# **Global State**

### **Global Snapshot**

- Record the global state of a system
  - Need to collect both node and channel states
- Applications
  - Checking "stable" properties
    - Termination, deadlock,...
  - Checkpoint & recovery for long running applications
- Issues
  - Whole system should not be stopped
  - No global clock
- But what is global state in distributed system anyway??

#### **Some Notations**

- LSi: local state of process i
- ullet send( $m_{ij}$ ): send event of message  $m_{ij}$  from process i to process j
- $rec(m_{ii})$ : similar, receive instead of send
- time(x): time at which state x was recorded
- time (send(m)): time at which send(m) occurred

- $send(m_{ij}) \in LS_i \text{ iff}$  $time(send(m_{ij})) \le time(LS_i)$
- $rec(m_{ij}) \in LS_j \text{ iff}$  $time(rec(m_{ij})) \leq time(LS_j)$
- transit(LS<sub>i</sub>,LS<sub>j</sub>) = {  $m_{ij} | send(m_{ij}) \in LS_i and rec(m_{ij}) \notin LS_i$ }
- inconsistent(LS<sub>i</sub>, LS<sub>j</sub>) = {m<sub>ij</sub> | send(m<sub>ij</sub>)  $\notin$  LS<sub>i</sub> and rec(m<sub>ii</sub>)  $\in$  LS<sub>i</sub>}

- Global state: collection of local states  $GS = \{LS_1, LS_2, ..., LS_n\}$
- GS is consistent iff for all i, j,  $1 \le i, j \le n$ , inconsistent( $LS_i, LS_j$ ) =  $\Phi$
- GS is transitless iff for all i, j,  $1 \le i, j \le n$ , transit( $LS_i, LS_j$ ) =  $\Phi$
- GS is strongly consistent if it is consistent and transitless.
- Note that channel state may be specified explicitly in a global state, or implicitly in node states using transit()

# **Chandy-Lamport's Algorithm**

- Any one process acts as initiator and starts the global state recording
- Model
  - Asynchronous
  - Reliable, FIFO communication
  - Links are directed
  - Arbitrary topology, but strongly connected graph

## The Algorithm

- Uses special marker messages
- Marker sending rule for any process P:
  - P records its state; then for each outgoing channel C from P on which a marker has not been sent already, P sends a marker along C before any further message is sent on C
- On startup
  - Initiator executes marker sending rule

- Process Q, on receiving a marker along a channel C:
  - If Q has not recorded its state then Q records the state of C as empty; Q then follows the marker sending rule
  - If Q has already recorded its state, it records the state of C as the sequence of messages received along C after Q's state was recorded and before Q received the marker along C

#### **Collecting the Global State**

- The algorithm ensures that all nodes record some parts of the global state
- What if the entire global state (the local states and the channel states recorded at different nodes) is to be collected at the initiator?
  - Can flood from each node (as graph is strongly connected), but too costly
  - For undirected graph (i.e., bidirectional links), can build a spanning tree during marker propagation, and use that to send the local states back to the root (initiator)

#### **Some Observations**

- Markers sent on a channel distinguish messages sent on the channel before the sender recorded its states and the messages sent after the sender recorded its state
- The state recorded may not be any state that actually happened in reality, rather a state that "could have" happened in another run of the system
- How can the initiator know if global snapshot is complete?
- Message complexity O(|E|), where E = no. of links