) = \|\mathbf{z}\|_2 \quad \% 2m \text{ flops}$

$\mathbf{Q}_1(:, i) = \mathbf{z}/\mathbf{R}_1(i, i) \quad \% m \text{ flops}$

end

output: \mathbf{Q}_1 and \mathbf{R}_1

- complexity of Gram-Schmidt iteration: $\mathcal{O}(mn^2)$ ($\sum_{i=2}^n (4m - 1)i \sim 2mn^2$)
- in the i th iteration, the i th columns of both \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{R} are generated
- what if \mathbf{A} is not full column-rank?
 - i.e., $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$ are linear dependent, and we can find $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$ for some i , which means \mathbf{a}_i is linearly dependent on $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i-1}$

Algorithm: general (classic) Gram-Schmidt iteration for thin QR

input: \mathbf{A}

$\mathbf{Q}_1 = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{0}$

$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{A}(:, 1),$

if $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$

$\mathbf{R}_1(1, 1) = \|\mathbf{z}\|_2, \mathbf{Q}_1(:, 1) = \mathbf{z}/\mathbf{R}_1(1, 1)$

else

$\mathbf{R}_1(1, 1) = 0, \mathbf{Q}_1(:, 1) = \mathbf{0}$

end

for $i = 2, \dots, n$

$\mathbf{R}_1(1 : i - 1, i) = \mathbf{Q}_1(:, 1 : i - 1)^T \mathbf{A}(:, i)$

$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{A}(:, i) - \mathbf{Q}_1(:, 1 : i - 1) \mathbf{R}_1(1 : i - 1, i)$

if $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$

$\mathbf{R}_1(i, i) = \|\mathbf{z}\|_2, \mathbf{Q}_1(:, i) = \mathbf{z}/\mathbf{R}_1(i, i)$

else

$\mathbf{R}_1(i, i) = 0, \mathbf{Q}_1(:, i) = \mathbf{0}$

end

end

replace the $\mathbf{0}$ -columns in \mathbf{Q}_1 to make it form a basis of \mathbb{R}^m

output: \mathbf{Q}_1 and \mathbf{R}_1

Gram-Schmidt for Thin QR

- GS is numerically unstable due to computer rounding errors
 - say, what if \mathbf{z} is close to $\mathbf{0}$?
- there are several variants with the Gram-Schmidt procedure

Modified Gram-Schmidt for Thin QR

- GS can lead to nonorthogonal \mathbf{q}_i 's
- denote the i th row of \mathbf{R}_1 as $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i^T$, then we define the matrix $\mathbf{A}_{(:,i:n)}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (n-i+1)}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & | & \mathbf{A}_{(:,i:n)}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \mathbf{q}_k \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_k^T = \sum_{k=i}^n \mathbf{q}_k \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_k^T$$

or

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & | & \mathbf{a}_i^{(i)} & \dots & \mathbf{a}_n^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & | & \mathbf{q}_i & \dots & \mathbf{q}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{ii} & \dots & r_{in} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & r_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

- it follows if $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{a}_i^{(i)}$ then $r_{ii} = \|\mathbf{z}\|_2$, $\mathbf{q}_i = \mathbf{z}/r_{ii}$, and $[\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i^T]_{(i+1:n)} = [r_{i,i+1}, \dots, r_{i,n}] = \mathbf{q}_i^T [\mathbf{a}_{i+1}^{(i)}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n^{(i)}]$
- we can compute $\mathbf{A}_{(:,i+1:n)}^{(i+1)} = [\mathbf{A}^{(i)}]_{(:,i+1:n)} - \mathbf{q}_i [\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i^T]_{(i+1:n)}$

Modified Gram-Schmidt for Thin QR

the modified Gram-Schmidt (MGS) iteration is

Algorithm: modified Gram-Schmidt iteration for thin QR

input: full column-rank \mathbf{A}

$\mathbf{Q}_1 = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{0}$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$

$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{A}(:, i)$

$\mathbf{R}_1(i, i) = \|\mathbf{z}\|_2$ % $2m$ flops

$\mathbf{Q}_1(:, i) = \mathbf{z} / \mathbf{R}_1(i, i)$ % m flops

$\mathbf{R}_1(i, i+1:n) = \mathbf{Q}_1(:, i)^T \mathbf{A}(:, i+1:n)$ % $(2m-1)(n-i)$ flops

