

SI231 - Matrix Computations, Fall 2020-21

Homework Set #1

Name: Tao Huang **Major:** Undergraduate in CS

Student No.: 2018533172 **E-mail:** huangtao1@shanghaitech.edu.cn

I. ORTHOGONALITY

1) Solution:

- a) Since $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is orthogonal complement to $\mathcal{R}(A^T)$, then we have $\mathcal{N}(A) \cap \mathcal{R}(A^T) = \vec{0}$, $\dim(\mathcal{N}(A)) + \dim(\mathcal{R}(A^T)) = n$, which is equivalent to $\mathcal{N}(A) + \mathcal{R}(A^T) = \mathbb{R}^n$. Simply by the definition of direct sum, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A^T) = \mathbb{R}^n$$

Q.E.D.

- b) Let k_1, k_2 denote the number of linear independent columns $\vec{a}_{k_1 i}, \vec{a}_{k_2 i}$, in A and B respectively. Then for $\forall \hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i$, they can be represented by the linear combination of $\vec{a}_{k_1 i}, \vec{a}_{k_2 i}$

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{a}_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} \lambda_{ij} \vec{a}_{k_1 i} \\ \vec{b}_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} \lambda_{ij} \vec{b}_{k_2 i}\end{aligned}$$

For $\forall \vec{c}_i$ in matrix A+B, we can also represented \vec{c}_i in the same way

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{c}_i &= \vec{a}_i + \vec{b}_i \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} \lambda_{ij} \vec{a}_{k_1 i} + \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} \lambda_{ij} \vec{b}_{k_2 i} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k_3} \gamma_{ij} \vec{c}_{k_3 j}\end{aligned}$$

Denote S_1, S_2 as the column space of A, B. By the properties of subspaces

$$k_3 = \dim(S_1 \cup S_2) \leq \dim(S_1) + \dim(S_2) = \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B)$$

This equality induce that

$$\text{rank}(A + B) = k_3 \leq \text{Rank}(A) + \text{Rank}(B)$$

Q.E.D.

- c) First we show that $\text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(A)$. By the matrix multiplication

$$AB = [Ab_1, Ab_2, \dots, Ab_p] \triangleq [c_1, \dots, c_p]$$

Then we find $\forall \vec{c}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\text{rank}(A)} \lambda_{ij} \vec{a}'_j$ is a linear combination of linear independent columns of A. Thus $\forall \vec{x} = \sum_j^{\text{rank}(AB)} \gamma_j \vec{c}_j$ can also be represented by the linear combination of \vec{a}'_j s. This implies

$$\text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(A)$$

Secondly, we campaign the same induction to B, finding the similar conclusion as above

$$\text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(B)$$

So, here we have proved the first part of the proposition that

$$\text{rank}(AB) \leq \min\{\text{rank}(A), \text{rank}(B)\}$$

When $\text{rank}(AB) = n$

- if $\text{rank}(A)=n$, and $\text{rank}(B) \geq n$. Then $\text{rank}(B)$ must equal to n because $\text{rank}(B) \leq \min\{n, p\}$. This implies $\text{rank}(B)=n$. So A has full-column rank and B has full-row rank.
- if $\text{rank}(B)=n$, and $\text{rank}(A) \geq n$. Then $\text{rank}(A)$ must equal to n because $\text{rank}(A) \leq \min\{m, n\}$. This implies $\text{rank}(A)=n$. So A has full-column rank and B has full-row rank.

So we have proved the second part of proposition that $\text{rank}(AB)=n$ only when A has full-column rank and B has full-row rank. Q.E.D.

d) Firstly, we show that $\mathcal{R}(A|B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A) + \mathcal{R}(B)$. For $\forall \vec{x} \in \mathcal{R}(A|B)$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+p} \lambda_j \vec{c}_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \vec{c}_j + \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+p} \lambda_j \vec{c}_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \vec{a}_j + \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+p} \beta_j \vec{b}_j \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{R}(A) + \mathcal{R}(B) \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we show that $\mathcal{R}(A) + \mathcal{R}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A|B)$. For $\forall \vec{x} \in \mathcal{R}(A) + \mathcal{R}(B)$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \vec{a}_j + \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+p} \beta_j \vec{b}_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+p} c_j \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{R}(A|B) \end{aligned}$$

where \vec{c}_j 's are the columns of $\mathcal{R}(A|B)$. So we have proved that $\mathcal{R}(A|B) = \mathcal{R}(A) + \mathcal{R}(B)$. Q.E.D.

