

Applicants
Velvel (Devin) Freedman
Second VDF2
6 December 2019

Claim No.: CL-2019-000695

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE
BUSINESS AND PROPERTY COURTS OF ENGLAND AND WALES
COMMERCIAL COURT (QBD)

BETWEEN:

*IRA KLEIMAN, as the personal representative of the Estate of
David Kleiman, and W&K Info Defense Research, LLC*

Applicants

-and-

ANDREW O'HAGAN

Respondent

EXHIBIT VDF2

BSF

Boies Schiller Flexner (UK) LLP
5 New Street Square
London EC4A 3BF

EXHIBIT VDF2 INDEX			
TAB	DOCUMENT	DATE	PAGE
1.	Order Denying Further Extensions of Pretrial Deadlines	27 Nov 2019	1 - 3
2.	Deposition Transcript of Craig Wright	04 Apr 2019	4 - 436
3.	Craig Wright's Objection to Magistrate Order "Deeming" Certain Facts Established and "Striking" Certain Affirmative Defenses	25 Nov 2018	437 - 465
4.	Email chain between Velvel (Devin) Freedman to Andrew Hagan	05 Mar 2019	466 - 467

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

Case No. 18-cv-80176-BLOOM/Reinhart

IRA KLEIMAN, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CRAIG WRIGHT,

Defendant.
_____ /

OMNIBUS ORDER

THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to Extend the Time Limit for Defendant’s Deposition and to Appoint a Special Master, ECF No. [307], filed on November 21, 2019 (“Motion”). Defendant has filed a Response to Plaintiffs’ Motion, ECF No. [314]. The Court has reviewed the Motion, the record and applicable law, and is otherwise fully advised.

In the Motion, Plaintiffs request the Court enter an order extending the time limit for the Defendant’s deposition to fourteen hours and for the Court to appoint a special master to oversee the deposition. *See generally*, ECF No. [307]. Defendant does not oppose the extension of the time limit for Defendant’s deposition, however, requests that such extension not exceed ten hours. *See generally*, ECF No. [314]. The Defendant also argues that a special master should be appointed to oversee the depositions of all the parties in this case.¹ ECF No. [314], at 4. The Defendant does not oppose the appointment of a special master to oversee his own deposition but proposes that

¹ The Court notes that there is no motion presently pending addressing such request, and therefore the request is improperly raised at this time. However, after a review of the record, the Court disagrees that it reflects the need to appoint a special master to oversee the depositions of *all parties* in this case

any costs related to such appointment be split by the parties. *Id.* After a review of the Motion and the Response, the Court finds a reasonable basis for the limited extension of the time limit for Defendant's deposition and for the appointment of a special master as specified below.

On November 26, 2019, the parties filed a Joint Motion for an Extension of Time for Certain Pretrial Deadlines, ECF No. [316], which the Court subsequently denied, ECF No. [317]. The Court appreciates the parties' efforts in working to provide dates for the Defendant's deposition to take place. Upon review of the filings, and in light of the parties' varying scheduling conflicts, the Court finds good cause exists to extend the Court's deadlines. Thus, the Court will vacate its prior order, and extend the Court's deadlines to accommodate the parties. **The Court takes this time to also caution the parties that no further extensions of time shall be granted as to the pretrial deadlines in effect.**

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** as follows:

1. The Motion, **ECF No. [307]**, is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART.**
2. The deposition time limit of Defendant Craig Wright shall be extended to 12 (twelve) hours over the course of two (2) days.
3. **The Honorable Bruce E. Reinhart** is **APPOINTED** as Special Master in this case to oversee the deposition of the Defendant Craig Wright. The Special Master shall appear at the deposition via videoconference.²

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court's Order, **ECF No. [317]**, is **VACATED**, the Motion, **ECF No. [316]**, is **GRANTED**, and the Court's Scheduling Order, **ECF No. [286]**, is **AMENDED** as follows:

² The parties shall be responsible to ensure that the location where the Defendant's deposition is to take place has the appropriate video conferencing technology and capability.

