

STAT641HW1

Brandon Keck

Exercise 1.

```
# non-parametric bootstrap for theta
library(boot)
set.seed(123) # set seed for reproducibility
x <- rgamma(200, shape = 2, scale = 5) # original data

# non-parametric bootstrap
theta_boot <- function(x, indices) {
  mean(x[indices]) / 2
}

boot(data = x, statistic = theta_boot, R = 999)
```

ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP

Call:

```
boot(data = x, statistic = theta_boot, R = 999)
```

```
Bootstrap Statistics :
      original     bias   std. error
t1* 4.578726 0.007596511  0.2201269
```

```
# parametric bootstrap for theta
library(boot)
set.seed(123) # set seed for reproducibility
x <- rgamma(200, shape = 2, scale = 5) # original data
```

```

boot_theta <- function(x) mean(x) / 2

gamma_boot <- function(x, mle){
  rgamma(length(x), shape = 2, scale = mle)
}

(b <- boot(x, boot_theta, R = 1000, sim = "parametric", ran.gen = gamma_boot,
           mle = mean(x) / 2))

```

PARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP

Call:

```
boot(data = x, statistic = boot_theta, R = 1000, sim = "parametric",
      ran.gen = gamma_boot, mle = mean(x)/2)
```

Bootstrap Statistics :

	original	bias	std. error
t1*	4.578726	0.005143687	0.2135533

```

# mean(b$t) # bootstrap estimate of theta

# mean(b$t) - b$t0 # bootstrap bias estimate

# sd(b$t) # parametric bootstrap SE

```

Exercise 2.

```

library(resampled)
library(dplyr)
data("Bangladesh")
head(Bangladesh)

```

	Arsenic	Chlorine	Cobalt
1	2400	6.2	0.42
2	6	116.0	0.45
3	904	14.8	0.63

```
4      321      35.9    0.68
5     1280      18.9    0.58
6      151       7.8    0.35
```

```
chl <- with(Bangladesh, Chlorine[!is.na(Chlorine)])
```

(a)

```
# 95% confidence interval for mean chlorine level mu
library(bootstrap)
set.seed(123)

bsample <- bootstrap(chl, 5000, mean)
quantile(bsample$thetastar, probs = c(0.025, 0.975))
```

```
2.5%      97.5%
54.24833 104.65179
```

(b)

```
boot.bangladesh <- function(data, indices){
  mean(data[indices])
}
set.seed(123)
(bangladesh.boot <- boot(chl, boot.bangladesh, 5000))
```

ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP

Call:
boot(data = chl, statistic = boot.bangladesh, R = 5000)

```
Bootstrap Statistics :
      original      bias      std. error
t1* 78.08401  0.04634684    12.9565
```

```
boot.ci(bangladesh.boot, index = 1, type = c("perc", "bca"))
```

BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
Based on 5000 bootstrap replicates

CALL :
boot.ci(boot.out = bangladesh.boot, type = c("perc", "bca"),
index = 1)

Intervals :
Level Percentile BCa
95% (54.23, 104.66) (56.79, 109.13)
Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale

```
# bootstrap-t
pacman::p_load(boot, bootstrap)
# Statistic: mean + SE
mean_stat <- function(data, idx){
  d <- data[idx]
  est <- mean(d)
  se <- sd(d) / sqrt(length(d))
  c(est, se)
}

set.seed(123)
boot_bangladesh <- boot(chl, statistic = mean_stat, R = 5000)
boot.ci(boot_bangladesh, type = "stud")
```

BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
Based on 5000 bootstrap replicates

CALL :
boot.ci(boot.out = boot_bangladesh, type = "stud")

Intervals :
Level Studentized
95% (54.46, 106.96)
Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale

All four intervals are similar towards the lower end. However, inspecting the BCa interval is shifted upward and has a larger endpoint compared to the other intervals. I would report the

BCa interval because it has second order accuracy and corrects for both bias and skewness in the bootstrap distribution.