## **Heuristc Analisys – Adversarial Search**

Author: Bruno Gonçalves de Aquino

Course: Udacity - Nanodegree in AI – Adversarial Search Project

### Custom\_score:

The best one is simple, this just combine the center\_score with the improved\_score multiplying both.

There is a modification in the center\_score part. It was rewarding the player to stay away the center, I changed it to reward to stay next to the center

#### Custom\_score\_2:

This one tries to calculate the dominated area of each player, getting the area behind each, considering the players always facing their opponents.

As the first heuristic, this one also lends the improved\_score to complement it.

### Custom\_score\_3:

This heuristic consists in find the "center of mass" of the blank spaces and then act as the custom\_score, rewarding the agent for being closer to this center as possible.

Additionally, I calculated quantity of possible moves of the player minus the possible moves of the opponent, as the improved\_score does.

Finally, I multiplied the both to have my heuristic.

# **Results Analysis:**

**************************************					
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved	AB_Custom	AB_Custom_2	AB_Custom_3
		Won   Lost	Won   Lost	Won   Lost	Won   Lost
1	Random	29   1	29   1	28   2	28   2
2	MM_Open	19   11	28   2	22   8	23   7
3	MM_Center	24   6	28   2	24   6	24   6
4	MM_Improved	22   8	23   7	18   12	22   8
5	AB_Open	18   12	16   14	17   13	17   13
6	AB_Center	22   8	22   8	17   13	20   10
7	AB_Improved	12   18	15   15	18   12	18   12
	Win Rate:	69.5%	76.7%	68.6%	72.4%

All the results were very close, but the AB\_Custom is consistent. I've ran the tournament several times, and it won mostly.

It's a shame that the blank center mass didn't the best, at least it is nice. Maybe the loop inside slows it, and it is not able to reach deeper trees.