

Brandon Zink
January 26, 2017

The AAP statistic uses 3 different statistics to calculate the score, so I will look at each and their relevance from 1910 to 2016.

The first is batting average. In 1910, pitchers hit far more per season. In 1910, pitchers hit, on average, 48.01 times per season (for pitchers that hit at least once). In 2016, that number drops to 24.2 times per season. If you include pitchers that hit zero times (relievers, closers, a few AL starters), in 1910 pitchers averages 44.8 AB per game. In 2016, the number drops all the way down to 10.7 AB per season. In 1910, pitchers had about quadruple the impact offensively that pitchers today do, so using their BA made more sense in 1910 than it does today. The winner of the AAP in 1910 has 25 ABs, and 6 of the top 8 had at least 20 ABs. The winner in 2016 has 1 AB, and none of the top 20 had at least 20 ABs.

The second is F%. F% is a pretty useless stat (you can't make a play on the ball if you aren't there in the first place), especially for your pitcher because the only plays he should realistically have a part in is bunts or weak ground balls. There's no good way to compare this, either, considering that errors have always been a job of the scorekeeper and it is unfair to compare based on the opinions of scorekeepers.

The third is wins. Wins are a bad statistic no matter what because they rely on offenses production to create runs. So for this part, the previous two sections kind of cancel out. In 1910, pitchers contributed more on offense and can impact whether or not they get the win that way. In 2016, pitchers contribute more to their ERA, or the amount of runs they give up. So we'll consider this category a push, equally bad in both directions.

In conclusion, this award makes no sense. However, it makes slightly more sense in 1910 because batting is irrelevant for a pitcher in today's game with heavy reliance on relievers, the DH, and pitchers just suck at hitting (even the best hitting pitchers are well below league average). So the award goes grudgingly to 1910, but it shouldn't be an award at all.

All queries and explanations are attached to the end of the .sql file I turned in.