$\mathbf{A}(:, i+1:n) = \mathbf{A}(:, i+1:n) - \mathbf{Q}_1(:, i) \mathbf{R}_1(i, i+1:n)$ % $m(n-i) + m$

end

output: \mathbf{Q}_1 and \mathbf{R}_1

- complexity of modified Gram-Schmidt: $\mathcal{O}(mn^2)$
- in the i th iteration, the i th column of \mathbf{Q} and the i th row of \mathbf{R} are generated
- GS and MGS tell us how we may compute the thin QR, but not the full QR

A Second Look on GS and MGS via Orthogonal Projections

- in classic GS, we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i = \mathbf{a}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\mathbf{q}_j^T \mathbf{a}_i) \mathbf{q}_j = \mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{q}_1^T \mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{q}_2 \mathbf{q}_2^T \mathbf{a}_i - \dots - \mathbf{q}_{i-1} \mathbf{q}_{i-1}^T \mathbf{a}_i$$

- observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{q}_1^T - \mathbf{q}_2 \mathbf{q}_2^T - \dots - \mathbf{q}_{i-1} \mathbf{q}_{i-1}^T) \mathbf{a}_i \\ &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{q}_{i-1} \mathbf{q}_{i-1}^T) \dots (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{q}_2 \mathbf{q}_2^T) (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{q}_1^T) \mathbf{a}_i \end{aligned}$$

defining $\mathbf{a}_i^{(1)} = \mathbf{a}_i$ and

$$\mathbf{a}_i^{(k)} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{q}_{k-1} \mathbf{q}_{k-1}^T) \mathbf{a}_i^{(k-1)}$$

with $k \leq i$, we have $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_i = \mathbf{a}_i^{(i)}$

- in fact this is the update step in MGS
- we have rearrangement of the calculation in contrast to GS

Gram-Schmidt as Triangular Orthogonalization

- GS iteration is a process of “triangular orthogonalization” - making the columns of a matrix orthogonal via a sequence of matrix operations that can be interpreted as multiplications on the right by upper-triangular matrices

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \cdots & \mathbf{q}_{i-1} & \mathbf{a}_i^{(i)} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_n^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}}_{=\mathbf{A}^{(i)}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & \frac{1}{r_{ii}} & \cdots & \frac{-r_{in}}{r_{ii}} \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}}_{=\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^{(i)}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \cdots & \mathbf{q}_i & \mathbf{a}_{i+1}^{(i+1)} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_n^{(i+1)} \end{bmatrix}}_{=\mathbf{A}^{(i+1)}}$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^{(1)} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^{(2)} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^{(n)} = \mathbf{Q}_1$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^{(1)} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^{(2)} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^{(n)} = \mathbf{R}_1^{-1}$

- in practice, we do not form these $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1^{(i)}$'s, it just helps to get insight in to the structure of GS
- the above procedure looks similar to the Gauss elimination as well as LU decomposition in which case is a “triangular triangularization” procedure

Reflection Matrices

- a matrix $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is called a reflection matrix if

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I} - 2\mathbf{P},$$

where \mathbf{P} is an orthogonal projector.

- interpretation: denote $\mathbf{P}^\perp = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}$, and observe

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{P}^\perp\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{P}^\perp\mathbf{x}.$$

The vector $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}$ is a reflected version of \mathbf{x} , with $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{P}^\perp)$ being the “mirror”

- a reflection matrix is orthogonal:

$$\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H} = (\mathbf{I} - 2\mathbf{P})(\mathbf{I} - 2\mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{I} - 4\mathbf{P} + 4\mathbf{P}^2 = \mathbf{I} - 4\mathbf{P} + 4\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I}$$

Householder Reflections

- **Problem:** given $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, find an orthogonal $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ such that

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \beta \mathbf{e}_1, \quad \text{for some } \beta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- **Householder reflection/transformation:** let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ (Householder vector), $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$.
Let

