

Can we express the following statement in **propositional logic**?

“Every computer has a CPU”

No

Outline:

- Predicates
- Quantifiers
- Domain of Predicate
- Translation:
 - Natural Language \rightarrow Predicate Logic
 - Predicate Logic \rightarrow Natural Language
- Negation of Quantifiers
- Multiple Quantifiers (repeat translation and negation)

1.4 Predicates and Quantifiers

CSI30

Can we express the following statement in **propositional logic**? **No**

“Every computer has a CPU”

Let's see the new type of logic: **Predicate Logic (or First Order Logic)**

consider the statement: “ x is greater than 3” - it has two parts:

variable x

(subject of a statement)

predicate

(refers to a property the subject of a statement can have)

Denotation: $P(x)$: “ x is greater than 3”

- this kind of statement is neither true nor false when the value of variable is not specified.

Once x is assigned a value, $P(x)$ becomes a proposition that has a truth value.

Example 1:

Let $P(x)$ denote " $x < 10$ ". What are the truth values of $P(11)$ and $P(6)$?

$P(11)$: " $11 < 10$ " False

$P(6)$: " $6 < 10$ " True

Example 2:

Let $P(y,z)$ denote statement " $y = z - 17$ ". What are the truth values of $P(10,11)$ and $P(10,27)$?

$P(10,11)$: " $10 = 11 - 17$ " if we simplify the equation: " $10 = -6$ " False

$P(10,27)$: " $10 = 27 - 17$ " if we simplify the equation: " $10 = 10$ " True

1.4 Predicates and Quantifiers

CSI30

How to express words “all”, “any”, “some”, ... ?

- quantification

“all”, “any”: \forall - universal quantification

“some”, “an”: \exists - existential quantification

$\forall xP(x)$ is “for all values of x from the domain, $P(x)$ is true”
“for any element x in the domain, $P(x)$ is true”

$\exists xP(x)$ is “there exists value of x , such that $P(x)$ is true”

Recall the question I asked in the beginning:

Can we express the following statement in **propositional logic**?

“Every computer has a CPU”

1.4 Predicates and Quantifiers

CSI30

$\forall xP(x)$ is true when $P(x)$ is true for every x (from the domain)

$\forall xP(x)$ is false when there is an x , for which $P(x)$ is false

Example 3:

Let $Q(x)$ be " $2 \cdot x \geq x$ ". Is $\forall xQ(x)$ true? (domain: all real numbers)

Example 4: Let $Q(x)$ be " $2+x \geq x$ ". Is $\forall xQ(x)$ true? (domain: all real numbers)

Example 5: What's the truth value of $\forall xP(x)$, where $P(x)$ is the statement " $x^2 \leq 16$ " and the domain consists of the positive integers not exceeding 4?

What's the domain?

What do we need to check?

What is the **domain** of a predicate?

Example:

For each of these statements find a domain for which the statement is true and a domain for which the statement is false.

a) Everyone is studying discrete math

b) Every two people have the same father

1.4 Predicates and Quantifiers

CSI30

$\exists xP(x)$ is true, if $P(x)$ is true for at least one x

$\exists xP(x)$ is false, if $P(x)$ is false for all x from the domain

Example 6:

Let $P(x)$ denote " $x=x-3$ ". Domain: all real numbers. Is $\exists xP(x)$ true?

Example 7:

Let $P(x)$ stand for " $x > 10$ ". Domain: all real numbers. Is $\exists xP(x)$ true?

Example 8:

Let $P(x)$ be " $x^2 \leq 16$ ". Domain consists of positive integers between 4 and 7, including. Is $\exists xP(x)$ true?

Reasoning:

What is the domain?

What do we need to check?

1.4 Predicates and Quantifiers

CSI30

Quantifiers with restricted domain

Let's assume that our domain is all real numbers.

Examples:

$\forall x < 0 \ P(x)$ “for all x less than 0 , $P(x)$ holds”

$\forall x < 0 \ (x^2 > 0)$ “for all x less than 0 , x^2 is greater than 0 ”

$\exists z > 0 \ (z^2 = 2)$ “there exists z greater than 0 , such that z^2 is equal to 2 ”

Translating from English into Logical Expressions

Example:

Let $P(x)$ be the statement “ x took a discrete math course”,
Let $Q(x)$ be the statement “ x knows the computer language Python”.
Express each of these sentences in terms of $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, quantifiers and logical connectives. Let the domain for quantifiers consist of all students from Mathematics, CS, and Engineering majors.

a) There is a student who took a discrete math course.

$$\exists x P(x)$$

b) There is a student who took a discrete math course, but doesn't know Python.

$$\exists x (P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$$

c) Every student either took a discrete math course or knows Python.

$$\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$$

d) There is no student that took discrete math and knows Python.

$$\neg \exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$$

Logical Equivalences Involving Quantifiers

Statements involving predicates and quantifiers are *logically equivalent* if and only if (*iff*) they have the same truth values no matter which predicates are substituted into these statements and which domain is used for the variables in these propositional functions.

