## Examining the Identity of a "Whole-Sliced" Python Sequence

David Prager Branner
Hacker School

presented at PyGotham, New York — 20140816

## **Abstract**

This presentation examines the behavior of IDs (memory locations) of "whole slices" of Python sequences, and particularly whether those IDs are the same or different for different copies of those slices.

In the standard implementation (CPython), whether or not the IDs are the same depends on which sequence is involved. The pattern for the standard implementation is itself different from the patterns for two other major implementations, PyPy and Jython. ID behavior of whole-sliced sequences is most consistent and predictable in Jython, where whole-slicing a sequence produces a new object in each and every case examined.

"Whole-slicing" is a quick hack for making a deep copy

"Whole-slicing" is a quick hack for making a deep copy — a copy of the actual values

"Whole-slicing" is a quick hack for making a deep copy — a copy of the actual values — of an entire sequence such as a list

$$>>> a = [1, 2, 3]$$

```
>>> a = [1, 2, 3]
>>> b = a
>>> b
[1, 2, 3]
>>> a[0] = u'應變'
>>> b
[u'\u61c9\u8b8a', 2, 3] # "b" changes
```

"Copying" by reference does not actually produce a new object

"Copying" by reference does not actually produce a new object, whereas slicing does.

"Copying" by reference does not actually produce a new object, whereas slicing does. We can determine whether two objects are the same or not

"Copying" by reference does not actually produce a new object, whereas slicing does. We can determine whether two objects are the same or not, at the moment they are being compared

```
>>> a = [1, 2, 3]
>>> b = a[:]
>>> b
>>> 0 = [1, 2, 3]
>>> h = q
>>> h
                            [1, 2, 3]
[1, 2, 3]
                            >>> a[0] = u'泥古不化'
>>> a[0] = u'應變'
>>> h
>>> id(a) == id(b)
                            >>> id(q) == id(b)
```

The id() function returns an integer that (in CPython) is the memory address of the argument.

The id() function returns an integer that (in CPython) is the memory address of the argument. Different objects that coexist at some moment have different memory addresses.

>>> import array

```
>>> import array
>>> id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])) == id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:]) # array
```

```
>>> import array
>>> id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])) == id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:]) # array
False
```

```
>>> import array
>>> id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])) == id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:]) # array
False
>>> id(bytearray('123')) == id(bytearray('123')[:]) # bytearray
```

```
>>> import array
>>> id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])) == id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:]) # array
False
>>> id(bytearray('123')) == id(bytearray('123')[:]) # bytearray
False
```

```
>>> import array
>>> id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])) == id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:])  # array
False
>>> id(bytearray('123')) == id(bytearray('123')[:])  # bytearray
False
```

Let's summarize what we know in a table:

```
>>> import array
>>> id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])) == id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:])  # array
False
>>> id(bytearray('123')) == id(bytearray('123')[:])  # bytearray
False
```

Let's summarize what we know in a table:

question	list	array	bytearray
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False

```
>>> import array
>>> id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])) == id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:])  # array
False
>>> id(bytearray('123')) == id(bytearray('123')[:])  # bytearray
False
```

Let's summarize what we know in a table:

question	list	array	bytearray
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False

(Here I use "object" to represent a literal object rather than a variable representing it.)

>>> id(buffer('123')) == id(buffer('123')[:]) # buffer

```
>>> id(buffer('123')) == id(buffer('123')[:]) # buffer False
```

```
>>> id(buffer('123')) == id(buffer('123')[:]) # buffer
False
>>> id((1, 2, 3)) == id((1, 2, 3)[:]) # tuple
```

```
>>> id(buffer('123')) == id(buffer('123')[:])
                                                   # buffer
False
>> id((1, 2, 3)) == id((1, 2, 3)[:])
                                                   # tuple
False
```

question	list	array	bytearray	buffer	tuple
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False	False	False

question	list	array	bytearray	buffer	tuple
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False	False	False

But it may be surprising that not all do...

question	list	array	bytearray	tuple	buffer	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False	False	False	True

