

ERROR AND SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS FOR SYSTEMS OF LINEAR EQUATIONS

- Read parts of sections 2.6 and 3.5.3
- Conditioning of linear systems.
- Estimating errors for solutions of linear systems
- Backward error analysis
- Relative element-wise error analysis

Perturbation analysis for linear systems ($Ax = b$)

Question addressed by perturbation analysis: determine the variation of the solution x when the data, namely A and b , undergoes small variations. Problem is **III-conditioned** if small variations in data cause very large variation in the solution.

- Let E , be an $n \times n$ matrix and e be an n -vector.
- “Perturb” A into $A(\epsilon) = A + \epsilon E$ and b into $b + \epsilon e$.
- Note: $A + \epsilon E$ is nonsingular for ϵ small enough.

 Why?

- The solution $x(\epsilon)$ of the perturbed system is s.t.

$$(A + \epsilon E)x(\epsilon) = b + \epsilon e.$$

➤ Let $\delta(\epsilon) = x(\epsilon) - x$. Then,

$$(A + \epsilon E)\delta(\epsilon) = (b + \epsilon e) - (A + \epsilon E)x = \epsilon (e - Ex) \\ \delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon (A + \epsilon E)^{-1}(e - Ex).$$

➤ $x(\epsilon)$ is differentiable at $\epsilon = 0$ and its derivative is

$$x'(0) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = A^{-1} (e - Ex) .$$

➤ A small variation $[\epsilon E, \epsilon e]$ will cause the solution to vary by roughly $\epsilon x'(0) = \epsilon A^{-1}(e - Ex)$.

➤ The relative variation is such that

$$\frac{\|x(\epsilon) - x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \epsilon \|A^{-1}\| \left(\frac{\|e\|}{\|x\|} + \|E\| \right) + O(\epsilon^2).$$

➤ Since $\|b\| \leq \|A\|\|x\|$:

$$\frac{\|x(\epsilon) - x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \epsilon \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \left(\frac{\|e\|}{\|b\|} + \frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|} \right) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

The quantity $\kappa(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|$ is called the **condition number** of the linear system with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$.
When using the p -norms we write:

$$\kappa_p(A) = \|A\|_p \|A^{-1}\|_p$$

- Note: $\kappa_2(A) = \sigma_{max}(A) / \sigma_{min}(A)$ = ratio of largest to smallest singular values of A . Allows to define $\kappa_2(A)$ when A is not square.
- Determinant **is not** a good indication of sensitivity
- Small eigenvalues **do not** always give a good indication of poor conditioning.

Example: Consider, for a large α , the $n \times n$ matrix

$$A = I + \alpha e_1 e_n^T$$

➤ Inverse of A is :

$$A^{-1} = I - \alpha e_1 e_n^T$$

➤ For the ∞ -norm we have

$$\|A\|_{\infty} = \|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} = 1 + |\alpha|$$

so that

$$\kappa_{\infty}(A) = (1 + |\alpha|)^2.$$

➤ Can give a very large condition number for a large α – but all the eigenvalues of A_n are equal to one.

Rigorous norm-based error bounds

➤ First need to show that $A + E$ is nonsingular if A is nonsingular and E is small. Begin with simple case:

LEMMA: If $\|E\| < 1$ then $I - E$ is nonsingular and

$$\|(I - E)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|E\|}$$

Proof is based on following 5 steps

a) Show: If $\|E\| < 1$ then $I - E$ is nonsingular

b) Show: $(I - E)(I + E + E^2 + \cdots + E^k) = I - E^{k+1}$.

c) From which we get:

$$(I - E)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^k E^i + (I - E)^{-1} E^{k+1} \rightarrow$$

d) $(I - E)^{-1} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^k E^i$. We write this as

$$(I - E)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E^i$$

e) Finally:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - E)^{-1}\| &= \left\| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^k E^i \right\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^k E^i \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^k \|E^i\| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^k \|E\|^i \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \|E\|} \end{aligned}$$

➤ Can generalize result:

LEMMA: If A is nonsingular and $\|A^{-1}\| \|E\| < 1$ then $A + E$ is non-singular and

$$\|(A + E)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\|}{1 - \|A^{-1}\| \|E\|}$$

Proof is based on relation $A + E = A(I + A^{-1}E)$ and use of previous lemma.

