

Chapter 1

Connection One-Form ω

Recall that our goal is to find a frame field $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, such that the target parametrization of ϕ can sufficiently reduce the difference between $\nabla \phi$ and F^{-1} . For this, F^{-1} needs to stay as close to being locally integrable as possible. In this chapter, by starting from the local integrability condition, we formulate a connection one-form ω which is used to measure the Dirichlet energy in the new metric g , such that the frames stay close to being g -orthonormal ($F^{-1}gF = \text{Id}$). We follow the steps in *Metric-Driven 3D Frame Field Generation*[?].

1.1 Local integrability

A vector field U is integrable if and only if $\nabla \times U = 0$, which means the vector field has vanishing curl [?]. Although in general it is more complicated [?], we can think of a frame field F as the composition of 3 vector fields

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | \\ F_1 & F_2 & F_3 \\ | & | & | \end{bmatrix}$$

where $F_i : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ are vector fields. To achieve local integrability for F^{-1} , we therefore want

$$\nabla \times F^{-1} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

where the curl is applied to each column. We can express this more naturally with the language of differential forms: The curl can be written as the exterior derivative d of a one-form α . A one-form (more generally, a differential form) is closed, if $d\alpha = 0$. We construct a vector-valued one-form out of our frame field, given $\mathbf{p} = (x, y, z)^\top$ in Euclidean coordinates

$$\alpha \triangleq F^{-1}d\mathbf{p} = R^\top g^{1/2}d\mathbf{p}$$

where $d\mathbf{p} = (dx, dy, dz)^\top$ is the common orthonormal one-form basis. Local integrability of F^{-1} is then formulated as the closedness of α , i.e.

$$F^{-1} \text{ locally integrable} \iff \mathbf{0} = d\alpha$$

Some reformulations yield:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= d\alpha = d(F^{-1}d\mathbf{p}) = d(R^\top g^{1/2}d\mathbf{p}) \\ &= dR^\top \wedge (g^{1/2}d\mathbf{p}) + R^\top d(g^{1/2}d\mathbf{p}) \\ &= R^\top (\omega \wedge (g^{1/2}d\mathbf{p}) + d(g^{1/2}d\mathbf{p})) \end{aligned}$$

which we can simplify to

$$\mathbf{0} = \omega \wedge (g^{1/2}d\mathbf{p}) + d(g^{1/2}d\mathbf{p}) \tag{1.1}$$

where the Leibnitz Rule for the exterior derivative is applied and \wedge is the exterior product between a matrix-valued one-form and a vector-valued one-form, i.e. one-forms in each component and the matrix vector product uses \wedge as the multiplication, see section 1.3 for the evaluation. We further define

$$\omega = RdR^\top \in \mathfrak{so}(3)$$

which is an antisymmetric matrix-valued one-form. To see this, we differentiate the orthogonality condition of the rotation matrix R (we assume R rotates about the axis a with angle θ):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Id} &= RR^\top \\ d(\text{Id}) &= d(RR^\top) \\ \mathbf{0} &= dRR^\top + RdR^\top \\ \mathbf{0} &= (RdR^\top)^\top + RdR^\top \\ -(RdR^\top)^\top &= RdR^\top \end{aligned}$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ consists of all antisymmetric 3x3 matrices and has manifold structure. Elements of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ are infinitesimal rotations, that is, they are tangent to the manifold $\text{SO}(3)$ at the element Id . Thus, we can use ω as a connection one-form to do the alignment of frames in the new metric g , i.e. the parallel transport is done with ω and then compared.

To solve for local integrability, we find ω such that the one-form α is closed, then try to match the ω with R . This can be expressed as

$$\min_{R \in \text{SO}(3)} \|RdR^\top - \omega\|^2, \quad (1.2)$$

where ω is determined by g through a system of linear equations (see 1.3). In general, Eq. 1.2 cannot be minimised to zero, therefore we solve for the nearly integrable 3D rotation field R .

1.2 Smoothness measure

Many frame field generation methods rely on maximising smoothness through the minimization of the Dirichlet energy. Here, we show that integrability through ω is closely related to the usual Dirichlet energy. We can take Eq. 1.2 as a smoothness measure and reformulate, i.e.

$$\|RdR^\top - \omega\|^2 = \|-dRR^\top - \omega\|^2 = \|-dRR^\top R - \omega R\|^2 = \|dR + \omega R\|^2. \quad (1.3)$$

