

## Responsibilities of the Physical and Data Link Layers

The Physical and Data Link layers have strongly related responsibilities.

Unlike other adjacent layers, it's not possible to simply replace an implementation of one layer with another.

### Physical Layer responsibilities:

- ✦ Provides the physical topology of the network
- ✦ Provides the transfer medium (such as copper or optical cable)
- ✦ [TX] Encodes data into a transmission signal supported by the medium
- ✦ [RX] Decodes data into a transmission signal supported by the medium
- ✦ [TX+RX] Generates or amplifies re-transmitted signals along the medium
- ✦ [RX] Monitors (only detects) transmission errors
- ✦ [RX] Detects arriving signal levels to synchronize speed and timing

### Data Link Layer responsibilities:

- ✦ [TX] Constructs data frames using the format of the Physical Layer
- ✦ [TX] Calculates Cyclic Redundancy Codes (CRC) information
- ✦ [RX] Checks for arriving errors using CRC information
- ✦ [RX] Initiates and arbitrates the link to reduce interruption and contention
- ✦ [RX] Examines arriving and passing device addresses in data
- ✦ [RX+TX] Acknowledges receipt of a frame
- ✦ [RX+TX] Retransmits data if there is an error

## Metrics Of Network Measurement

There are two components to performance - latency and bandwidth. We always want high bandwidth and low latency, but can't always get both together.

- ✦ **Latency** or **propagation delay** is the amount of time it takes for the first bit to reach its destination. *Round-trip time* (RTT) is twice the latency - the time for one bit to travel to the destination, and the first bit in reply to return.
- ✦ **Bandwidth** is the number of bits that can be fit through a network connection, per unit time. Historically, i.e. without any data compression, bandwidth has been related to frequency within the medium.
- ✦ **Throughput** is usually the measured number of bits per second (achieved), while bandwidth is the nominal (peak) number of bits per second possible. High bandwidth does not imply low latency: you can broadcast many Mbps over a satellite connection, but it will take hundreds of milliseconds to arrive.

Example: a car can carry 4 people to Bunbury in 2 hours.  
Thus the bandwidth is 2 people/hour.

A bus can take 60 passengers and arrive in 2 hours.  
Thus the bandwidth is 30 people/hour, but the latency is still 2 hours.

The *latency of a message* is the *total time* for the whole message to arrive:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{\text{Latency}} &= T_{\text{Propagation}} + T_{\text{Transmit}} + T_{\text{Queue}} \\
 T_{\text{Propagation}} &= \text{distance} / \text{speed-of-propagation-in-medium} \\
 T_{\text{Transmit}} &= \text{message-size} / \text{bandwidth} \\
 T_{\text{Queue}} &= \text{time-spent-in-local-and-remote-operating-system-and-switch-queues}
 \end{aligned}$$

## On prefixes

In networking and data storage (devices), the prefix Mega means  $10^6$ , at least when referring to throughput and bandwidth. We always use powers of 10 when referring to frequencies, measured in Hertz. When talking about the size of a message, buffer, file, or other computer-storage item, we use Mega to mean  $2^{20}$ . Similar rules apply for the prefixes Kilo and Giga.

See: [Transmission time \[Wikipedia\]](#) and [Binary prefix \[Wikipedia\]](#).

## The Physical Layer and Transmission Errors

	Speed	Error Rate
Telephone cable:	$10^5 - 10^8$ bps	1 in $10^5$ bits
Shielded copper cable:	$10^7 - 10^9$ bps	1 in $10^{12} - 10^{13}$ bits
Optical fibre:	$10^9 - 10^{14}$ bps	1 in $10^{16} - 10^{17}$ bits
Wireless systems:	$10^6 - 10^{12}$ bps	1 in $10^5 - 10^9$ bits

Rates of transmission errors are described by their *probability of occurring*, or by their expected *bit-error-rate (BER)*.

Transmission errors usually occur in **bursts** and are caused by:

- ⌘ Thermal background noise
- ⌘ Impulse noise, electrical arcing (~10ms duration)
- ⌘ Distorted frequency
- ⌘ Crosstalk between adjacent wires

Over a particular time interval, a burst begins at the first bit that is corrupted (inverted), and ends at the last bit corrupted - the bits between are *not necessarily* all modified.

