Contents

Network Infrastructure & Traffic Management	1
Components	1
Load Balancing	1
Proxies	1
DNS & Content Delivery	1
API Gateway	2
Service Exposure Patterns	2
Direct Service Exposure	2
Gateway/Proxy Layer	2
Related Trade-offs	2
Load Balancer vs. API Gateway	2
Direct Service Exposure vs. Gateway/Proxy Layer	3
API Gateway vs. Reverse Proxy	3

Network Infrastructure & Traffic Management

This document covers network infrastructure components and traffic management strategies for system design.

Components

Load Balancing

- Layer 4 (Transport): Routes based on IP and port
- Layer 7 (Application): Routes based on content (HTTP headers, URLs)
- Algorithms: Round-robin, least connections, weighted, IP hash
- Types: Hardware vs software, active-passive vs active-active

Proxies

- Forward Proxy
 - Client-side proxy that hides the identity of the client from the server (corporate firewalls, caching). The server doesn't know which specific client made the request.
- Reverse Proxy
 - Server-side proxy that conceals the identity of the server from the client (load balancing,
 SSL termination). The client doesn't know which specific server handled the request.
- Examples
 - Nginx, HAProxy, CloudFlare

DNS & Content Delivery

- DNS Basics
 - Root Servers: Top level of DNS hierarchy, know addresses of TLD servers for all domains (.com, .org, etc.)
 - TLD (Top-Level Domain) Servers: Manage specific domains like .com, .org, know authoritative servers for domains within their TLD
 - Authoritative DNS Servers: Hold actual DNS records for a domain

- Recursive DNS Resolvers: Query authoritative servers on behalf of clients
- **DNS Flow:** Client \rightarrow Recursive Resolver \rightarrow Root \rightarrow TLD \rightarrow Authoritative \rightarrow Response
- Anycast Routing
 - Multiple servers share same IP address, BGP routes users to nearest/best server
 - Benefits: Reduced latency, improved availability, DDoS mitigation
- Content Delivery Networks (CDNs)
 - Geographically distributed edge servers cache content closer to users
 - **Process:** User request \rightarrow DNS resolves to nearest edge \rightarrow Cache hit/miss \rightarrow Serve content
 - Benefits: Reduced latency, bandwidth savings, origin protection

API Gateway

- **Purpose:** Centralized entry point for microservices, providing cross-cutting concerns and API management
- Features: Authentication/authorization, rate limiting, request/response transformation, API versioning, analytics, routing
- Benefits: Simplified client integration, centralized security, operational control
- Trade-offs: Additional latency hop, potential single point of failure, increased complexity
- Examples: Amazon API Gateway, Kong, Zuul, Istio Gateway

Service Exposure Patterns

Direct Service Exposure

- Approach: Clients communicate directly with individual services
- Benefits: Minimal latency, simple networking, no additional infrastructure
- Challenges: Client complexity (service discovery, auth, routing), security concerns

Gateway/Proxy Layer

- Approach: Centralized entry point handles cross-cutting concerns
- Benefits: Service abstraction, centralized auth/logging/rate limiting, operational control
- Challenges: Additional latency, potential bottleneck, infrastructure complexity

Related Trade-offs

Load Balancer vs. API Gateway

- Summary: Load balancers distribute traffic across multiple servers to ensure availability and performance. API gateways provide a centralized entry point with additional features like authentication, rate limiting, and request transformation. Both can distribute traffic but serve different architectural purposes.
- Trade-off: Simple traffic distribution vs. comprehensive API management and control.
- Component Comparison:
 - Load Balancer: Focuses on traffic distribution, health checks, and high availability.
 Simple, fast, and reliable for basic routing needs
 - API Gateway: Provides traffic routing plus authentication, authorization, rate limiting, request/response transformation, analytics, and API versioning. More comprehensive but adds complexity and latency

- **Hybrid Approach:** Use API Gateway for external traffic (client-facing) and load balancers for internal service-to-service communication

• Questions to Ask:

- Do you need API management features beyond basic traffic distribution?
- Are you exposing APIs to external clients or just internal services?
- What authentication and authorization requirements exist?
- Do you need rate limiting, request transformation, or API analytics?
- How important is minimizing latency vs. having centralized control?
- Are you building a microservices architecture that needs service discovery?

Direct Service Exposure vs. Gateway/Proxy Layer

- Summary: Direct service exposure allows clients to communicate directly with individual services, maximizing performance and simplicity. Gateway/proxy layers provide centralized control, security, and abstraction but add network hops and complexity.
- Trade-off: Performance and simplicity vs. centralized control and security.
- Architecture Comparison:
 - Direct Exposure: Clients connect directly to services, minimal latency, simple networking, but requires clients to handle service discovery, authentication, and routing logic
 - Gateway/Proxy Layer: Centralized entry point handles cross-cutting concerns (auth, logging, rate limiting), service abstraction, and routing, but adds latency and becomes a potential single point of failure
 - Hybrid Approach: Direct access for internal/trusted services, gateway for external clients or services requiring additional controls

• Questions to Ask:

- What are the security requirements and trust boundaries?
- Do clients need to know about individual service locations and protocols?
- How many different types of clients will access the services?
- What cross-cutting concerns (authentication, logging, rate limiting) need to be applied?
- How critical is minimizing latency vs. having operational control?
- Do services need to be independently deployable and discoverable?
- What's the tolerance for client complexity vs. infrastructure complexity?

API Gateway vs. Reverse Proxy

- Summary: While both API Gateways and Reverse Proxies manage traffic, they cater to different needs. An API Gateway is more about managing, routing, and orchestrating API calls in a microservices architecture, whereas a Reverse Proxy is about general server efficiency, security, and network traffic management.
- **Trade-off:** Application-specific API management vs. general-purpose traffic and security management.

• Component Comparison:

- API Gateway: Focuses on API lifecycle management, request/response transformation, rate limiting, authentication/authorization, API versioning, and microservices orchestration. Application-aware with rich API management features
- Reverse Proxy: Handles general traffic routing, load balancing, SSL termination, caching, and security filtering. Network-level focus with high performance and broad

- protocol support
- Hybrid Approach: Use both together Reverse Proxy for general traffic management and security, API Gateway for application-specific API orchestration and management

• Questions to Ask:

- Are you primarily managing APIs or general web traffic?
- Do you need application-aware features like API versioning and transformation?
- What's more important: high-performance traffic handling or rich API management?
- Are you building a microservices architecture that needs API orchestration?
- Do you need protocol translation or just traffic forwarding?
- What security requirements exist at the network vs. application layer?
- Can you benefit from using both components in a layered approach?