Table 1: Revision History

Date	Developer(s)	Change
09/28/2022	Namit Chopra, Brandon Duong Andrew Balmakund, Mohammad Harun Mihail Serafimovski	Finished First Version

Software Requirements Specification Farming Matters

Team #14, The Farmers
Brandon Duong
Andrew Balmakund
Mihail Serafimovski
Mohammad Harun
Namit Chopra

Contents

1	Pro	ject Drivers	1
	1.1	The Purpose of the Project	1
	1.2	The Stakeholders	1
		1.2.1 The Client	1
		1.2.2 The Customers	1
		1.2.3 Other Stakeholders	1
	1.3	Mandated Constraints	1
	1.4	Naming Conventions and Terminology	1
	1.5	Relevant Facts and Assumptions	1
2	Fun	ctional Requirements	1
	2.1	The Scope of the Work and the Product	1
		2.1.1 The Context of the Work	1
		2.1.2 Work Partitioning	1
		2.1.3 Individual Product Use Cases	2
	2.2	Functional Requirements	2
	2.3	Requirements That Are Likely/Unlikely to Change	4
_	3 .T		_
3		-functional Requirements	5
	3.1	Look and Feel Requirements	5
	3.2	Usability and Humanity Requirements	5
	3.3	Performance Requirements	5
	3.4	Operational and Environmental Requirements	5
	3.5	Maintainability and Support Requirements	5
	3.6	Security Requirements	5
	3.7	Cultural Requirements	5
	3.8	Legal Requirements	5
	3.9	Health and Safety Requirements	5
4	Pro	ject Issues	5
	4.1	Open Issues	5
	4.2	Off-the-Shelf Solutions	5
	4.3	New Problems	5
	4.4	Tasks	5
	4.5	Migration to the New Product	5
	4.6	Risks	5
	4.7	Costs	5
	4.8	User Documentation and Training	5
	4.9	Waiting Room	5
	4.10	Ideas for Solutions	5
5	Apn	endix	6
		Symbolic Parameters	6

List of Tables

1	Revision History	
2	Work Partitioning Table	4
3	Likely/Unlikely to Change Table	4

List of Figures

This document describes the requirements for The template for the Software Requirements Specification (SRS) is a subset of the Volere template (?). If you make further modifications to the template, you should explicitly state what modifications were made.

1 Project Drivers

- 1.1 The Purpose of the Project
- 1.2 The Stakeholders
- 1.2.1 The Client
- 1.2.2 The Customers
- 1.2.3 Other Stakeholders
- 1.3 Mandated Constraints
- 1.4 Naming Conventions and Terminology
- 1.5 Relevant Facts and Assumptions

User characteristics should go under assumptions.

2 Functional Requirements

- 2.1 The Scope of the Work and the Product
- 2.1.1 The Context of the Work
- 2.1.2 Work Partitioning

Event	Input/Output	Summary
User ends turn	Input: user selects end	System responds and up-
	turn option	date current game state
Collect user decisions	Input: all prior user de-	System provides organized
	cisions, users progression	data
	and focus group	
	Output: Group data	
	based on focus group	
User creates account	Input: Username and	The user inputs their de-
	password	sired username and pass-
	Output: Associated ac-	word and the system cre-
	count	ates an account and stores
		the credentials
User requests to delete	Input: Username and	The user inputs their
their data	password	account's username and
	Output: Associated ac-	password and the system
	count and all its data is	deletes the associated ac-
	deleted	count and all its corre-
		sponding data

Table 2: Work Partitioning Table

2.1.3 Individual Product Use Cases

2.2 Functional Requirements

FR1. The system must allow users to create an account.

Rationale: The purpose of the game is to track user decisions and be able to see which participant did what decision. For this to happen, users must be associated to an account.

- FR2. The system must allow the user to reset their password.
 - Rationale: It is possible a user misplaces their password and loses all their account progress. This effectively removes the participant from the study and so this must be rectified.
- FR3. The system must allow users to accumulate in-game currency.

Rationale: In-game currency acts as a performance metric, and also helps engage the player into planning ahead for their future decisions. Optimizing what one should spend their in-game currency on is both part of the study, and the engagement of the game itself.

- FR4. The system must allow the user to store their items in an inventory. Rationale: The user must be able to view the items they currently own.
- FR5. The system must verify new users as human.

Rationale: As anyone can make an account, the system is susceptible

to related attacks. The system verifying a user as human before creating their account may slow down these attempts.

FR6. The system must allow users to purchase items from a shop.