December 13, 2019	Parties disclose experts and exchange expert witness summaries or reports.
January 3, 2020	Parties exchange rebuttal expert witness summaries or reports.
January 14-15, 2020	The Defendant's Deposition shall take place on January 14-15, 2020 from 1:00 p.m. (GMT) – 7:00 p.m. (GMT) each day.
January 17, 2020	All discovery, including expert discovery, is completed. All party and expert depositions shall be completed by this date.
January 21, 2020	All pre-trial motions, motions <i>in limine</i> , and <i>Daubert</i> motions (which include motions to strike experts) are filed. This deadline includes all <u>dispositive motions</u>. Each party is limited to filing one motion <i>in limine</i> and one <i>Daubert</i> motion. If all evidentiary issues cannot be addressed in a 20-page memorandum, leave to exceed the page limit will be granted. The parties are reminded that motions <i>in limine</i> must contain the Local Rule 7.1(a)(3) certification.

All other provisions in the Scheduling Orders, ECF Nos. [149], [286], not amended in this Order, shall remain in full effect.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at Miami, Florida, on November 27, 2019.



BETH BLOOM
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies to:

Counsel of Record

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

-----)
)
)
)
)
IRA KLEIMAN, as the personal) CASE NO:
representative of the Estate) 9:18-cv-80176-BB/BR
of David Kleiman, and W&K Info)
Defense Research, LLC)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
)
CRAIG WRIGHT)
)
Defendant.)
)
-----)

Videotape Deposition of
CRAIG STEVEN WRIGHT

On Thursday, 4th April 2019

Taken at the offices of:

Boies Schiller Flexner LLP
5 New Street Square,
London EC4A 3BF

Reported by: Paula Foley

1 A P P E A R A N C E S

2 On behalf of the Plaintiffs:

3 VELVEL (DEVIN) FREEDMAN, ESQ.
Boies Schiller Flexner LLP
4 100 SE Second Street, Suite 2800,
Miami, Florida 33131

5
KYLE W. ROCHE, ESQ.
6 Admitted Pro Hac Vice
Boies Schiller Flexner LLP
7 333 Main Street
Armonk, NY 10504

8
9 On behalf of the Defendant:

10 ANDRÉS RIVERO
ZAHARAH R. MARKOE
11 Rivero Mestre LLP
2525 Ponce de Leon Blvd.
12 Ste. 1000 Miami,
FL 331134

13
14 Court Reporter:

15 PAULA FOLEY
16 Magna Legal Services
1635 Market Street,
17 Philadelphia,
PA 19103
18 United States

19
20 Also Present:

21 PHILIP HILL (Videographer, Magna Legal
Services)
22 ANDREW S. BRENNER, ESQ (Boies Schiller
Flexner LLP) for the Plaintiff By Telephone
23
24 JOHN MCADAMS, ESQ (Boies Schiller Flexner
LLP) By Telephone
25 IRA KLEIMAN (Plaintiff) By Telephone

I N D E X

Deponent

Page

DR. CRAIG STEVEN WRIGHT

Questions by MR. FREEDMAN

5 - 385

- - - - -

Exhibits marked during this deposition

Exhibit

Page

1

78

2

126

3

189

4

227

5

239

6

259

7

296

8

314

9

333

10

347

1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the
2 record. This begins the video card number 1, volume 1,
3 in the video deposition of Dr. Craig Wright. This is
4 taken in the matter of Ira Kleiman et al versus Craig
5 Wright. This case is being heard in the United States
6 District Court Southern District of Florida. Case
7 number 9:18-cv-80176-BB/BR. Today's date is April 4,
8 2019. The time on the video screen is 10.37 a.m. Local
9 time is London. This video deposition is taking place
10 at the London offices of Boies Schiller Flexner at the
11 request of Boies Schiller Flexner. The videographer is
12 Philip Hill representing Magna Legal Services and the
13 court reporter is Paula Foley, also representing Magna
14 Legal Services. Please will counsel introduce
15 themselves and state whom they represent at the Boies
16 Schiller offices in London and those present via the
17 telephone conference link. Thank you.

