


Polynomial Chaos Expansions for Wind Energy

Juan P. Murcia¹

¹ PhD. student, jumu@dtu.dk

DTU Wind Energy




Technical University of Denmark (DTU)

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \rho A v^3 C_p$$


Outline

- (A very short) Introduction to PCE
- Case A: A simple model
 - A1. 2D Not correlated Normal
 - A2. 2D Normal
 - A3. 2D Conditionally correlated
- Case A: PCE for Aero-elastic model

Uncertainty propagation problem



?

(A very short) Introduction to PCE

Monte-Carlo simulation

- Obtain a response sample by evaluating the model in each input realization:
 $y_i = \mathcal{M}(x_i)$
- Input sample, x_i , can be generated using advanced sampling methods such as: Latin Hypercube (LHS), Halton or Hammersley sampling.
- **Pros:** Very robust and easy to implement and parallelize
- **Cons:** Convergence is slow ($\propto N^{-1/2}$)

Polynomial Chaos expansion

- Build a polynomial surrogate of the model that captures the central trend: mean $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{y})$, variance $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{y})$ and sensitivity index for each input $S_i = \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{E}_{\forall k \neq i}(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}|x_i))) / \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{y})$.
- A polynomial basis is built with respect to PDF(\mathbf{x}).
- The model is evaluated, $y_i = \mathcal{M}(x_i)$, and *projected/fitted* to the polynomial basis.
- **Pros:** Convergence is fast ($\propto N^{-l}$, $l > 1$, l is problem dependent)
- **Cons:** The surrogate does not capture the actual PDF(\mathbf{y})

(A very short) Introduction to PCE

Single uncertain variable x

$$y \approx \sum_{l=0}^P y_l \pi_l(x)$$

Define an inner product using PDF(x):

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(x) g(x) \text{PDF}(x) dx$$

The polynomial basis is constructed such that $\pi_0 = 1$ and:

$$\langle \pi_l, \pi_k \rangle = \delta_{lk}$$

$$\langle 1, \pi_l \rangle = 0 \quad \forall l > 0 \quad \iff \quad \int \pi_l(x) \text{PDF}(x) dx = 0 \quad \forall l > 0$$

Methods to find the coefficients y_l

Semi-Spectral projection

- Use a quadrature rule to approximate the integrals (nodes, x_i and weights ω_i). Gaussian quadrature is widely used.

$$y_l = \langle y, \pi_l \rangle = \int y(x) \pi_l(x) \text{PDF}(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=0}^N \omega_i y(x_i) \pi_l(x_i)$$

- **Pros:** Very good for low number of dimensions
- **Cons:** Unstable for heavy tailed PDFs. Quadrature rules fail with most correlated variables


Point collocation

- Generate a small sample and fit the polynomial basis using Least squares or some other optimization method (e.g. LAR, LASSO).
- **Pros:** Very robust. Optimization algorithms are design to handle large number of dimension (sparsity) and correlated inputs.
- **Cons:** Not as efficient as semi-spectral collocation.

How to deal with correlated inputs

Rosenblatt Transformation [Rosenblatt 1952]

- Transforms the correlated input variable space into a multi-dimensional uncorrelated uniform space. Solve the propagation problem in the uniform space.
- The transformation consists in using the inverse of the CDF of each variable in a sequence. Chaospy includes this transformation [Feinberg 2015]. Graph reproduced from Chaospy tutorials.




Outline

- (A very short) Introduction to PCE
- **Case A: A simple model**
 - A1. 2D Not correlated Normal
 - A2. 2D Normal
 - A3. 2D Conditionally correlated
- Case A: PCE for Aero-elastic model

Case A: A simple model

Model Description



Variables without uncertainty

- t : Location of the evaluation.

Uncertain variables

- I : Initial condition.
- a : Rate of dissipation.