Rationale: Helps engage the player with the feeling of progression and decision-making.

FR7. The system must allow users to grow crop on owned land.

Rationale: Helps engage the player with the feeling of progression and decision-making.

FR8. The system must allow users to sell crop at a fluctuating price.

Rationale: Helps engage the player with the feeling of progression and decision-making.

FR9. The system must allow users to use fertilizer on planted crop.

Rationale: Helps engage the player with the feel of progression and decision-making.

FR10. The system must allow users to buy land.
Rationale: Helps engage the player with the feel of progression and decision-making.

FR11. The system must prompt users for consulting advice every CONSULT-ING_INTERVAL turns.
Rationale: This decision is one of the two key questions the research is looking at. Whether people are willing to pay for information, and for

what information (i.e deterministic or probabilistic).

FR12. The system must prompt users for insurance for planted crops.

Rationale: This decision is the second of the two key questions the research is looking at. Whether people are willing to pay for insurance, and in what circumstance (i.e from the start, or only after they've been affected by an insurable event).

FR13. The system must be able to log user decisions.

Rationale: This was a crucial requirement that was provided by Dr. Yiannakoulias who will be able to view these logs to analyze the data.

FR14. The system must be able to save user game state.

Rationale: This will give users the options to continue where they left off if they want to continue playing at another time.

FR15. The system must allow users to delete their data.

Rationale: This is a requirement from the ethics board and it is mandatory that users be given this option.

FR16. The system must have a defined area of land for users to manage farm. Rationale: This will provide an environment in which players can visualize and interact with their farm.

- FR17. The system must allow users to place buildings and items on their land. Rationale: This will give the user more variability and options in terms of how they want to build their farm.
- FR18. The system must present the consent form to participate in the study before starting the game.

 Rationale: This is another requirement from both the Ethics board and

Rationale: This is another requirement from both the Ethics board and Dr. Yiannakoulias, and made this a point to emphasize.

- FR19. The system must be able to evaluate the total worth of a user's assets.

 Rationale: Total worth of all a user's assets acts as a performance metric, and also helps engage the player by allowing them to see how much they've progressed.
- FR20. The system must be able assign a user to specific focus group.

 Rationale: This is essential for the research study as to demonstrate whether or not people prefer deterministic or probabilistic information.
- FR21. The system must include random events to occur EVENT_OCCURRENCE.

 Rationale: Helps engage the player by needing them to plan ahead for the possible unknown.
- FR22. The system must have the current season change over time.

 Rationale: Helps engage the player as they must plan ahead for what is possible during the different seasons in terms of what to plant, and what risks there are within each season.

2.3 Requirements That Are Likely/Unlikely to Change

Likely	Unlikely
FR2, FR5, FR19, FR21	FR1, FR3, FR4, FR6, FR7, FR8, FR9, FR10, FR11, FR12, FR13, FR14, FR15, FR16, FR17, FR18, FR20, FR22

Table 3: Likely/Unlikely to Change Table

- FR1: This is unlikely to change as accounts are needed to associate a specific user to their game state
- FR3, FR4, FR6, FR7, FR8, FR9, FR10, F14, FR16, F17, FR21, FR22: These are unlikely to change as these are the basic core game mechanics that define the desired game loop
- FR11, FR12, FR13, FR20: These are unlikely to change as they are implement the essentials behind the research study aspect

• FR15, F18: This is unlikely to change because it is required for the ethics board to approve the game. The approval of the game by the ethics board is a must.

3 Non-functional Requirements

- 3.1 Look and Feel Requirements
- 3.2 Usability and Humanity Requirements
- 3.3 Performance Requirements
- 3.4 Operational and Environmental Requirements
- 3.5 Maintainability and Support Requirements
- 3.6 Security Requirements
- 3.7 Cultural Requirements
- 3.8 Legal Requirements
- 3.9 Health and Safety Requirements

This section is not in the original Volere template, but health and safety are issues that should be considered for every engineering project.

4 Project Issues

- 4.1 Open Issues
- 4.2 Off-the-Shelf Solutions
- 4.3 New Problems
- 4.4 Tasks
- 4.5 Migration to the New Product
- 4.6 Risks
- 4.7 Costs
- 4.8 User Documentation and Training
- 4.9 Waiting Room
- 4.10 Ideas for Solutions

5 Appendix

This section has been added to the Volere template. This is where you can place additional information.

5.1 Symbolic Parameters

The definition of the requirements will likely call for SYMBOLIC_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.