18 MR. FREEDMAN: Vel Freedman for the
19 plaintiffs.

20 MR. ROCHE: Kyle Roche for the
21 plaintiffs.

22 MR. RIVERO: Andrés Rivero for Craig
23 Wright.

24 MS. MARKOE: Zaharah Markoe for
25 Dr. Wright.

1 MR. BRENNER: (By Telephone) Andrew
2 Brenner for the plaintiff.

3 MR. FREEDMAN: Did we loose Mr. McAdams?

4 MR. BRENNER: John McAdams is here.

5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please will the court
6 reporter swear in the witness.

7 DR. CRAIG STEVEN WRIGHT, SWORN

8 QUESTIONS BY MR. FREEDMAN

9 MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you very much.

10 MS. MARKOE: Point of order before we get
11 started. We are going to mark the entire deposition
12 confidential and then once we have the transcript we
13 will de-designate.

14 MR. FREEDMAN: That is fine.

15 Q. Good morning, Dr. Wright.

16 A. Good morning.

17 Q. We met earlier. My name is Vel Freedman.
18 I represent the plaintiffs in this action.

19 A. Hello Vel.

20 Q. Can you please state your name and date
21 of birth for the record?

22 A. My name is Craig Steven Wright. I was
23 born in Brisbane, Australia, on 23rd October 1970.

24 Q. Can you tell us your home address?

25 A. I live currently in 21 Harebell Hill,

1 which is in Cobham, UK.

2 Q. And your work address?

3 A. I mainly work from home. To tell you the
4 truth I do not know the office address, I just walk
5 there, it is in Oxford Circus at nChain. There is
6 another one at Golden Square. I do not actually know,
7 I am sorry.

8 Q. That is fine. Doctor, you understand
9 today that you are under oath and that you have taken an
10 oath to tell the truth?

11 A. I understand perfectly well the meaning
12 of an oath.

13 Q. You understand that your examination
14 today is being recorded both via stenographer and
15 videographer and that at some point this testimony may
16 be shown to a jury?

17 A. I understand that perfectly, thank you.

18 Q. Are you taking any medication today?

19 A. No. I do not take medication.

20 Q. Is there anything today that I do not
21 know about that would -- let me strike that. Is there
22 anything today that would in fact affect your ability to
23 tell the truth at this deposition?

24 A. No, nothing will affect my ability to
25 tell the truth.

1 Q. If I ask you a question and you do not
2 understand it, please let me know, and I will repeat it
3 or rephrase it?

4 A. I shall.

5 Q. If you do not ask me to do that, I will
6 assume you understand the question and I will rely on
7 your response?

8 A. (The witness nodded)

9 Q. In deposition-taking, it is not
10 intuitive, but we need you to verbally say your
11 responses on the record, so that the court reporter can
12 take them, so I will try to remind you to do it, and if
13 you could just try to answer with an affirmative yes or
14 no?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Thank you. If at any time today you need
17 to take a break or you feel like you need to get a drink
18 or stretch your legs, just let me know and we will stop;
19 okay?

20 A. Shall do. Thank you.

21 Q. You said you were born in Australia. Did
22 there come a time when you stopped living in Australia?

23 A. I am not living in Australia at the
24 moment.

25 Q. When did you stop living in Australia?

1 A. We moved from Australia in October 2015.

2 Q. Let me go back to about 2006. In 2006
3 where were you living in Australia?

4 A. In Wimbledon.

5 Q. In Wimbledon. Did there come a time when
6 you moved from Wimbledon?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection. This is not part
8 of the deposition topics that we agreed to. This is a
9 limited deposition. I am not really sure how this line
10 of questioning fits in with any of the topics that you
11 proposed.