## Discussion question 1

“ *Never underestimate the bandwidth of a station wagon full of tapes hurtling down the highway.*

— Andrew Tanenbaum - "Computer Networks" 3rd ed., p83

**Order the bandwidths of the following "communication technologies", and the times taken to perform each transfer:**

- Australia Post delivering a movie on DVD overnight (olde NetFlix),
- transferring a large 100MB file over the UWA wireless network,
- copying the same 100MB file from a hard disk drive (HDD) to the same disk,
- copying the same 100MB file to a USB drive,
- copying one 1MB array to another in a high-level programming language,
- refreshing the screen of an ASCII terminal using a 56Kbps modem,
- transmitting an A4-sized FAX in 30 seconds, and
- transmitting data across a Bluetooth network.


In each case state your assumptions about the amount of data transferred.

... or [3.5Mbps over a piece of wet string](#)(2017).

## How Data is Placed in Frames

Simple timing gaps between frames cannot be used as all hardware devices (as part of the Physical Layer) run at slightly different speeds; resulting in skewing if time is relied upon.

A first attempt at a solution involves **counting** the size of a frame and placing this count in the data link header - blah!



This naive solution highlights problems with synchronization - assuming the receiver *can* detect a problem, how much of the arriving bit stream should be skipped to find the next frame?

## How Data is Placed in Frames, *continued*


To overcome synchronization problems, special byte sequences are often used to prefix and suffix (envelope) the data.

As these special bytes may themselves be required data we must "escape" their special meaning (particularly true for "binary" data such as floating point numbers, executables, JPGs and MP3s).


This process is termed **byte stuffing**, using DLE=020<sub>8</sub>, STX=002<sub>8</sub>, ETX=003<sub>8</sub>.

On a Linux or macOS machine, execute: *man ascii*.

Consider the following example, transmitting 3 bytes: [A, DLE, B]



A lower-level approach, **bit-stuffing**, overcomes the reliance on using the ASCII codes. Each frame is now enveloped in pairs of "flag" patterns 01111110.



If this flag pattern appears in the data, the stuffed sequence 0111111010 is transmitted.

## Phase Encoding of Signals

To be able to detect *collisions* on LANs, we need to understand how digital signals are encoded on the physical medium.  
p>

A digital signal is a sequence of discrete, discontinuous pulses, or *signal elements*.


If all signal elements have the same (voltage) sign, they are termed *unipolar*.

The *modulation rate* of a LAN is the number of signal elements (transitions) per second, or the *baud rate*.

To correctly interpret a signal, the receiver must know the length (time) of each signal element and the expected voltage levels of the bits 0 and 1.

The two simplest encoding schemes are the:

- ❖ Non-return to Zero Level (NRZ-L) and
- ❖ Non-return to Zero Inverted (NRZ-I).



NRZ-I is an example of *differential encoding* in which the signal *transition*, rather than the signal *level*, indicates the value of each bit.


## Phase Encoding of Signals, *continued*

NRZ-L and NRZ-I are simple schemes, but unfortunately offer no possible *synchronization*.

Another scheme, *biphase encoding*, ensures that there will be a transition in the middle of each bit.

With Traditional Manchester encoding the mid-bit transition provides a *clocking mechanism* (as well as the data).

With Differential Manchester the mid-bit transition provides the clocking and the initial transition provides the data.



Biphase encoding schemes have (at least) one transition per bit time, thus the maximum modulation rate, or *baud rate*, is twice that for NRZ-L and NRZ-I.



## Error Detection and Correction

Data may be modified so that errors can either be:

- **detected** (i.e. you can *only* tell that the data is wrong), or
- **corrected** (i.e. you can *unambiguously* tell what the data *should* have been, and hence you can confidently correct it).

Correction is required where communication must be *simplex* (only possible in one direction), but correction is expensive. A good example of its need is between Earth and inter-planetary spacecraft. Error correction by the receiver is referred to as *forward error correction*, whereas re-transmission schemes are referred to as *reverse error correction*.

*Codewords* are constructed consisting of both **data** and **check bits**.