Case A1: A simple model

Inputs: 2D Not correlated Normal

$$y = I e^{-a t}$$


$$I \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_I = 0.95, \sigma_I = 0.05)$$

$$a \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_a = 0.05, \sigma_a = 0.02)$$




Case A1: A simple model

Inputs: 2D Not correlated Normal



Case A1: A simple model

Inputs: 2D Not correlated Normal



Outline

- (A very short) Introduction to PCE
- Case A: A simple model
 - A1. 2D Not correlated Normal
 - **A2. 2D Normal**
 - A3. 2D Conditionally correlated
- Case A: PCE for Aero-elastic model



Case A2: A simple model

Inputs: 2D Correlated Normal

$$y = I e^{-a t}$$


$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ I \end{bmatrix} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \mathbb{C})$$

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 \\ 8. \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbb{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.01 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 2. \end{bmatrix}$$




Case A2: A simple model

Inputs: 2D Correlated Normal



Case A2: A simple model

Inputs: 2D Correlated Normal



Outline

- (A very short) Introduction to PCE
- Case A: A simple model
 - A1. 2D Not correlated Normal
 - A2. 2D Normal
 - **A3. 2D Conditionally correlated**
- Case A: PCE for Aero-elastic model

Case A3: A simple model



Inputs: 2D Conditionally Correlated

$$y = I e^{-a t}$$

$$a \sim Uniform(0.6, 0.8)$$


$$I \sim Weibull(k = 2.,$$

$$A = 6(0.3 + a)^4)$$




Case A3: A simple model

Inputs: 2D Conditionally Correlated



Case A3: A simple model

Inputs: 2D Conditionally Correlated




Outline

- (A very short) Introduction to PCE
- Case A: A simple model
 - A1. 2D Not correlated Normal
 - A2. 2D Normal
 - A3. 2D Conditionally correlated
- **Case A: PCE for Aero-elastic model**

Wind turbine model

Inputs: 4D Conditionally Correlated. [Dimitrov 2015]



Model


- HAWC2 DTU 10MW

Uncertain variables [Dimitrov 2015]

- $TSeed = Uniform(0, N)$
- $WS = Weibull_{truncated}(k = 2, A = 10, \text{low} = 3.5, \text{high} = 25.5)$
- $\sigma_{WS} = Lognormal(\mu = \mu(WS), \sigma = \sigma(WS))$
- $\alpha = Normal(\mu = 0.088(\log(WS) - 1), \sigma = 1/WS)$


Wind turbine model

Full MC sample: 10000 HAWC2 simulations




Wind turbine model

PCE point collocation: randomly chosen 100 HAWC2 simulations




Wind turbine model

Output PDF(y) comparison



Wind turbine model



Polynomial surrogate



Wind turbine model

Convergence of 10-min mean power statistics



- PCE training size: Size of the subset of the MC sample used to train the PCE. 100 random subset were selected for each training size.
- Max total order: Term with the highest total degree (sum of the degrees in each variable).



Wind turbine model

Convergence of 10-min mean blade root flap-wise bending moment statistics

- PCE training size: Size of the subset of the MC sample used to train the PCE. 100 random subset were selected for each training size.
- Max total order: Term with the highest total degree (sum of the degrees in each variable).



Conclusions

PCE:

- Efficient uncertainty propagation that enables to compute the statistics of the output such as: mean, standard deviation and sensitivity analysis.
- The polynomial surrogate will not capture all the details of a complex model, but it does predicts the main behavior.

PCE as Aero-ealastic model surrogate:

- Using the turbulent seed as an uncertain variable DOES NOT capture the behavior caused by the turbulent field. It translate the stochasticity into the selection of the training points.
- Estimate the mean, variance of the effect of the turbulent seed for every simulation using sample of turbulent seeds in advance.

References I

[Rosenblatt 1952] Rosenblatt, M 1952 Remarks on a multivariate transformation. Annals of Mathematical Statistics Vol. 23, pp 470-472.]

[Feinberg 2015] Feinberg, J., & Langtangen, H. P. (2015). Chaospy: An open source tool for designing methods of uncertainty quantification. Journal of Computational Science, 11, 46-57.

[Dimitrov 2015] Dimitrov, N., Natarajan, A., & Kelly, M. (2015). Model of wind shear conditional on turbulence and its impact on wind turbine loads. Wind Energy, 18(11), 1917-1931.

Questions?

J. P. Murcia
+45 2339 7790
jumu@dtu.dk
PhD Student
DTU Wind Energy

Technical University of Denmark (DTU)
Building 101
Risø Campus
Frederiksborgvej 399
4000 Roskilde, Denmark