Questionnaire

Please rate the following statements based on your experience with the semantic implementation of PreFreeSurfer in WINGS.

		Strongly				Strongly
		Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Agree
1	I think that I would like to use this workflow frequently.		\boxtimes			
2	I found the workflow unnecessarily complex.		\boxtimes			
3	I thought the workflow was easy to use.				\boxtimes	
4	I think that I would need the support of a technical person to be able to use this workflow.					
5	I found the various functions in this workflow were well integrated.				\boxtimes	
6	I thought there was too much inconsistency in this workflow.			\boxtimes		
7	I would imagine that most people would learn to use this workflow very quickly.					
8	I found the workflow very cumbersome to use.		\boxtimes			
9	I felt very confident using the workflow.		\boxtimes			
10	I needed to learn a lot of things before I could get going with this workflow.			\boxtimes		

Please answer the following questions. Feel free to include as much detail as possible.

- 11) Did you encounter any issues using the workflow?
 - A little difficult to understand the non-semantic workflow: I felt I had less background information than in a realistic scenario: I would likely know the basic images collected.
- 12) How did this semantic workflow compare to other workflows you have used in the past?

 As a pipeline developer, this test didn't give me a lot of information about how useful it would be for constructing a new pipeline to suit my particular needs. I would have to try that out as well.
- 13) What impressions do you have concerning the use of semantics in the workflow?

 Can't quite say I understand the full scope of what the semantics do for me in truly uncharted waters.

 Playing with the internals of the processing steps, for example. It may make it a litter more organized in some cases, but I'm more comfortable looking at code directly.
- 14) What features did you find most useful?

 Autodetection of sample spacing, unwarp direction. It can be nice to not worry about parameters that can bite you down the road.
- 15) What aspect of the workflow did you find most cumbersome?
- 16) Do you have any recommendations that would make the semantic workflow better?

 It was a bit hard to understand what options I was looking at for running pipelines. More information with less acronyms would be helpful especially if the users are meant to be non-technically inclined people.
- 17) Do you have any additional comments or concerns?

We would typically want to process all subject in a study in the same manner so not to introduce bias. On some level we *don't* want end-users to be able to choose a pipeline to run data through. If a subject had some scanning issue and hence has different input images than everyone else, forcing it through a pipeline could introduce bias.