THEOREM 1: Assume that $(A + E)y = b + e$ and $Ax = b$ and that $\|A^{-1}\| \|E\| < 1$. Then $A + E$ is nonsingular and

$$\frac{\|x - y\|}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\| \|A\|}{1 - \|A^{-1}\| \|E\|} \left(\frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|} + \frac{\|e\|}{\|b\|} \right)$$

Proof: From $(A + E)y = b + e$ and $Ax = b$ we get $(A + E)(y - x) = e - Ex$. Hence:

$$y - x = (A + E)^{-1}(e - Ex)$$

Taking norms $\rightarrow \|y - x\| \leq \|(A + E)^{-1}\| [\|e\| + \|E\|\|x\|]$

Dividing by $\|x\|$ and using result of lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|y - x\|}{\|x\|} &\leq \|(A + E)^{-1}\| [\|e\|/\|x\| + \|E\|] \\ &\leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\|}{1 - \|A^{-1}\|\|E\|} [\|e\|/\|x\| + \|E\|] \\ &\leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\|\|A\|}{1 - \|A^{-1}\|\|E\|} \left[\frac{\|e\|}{\|A\|\|x\|} + \frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Result follows by using inequality $\|A\|\|x\| \geq \|b\| \dots$ **QED**

Simplification when $e = 0$:

$$\frac{\|x - y\|}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\| \|E\|}{1 - \|A^{-1}\| \|E\|}$$

Simplification when $E = 0$:

$$\frac{\|x - y\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|A\| \frac{\|e\|}{\|b\|}$$

➤ **Slightly less general form: Assume that $\|E\|/\|A\| \leq \delta$ and $\|e\|/\|b\| \leq \delta$ and $\delta\kappa(A) < 1$ then**

$$\frac{\|x - y\|}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{2\delta\kappa(A)}{1 - \delta\kappa(A)}$$

Another common form:

THEOREM 2: Let $(A + \Delta A)y = b + \Delta b$ and $Ax = b$ where $\|\Delta A\| \leq \epsilon\|E\|$, $\|\Delta b\| \leq \epsilon\|e\|$, and assume that $\epsilon\|A^{-1}\|\|E\| < 1$. Then

$$\frac{\|x - y\|}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{\epsilon \|A^{-1}\| \|A\|}{1 - \epsilon \|A^{-1}\| \|E\|} \left(\frac{\|e\|}{\|b\|} + \frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|} \right)$$

Normwise backward error

➤ We solve $Ax = b$ and find an approximate solution y

Question: Find smallest perturbation that to apply to A, b so that *exact* solution of perturbed system is y

For a given y and given perturbation directions E, e , we define the **Normwise backward error**:

$$\eta_{E,e}(y) = \min\{\epsilon \mid (A + \Delta A)y = b + \Delta b;$$

for all $\Delta A, \Delta b$ satisfying: $\|\Delta A\| \leq \epsilon \|E\|;$
and $\|\Delta b\| \leq \epsilon \|e\|\}$

In other words $\eta_{E,e}(y)$ is the smallest ϵ for which

$$(1) \begin{cases} (A + \Delta A)y = & b + \Delta b; \\ \|\Delta A\| \leq \epsilon \|E\|; & \|\Delta b\| \leq \epsilon \|e\| \end{cases}$$

- y is given (a computed solution). E and e to be selected (most likely 'directions of perturbation for A and b ').
- Typical choice: $E = A$, $e = b$


 Explain why this is not unreasonable


Let $r = b - Ay$. Then we have:

THEOREM 3: $\eta_{E,e}(y) = \frac{\|r\|}{\|E\|\|y\| + \|e\|}$

Normwise backward error is for case $E = A$, $e = b$:

$$\eta_{A,b}(y) = \frac{\|r\|}{\|A\|\|y\| + \|b\|}$$

 Show how this can be used in practice as a means to stop some iterative method which computes a sequence of approximate solutions to $Ax = b$.

 Consider the 6×6 Vandermonde system $Ax = b$ where $a_{ij} = j^{2(i-1)}$, $b = A * [1, 1, \dots, 1]^T$. We perturb A by E , with $|E| \leq 10^{-10}|A|$ and b similarly and solve the system. Evaluate the backward error for this case. Evaluate the forward bound provided by Theorem 2. Comment on the results.

Proof of Theorem 3

Let $D \equiv \|E\|\|y\| + \|e\|$ and $\eta \equiv \eta_{E,e}(y)$. The theorem states that $\eta = \|r\|/D$. Proof in 2 steps.

