

$$\nabla(\phi + \psi) = \nabla\phi + \nabla\psi \qquad \frac{\partial(\phi + \psi)}{\partial x_n} = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_n} + \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_n} \quad (21.54)$$

$$\nabla(\underline{u} + \underline{v}) = \nabla\underline{u} + \nabla\underline{v} \qquad \frac{\partial(u_i + v_i)}{\partial x_n} = \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_n} + \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_n} \quad (21.55)$$

$$\nabla(\phi\underline{u}) = (\nabla\phi)\underline{u} + \phi(\nabla\underline{u}) \qquad \frac{\partial(\phi u_i)}{\partial x_n} = \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_n}\right)u_i + \phi\left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_n}\right) \quad (21.56)$$

$$\nabla(\underline{u}\underline{v}) = (\nabla\underline{u})\underline{v} + X_1^2[\underline{u}(\nabla\underline{v})] \qquad \frac{\partial(u_i v_j)}{\partial x_n} = \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_n}v_j + u_i\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_n} \quad (21.57)$$

$$\nabla \bullet (\nabla \times \underline{v}) = 0 \quad (\text{see below}) \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j} = \varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial^2 u_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = 0 \quad (21.58)$$

$$\nabla \times (\nabla\phi) = \underline{0} \quad (\text{see below}) \qquad \varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial(\partial\phi/\partial x_k)}{\partial x_j} = \varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} = 0 \quad (21.59)$$

$$\nabla \bullet (\phi\underline{v}) = \underline{v} \bullet \nabla\phi + \phi \nabla \bullet \underline{v} \qquad \frac{\partial(\phi v_i)}{\partial x_i} = \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_i}\right)v_i + \phi\left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i}\right) \quad (21.60)$$

$$\nabla \times (\phi\underline{v}) = \phi \nabla \times \underline{v} + (\nabla\phi) \times \underline{v} \quad (21.61)$$

$$\nabla \bullet (\underline{u} \times \underline{v}) = \underline{v} \bullet (\nabla \times \underline{u}) - \underline{u} \bullet (\nabla \times \underline{v}) \quad (21.62)$$

$$\nabla \times (\underline{u} \times \underline{v}) = \underline{u}(\nabla \bullet \underline{v}) - \underline{u} \bullet (\nabla \underline{v}) + \underline{v} \bullet (\nabla \underline{u}) - \underline{v}(\nabla \bullet \underline{u}) \quad (21.63)$$

$$\nabla \bullet (\nabla\phi \times \nabla\psi) = 0 \quad (\text{see below}) \quad (21.64)$$

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \underline{v}) = \nabla(\nabla \bullet \underline{v}) - \nabla^2 \underline{v} \quad (21.65)$$

$$\underline{v} \times (\nabla \times \underline{u}) = 2[\text{skw}(\nabla \underline{u})] \bullet \underline{v} \quad (21.66)$$

The identity (21.58) follows from noting that, for any sufficiently smooth function $f(x, y)$,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y \partial x} \quad (21.67)$$

When applied to a double gradient, this calculus identity may be written

$$\frac{\partial(\underline{\quad})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial(\underline{\quad})}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \quad (21.68)$$

In other words, there is symmetry with respect to the indices i and j . Consequently, the second partial derivative in Eq. (21.58) is symmetric with respect to the i and j indices. When contracted with ε_{ijk} , which is *skew-symmetric* in its i and j indices, the result must be zero. Equations (21.59) and (21.64) are zero for similar reasons.