12 MR. FREEDMAN: So, we are trying to
13 establish where Dr. Wright was so we can identify the
14 location of computers, locations of servers and
15 locations of all kinds of other things he may have used
16 to create Bitcoin to collaborate with David Kleiman.

17 THE WITNESS: Anything that I have moved
18 with has moved with me in full. I have only had one
19 residence at any location, so anywhere I have been is
20 irrelevant. Everything has moved, full stop.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Dr. Wright, I am going to ask, if it is
23 possible, if you could wait until I ask a question to
24 respond. I know you know where I may be going and you
25 know what I may be getting at, you want to try to

1 short-circuit it, but unfortunately I have to ask the
2 question and you have to respond. You were living in
3 Wimbledon in 2006. Did there come a time when you moved
4 from Wimbledon?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. When did you move from Wimbledon?

7 MS. MARKOE: I am continuing to object to
8 this line of question.

9 MR. FREEDMAN: Are you instructing him
10 not to answer or are you noting an objection?

11 MS. MARKOE: I am noting an objection and
12 I am giving you a little bit of leeway. If we get to a
13 point where I feel you are abusing that leeway then
14 I will instruct him not to answer.

15 MR. FREEDMAN: Okay.

16 Q. When did you move after 2006?

17 A. I do not remember the exact date.

18 Q. Where did you move to?

19 A. Cobham.

20 Q. Did there come a time when you moved from
21 Cobham?

22 A. I moved to another address in Cobham.

23 Q. Do you remember the address in Cobham
24 that you moved to first?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

1 THE WITNESS: KT11 3AZ. Number 7 on that
2 street.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. And then the second house in Cobham?

5 A. Is the one I said I am at now.

6 Q. So Cobham is in the UK?

7 A. That is correct. I have been living in
8 Cobham. I was in Cobham. I was in Wimbledon. All of
9 those are in the UK. That is correct.

10 Q. I am confused. This may be my fault. In
11 2006 you were living in the UK?

12 A. I am sorry, that is my fault I was
13 thinking 2016. 2006 I was living in Australia.

14 Q. Okay, where in Australia?

15 A. A number of different locations.

16 Q. Can you let me know where those were?

17 A. I do not remember. I know approximate
18 locations. I have had several houses.

19 Q. Can you give me the approximate
20 locations?

21 A. New South Wales.

22 Q. From 2006 -- did there come a time when
23 you left New South Wales for another part of Australia,
24 or were you in New South Wales until you moved to the UK
25 in 2015?

1 A. I was in New South Wales until then.

2 Q. But you do not recall the exact
3 addresses?

4 A. Not off the top of my head, no.

5 Q. Do you have those records available that
6 you could look up and then tell your counsel later?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: I have no computers in any
9 other residence. Everything I have had moved with me.
10 There is nothing to be found, there are no computers
11 there, and, no, I do not try and remember addresses.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. So you took every computer storage
14 device, every system you had, has moved with you and you
15 currently have it with you in London?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
17 the testimony.

18 THE WITNESS: No, that is not what
19 I said. I do not keep machines. The average age of my
20 computers is one year. After a year, quite often
21 I trash them. I have a new phone every single year.
22 I have a new computer, at maximum, 18 months.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. What do you do with the old equipment?

25 A. In the past I used to donate those to

1 charity. Now, sometimes they go to charity, sometimes
2 they just get trashed.

3 Q. What do you do with the data on those old
4 computers or hardware?

5 A. It is wiped.

6 Q. Do you save a copy of it?

7 A. Not always, no. If I do not need it, I
8 do not save it.

9 Q. But whatever you need, you save?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Were you employed between 2006-2015, when
12 you left Australia?

13 A. Yes.

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Where were you employed?

17 A. At what point?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. From 2006, I guess in 2006, where were
21 you employed?