The **Hamming distance** between two codewords consists of the number of bit positions in which they differ. The difference is performed Modulo-2 or EXCLUSIVE-OR:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{e.g. } 1 \oplus 1 = 0 \oplus 0 = 0 \\ 1 \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus 1 = 1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 10011101 \\ 10111110 \\ \hline \oplus 00100011 \end{array}$$

Here, the Hamming distance is 3.

The Hamming distance of a *code* is the *minimum* Hamming distance between any two words in that code.

## Error Detection and Correction, *continued*

To **detect**:  $\delta$  errors, a distance of  $\delta + 1$  is required.

e.g. to *detect* 1 bit in error requires that there is no word with a distance of 1 from a valid word.

To **correct**:  $\delta$  errors, a distance of  $2\delta + 1$  is required so that even with  $\delta$  errors, the damaged codeword is the closest to one valid codeword.

### Some examples :

Consider a simple encoding of ASCII characters, where we use a parity code [see Tanenbaum 5/e]  
We have 7 data bits and a single parity-code bit. Now, what happens if any single bit is corrupted?

- What is the distance of the parity-code?
- What type of errors can it detect?
- What type of errors can it correct?

Consider a code whose only valid code words are :

0000000000 1111100000 0000011111 1111111111

- What is the distance of this code?
- What type of errors can it detect?
- What type of errors can it correct?

## Hamming's Correction of Single-Bit Errors

Let's say that a single transmission consists of  $m$  bits for the message, and  $r$  bits of seemingly redundant information. We thus transmit  $n = m + r$  bits when transmitting a message.


The critical question is "how much additional information (the redundant bits) do we need to transmit so that the receiver can correct errors?"

Each of the  $2^m$  possible message words has  $n$  illegal code words which are a distance 1 from it. Therefore each message word requires  $n + 1$  distinct bit patterns (1 legal one, and  $n$  illegal ones).

In 1950, mathematician and Turing Award winner Richard Hamming developed a method which achieves the lower bound of:

$$m+r+1 \leq 2^r$$

Given a code word of 7 data bits and 4 check bits, we number the code word from 1 from the left hand side.



Char.	ASCII	Check bits
H	1001000	00110010000
a	1100001	10111001001
m	1101101	11101010101
m	1101101	11101010101
i	1101001	01101011001
n	1101110	01101010110
g	1100111	11111001111
	0100000	10011000000
c	1100011	11111000011
o	1101111	00101011111
d	1100100	11111001100
e	1100101	00111000101

Order of bit transmission

Each bit whose ordinal position is a power of 2 {1,2,4,8,...} is a check bit and forces the parity of some "collection" of bits including itself. Parity may be forced to be either even or odd.

## Hamming's Correction of Single Errors, *continued*

A data bit contributes to the parity of all bits in its *decomposition into a sum of powers of 2*.

For example :

$$\begin{aligned}3 &= 2 + 1 \\7 &= 4 + 2 + 1 \\11 &= 8 + 2 + 1\end{aligned}$$

Making a list of these :

1	is contributed to by	3, 5, 7, 9, 11
2		3, 6, 7, 10, 11
4		5, 6, 7
8		9, 10, 11

We then put the data bits in their positions and calculate each check bit.

## Hamming's Correction of Single Errors, *continued*

For example, ASCII character 'c' = 1100011, using *even* parity :

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			1		1	0	0		0	1	1
check 1		X		X		X		X		X	
check 2			X			X	X			X	X
check 3					X	X	X				
check 4								X	X	X	

The resulting codeword is hence 11111000011.

We then transmit this codeword across the network.

## Hamming's Correction of Single Errors, *continued*

When a codeword is received at the other end we must check (and possibly correct) it.

To correct when it arrives:

Initialize a counter,  $c$ , to 0.

Examine each check bit in position  $k=\{1,2,4,8\}$  to see if it has the correct parity.

If not, add  $k$  to  $c$ .

When all check bits have been examined, and counter  $c$  is 0, then codeword is correct. Otherwise, the incorrect bit is in position  $c$ .

For example, if we transmit 11111000011 (from before), but we receive :

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0

$c=0$

$k=1$  parity for 3,5,7,9,11 wrong! so  $c+=k$  ( $=1$ )  
 $k=2$  parity for 3,6,7,10,11 wrong! so  $c+=k$  ( $=3$ )  
 $k=4$  parity for 5,6,7 correct  
 $k=8$  parity for 9,10,11 wrong! so  $c+=k$  ( $=11$ )

Hence bit 11 is in error!