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I} - \frac{2}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2} \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T,$$

which is a reflection matrix with $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T / \|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2$

- it can be verified that (try)

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} \mp \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \mathbf{e}_1 \quad \implies \quad \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} = \pm \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \mathbf{e}_1;$$

the sign above may be determined to be the one that maximizes $\|\mathbf{v}\|_2$, for the sake of numerical stability

Householder QR

- let $\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ be the Householder reflection w.r.t. \mathbf{a}_1 . Transform $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ as

$$\mathbf{A}^{(1)} = \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \dots & \times \\ 0 & \times & \dots & \times \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \times & \dots & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

- let $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(m-1) \times (m-1)}$ be the Householder reflection w.r.t. $\mathbf{A}_{2:m,2}^{(1)}$ (marked red above). Transform $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$ as

$$\mathbf{A}^{(2)} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_2 \end{bmatrix}}_{=\mathbf{H}_2} \mathbf{A}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \dots & \times \\ \mathbf{0} & \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_2 \mathbf{A}_{2:m,2}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \dots & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \dots & \times \\ \vdots & 0 & \times & \dots & \times \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \dots & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

- by repeatedly applying the trick above, we can transform \mathbf{A} as the desired \mathbf{R}

Householder QR

- assume $m \geq n$, without loss of generality (why?)

$$\mathbf{A}^{(0)} = \mathbf{A}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$

$$\mathbf{A}^{(k)} = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{A}^{(k-1)}, \text{ where}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{k-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k \end{bmatrix},$$

\mathbf{I}_k is the $k \times k$ identity matrix; $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k$ is the Householder reflection of $\mathbf{A}_{k:m,k}^{(k-1)}$
end

- the above procedure results in

$$\mathbf{A}^{(n)} = \mathbf{H}_n \cdots \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{A}, \quad \mathbf{A}^{(n)} \text{ taking an upper triangular form}$$

- letting $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{A}^{(n)}$, $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbf{H}_n \cdots \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{H}_1)^T = \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{H}_2 \cdots \mathbf{H}_n$, we obtain the full QR
- the Householder QR procedure is a process of “orthogonal triangularization”
- a popularly used method for QR (used as “qr” in MATLAB and Julia)

Householder QR

$$\mathbf{A}^{(0)} = \mathbf{A}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$

$$\mathbf{A}^{(k)} = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{A}^{(k-1)}, \text{ where}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{k-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k \end{bmatrix},$$

\mathbf{I}_k is the $k \times k$ identity matrix; $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_k$ is the Householder reflection of $\mathbf{A}_{k:m,k}^{(k-1)}$
end

- the complexity (for $m \geq n$):
 - $\mathcal{O}(2n^2(m - n/3))$ for \mathbf{R} only
 - * a direct implementation of the above Householder pseudo-code does not lead us to this complexity; structures of \mathbf{H}_k are exploited in the implementations to lead to this complexity (cf. matrix computation tricks in [Lecture 1](#))
 - $\mathcal{O}(4(m^2n - mn^2 + n^3/3))$ if \mathbf{Q} is also wanted

Givens Rotations

- Example: Let

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{bmatrix}$$

where $c = \cos(\theta)$, $s = \sin(\theta)$ for some θ . Consider $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{J}\mathbf{x}$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} cx_1 + sx_2 \\ -sx_1 + cx_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It can be verified that

- \mathbf{J} is orthogonal;
- $y_2 = 0$ if $\theta = \tan^{-1}(x_2/x_1)$, or equivalently if

$$c = \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}}, \quad s = \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}}.$$

Givens Rotations

- Givens rotations:

$$\mathbf{J}(i, k, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & & & & \\ & \overset{i}{\downarrow} & & \overset{k}{\downarrow} & \\ & c & & s & \\ & & \mathbf{I} & & \\ & -s & & c & \\ & & & & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow i \\ \leftarrow k \end{matrix}$$

where $c = \cos(\theta)$, $s = \sin(\theta)$.