22 A. I worked for a company or a partnership
23 called BDO, which was an accounting firm.

24 Q. How long were you with BDO?

25 A. From some time in 2005 until December

1 2008, when I left.

2 Q. When you left BDO in 2008, were you
3 employed by another organisation or employer?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Did there come a time when you were,
6 again, employed by an employer?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: I am nominally employed by
9 nChain.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. That was the next time after BDO?

12 A. I was a director of my own company, so if
13 you want to call that employment, then ----

14 Q. That is a good critique and a bad
15 question. Besides working for your own companies and
16 yourself, have you worked for anyone else besides BDO
17 and nChain ----

18 A. No.

19 Q. ---- from 2006 on. Where did you
20 maintain -- so you said that between 2008 you left BDO,
21 and then in 2000 -- when did you begin working for
22 nChain?

23 A. Well, I did not really begin working for
24 nChain, I founded nChain.

25 Q. When did you found nChain?

1 A. nChain was founded effectively in a
2 number of different forms in around May 2015.

3 Q. So between December of 2008 and May of
4 2015, you worked for yourself in companies that you
5 created?

6 A. I worked for the companies I created,
7 yes. I did not work for myself per se, I was not
8 working for my own business, if that is what you are
9 asking.

10 Q. Did these companies have offices in
11 Australia?

12 A. Yes, some of them did.

13 Q. Do you recall the addresses of those
14 offices?

15 A. In North Ryde I do not remember the exact
16 address.

17 Q. Were there more than one address?

18 A. In some of them, yes.

19 Q. Were they all in North Ryde?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Where else were they?

22 A. I honestly do not remember. I do not try
23 and remember addresses. I do not remember, as I said,
24 the address at Oxford Circus where I drive into work
25 every week. I just go there.

1 Q. Do you have records that you could look
2 this up and let your counsel know later?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: No, but I am sure it is on
5 the internet.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. How many times have you been married?

8 A. Twice.

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. What is the name of your first wife?

12 A. Carol Lynne Wright.

13 Q. What was her maiden name?

14 A. Black.

15 Q. When did you first meet her?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection. What is the
17 relevance of when he met an ex-wife? And how does that
18 relate to any of the topics that you have purported that
19 you are planning on covering today?

20 MR. RIVERO: Instruct not to answer.

21 Next question, please.

22 MR. FREEDMAN: Andrés?

23 BY MR. RIVERO: Please ask the next
24 question. That we will take up with the court if you
25 wish to.

1 MR. FREEDMAN: Okay. Are you going to
2 instruct him not to answer any question about his first
3 wife?

4 MR. RIVERO: Mr. Freedman, you have the
5 right to ask questions. Ask the questions. You are
6 wasting your own time. If you want to take up that
7 question with the court I am ready to call now if you
8 want to find the judge. When did he meet his first
9 wife? Please, move on.

10 MR. FREEDMAN: We will deal with it in
11 the court.

12 MR. RIVERO: Please move on. Next
13 question.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. When did you get married to
16 Ms. Lynne Black?

17 A. In the '90s. I have an oath, as part of
18 my divorce settlement, that I will not discuss anything
19 about my wife or my former marriage. I will not break
20 that. Thank you.

21 Q. When did you get divorced?

22 A. I have an oath with my wife that I am
23 divorced from that I will not discuss anything about her
24 or my former marriage that is part of our settlement.
25 Thank you.

1 Q. Including the date of the divorce?

2 A. I have an oath with my former wife that
3 I will not discuss anything about her or my former
4 marriage. I will not break that.

5 Q. Have you stayed in touch with your
6 ex-wife after the date of the divorce?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: I have an oath with my
9 former wife that I will not discuss anything about her
10 in any way or my marriage, and I will not break an oath.
11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. So we do not have to go through every
13 single question. Are you refusing to answer any
14 questions about Ms. Lynne Black?