>>> id([1, 2, 3][:]) == id([1, 2, 3][:]) # Are concurrent whole slices of a list one object? False

```
>>> id([1, 2, 3][:]) == id([1, 2, 3][:]) # Are concurrent whole slices of a list one object? False
>>> id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:]) == id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:]) # ditto, array
False
```

```
>>> id([1, 2, 3][:]) == id([1, 2, 3][:]) # Are concurrent whole slices of a list one object?

False
>>> id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:]) == id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:]) # ditto, array

False
>>> id(bytearray('123')[:]) == id(bytearray('123')[:]) # ditto, bytearray

False
```

```
>>> id([1, 2, 3][:]) == id([1, 2, 3][:]) # Are concurrent whole slices of a list one object?

False
>>> id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:]) == id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:]) # ditto, array

False
>>> id(bytearray('123')[:]) == id(bytearray('123')[:]) # ditto, bytearray

False
>>> id(buffer('123')[:]) == id(buffer('123')[:]) # ditto, buffer

True
```

```
>>> id([1, 2, 3][:]) == id([1, 2, 3][:]) # Are concurrent whole slices of a list one object?

False
>>> id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:]) == id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:]) # ditto, array

False
>>> id(bytearray('123')[:]) == id(bytearray('123')[:]) # ditto, bytearray

False
>>> id(buffer('123')[:]) == id(buffer('123')[:]) # ditto, buffer

True
>>> id((1, 2, 3)[:]) == id((1, 2, 3)[:]) # ditto, tuple

False
```

```
>>> id([1, 2, 3][:]) == id([1, 2, 3][:]) # Are concurrent whole slices of a list one object?
False
>>> id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:]) == id(array.array('i', [1, 2, 3])[:]) # ditto, array
False
>>> id(bytearray('123')[:]) == id(bytearray('123')[:])
                                                                           # ditto, bytearray
False
>>> id(buffer('123')[:]) == id(buffer('123')[:])
                                                                           # ditto, buffer
True
>>> id((1, 2, 3)[:]) == id((1, 2, 3)[:])
                                                                           # ditto, tuple
False
>>> id('123'[:]) == id('123'[:])
                                                                           # ditto, string
True
```

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False	True
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	True	False	True

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False	True
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	True	False	True

We should ask right now whether mutability is sufficient to explain this pattern of behaviors.

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False	True
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	True	False	True
mutable?	yes	can be	no	no

<u>Mutability alone is apparently not sufficient to explain this pattern of behaviors.</u>

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False	True
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	True	False	True
mutable?	yes	can be	no	no

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False	True
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	True	False	True
mutable?	yes	can be	no	no

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False	True
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	True	False	True
mutable?	yes	can be	no	no

>>> 
$$a = [6, 7, 8] \# lists are mutable$$
  
>>>  $x = (a, 2, 3) \# so "x" is "changeable"$   
>>>  $id((a, 2, 3)) == id((a, 2, 3)[:])$   
**True**  
>>>  $id((a, 2, 3)[:]) == id((a, 2, 3)[:])$   
**True**

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False but	True
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	True	False but	True
mutable?	yes	can be	no	no

>>> 
$$a = [6, 7, 8] \# lists are mutable$$
  
>>>  $x = (a, 2, 3) \# so "x" is "changeable"$   
>>>  $id((a, 2, 3)) == id((a, 2, 3)[:])$   
**True**  
>>>  $id((a, 2, 3)[:]) == id((a, 2, 3)[:])$   
**True**

A variable to which an object is assigned also has a different pattern of slice-identity among the various types of sequences:

A variable to which an object is assigned also has a different pattern of slice-identity among the various types of sequences:

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False but	True
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	True	False but	True
var = object id(var[:]) == id(var[:])	True	True	True	True
var2 = var[:] id(var[:]) == id(var2[:])	True	False	True	True

A variable to which an object is assigned also has a different pattern of slice-identity among the various types of sequences:

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False but	True
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	True	False but	True
var = object id(var[:]) == id(var[:])	True	True	True	True
var2 = var[:] id(var[:]) == id(var2[:])	True	False	True	True

There are some other interesting inconsistencies of this sort documented in Appendix 2, but I omit them here for the sake of brevity.

