Representation Constraints, Harmonics, and the Ruliad

Brandon Kennedy
Independent Conceptual Framework

Abstract

Human mathematics relies on symbolic representation systems optimized for usability rather than ontological fidelity. Base-10 positional notation, inherited from anatomical convenience, dominates modern reasoning despite lacking special alignment with physical law. This paper argues that many apparent numerical paradoxes—repeating decimals, asymptotic identities, and representation loops—arise from constraint mismatches between symbolic bases and an underlying ratio- and symmetry-driven reality. Using harmonic analysis, cultural geometry (a culturally persistent square-based proportional aesthetic), and computational universality as framed by the ruliad, we propose a layered representational strategy that minimizes artifacts while preserving computational practicality.

---

1. Introduction

Symbol systems mediate human access to structure. While effective, they are lossy. Base-10 arithmetic excels at counting and commerce but performs poorly when expressing ratios whose prime structure conflicts with powers of ten. The resulting artifacts are often misread as properties of reality rather than limitations of representation. This work reframes such artifacts as coordinate effects and outlines alternatives better aligned with physical, biological, and computational dynamics.

---

2. Decimal Artifacts and Representation Failure

Classic identities such as $0.999\ldots = 1$ and cyclic expansions like $1/1001 = 0.000999000999\ldots$ exemplify positional stress. These are not inconsistencies in mathematics; they are symptoms of forcing rational relationships into a base whose factorization does not accommodate them. Long division converges asymptotically, producing loops instead of terminations. The phenomenon parallels aliasing in sampled signals: smooth structure rendered jagged by an incompatible grid.

---

3. Constraint Analogies and Bounded Reasoning

A constrained symbolic system can remain internally consistent while failing to express certain truths finitely. The failure mode is approximation without closure. This is a representational limit, not an error of logic. Understanding bases as constraint sets clarifies why changing representation dissolves paradoxes without changing the underlying relationships.

---

4. Harmonics, Fourier Space, and Square-Based Proportional Aesthetics

Harmonic and spectral representations describe systems via ratios and frequencies rather than absolute magnitudes. In Fourier space, structure appears as discrete peaks corresponding to relational components, bypassing decimal artifacts entirely. Cultural geometry offers an intuitive analogue: a square-based proportional aesthetic refers to design proportions characterized by symmetry, repetition, and clean ratios that remain stable across scales, independent of branding or authorship. Such designs resonate because they align with harmonic structure rather than positional measurement.

---

5. Discrete Rules and Emergence: Space Invaders

Early arcade systems such as *Space Invaders* demonstrate how simple, local rules generate rich emergent behavior. With severe hardware constraints, designers relied on discrete update rules that nonetheless produced coherent dynamics. The lesson generalizes: expressive power often arises from rule composition and relational structure, not from representational precision in any single base.

---

6. The Ruliad and Representational Universality

The ruliad—the conceptual space of all possible computational rules—provides a unifying frame. Bases, notations, and formalisms are projections through this space, each revealing some regularities while obscuring others. No representation is privileged in isolation; usefulness depends on the layer of causality under study. Apparent paradoxes signal projection limits, not contradictions in the ruliad itself.

---

7. Toward an Optimal Representational Stack

There is no single optimal base. Instead, a layered strategy minimizes artifacts: - **Ratios first:** store structure as rational relationships rather than decimals. - **Human-facing cycles:** base-12 or base-60 for time, geometry, and harmonics. - **Dynamics:** spectral/Fourier representations for waves, fields, and rhythms. - **Execution:** base-2 for computation and switching only. This stratification preserves fidelity while maintaining practicality.

---

8. Conclusion

Many numerical oddities originate in representational constraints rather than physical reality. By recognizing bases as lossy interfaces and adopting ratio- and harmony-aligned formalisms, we replace paradox with clarity. The ruliad contextualizes these choices as projections through a universal computational landscape, guiding representation by purpose rather than habit.

Author note: This manuscript presents a conceptual synthesis intended to clarify representation limits and propose practical alternatives across mathematics, physics, and computation.