- e) Here I give my inductions through the concepts of vector space. Denote one basis of $\mathcal{R}(A)$ as A , one of $\mathcal{R}(B)$ as B , one of $\mathcal{R}(A|B)$ as C .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dim(\text{span}\{C\}) &= \dim(\text{span}\{A\} + \text{span}\{B\}) \text{ (Conclusion from problem 4)} \\
 &= \dim(\mathcal{R}(A)) + \dim(\mathcal{R}(B)) - \dim(\mathcal{R}(A) \cap \mathcal{R}(B)) \\
 &= \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) - \dim(\mathcal{R}(A) \cap \mathcal{R}(B)) \\
 \Rightarrow \text{rank}(A|B) &= \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) - \dim(\mathcal{R}(A) \cap \mathcal{R}(B))
 \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

II. UNDERSTANDING SPAN, SUBSPACE

1) Solution:

- a) Firstly, we show that $\text{span}\{\mathcal{S}\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. For $\forall \vec{x} \in \text{span}\{\mathcal{S}\}$

$$\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \vec{v}_i$$

By the definition of \mathcal{V} that \mathcal{V} denotes the subspace containing \mathcal{S} , for $\forall \mathcal{V}$ we have

$$\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \vec{v}_i \in \mathcal{V}$$

Thus, for the intersection of all \mathcal{V} \mathcal{M} we have

$$\vec{x} \in \cap_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{M}$$

Secondly, we show that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \text{span}\{\mathcal{S}\}$. For $\forall \vec{x} \in \mathcal{M}$, since $\text{span}\{\mathcal{S}\}$ itself is a satisfied \mathcal{M} , it follows that $\forall \vec{x} \in \mathcal{M} \in \text{span}\{\mathcal{S}\}$. Proved.

In conclusion, $\text{span}\{\mathcal{S}\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M} \subseteq \text{span}\{\mathcal{S}\}$ implies that $\text{span}\{\mathcal{S}\} = \mathcal{M}$. Q.E.D.

III. BASIS, DIMENSION AND PROJECTION

1) Solution:

- a) We can represent any desired polynomials with x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n . So the dimension of this vector space is $n+1$.
- b) Since $a_{ij} = a_{ji}, \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we only need to determine upper or lower triangular part of a symmetric matrix. So the dimension is $1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n^2+n}{2}$.

2) Solution:

- a) **Rotations.**

- All rotation matrix in \mathbb{R}^2

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \forall 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$$

- Based on the rotations, we compute Rx directly

$$Rx = [\cos \frac{5}{6}\pi, \sin \frac{5}{6}\pi]^T = [-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^T$$

b) **Reflections.**

First we compute Hx

$$Hx = x - 2uu^T x$$

Secondly we show that $Qx = QHx$

$$\begin{aligned} Qx &= x - uu^T x \\ QHx &= x - 2uu^T x - uu^T x + 2uu^T x \\ &= x - uu^T x \\ &= Qx \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we show that $\|x - Qx\|_2 = \|Hx - QHx\|_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - Qx\|_2 &= \|uu^T x\|_2 \\ \|Hx - QHx\|_2 &= \|x - 2uu^T x - x + uu^T x\|_2 \\ &= \|uu^T x\|_2 \\ &= \|x - Qx\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

Then we conclude that Hx is a reflection of x with respect to \mathcal{H}_u . Q.E.D.

IV. DIRECT SUM

1) **Solution:**

- a) • Firstly, we show that $\text{span}\{B_1\} + \text{span}\{B_2\} = \text{span}\{B_1 \cup B_2\} = V$. Since $B_1 \cup B_2 = B$, we have $\text{span}\{B_1\} + \text{span}\{B_2\} = \text{span}B = V$.
- Secondly, we show that $\text{span}\{B_1\} \cap \text{span}\{B_2\} = \vec{0}$. Since $B_1 \cap B_2$ and they are subsets of B , vectors of B_1, B_2 are linear independent of each other. Assume there exist any vector $\vec{x} \in \text{span}B_1, x \in \text{span}B_2$, then

$$\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \vec{b}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} \lambda_i \vec{b}_i$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \vec{b}_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} \lambda_i \vec{b}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \vec{b}_i = 0$$

We determine that $\lambda_i = 0, \forall i$ according to the property of basis. This means

$$\text{span}\{B_1\} \cap \text{span}\{B_2\} = \vec{0}$$

By the definition of direct sum, $V = \text{span}\{B_1\} \oplus \text{span}\{B_2\}$. Q.E.D.