It seems random.

It seems random. Is it?

In particular, it would make sense for a deep copy of an object to be an entity different from the original object

In particular, it would make sense for a deep copy of an object to be an entity different from the original object and different also from any other deep copy of that object.

In particular, it would make sense for a deep copy of an object to be an entity different from the original object and different also from any other deep copy of that object. But Python objects behave inconsistently in these respects:

It seems random. Is it? Or is there underlying order, thoughtfully prescribed, in this diverse behavior?

In particular, it would make sense for a deep copy of an object to be an entity different from the original object and different also from any other deep copy of that object. But Python objects behave inconsistently in these respects:

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False but	True
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	True	False but	True

It seems random. Is it? Or is there underlying order, thoughtfully prescribed, in this diverse behavior?

In particular, it would make sense for a deep copy of an object to be an entity different from the original object and different also from any other deep copy of that object. But Python objects behave inconsistently in these respects:

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False but	True
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	True	False but	True

With whole-slice variables, acting on an indeterminate datatype could lead to expected results:

It seems random. Is it? Or is there underlying order, thoughtfully prescribed, in this diverse behavior?

In particular, it would make sense for a deep copy of an object to be an entity different from the original object and different also from any other deep copy of that object. But Python objects behave inconsistently in these respects:

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False but	True
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	True	False but	True

With whole-slice variables, acting on an indeterminate datatype could lead to expected results:

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>var = object id(var[:]) == id(var[:])</pre>	True	True	True	True
var2 = var[:] id(var[:]) == id(var2[:])	True	False	True	True

Internals can explain these inconsistencies

Internals can explain these inconsistencies, but high level distribution patterns are more eloquent:

Internals can explain these inconsistencies, but high level distribution patterns are more eloquent: The implementations CPython, PyPy, and Jython (see Appendix 1 for versions) return different patterns of True and False vis-à-vis these questions

Internals can explain these inconsistencies, but high level distribution patterns are more eloquent: The implementations CPython, PyPy, and Jython (see Appendix 1 for versions) return different patterns of True and False vis-à-vis these questions (only Jython is fully consistent):

Internals can explain these inconsistencies, but high level distribution patterns are more eloquent: The implementations CPython, PyPy, and Jython (see Appendix 1 for versions) return different patterns of True and False vis-à-vis these questions (only Jython is fully consistent):

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False but	C Py/J
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	C/Py J	False but	C Py/J
<pre>var = object id(var[:]) == id(var[:])</pre>	C Py/J	C/Py J	C Py/J	C/Py J
var2 = var[:] id(var[:]) == id(var2[:])	C Py/J	Py C/J	C Py/J	C/Py J

Key: **blue & bold** = **True**; red & non-bold = False

C: CPython; Py: PyPy; J: Jython.

Internals can explain these inconsistencies, but high level distribution patterns are more eloquent: The implementations CPython, PyPy, and Jython (see Appendix 1 for versions) return different patterns of True and False vis-à-vis these questions (only Jython is fully consistent):

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False but	C Py/J
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	C/Py J	False but	C Py/J
var = object id(var[:]) == id(var[:])	C Py/J	C/Py J	C Py/J	C/Py J
var2 = var[:] id(var[:]) == id(var2[:])	C Py/J	Py C/J	C Py/J	C/Py J

Key: **blue & bold** = **True**; red & non-bold = False

C: CPython; Py: PyPy; J: Jython.

**The point**: The behavior of the id() function with sliced sequences is

Internals can explain these inconsistencies, but high level distribution patterns are more eloquent: The implementations CPython, PyPy, and Jython (see Appendix 1 for versions) return <u>different patterns of True and False</u> vis-à-vis these questions (only Jython is fully consistent):

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False but	C Py/J
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	C/Py J	False but	C Py/J
var = object id(var[:]) == id(var[:])	C Py/J	C/Py J	C Py/J	C/Py J
var2 = var[:] id(var[:]) == id(var2[:])	C Py/J	Py C/J	C Py/J	C/Py J

Key: **blue & bold** = **True**; red & non-bold = False

C: CPython; Py: PyPy; J: Jython.