By defining

$$\mathcal{D}R \triangleq dR + \omega R, \quad (1.4)$$

we can measure the smoothness and the integrability with a single energy $\int_{\mathcal{R}} \|\mathcal{D}R\|^2$. Now, when g is constant in euclidean coordinates (x, y, z) , Eq. 1.1 tells us that $\omega = 0$, which reduces the smoothness measure $\|\mathcal{D}R\|$ to $\|dR\|$, which corresponds to the usual Dirichlet energy. In fact, $\mathcal{D}R$ corresponds to the covariant derivative of R under the connection ω , which shows that local integrability is related to the covariant-based Dirichlet energy. Special care must be taken as we abuse the notation of the covariant derivative. The covariant derivative \mathcal{D} acts on each column of R separately. We define

$$\mathcal{D}R_i \triangleq \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} R_i \triangleq dR_i + \omega R_i$$

where dR_i is the derivative of each entry with respect to the angle θ and γ is some curve on the manifold.

1.3 Connection evaluation

To find ω , we use equation 1.1

$$\mathbf{0} = \omega \wedge (g^{1/2} d\mathbf{p}) + d(g^{1/2} d\mathbf{p})$$

and reformulate into a linear system. We represent the antisymmetric matrix-valued one-form ω

$$\omega = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega_{12} & -\omega_{31} \\ -\omega_{12} & 0 & \omega_{23} \\ \omega_{31} & -\omega_{23} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

by $[\omega_{23} \ \omega_{31} \ \omega_{12}] = [dx \ dy \ dz] W$. We write $W = [W_1, W_2, W_3]$, $W_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$. That is, W is the matrix with the coefficients for the one-forms, e.g. $W_1 = [(\omega^{23})_1, (\omega^{23})_2, (\omega^{23})_3]^\top$. Recall, a one-form can be expressed as

$$\omega_{ij} = (\omega^{ij})_1 dx + (\omega^{ij})_2 dy + (\omega^{ij})_3 dz$$

So e.g. for ω_{23} we get

$$\omega_{23} = [dx \ dy \ dz] W_1 = (\omega^{23})_1 dx + (\omega^{23})_2 dy + (\omega^{23})_3 dz.$$

We also write $A = g^{1/2} = [A^1, A^2, A^3]$. Starting with the first part of eq. 1.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \wedge g^{1/2} dp &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega_{12} & -\omega_{31} \\ -\omega_{12} & 0 & \omega_{23} \\ \omega_{31} & -\omega_{23} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \wedge \begin{bmatrix} A_1^1 & A_1^2 & A_1^3 \\ A_2^1 & A_2^2 & A_2^3 \\ A_3^1 & A_3^2 & A_3^3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dx \\ dy \\ dz \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} +\omega_{12} \wedge (A_2^1 dx + A_2^2 dy + A_2^3 dz) - \omega_{31} \wedge (A_3^1 dx + A_3^2 dy + A_3^3 dz) \\ -\omega_{12} \wedge (A_1^1 dx + A_1^2 dy + A_1^3 dz) + \omega_{23} \wedge (A_3^1 dx + A_3^2 dy + A_3^3 dz) \\ +\omega_{31} \wedge (A_1^1 dx + A_1^2 dy + A_1^3 dz) - \omega_{23} \wedge (A_2^1 dx + A_2^2 dy + A_2^3 dz) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

It will get really messy if we calculate each component here, so let us calculate one component separately first:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{ij} \wedge (A_k^1 dx + A_k^2 dy + A_k^3 dz) &= ((\omega^{ij})_1 dx + (\omega^{ij})_2 dy + (\omega^{ij})_3 dz) \wedge (A_k^1 dx + A_k^2 dy + A_k^3 dz) \\ &= (\omega^{ij})_1 A_k^2 dx \wedge dy + (\omega^{ij})_1 A_k^3 dx \wedge dz \\ &\quad + (\omega^{ij})_2 A_k^1 dy \wedge dx + (\omega^{ij})_2 A_k^3 dy \wedge dz \\ &\quad + (\omega^{ij})_3 A_k^1 dz \wedge dx + (\omega^{ij})_3 A_k^2 dz \wedge dy \\ &= ((\omega^{ij})_1 A_k^2 - (\omega^{ij})_2 A_k^1) dx \wedge dy \\ &\quad + ((\omega^{ij})_2 A_k^3 - (\omega^{ij})_3 A_k^2) dy \wedge dz \\ &\quad + ((\omega^{ij})_3 A_k^1 - (\omega^{ij})_1 A_k^3) dz \wedge dx \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $dx \wedge dx = 0$ and $dx \wedge dy = -dy \wedge dx$. We can clean up the above expression using the cross product:

$$\begin{bmatrix} ((\omega^{ij})_2 A_k^3 - (\omega^{ij})_3 A_k^2) \\ ((\omega^{ij})_3 A_k^1 - (\omega^{ij})_1 A_k^3) \\ ((\omega^{ij})_1 A_k^2 - (\omega^{ij})_2 A_k^1) \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} dy \wedge dz \\ dz \wedge dx \\ dx \wedge dy \end{bmatrix} = [W_i \times A^k]^\top \begin{bmatrix} dy \wedge dz \\ dz \wedge dx \\ dx \wedge dy \end{bmatrix}$$