- $\mathbf{J}(i, k, \theta)$ is orthogonal
- let $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{J}(i, k, \theta)\mathbf{x}$. It holds that

$$y_j = \begin{cases} cx_i + sx_k, & j = i \\ -sx_i + cx_k, & j = k \\ x_j, & j \neq i, k \end{cases}$$

- y_k is forced to zero if we choose $\theta = \tan^{-1}(x_k/x_i)$.

Givens QR

- Example: consider a 4×3 matrix. Givens QR (from top to bottom) can be

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} &\xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{1,2}^{(1)}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{1,3}^{(1)}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{1,4}^{(1)}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \\
 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{2,3}^{(2)}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} &\xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{2,4}^{(2)}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{3,4}^{(3)}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}
 \end{aligned}$$

Givens QR

or (from bottom to top)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} &\xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{3,4}^{(1)}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{2,3}^{(1)}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{1,2}^{(1)}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \\
 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{3,4}^{(2)}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \end{bmatrix} &\xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{2,3}^{(2)}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{3,4}^{(3)}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{B} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}} \mathbf{C}$ means $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J}\mathbf{C}$; $\mathbf{J}_{i,k}^{(j)} = \mathbf{J}^{(j)}(i, k, \theta)$, with θ chosen to zero out the (k, j) th entry of the matrix transformed by $\mathbf{J}_{i,k}^{(j)}$.

Givens QR

- **Givens QR:** assume $m \geq n$. Perform a sequence of Givens rotations to annihilate the lower triangular parts of \mathbf{A} to obtain \mathbf{R} , say

$$\underbrace{(\mathbf{J}_{n,m}^{(n)} \cdots \mathbf{J}_{n,n+2}^{(n)} \mathbf{J}_{n,n+1}^{(n)}) \cdots (\mathbf{J}_{2m}^{(2)} \cdots \mathbf{J}_{24}^{(2)} \mathbf{J}_{23}^{(2)}) (\mathbf{J}_{1m}^{(1)} \cdots \mathbf{J}_{13}^{(1)} \mathbf{J}_{12}^{(1)})}_{=\mathbf{Q}^T} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}$$

where \mathbf{R} takes the upper triangular form, and \mathbf{Q} is orthogonal.

- the Givens QR procedure is a process of “orthogonal triangularization”
- complexity (for $m \geq n$): $\mathcal{O}(3n^2(m - n/3))$ for \mathbf{R} only
- not as efficient as Householder QR for general (and dense) \mathbf{A} 's
 - the flop count for Householder QR is $2n^2(m - n/3)$ (for \mathbf{R} and for $m \geq n$)
 - the flop count for Givens QR is $3n^2(m - n/3)$
- can be faster than Householder QR if \mathbf{A} has certain sparse structures and we exploit them

Method of Normal Equations vs. QR for LS

- In terms of complexity, method of normal equations only needs half of the arithmetic compared to QR decomposition when $m \gg n$.
- Method of normal equations can be easy for implementation, however, it is not recommended due to its numerical instability.
 - By forming the product $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$, we square the condition number of \mathbf{A} . (cf. [Lecture 7](#))
- Thus, using the QR decomposition yields a better least-squares estimate than the normal equations in terms of solution quality.

Solving Underdetermined Systems by QR

For $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $m < n$ and $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = m$, we have

$$\mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R} = [\mathbf{Q}_1 \quad \mathbf{Q}_2] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{R}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2\mathbf{0}$$

- note

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{R}_1^T \mathbf{Q}_1^T \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{0}^T \mathbf{Q}_2^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

which indicates

$$\mathbf{Q}_1^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{R}_1^{-T} \mathbf{b}$$

and $\mathbf{Q}_2^T \mathbf{x}$ can be anything, which we set to be \mathbf{d} . Then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1^T \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{Q}_2^T \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_1^{-T} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{d} \end{bmatrix}$$

- the solution is

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_1^{-T} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{d} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{R}_1^{-T} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{Q}_2 \mathbf{d}$$

where to get the minimum norm solution, we can set $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{0}$.

Other Contents on QR

- QR with column pivoting (cf. Section 5.4.2 in [\[Golub-Van Loan'13\]](#))
- QR algorithm for computing eigenvalues (cf. [Lecture 5](#))

References

[Golub-Van Loan'13] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, 4th edition, JHU Press, 2013.