First: Any $\delta A, \delta b$ pair satisfying (1) is such that $\epsilon \geq \|r\|/D$. Indeed from (1) we have (recall that $r = b - Ay$)

$$Ay + \Delta Ay = b + \Delta b \rightarrow r = \Delta Ay - \Delta b \rightarrow$$

$$\|r\| \leq \|\Delta A\|\|y\| + \|\Delta b\| \leq \epsilon(\|E\|\|y\| + \|e\|) \rightarrow \epsilon \geq \frac{\|r\|}{D}$$

Second: We need to show an instance where the minimum value of $\|r\|/D$ is reached. Take the pair $\Delta A, \Delta b$:

$$\Delta A = \alpha r z^T; \quad \Delta b = \beta r \quad \text{with } \alpha = \frac{\|E\|\|y\|}{D}; \quad \beta = \frac{\|e\|}{D}$$

The vector z depends on the norm used - for the 2-norm: $z = y/\|y\|^2$. **Here: Proof only for 2-norm**

a) We need to verify that first part of (1) is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}(A + \Delta A)y &= Ay + \alpha r \frac{y^T}{\|y\|^2} y = b - r + \alpha r \\&= b - (1 - \alpha)r = b - \left(1 - \frac{\|E\|\|y\|}{\|E\|\|y\| + \|e\|}\right) r \\&= b - \frac{\|e\|}{D} r = b + \beta r \quad \rightarrow \\(A + \Delta A)y &= b + \Delta b \quad \leftarrow \text{The desired result}\end{aligned}$$

b) Finally: Must now verify that $\|\Delta A\| = \eta\|E\|$ and $\|\Delta b\| = \eta\|e\|$. **Exercise:** Show that $\|uv^T\|_2 = \|u\|_2\|v\|_2$

$$\|\Delta A\| = \frac{|\alpha|}{\|y\|^2} \|ry^T\| = \frac{\|E\|\|y\|\|r\|\|y\|}{D\|y\|^2} = \eta\|E\|$$

$$\|\Delta b\| = |\beta|\|r\| = \frac{\|e\|}{D}\|r\| = \eta\|e\| \quad \text{QED}$$


Componentwise backward error

A few more definitions on norms...


➤ A norm is **absolute** $|||x||| = \|x\|$ for all x . (satisfied by all p -norms).


➤ A norm is **monotone** if $|x| \leq |y| \rightarrow \|x\| \leq \|y\|$.

➤ It can be shown that these two properties are equivalent.

 Show: a function which satisfies the first 2 requirements of vector norms (1. $\phi(x) \geq 0$ ($=0$, iff $x = 0$) and 2. $\phi(\lambda x) = |\lambda|\phi(x)$) satisfies the triangle inequality iff its unit ball is convex.

 (Continued) Use the above to construct a norm in \mathbb{R}^2 that is **not** absolute.

 Define absolute *matrix* norms in same way. Which of the norms $\|A\|_1$, $\|A\|_\infty$, $\|A_2\|$, and $\|A\|_F$ are absolute?

 Recall that for any matrix $fl(A) = A + E$ with $|E| \leq \underline{u} |A|$. For an absolute matrix norm

$$\frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|} \leq \underline{u}$$

What does this imply?

- Component-wise analysis requires that we use norms that are *absolute*
- We will restrict analysis to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$
- See sec. 2.6.5 of text.

- Analogue of theorem 2 for case $E = |A|, e = |b|$:

THEOREM 4 Let $Ax = b$ and $(A + \Delta A)y = b + \Delta b$ where $|\Delta A| \leq \epsilon|A|$ and $|\Delta b| \leq \epsilon|b|$. Assume that $\epsilon\kappa_\infty(A) = r < 1$. Then $A + \Delta A$ is nonsingular and

$$\frac{\|x - y\|_\infty}{\|x\|_\infty} \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{1 - r} \| |A^{-1}| |A| \|_\infty$$

- Componentwise relative condition number :

$$\kappa_\infty^C(A) \equiv \| |A^{-1}| |A| \|_\infty$$

-  Redo example seen after Theorem 3, (6×6 Vandermonde system) using componentwise analysis.

