

The background of the slide features a large, light gray watermark of the ShanghaiTech University logo. The logo is circular, with the university's name in Chinese '上海科技大学' at the top and 'SHANGHAITECH UNIVERSITY' at the bottom. In the center, there is a stylized graphic of a DNA double helix and a building, with the year '2013' at the bottom. The text '立志成才' (Lìzhì chéng cái) is also visible in the center.

School of Information Science and Technology
ShanghaiTech University

QR decompositions

Theorems and Algorithms

Sihang Xu
xush@shanghaitech.edu.cn

December 15, 2020



QR Decomposition

Introduction

Gram-Schmidt Algorithms for QR decomposition

Gram-Schmidt Process

Householder QR

Introduction

Householder Reflection

Conclusion

Givens QR

Introduction

Givens Rotation

The QR decomposition of a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is an orthonormal matrix \mathbf{Q} and an upper triangular matrix \mathbf{R} such that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{QR}$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1 & \mathbf{q}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{q}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1n} \\ 0 & r_{22} & \cdots & r_{2n} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & r_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where $\mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n]$ is orthogonal and \mathbf{R} is upper triangular.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1 & \mathbf{q}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{q}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1n} \\ & r_{22} & \cdots & r_{2n} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & r_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

One way of computing QR decomposition is to design a process to produce $\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n$, such that

- ▶ $\mathbf{a}_k \in \text{span}(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k)$, for $k = 1, \dots, n$

The other way of computing QR decomposition is to design a series of orthonormal matrix $\mathbf{Q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Q}_k$, such that $\mathbf{Q}_k \cdots \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{A}$ is an upper triangular matrix R .

Gram-Schmidt Process



Given linearly independent vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$, (Classical)
Gram-Schmidt process calculate $\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n$ with the following steps:

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{q}_1 = \mathbf{a}_1 / \|\mathbf{a}_1\|$.
- ▶ For $j = 2, \dots, n$, let

$$\bar{\mathbf{q}}_j = \mathbf{a}_j - (\mathbf{a}_j^\top \mathbf{q}_1) \mathbf{q}_1 - \dots - (\mathbf{a}_j^\top \mathbf{q}_{j-1}) \mathbf{q}_{j-1}$$

and

$$\mathbf{q}_j = \bar{\mathbf{q}}_j / \|\bar{\mathbf{q}}_j\|$$

- ▶ Then $\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n$ is an orthonormal basis of $\text{span}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$

We can validate $\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n$ has property that

$$\text{span}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_j) = \text{span}(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_j)$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$.



We can prove the claim by induction.

- ▶ For $j = 1$, it's obvious that $\text{span}(\mathbf{a}_1) = \text{span}(\mathbf{q}_1)$.
- ▶ If for $j = k$, $\text{span}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k) = \text{span}(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k)$, then for $j = k + 1$, recall the step of in the process,

$$\bar{\mathbf{q}}_{k+1} = \mathbf{a}_{k+1} - (\mathbf{a}_{k+1}^\top \mathbf{q}_1) \mathbf{q}_1 - \dots - (\mathbf{a}_{k+1}^\top \mathbf{q}_k) \mathbf{q}_k$$

We have $\bar{\mathbf{q}}_{k+1} \in \text{span}(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{a}_{k+1}) = \text{span}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k, \mathbf{a}_{k+1})$,
and $\mathbf{a}_{k+1} \in \text{span}(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k, \bar{\mathbf{q}}_{k+1}) = \text{span}(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k, \mathbf{q}_{k+1})$.
Hence

$$\text{span}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{k+1}) \subset \text{span}(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{k+1})$$

$$\text{span}(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{k+1}) \subset \text{span}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{k+1})$$

Which means $\text{span}(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{k+1}) = \text{span}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{k+1})$ and the proof is done.

QR by Gram-Schmidt Process

Property



6

For the property, we know if $\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k$ is the output of Gram-Schmidt process with input $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$, then we have

$$\mathbf{a}_k \in \text{span}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k) = \text{span}(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k)$$

Let $r_{ij} = \mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{q}_j$ for $i \geq j$, and we can gain the QR decomposition:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1 & \mathbf{q}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{q}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1n} \\ 0 & r_{22} & \cdots & r_{2n} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & r_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Modified Gram-Schmidt Process for QR



In the classical Gram-Schmidt process, we compute $\bar{\mathbf{q}}_k$ by

$$\bar{\mathbf{q}}_k = \mathbf{a}_k - (\mathbf{a}_k^\top \mathbf{q}_1) \mathbf{q}_1 - \cdots - (\mathbf{a}_k^\top \mathbf{q}_{k-1}) \mathbf{q}_{k-1}$$

In Modified Gram-Schmidt process, we use some smaller steps instead:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_k^{(1)} = \mathbf{a}_k - (\mathbf{q}_1^\top \mathbf{a}_k) \mathbf{q}_1$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_k^{(2)} = \mathbf{a}_k - (\mathbf{q}_2^\top \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_k^{(1)}) \mathbf{q}_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_k^{(k-1)} = \mathbf{a}_k - (\mathbf{q}_{k-1}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_k^{(1)}) \mathbf{q}_{k-1}$$

Modified Gram-Schmidt process has greater numerical stability

Householder QR

Introduction



8

Given $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, the idea of Householder QR can be described as following: Design a series orthonormal matrix $\mathbf{Q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Q}_k$, such that $\mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Q}_2\mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{A}, \dots$ has following forms:

$$\mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \cdots & \times \\ 0 & \times & \cdots & \times \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \times & \cdots & \times \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{Q}_2\mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \cdots & \times \\ 0 & \times & \cdots & \times \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \times \end{bmatrix}, \dots$$

And finally $\mathbf{Q}_k \cdots \mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{A}$ is a upper triangular matrix \mathbf{R} , and then let $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbf{Q}_k \cdots \mathbf{Q}_1)^T = \mathbf{Q}_1^T \cdots \mathbf{Q}_k^T$, we obtain $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{QR}$.



