### THE SINGULAR VALUE DECOMPOSITION

- The SVD existence properties.
- Pseudo-inverses and the SVD
- Use of SVD for least-squares problems
- Applications of the SVD
- Text: mainly sect. 2.4

**ightharpoonup Complete**  $v_1$  into an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$V \equiv [v_1, V_2] = n imes n$$
 unitary

**Complete**  $u_1$  into an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ 

$$U \equiv [u_1, U_2] = m imes m$$
 unitary

➤ Then, it is easy to show that

$$AV = U imes egin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & w^T \ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \; 
ightarrow \; U^T A V = egin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & w^T \ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \equiv A_1$$

➤ Observe that

$$\left\|A_1 \left(m{\sigma}_1 top w
ight)
ight\|_2 \geq \sigma_1^2 + \|w\|^2 = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \|w\|^2} \left\|inom{\sigma_1}{w}
ight\|_2$$

- $\triangleright$  This shows that w must be zero [why?]
- ➤ Complete the proof by an induction argument.

## The Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Theorem For any matrix  $A\in\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$  there exist unitary matrices  $U\in\mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$  and  $V\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$  such that

$$A = U\Sigma V^T$$

where  $\Sigma$  is a diagonal matrix with entries  $\sigma_{ii} \geq 0$ .

$$\sigma_{11} \geq \sigma_{22} \geq \cdots \sigma_{pp} \geq 0$$
 with  $p = \min(n, m)$ 

- ▶ The  $\sigma_{ii}$  are the singular values of A.
- $\triangleright$   $\sigma_{ii}$  is denoted simply by  $\sigma_i$

Proof: Let  $\sigma_1=\|A\|_2=\max_{x,\|x\|_2=1}\|Ax\|_2$ . There exists a pair of unit vectors  $v_1,u_1$  such that

$$Av_1 = \sigma_1 u_1$$

8-2 \_\_\_\_\_ Csci 5304 - October 29, 2013

#### Case 1:

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \qquad \mathbf{\Sigma}$$

# Case 2:

#### The "thin" SVD

➤ Consider the Case-1. It can be rewritten as

$$A = \left[ U_1 U_2 
ight] \left( egin{array}{c} \Sigma_1 \ 0 \end{array} 
ight) \; V^T$$

Which gives:

$$A = U_1 \Sigma_1 \ V^T$$

where  $U_1$  is m imes n (same shape as A), and  $\Sigma_1$  and V are n imes n

- ➤ referred to as the "thin" SVD. Important in practice.
- Arr How can you obtain the thin SVD from the QR factorization of A and the SVD of an  $n \times n$  matrix?

8-5 \_\_\_\_\_ Csci 5304 - October 29, 2013

## Properties of the SVD (continued)

- $||A||_2 = \sigma_1 =$ largest singular value
- ullet  $\|A\|_F = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i^2
  ight)^{1/2}$
- When A is an  $n \times n$  nonsingular matrix then  $||A^{-1}||_2 = 1/\sigma_n =$  inverse of smallest s.v.

Let k < r and

$$A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$$

then

$$\min_{rank(B)=k} \|A-B\|_2 = \|A-A_k\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1}$$

A few properties. Assume that

$$\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r > 0$$
 and  $\sigma_{r+1} = \cdots = \sigma_p = 0$ 

Then:

- rank(A) = r = number of nonzero singular values.
- $\operatorname{Ran}(A) = \operatorname{span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\}$
- $Null(A) = span\{v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \dots, v_n\}$
- The matrix A admits the SVD expansion:

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$$

6 \_\_\_\_\_ Csci 5304 - October 29, 2013

# Right and Left Singular vectors:

$$egin{aligned} Av_i &= \sigma_i u_i \ A^T u_j &= \sigma_j v_j \end{aligned}$$

- lacksquare Consequence  $A^TAv_i=\sigma_i^2v_i$  and  $AA^Tu_i=\sigma_i^2u_i$
- ightharpoonup Right singular vectors ( $v_i$ 's) are eigenvectors of  $A^TA$
- ▶ Left singular vectors  $(u_i$ 's) are eigenvectors of  $AA^T$
- ► Possible to get the SVD from eigenvectors of  $AA^T$  and  $A^TA$  but: difficulties due to non-uniqueness of the SVD

Csci 5304 – October 29, 2013

Csci 5304 - October 29 2013

Define the  $r \times r$  matrix

$$\Sigma_1 = \mathrm{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r)$$

▶ Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and consider  $A^T A$  (∈  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ):

$$A^TA = V\Sigma^T\Sigma V^T \ o \ A^TA = V \ \underbrace{ egin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1^2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \ n imes n \end{pmatrix}}_{n imes n} V^T$$

This gives the spectral decomposition of  $A^TA$ .