## Cyclic Redundancy Codes (CRCs)

The extra bits used to detect errors are called **checksum** bits.

A checksum can be quite simple, for example "add-with-carry" all bytes in a message, or much more sophisticated as in the case of a **cyclic redundancy code (or CRC)**.

### Polynomial Codes

A polynomial is represented by a bit string with 1 for each power represented in the polynomial, and 0 otherwise.

e.g.  $x^4 + x^3 + 1$  is represented as 11001

Polynomial arithmetic is performed Modulo-2 or EXCLUSIVE-OR

e.g.  $1 \oplus 1 = 0 \oplus 0 = 0$   
 $1 \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus 1 = 1$

Both the sender and receiver agree on a **generator polynomial**,  $G(x)$ , with high and low order bits 1.

The **message**,  $M$ , is also interpreted as a polynomial.

The checksum (when calculated) is appended to the message so that  $(M + \text{checksum})$ , known as the transmission  $T(x)$ , is divisible by  $G(x)$ .

On arrival we simply check that the received transmission is divisible by  $G(x)$ .

[See [A Painless Guide to CRC Error Detection Algorithms](#)]

For examples of other types of checksum algorithms, see the [Australian Securities & Investment Commission](#) and the [Australian Tax Office](#), (or on [GitHub](#)).

## Polynomial Codes, *continued*

What types of errors can (and cannot) be detected with CRCs?

Let the error bits in  $T_{\text{arrived}}(x)$  be  $E(x)$ . Each bit in  $E(x)$  corresponds to a bit that has been inverted. If there are  $k$  1 bits in  $E(x)$ , then there have been  $k$  single-bit errors.

Then, due to the properties of the Modulo-2 arithmetic:

$$\begin{aligned} (T(x) + E(x)) / G(x) &= (T(x) / G(x)) + (E(x) / G(x)) \\ &= 0 + (E(x) / G(x)) \end{aligned}$$

We notice that if  $G(x)$  divides  $E(x)$  (because  $G(x)$  is a factor of  $E(x)$ ), then errors can go by undetected.

### Some important results:

- If  $G(x)$  has two or more terms all *single bit errors* can be detected.
- To detect *double errors*,  $G(x)$  must not be divisible by  $(x^k + 1)$  for  $k$  up to some maximum frame length.
- To detect an *odd number of errors*,  $G(x)$  should contain  $(x+1)$  as a factor.
- Polynomials of degree  $r$  will detect all burst errors of  $\leq r$  bits.



## Some Standard Polynomial Codes

16 bit checksums catch -

- ☒ all single and double bit errors
- ☒ all errors with an odd number of bits
- ☒ all burst errors  $\leq 16$  bits long
- ☒ 99.997% of burst errors  $\leq 17$  bits
- ☒ 99.998% of burst errors  $\geq 18$  bits

$$\text{CRC-12} = x^{12} + x^{11} + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$$

$$\text{CRC-16} = x^{16} + x^{15} + x^2 + 1$$

$$\text{CRC-CCITT} = x^{16} + x^{12} + x^5 + 1$$

$$\text{CRC-32} = x^{32} + x^{26} + x^{23} + x^{22} + x^{16} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^{10} + x^8 + x^7 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + x + 1$$

CRCs are often performed in hardware, for example the DEC-VAX architecture (mid-1980s) had an assembly language instruction to perform CRC-16 (!).

Today, all network interface cards (such as wired and wireless Ethernet) perform checksumming "on-board".

## Discussion question 2

Hamming's method of *error correction* can only detect and correct single bit errors.

However, we saw that it requires 4 additional (redundant) bits to support the 7 data bits of each ASCII character, and we consider that overhead to be excessive for normal communications. Moreover, we know that errors occur in bursts, typically at least 100 bits long.

Consider the typical need to transmit several kilobytes, or megabytes, for a single file-transfer.

**How can Hamming's method be adapted to correct burst errors, without introducing an enormous overhead in additional check bits?**

Is your proposed mechanism practical, for all types of traffic?