**The point**: The behavior of the id() function with sliced sequences is

1) not uniform with respect to the various sequences in the standard implementation

Internals can explain these inconsistencies, but high level distribution patterns are more eloquent: The implementations CPython, PyPy, and Jython (see Appendix 1 for versions) return different patterns of True and False vis-à-vis these questions (only Jython is fully consistent):

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False but	C Py/J
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	C/Py J	False but	C Py/J
var = object id(var[:]) == id(var[:])	C Py/J	C/Py J	C Py/J	C/Py J
var2 = var[:] id(var[:]) == id(var2[:])	C Py/J	Py C/J	C Py/J	C/Py J

Key: **blue & bold** = **True**; red & non-bold = False

C: CPython; Py: PyPy; J: Jython.

**The point**: The behavior of the id() function with sliced sequences is

- 1) not uniform with respect to the various sequences in the standard implementation;
- 2) not uniform among the three main implementations

Internals can explain these inconsistencies, but high level distribution patterns are more eloquent: The implementations CPython, PyPy, and Jython (see Appendix 1 for versions) return different patterns of True and False vis-à-vis these questions (only Jython is fully consistent):

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False but	C Py/J
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	C/Py J	False but	C Py/J
<pre>var = object id(var[:]) == id(var[:])</pre>	C Py/J	C/Py J	C Py/J	C/Py J
var2 = var[:] id(var[:]) == id(var2[:])	C Py/J	Py C/J	C Py/J	C/Py J

Key: **blue & bold** = **True**; red & non-bold = False

C: CPython; Py: PyPy; J: Jython.

**The point**: The behavior of the id() function with sliced sequences is

- 1) not uniform with respect to the various sequences in the standard implementation;
- 2) not uniform among the three main implementations;
- 3) most uniform in Jython, which assigns a distinct ID to each full-sliced sequence.



This study was done at Hacker School, New York. Thanks to Amber Wilcox-Hearn of Hacker School for a clarifying question on an earlier version of this talk.

Appendix 1: For reference here is how "identity" is defined in the three implementations:

- CPython: "Return the 'identity' of an object. This is an integer which is guaranteed to be unique and constant for this object during its lifetime. Two objects with non-overlapping lifetimes may have the same id() value. CPython implementation detail: This is the address of the object in memory." <a href="https://docs.python.org/2.7/library/functions.html?#id">https://docs.python.org/2.7/library/functions.html?#id</a>. Python 2.7.8 (default, Jul 2 2014, 10:14:46) [GCC 4.2.1 Compatible Apple LLVM 5.1 (clang-503.0.40)] on darwin
- PyPy: "Using the default GC (called minimark), the built-in function id() [of PyPy] works like it does in CPython. With other GCs it returns numbers that are not real addresses (because an object can move around several times) and calling it a lot can lead to performance problem." <a href="http://pypy.readthedocs.org/en/latest/cpython\_differences.html">http://pypy.readthedocs.org/en/latest/cpython\_differences.html</a> Python 2.7.6 (32f35069a16d, Jun 06 2014, 20:12:47) [PyPy 2.3.1 with GCC 4.2.1 Compatible Apple LLVM 5.0 (clang-500.2.79)] on darwin
- Jython: "Return the 'identity' of an object. This is an integer (or long integer) which is guaranteed to be unique and constant for this object during its lifetime. Two objects with non-overlapping lifetimes may have the same id() value. (Implementation note: this is the address of the object.)" <a href="http://www.jython.org/docs/library/functions.html">http://www.jython.org/docs/library/functions.html</a> Jython 2.7b2 (default:a5bc0032cf79+, Apr 22 2014, 21:20:17) [Java HotSpot(TM) 64-Bit Server VM (Oracle Corporation)] on java1.7.0\_51