$S \equiv T$ (same notation as before, with propositions only)

Negating Quantified Expressions - De Morgan's Laws

$$\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$$

Reading: Let $P(x)$: “x has taken Calculus”, and domain: all students from our class, then

“It is not the case that there exists a student that has taken Calculus”
is logically equivalent to saying

“All the students have not taken Calculus”

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$$

Reading: Let $P(x)$ be the same, then

“It is not the case that all students have taken Calculus”
is similar to saying

“There is a student that has not taken Calculus”

Example:

a) $\forall x \exists y (x+y = 0)$ - *additive inverse*

Here is a reading: “For any x , there exists y such that $x+y$ is 0”

By the way, what is the domain?

b) $\forall x \forall y (x+y = y+x)$ - *commutativity of addition*

Reading: “For any x and y , $x+y$ is equal to $y+x$ ”

c) $\forall x \forall y ((x>0 \wedge y<0) \rightarrow xy<0)$

Reading: “For any x and y , if x is greater than 0 and y is less than 0, then their product is less than 0”

Example: Let $Q(x,y)$ denote “ $x-y=0$ ”. What are the truth values of the quantifications $\exists y \forall x Q(x,y)$ and $\forall x \exists y Q(x,y)$.

1) $\exists y \forall x Q(x,y)$ true?

2) $\forall x \exists y Q(x,y)$ true?

on page 53 (book), there is a nice table which shows quantifications of two variables:

Statement	When True?	When False?
$\forall y \forall x P(x,y)$ $\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$	$P(x,y)$ is true for any pair x, y	there is a pair x, y for which $P(x,y)$ is False
$\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$	For any x , there is a y , for which $P(x,y)$ is true	There is an x , for which $P(x,y)$ is false for any y
$\exists x \forall y P(x,y)$	There exists an x , for which $P(x,y)$ is true for any y	There is no x , such that $P(x,y)$ is true for any y
$\exists x \exists y P(x,y)$ $\exists y \exists x P(x,y)$	There is a pair x, y for which $P(x,y)$ is true	$P(x,y)$ is false for every pair x, y

Translation: Natural Language \rightarrow Predicate Logic

Example (page 67/20):

Express each of these statements using predicates, quantifiers, logical connectives, and mathematical operators where domain consists of all integers.

a) The product of two negative integers is positive.

$$\forall x < 0 \forall y < 0 (x * y > 0) \text{ or } \forall x \forall y ((x < 0) \wedge (y < 0) \rightarrow x * y > 0)$$

c) The difference of two negative integers is not necessarily negative.

$$\exists x < 0 \exists y < 0 ((x - y) \geq 0) \text{ or } \exists x \exists y ((x < 0) \wedge (y < 0) \wedge (x - y) \geq 0)$$

d) The absolute value of the sum of two integers does not exceed the sum of the absolute values of these integers.

$$\forall x \forall y (|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|)$$

Translation: Predicate Logic \rightarrow Natural Language

Example (page 65/7):

Let $T(x,y)$ mean “student x likes cuisine y ”. Where the domain for x consists of all students at your school and the domain for y consists of all cuisines. Express each of these statements by a simple English sentence.

d) $\forall x \forall z \exists y ((x \neq z) \rightarrow \neg(T(x,y) \wedge T(z,y)))$

Let's re-write it a little bit: $\forall x \forall z \exists y ((x \neq z) \rightarrow (\neg T(x,y) \vee \neg T(z,y)))$

“For any two different students there exists a cuisine such that at least one of them dislikes it.”

e) $\exists x \exists z \forall y (T(x,y) \leftrightarrow T(z,y))$ “There is a pair of students with the same tastes in cuisines: they like/dislike the same cuisines.”

Negating Multiple Quantifiers

Example:

Negate the given statements, then re-write them so that negations appear only within predicates (i.e. no negation is outside a quantifier or an expression involving logical connectives)

a) $\forall x \exists y (x * y = 3)$

$$\neg \forall x \exists y (x * y = 3) \equiv \exists x \forall y \neg (x * y = 3) = \exists x \forall y (x * y \neq 3)$$

b) $\exists x \forall a \exists y (F(x, y) \wedge A(y, a))$

$$\begin{aligned} \neg \exists x \forall a \exists y (F(x, y) \wedge A(y, a)) &\equiv \forall x \exists a \forall y \neg (F(x, y) \wedge A(y, a)) \\ &\equiv \forall x \exists a \forall y (\neg F(x, y) \vee \neg A(y, a)) \end{aligned}$$