Componentwise backward error for $y \equiv$ is the smallest ϵ for which

$$(2) \begin{cases} (A + \Delta A)y = b + \Delta b; \\ |\Delta A| \leq \epsilon E; \quad |\Delta b| \leq \epsilon e \end{cases}$$

Denoted by $\omega_{E,e}(y)$.

THEOREM 5 [Oettli-Prager] Let $r = b - Ay$ (residual). Then

$$\omega_{E,e}(y) = \max_i \frac{|r_i|}{(E|y| + e)_i}.$$

Zero denominator case: $0/0 \equiv 0$ and nonzero/ $0 \equiv \infty$

Example of ill-conditioning: The Hilbert Matrix

- Notorious example of ill conditioning.

$$H_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \cdots & \frac{1}{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n+1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2n-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad h_{ij} = \frac{1}{i+j-1}$$

- For $n = 5$ $\kappa_2(H_n) = 4.766.. \times 10^5$.
- Let $b_n = H_n(1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$.
- Solution of $H_n x = b$ is $(1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$.
- Let $n = 5$ and perturb $h_{5,1} = 0.2$ into 0.20001.
- New solution: $(0.9937, 1.1252, 0.4365, 1.865, 0.5618)^T$


Estimating condition numbers.

Let A, B be two $n \times n$ matrices with A nonsingular and B singular. Then

$$\frac{1}{\kappa(A)} \leq \frac{\|A - B\|}{\|A\|}$$

Proof: B singular $\rightarrow \exists x \neq 0$ such that $Bx = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\|x\| &= \|A^{-1}Ax\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|Ax\| = \|A^{-1}\| \|(A - B)x\| \\ &\leq \|A^{-1}\| \|A - B\| \|x\|\end{aligned}$$

Divide both sides by $\|x\| \times \kappa(A) = \|x\| \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|$  result. QED.

Example:

$$\text{let } A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0.99 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $\frac{1}{\kappa_1(A)} \leq \frac{0.01}{2} \rightarrow \kappa_1(A) \geq 200$.

➤ It can be shown that (Kahan)

$$\frac{1}{\kappa(A)} = \min_B \left\{ \frac{\|A - B\|}{\|A\|} \mid \det(B) = 0 \right\}$$

Estimating errors from residual norms

Let \tilde{x} an approximate solution to system $Ax = b$ (e.g., computed from an iterative process). We can compute the residual norm:

$$\|r\| = \|b - A\tilde{x}\|$$

Question: How to estimate the error $\|x - \tilde{x}\|$ from $\|r\|$?

- One option is to use the inequality

$$\frac{\|x - \tilde{x}\|}{\|x\|} \leq \kappa(A) \frac{\|r\|}{\|b\|}.$$

- We must have an estimate of $\kappa(A)$.

Proof of inequality.

First, note that $A(x - \tilde{x}) = b - A\tilde{x} = r$. So:

$$\|x - \tilde{x}\| = \|A^{-1}r\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|r\|$$

Also note that from the relation $b = Ax$, we get

$$\|b\| = \|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \|x\| \quad \rightarrow \quad \|x\| \geq \frac{\|b\|}{\|A\|}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\|x - \tilde{x}\|}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\| \|r\|}{\|b\|/\|A\|} = \kappa(A) \frac{\|r\|}{\|b\|} \quad \blacksquare$$



Show that

$$\frac{\|x - \tilde{x}\|}{\|x\|} \geq \frac{1}{\kappa(A)} \frac{\|r\|}{\|b\|}.$$

THEOREM 6 Let A be a nonsingular matrix and \tilde{x} an approximate solution to $Ax = b$. Then for any norm $\|\cdot\|$,

$$\|x - \tilde{x}\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|r\|$$

In addition, we have the relation

$$\frac{1}{\kappa(A)} \frac{\|r\|}{\|b\|} \leq \frac{\|x - \tilde{x}\|}{\|x\|} \leq \kappa(A) \frac{\|r\|}{\|b\|}$$

in which $\kappa(A)$ is the condition number of A associated with the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Iterative refinement

- Define residual vector:

$$r = b - A\tilde{x}$$

- We have seen that: $x - \tilde{x} = A^{-1}r$, i.e., we have

$$x = \tilde{x} + A^{-1}r$$

- Idea: Compute r accurately (double precision) then solve

$$A\delta = r$$

... and correct \tilde{x} by

$$\tilde{x} := \tilde{x} + \delta$$

... repeat if needed.

- Read Section 3.5.3 for details