2) **Solution:**

a) Let B be a basis of V , B_1 be the basis of S . Then let $B_2 = B/B$, $\mathcal{T} = \text{span}\{B\}$. Then we have

$$B = B_1 \cup B_2, \quad B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$$

By the conclusion from **Problem 1**, we have $V = \text{span}\{B_1\} \oplus \text{span}\{B_2\} = S \oplus \mathcal{T}$. Q.E.D

V. UNDERSTANDING THE MATRIX NORM

1) **Solution:**

a) the matrix 1-norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_1 &= \max_{\|x\|_1=1} \|Ax\|_1 \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_1=1} \|x_1 \vec{a}_1 + \dots + x_n \vec{a}_n\|_1 \\ &\leq \max_{\|x\|_1=1} (\|x_1 \vec{a}_1\|_1 + \dots + \|x_n \vec{a}_n\|_1) \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_1=1} (|x_1| \|\vec{a}_1\|_1 + \dots + |x_n| \|\vec{a}_n\|_1) \\ &\leq \max_{\|x\|_1=1} \left[(|x_1| + \dots + |x_n|) \max_j \|\vec{a}_j\|_1 \right] \\ &= \max_j \|\vec{a}_j\|_1 \\ &= \max_j \sum_i |a_{ij}| \end{aligned}$$

The equalities holds only when $x_{j^*} = 1$ where j^* corresponds to the column with largest absolute column sum. So the inequalities can be rewritten as equality

$$\|A\|_1 = \max_j \sum_i |a_{ij}|$$

Q.E.D.

b) the matrix ∞ -norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_\infty &= \max_{\|x\|_\infty=1} \|Ax\|_\infty \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_\infty=1} \left\| [\vec{a}_1^T x, \dots, \vec{a}_m^T x]^T \right\|_\infty \quad (\vec{a}_j' \text{ s are row vectors}) \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_\infty=1} \max_j |\vec{a}_j^T x| \\ &\leq \max_{\|x\|_\infty=1} \max_j \left(\|\vec{a}_j^T\|_1 \|x\|_\infty \right) \quad (Holder's Inequalities) \\ &= \max_j \|\vec{a}_j^T\|_1 \\ &= \max_i \sum_j |a_{ij}| \end{aligned}$$

The euqalities holds only when $x_j = 1$ if $a_{i^*j} \geq 0$, $x_j = -1$ if $a_{i^*j} < 0$, where i^* corresponds to the row with largest absolute row sum. So the inequalities can be rewritten as equality

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_i \sum_j^n |a_{ij}|$$

Q.E.D.

VI. UNDERSTANDING THE HOLDER INEQUQALITY

1) Solution:

a) Since the $\ln x$ is a concave function, by the Jensen's inequality

$$\lambda \ln \alpha + (1 - \lambda) \ln \beta \leq \ln(\lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda) \beta)$$

Take the exponential of each side, we complete the proof

$$\alpha^\lambda \beta^{1-\lambda} \leq \lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda) \beta$$

Q.E.D.

b) Apply the inequality of part(a), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |\hat{x}_i \hat{y}_i| &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{x_i}{\|x\|_p} \cdot \frac{y_i}{\|y\|_q} \right| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{(x_i^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (|x|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \cdot \frac{(y_i^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (|y|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|x_i|^p}{\sum_{i=1}^n |x|^p} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|y_i|^q}{\sum_{i=1}^n |y|^q} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^n |\hat{x}_i|^p + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^n |\hat{y}_i|^q \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

c) With the above results

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |\hat{x}_i \hat{y}_i| &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{(x_i^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (|x|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \cdot \frac{(y_i^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (|y|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right| \leq 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |\hat{x}_i \hat{y}_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^n (|x|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (|y|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}} &\leq 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{|x^T y|}{\|x\|_p \cdot \|y\|_q} &\leq 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow |x^T y| &\leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_q \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

d)

$$\begin{aligned}
(\|x\|_p)^p &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + y_i)^p \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (x_i + y_i)^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i (x_i + y_i)^{p-1} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + y_i)^{(p-1)q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + y_i)^{(p-1)q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= (\|x\|_p + \|y\|_p) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + y_i)^p \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + y_i)^p \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \|x\|_p + \|y\|_p \\
&\Leftrightarrow \|x + y\|_p \leq \|x\|_p + \|y\|_p
\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.