 $\triangleright$  Similarly, U gives the eigenvectors of  $AA^T$ .

$$AA^T = U \ \underbrace{ egin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1^2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{m imes m} U^T$$

# **Important:**

 $A^TA = VD_1V^T$  and  $AA^T = UD_2U^T$  give the SVD factors U, V up to signs!

Pseudo-inverse of an arbitrary matrix

The pseudo-inverse of A is given by

$$A^\dagger = V egin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1^{-1} & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^T = \sum_{i=1}^r rac{v_i u_i^T}{\sigma_i}$$

### **Moore-Penrose conditions:**

The pseudo inverse of a matrix is uniquely determined by these four conditions:

$$(1) AXA = A \qquad (2) XAX = X$$

$$(2) XAX = 2$$

(3) 
$$(AX)^H = AX$$
 (4)  $(XA)^H = XA$ 

$$(4) (XA)^H = XA$$

▶ In the full-rank overdetermined case,  $A^{\dagger} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T$ 

### Least-squares problems and the SVD

> SVD can give much information about solving overdetermined and underdetermined linear systems.

Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix and  $A = U \Sigma V^T$  its SVD with  $r = {\rm rank}(A), \ V = [v_1, \ldots, v_n] \ U = [u_1, \ldots, u_m].$  Then  $x_{LS} = \sum_{i=1}^r rac{u_i^T b}{\sigma_i} \; v_i \; .$ 

minimizes  $||b-Ax||_2$  and has the smallest 2-norm among all possible minimizers. In addition,

$$ho_{LS} \equiv \|b - Ax_{LS}\|_2 = \|z\|_2$$
 with  $z = [u_{r+1}, \ldots, u_m]^T b$ 

# Least-squares problems and pseudo-inverses

➤ A restatement of the first part of the previous result:

Consider the general linear least-squares problem  $\min_{x \in S} \|x\|_2, \quad S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|b - Ax\|_2 \min\}.$ 

This problem always has a unique solution given by

$$x = A^{\dagger}b$$

## III-conditioned systems and the SVD

- **Let** A be  $m \times m$  and  $A = U\Sigma V^T$  its SVD
- Solution of Ax = b is  $x = A^{-1}b = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{u_i^T b}{\sigma_i} v_i$
- ➤ When A is very ill-conditioned, it has many small singular values. The division by these small  $\sigma_i$ 's will amplify any noise in the data. If  $\tilde{b}=b+\epsilon$  then

$$A^{-1} ilde{b} = \sum_{i=1}^m rac{u_i^T b}{\sigma_i} \; v_i + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^m rac{u_i^T \epsilon}{\sigma_i} \; v_i}_{Error}$$

Result: solution could be completely meaningless.

Consider the matrix:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

- Compute the singular value decomposition of A
- Find the matrix B of rank 1 which is the closest to the above matrix in the 2-norm sense.
- What is the pseudo-inverse of A?
- What is the pseudo-inverse of *B*?
- Find the vector x of smallest norm which minimizes ||b- $Ax|_2$  with  $b=(1,1)^T$
- Find the vector x of smallest norm which minimizes ||b- $|Bx|_2$  with  $b = (1,1)^T$

# Remedy: SVD regularization

Truncate the SVD by only keeping the  $\sigma_i's$  that  $\geq \tau$ , where au is a threshold

➤ Gives the Truncated SVD solution (TSVD solution:)

$$x_{TSVD} = \sum_{\sigma_i \geq au} \; rac{u_i^T b}{\sigma_i} \; v_i$$

Many applications [e.g., Image processing,..]

# Numerical rank and the SVD

- **ightharpoonup** Assume that the original matrix A is exactly of rank k.
- ightharpoonup The computed SVD of A will be the SVD of a nearby matrix A+E.
- lacksquare Easy to show that  $|\hat{\sigma}_i \sigma_i| \leq lpha \ \sigma_1 \underline{\mathrm{u}}$
- ➤ Result: zero singular values will yield small computed singular values
- ightharpoonup Determining the "numerical rank:" treat singular values below a certain threshold  $\delta$  as zero.
- **>** Practical problem : need to set  $\delta$ .

8-17 \_\_\_\_\_ Csci 5304 - October 29, 2013