We use the fact that $[A_1, A_2, A_3] = [A^1, A^2, A^3]$ because A is symmetric, and W_1 corresponds to ω_{23} , W_2 to ω_{31} and W_3 to ω_{12} . We continue with the second part of eq. 1.1:

$$d(g^{1/2} dp) = d(Adp) = d \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1^1 & A_1^2 & A_1^3 \\ A_2^1 & A_2^2 & A_2^3 \\ A_3^1 & A_3^2 & A_3^3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dx \\ dy \\ dz \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Again, we can do this separately for each row (we use the fact that the exterior derivative d is the ordinary differential for a smooth function):

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(A_k^1 dx + A_k^2 dy + A_k^3 dz) \\
&= dA_k^1 \wedge dx + dA_k^2 \wedge dy + dA_k^3 \wedge dz \\
&= \frac{\partial A_k^1}{\partial x} dx \wedge dx + \frac{\partial A_k^1}{\partial y} dy \wedge dx + \frac{\partial A_k^1}{\partial z} dz \wedge dx \\
&+ \frac{\partial A_k^2}{\partial x} dx \wedge dy + \frac{\partial A_k^2}{\partial y} dy \wedge dy + \frac{\partial A_k^2}{\partial z} dz \wedge dy \\
&+ \frac{\partial A_k^3}{\partial x} dx \wedge dz + \frac{\partial A_k^3}{\partial y} dy \wedge dz + \frac{\partial A_k^3}{\partial z} dz \wedge dz \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial A_k^3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_k^2}{\partial z} \right) dy \wedge dz + \left(\frac{\partial A_k^1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_k^3}{\partial x} \right) dz \wedge dx + \left(\frac{\partial A_k^2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_k^1}{\partial y} \right) dx \wedge dy \\
&= (\nabla \times A_k)^\top \begin{bmatrix} dy \wedge dz \\ dz \wedge dx \\ dx \wedge dy \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can put everything together:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{0} &= \begin{bmatrix} (W_3 \times A^2 - W_2 \times A^3 + \nabla \times A^1)^\top \\ (W_1 \times A^3 - W_3 \times A^1 + \nabla \times A^2)^\top \\ (W_2 \times A^1 - W_1 \times A^2 + \nabla \times A^3)^\top \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dy \wedge dz \\ dz \wedge dx \\ dx \wedge dy \end{bmatrix} \\
&\iff \begin{bmatrix} (W_2 \times A^3 - W_3 \times A^2)^\top \\ (W_3 \times A^1 - W_1 \times A^3)^\top \\ (W_1 \times A^2 - W_2 \times A^1)^\top \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dy \wedge dz \\ dz \wedge dx \\ dx \wedge dy \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\nabla \times A^1)^\top \\ (\nabla \times A^2)^\top \\ (\nabla \times A^3)^\top \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dy \wedge dz \\ dz \wedge dx \\ dx \wedge dy \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Take the curl to the other side and switch order on the left-hand side to cancel the -1 . As we are only interested in the 9 components of W , we omit the two-form basis and transform into a 9x9 linear system for W . We define A_\times and $\text{vec}(\cdot)$ as

$$A_\times = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -A_\times^3 & A_\times^2 \\ A_\times^3 & 0 & -A_\times^1 \\ -A_\times^2 & A_\times^1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{vec}(W) = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 \\ W_2 \\ W_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

with A_\times^i defined as

$$A_\times^i = [A_1^i \ A_2^i \ A_3^i]_\times = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -A_3^i & A_2^i \\ A_3^i & 0 & -A_1^i \\ -A_2^i & A_1^i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\text{vec}(\cdot)$ turns a 3x3-matrix into a 9x1-vector by stacking the columns. With these two definitions, we can transform the above equality into a linear system

$$A_\times \text{vec}(W) = \text{vec}(\nabla \times A)$$

where $\nabla \times A$ is just the curl applied to each column. This transformation can be checked by laboriously plugging in the definitions and comparing the coefficients. With tedious brute-force calculations, one can show that $\det(A_\times) = -2 \det(A)^3 = -2 \det(g)^{3/2} < 0$, which means this is a linear system that is solvable and can be used to calculate W at a point.