Householder Reflection

Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$. Let

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I} - \frac{2}{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T$$

Then \mathbf{H} is a reflection matrix, which is orthogonal.

For a vector \mathbf{x} , it can be verified

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} \mp \|\mathbf{x}\| \mathbf{e}_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} = \pm \|\mathbf{x}\| \mathbf{e}_1$$

Let $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{a}_1 \mp \|\mathbf{a}_1\| \mathbf{e}_1 \Rightarrow$ and \mathbf{H}_1 be the corresponding Householder reflection matrix. Apply \mathbf{H}_1 on \mathbf{A} , we can gain $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$ with desired form:

$$\mathbf{A}^{(1)} = \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \cdots & \times \\ 0 & \times & \cdots & \times \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \times & \cdots & \times \end{bmatrix},$$

The same things can be done on $\mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$, with slightly different step. Assume at step $k + 1$, we have $\mathbf{a}_1^{(k)}, \mathbf{a}_2^{(k)}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k^{(k)}$ are already become "upper-triangular".

We do the Householder reflection on $\mathbf{a}_{k+1,k+1:m}^{(k)}$, which is $\mathbf{a}_{k+1}^{(k)}$ with the first k row are truncated, and obtain a smaller matrix $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-k \times m-k}$. Then we can calculate \mathbf{H}_{k+1} by

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{k \times k} & \mathbf{O}_{k \times m-k} \\ \mathbf{O}_{m-k \times k} & \bar{\mathbf{H}}_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

And \mathbf{H}_{k+1} will eliminate the lower part of $\mathbf{a}_{k+1}^{(k)}$:

$$\mathbf{H}_{k+1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_k & \mathbf{a}_{k+1,1:k}^{(k)} & \cdots \\ 0 & \mathbf{a}_{k+1,k+1}^{(k)} & \cdots \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{a}_{k+1,k+2:m}^{(k)} & \cdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_k & \mathbf{a}_{k+1,1:k}^{(k)} & \cdots \\ 0 & \mathbf{a}_{k+1,k+1}^{(k)} & \cdots \\ \mathbf{O} & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$



After applying $\mathbf{H}_1, \dots, \mathbf{H}_n$, $\mathbf{A}^{(n)}$ is an upper triangular matrix. Then we have

$$(\mathbf{H}_n \dots \mathbf{H}_1) \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^{(n)} \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{H}_1^T \dots \mathbf{H}_n^T \mathbf{A}^{(n)}$$

Then $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{H}_1^T \dots \mathbf{H}_n^T$, $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{A}^{(n)}$ is exactly the QR decomposition of \mathbf{A} .

Formally, Householder QR for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ has the following steps:

1. Let $\mathbf{A}^{(0)} = \mathbf{A}$.
2. For $k = 1, \dots, n$
 - ▶ Calculate \mathbf{H}_{k+1} from $\mathbf{A}^{(k)}$ by Householder reflection
 - ▶ Let $\mathbf{A}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{H}_{k+1} \mathbf{A}^{(k)}$
3. Output $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{H}_1^T \dots \mathbf{H}_n^T$, $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{A}^{(n)}$

The core idea of Givens QR is the same as Householder QR: using orthogonal transformations to eliminate the lower part entries to obtain an upper triangular **R**, and the inverse of the orthogonal transformations multiple up to **Q**.

In the Givens QR, rotations is used to eliminate entries, and its step is very similar to Gauss Elimination.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{1,2}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \mathbf{0} & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{1,3}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \mathbf{0} & \times & \times \\ \mathbf{0} & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}_{1,4}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \mathbf{0} & \times & \times \\ \mathbf{0} & \times & \times \\ \mathbf{0} & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition

Define the Givens Rotation as:

$$\mathbf{J}(i, k, \theta) = \begin{matrix} & i & k \\ i & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & c & s \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ k & \begin{bmatrix} & -s & c \\ & & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

where $c = \cos \theta$ and $s = \sin \theta$.

It's easy to validate that $\mathbf{J}(i, k, \theta)$ is orthogonal.

Consider $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_n]^T$. Let $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{J}(i, k, \theta)$, we can conclude that

- ▶ $y_j = x_j$ for $j \neq i, k$, the givens rotation leaves the irrelevant rows unchanged.
- ▶ $y_i = c x_i + s x_k$
- ▶ $y_k = -s x_i + c x_k$

Let $y_k = 0$, we have $\sin \theta x_i = \cos \theta x_k$, and solve the equation gives

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \arctan(x_k/x_i) & , x_i \neq 0 \\ \pi/2 \text{ or } 3\pi/2 & , x_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

And the process to compute Givens QR for a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ can be described as follows:

1. Let $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I}$, $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{A}$
2. For $i = 1, \dots, n$
For $k = i + 1, \dots, m$
 - ▶ Compute θ_{ik} from x_{ji} and x_{ik}
 - ▶ $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{J}(i, k, \theta_{ik})$, $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{J}(i, k, \theta_{ik})$
3. Output \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{R}



Thank you!