

# Isar (Bis) Operational Semantics

(goals, assumptions)  $\rightarrow$  (goals, assumptions)

proof (prove) }  
proof (state) }  
proof (claim) }

$$[g,a]_{\text{proof}} \rightarrow [g,g]$$

$$P \xrightarrow{\Delta} P'$$

$$\rho, \rho^1 = \rho_{\text{original}}$$

$\Delta$  = label describing to semantic configuration both before & after the transition

$[g, a]$  proof  $\Downarrow [g', a']$

$[g, a]$  proof (method)  $\Downarrow [g', a']$

$$[g, a, c] \text{ have } P [P, a, c] \quad (11)$$

$$[g, g_1] \subset \downarrow [g', g_1] \quad \checkmark \quad [g, g_1] \subset \downarrow [g'', g_1] \subset$$

Urges rule shows +  
|| segmented

$$[g, g, \varphi]_{C_1 C_2} \downarrow [g'', g''_{\{C\}}]$$

$\{ \{ \{ \} \} \}$  lemma assumes:  $n["A_1"]^{n_1} \{ \{ \}$   
 $\exists n \in$  Shows:  $n^2 ["A_2"]^{\{ \{ n^2 \} \}}$

296

$\text{propc}$   
 $(S_1, S_2, S_3)$  have  $\langle \text{prop} \rangle$  proof  $\text{propf}(S_1, S_2, \text{prop} + c)$

$$[g, q, c] \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} [g', a', c'] \quad [g, q, c] \xrightarrow{\text{proof}} [g, q, c] \quad ④$$

$[g, g, c]$  by method  $\Downarrow [g^1, g^1, c^1]$  ① ⑨  $[g_1, g_2, g_3]$  assumes  $n_1: "A_1" [g_1, g_2, \{n_1\}]$   
 $[g_3, g_1, g_2]$  shows  $n_2: "A_2" [g_2, g_3, \{n_2\}]$

$[g, a, c]$  from  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \downarrow [g, a + [e_1 \dots e_n], c] \quad \text{③} \quad ([g, a]) \text{ and } \check{v}_3: "A_3" \dots "A"$   
 $\{\{3, 3, \{\check{v}_3\}\}\}$

$[g, q, c]$  show "prop"  $[$  "prop",  $q, c$   $]$  ②

+this]

$[g, a, c]$  assume C1:  $p_{a_1}, \dots, p_{a_n} : \text{prop}_n$   $[g, a, c[t_1, \dots, t_n]] \quad (7)$

$\vdash [(A_1 \dots A_n) \Rightarrow P], [(A_1 \dots A_n), c] \text{ show } P [P, [(A_1 \dots A_n), c]]$  (8)

$\{\{3, 2\}, \{3\}\}$  shows "A" shows "A" by simp add: n1 N[3, 3, 3]

$\left[ \begin{matrix} A & H \\ H & A \end{matrix} \right] \left[ \begin{matrix} A & H \\ H & A \end{matrix} \right] = \left[ \begin{matrix} A^2 + H^2 & AH + HA \\ HA + AH & H^2 + A^2 \end{matrix} \right]$

[Exhibit 3] Lemon observes: "A" shows no "H" proof - from n1 show "A" by assumption [Exhibit 3, § 663]

$[A \Rightarrow A, \{1\}]$			
$[A \Rightarrow A, \{2\}]$	$[A \Rightarrow A, \{1\}]$	$[A \Rightarrow A, \{1\}]$	$[A \Rightarrow A, \{1\}]$
$\text{P. Proof -}$	$\text{Assume } A$	$\text{From el}$	$\text{From el}$
$\text{Lemma Shown}$	$\text{Show "A"}$	$\text{Show "A"}$	$\text{My Assumption}$
$\{1, 2, 3\} \vdash A \Rightarrow A$			

[{3,3,3,3}] Lemma Shows  $\neg A \vdash A \Rightarrow A$  Proof - Assume  $\neg A$  from 11 show " $A$ " by assumption [3,3,3,3]

- (1)
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7)
- (8)
- (9)
- (10)