Appendix 2: A fuller list of examples.

question	list, array, bytearray	buffer	tuple	string
<pre>id(object) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	False	False but	C Py/J
<pre>id(object[:]) == id(object[:])</pre>	False	C/Py J	False but	C Py/J
var = object id(var) == id(var[:])	False	False	C Py/J	C/Py J
var2 = var[:] id(var) == id(var2)	False	False	C Py/J	C/Py J
id(var[:]) == id(var[:])	C Py/J	C/Py J	C Py/J	C/Py J
id(var[:]) == id(var2[:])	C Py/J	Py C/J	C Py/J	C/Py J
id(var) == id(object)	False	False	False	C Py/J
id(var2) == id(object)	False	False	False	C Py/J
<pre>id(var[:]) == id(object)</pre>	C Py/J	False	False	C Py/J

Key: **blue & bold** = **True**; red & non-bold = False

C: CPython; Py: PyPy; J: Jython.

Appendix 3: Another interesting feature is that CPython alternates the IDs of a sliced object and a sliced variable differently if they are simply printed rather than in the same comparison:

>>> def test_list():	>>> def test_list():
$x = [1, 2, 3][:]$	print id([1, 2, 3][:])
print id(x[:])	print id([1, 2, 3][:])
print id(x[:])	print id([1, 2, 3][:])
print id(x[:])	print id([1, 2, 3][:])
print id(x[:])	
>>> test_list()	>>> test_list()
4451744728	4451745160
4451744728	4451676816
4451744728	4451745160
4451744728	4451676816

Appendix 3: Another interesting feature is that CPython alternates the IDs of a sliced object and a sliced variable differently if they are simply printed rather than in the same comparison:

>>> def test_list():	>>> def test_list():
x = [1, 2, 3][:]	print id([1, 2, 3][:])
print id(x[:])	print id([1, 2, 3][:])
print id(x[:])	print id([1, 2, 3][:])
print id(x[:])	print id([1, 2, 3][:])
print id(x[:])	
>>> test_list()	>>> test_list()
4451744728	4451745160
4451744728	4451676816
4451744728	4451745160
4451744728	4451676816

For a list, the literal object uses two alternating memory addresses in this example, while a variable uses the same memory address.

Appendix 3: Another interesting feature is that CPython alternates the IDs of a sliced object and a sliced variable differently if they are simply printed rather than in the same comparison:

>>> def test_list():	>>> def test_list():		
$x = [1, 2, 3][:]$	print id([1, 2, 3][:]) # do this four times		
print id(x[:]) # do this four times			
>>> test_list()	>>> test_list()		
4451744728	4451745160		
4451744728	4451676816		

The three implementations behave differently in this respect;

Appendix 3: Another interesting feature is that CPython alternates the IDs of a sliced object and a sliced variable differently if they are simply printed rather than in the same comparison:

>>> def test_list():	>>> def test_list():		
$x = [1, 2, 3][:]$	print id([1, 2, 3][:]) # do this four times		
print id(x[:]) # do this four times			
>>> test_list()	>>> test_list()		
4451744728	4451745160		
4451744728	4451676816		

The three implementations behave differently in this respect; Jython again is always False:

Appendix 3: Another interesting feature is that CPython alternates the IDs of a sliced object and a sliced variable differently if they are simply printed rather than in the same comparison:

>>> def test_list():	>>> def test_list():	
$x = [1, 2, 3][:]$	print id([1, 2, 3][:]) # do this four times	
print id(x[:]) # do this four times		
>>> test_list()	>>> test_list()	
4451744728	4451745160	
4451744728	4451676816	

The three implementations behave differently in this respect; Jython again is always False:

question	list, array, bytearray, tuple, buffer	string
id(var[:]), 4x in fn same	C True Py/J	C/Py True J

question	list, array	bytearray	tuple	buffer, string
<pre>id(object[:]), 4x in fn same</pre>	C False*; Py/J	C True Py/J	False	C/Py True J

IDs appear in alternation.



(really, this time)