15 A. I am not refusing to answer questions.
16 I have an oath that has been filed within a court in
17 Australia. I will not breach oath and perjure myself or
18 break oath. You are asking me to break oath, and unless
19 instructed by a judge, etcetera, etcetera, I will not do
20 that.

21 Q. What is the name of your second wife?

22 A. My second wife is called Ramona.

23 Q. When did you meet Ramona Wright?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. What is her last name?

2 A. Watts.

3 Q. Was it always Watts?

4 A. No.

5 Q. What was it before it was Watts?

6 A. It was Ang.

7 Q. Can you spell that, please?

8 A. A-N-G.

9 Q. When did it change to Watts?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: I am not answering

12 questions about my wife. My wife is privileged in the
13 UK. My marriage is privileged. You should know that,
14 as a lawyer. Are you seeking to have me breach marital
15 privilege?

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Dr. Wright, it will not be productive for
18 us to have a conversation about whether or not the time
19 of when your wife's name changed from Ang to Watts is
20 covered by spells of privilege, but ----

21 A. I do not discuss my family, full stop.

22 Q. Dr. Wright, you understand that you are
23 being sued in this case?

24 A. I understand perfectly well that a con
25 man in America has made up a fraudulent claim, yes.

1 Q. And you understand that you tried to
2 dismiss this case?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Sir, Vel, we
4 have a lit of topics. These were approved by the court.
5 This is not part of your list of topics.

6 MR. FREEDMAN: It certainly is,
7 Ms. Markoe.

8 MS. MARKOE: Explain to me in what way.

9 MR. FREEDMAN: Because the list of topics
10 approved by the court approved the inquiry into
11 witnesses and Ms. Ramona Watts is heavily and was
12 heavily involved with Dr. Wright's businesses.

13 MS. MARKOE: That topic says:
14 "Identification of witnesses, including information
15 about their whereabouts and roles in the subject matter
16 of the pleadings". Your questions do not go ----

17 MR. FREEDMAN: Sure they do.

18 MS. MARKOE: ---- to those issues. Your
19 questions do not go to those issues. Ask questions that
20 go to those issues and he will answer the questions that
21 go to those issues to the extent that they are not part
22 of a privilege. Continue.

23 MR. FREEDMAN: We are trying to determine
24 at what point she entered into this circle of companies
25 and this helps us determine that.

1 MS. MARKOE: When her name changed from
2 Ang to Watts helps you determine ----

3 MR. FREEDMAN: Helps us identify her and
4 her history and learn about her, so that we can
5 eventually, if possible, take her deposition, yes.

6 MS. MARKOE: And that has nothing to do
7 with these topics. That has absolutely nothing to do
8 with these topics. You can ask the questions that go to
9 these topics and go to the specific issues, period.

10 MR. FREEDMAN: We will take it up with
11 court.

12 MS. MARKOE: Take it up with the court.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Dr. Wright, do you have any computers
15 that existed as of 2006 that are still in your
16 possession today?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection: asked and
18 answered.

19 MR. FREEDMAN: Ms. Markoe, I would if you
20 would just limit your objection to form as per the
21 rules.

22 THE WITNESS: No.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Do you have any computers in your
25 possession from 2007?

1 A. No.

2 Q. 2008?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: Any computer that I have
5 had has been imaged and taken. I do not know if there
6 is any old hard drives or whatever else from any
7 particular date. Every single computer has been taken,
8 imaged, etcetera.

9 Q. I understand, but that is not my
10 question. My question is if you have any -- let me
11 rephrase it, maybe it is easier. What is the oldest
12 computer that you have in your possession?

13 A. I do not know.

14 Q. What is the oldest hard drive that you
15 have in your possession?

16 A. I do not know.

17 Q. What is the oldest media device that you
18 have in your possession?

19 A. I do not know.

20 Q. Did you use any cloud storage services in
21 the 2006 -- in 2006?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. What were those services?

24 A. I do not remember.

25 Q. Did you use any cloud storages in 2007?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. What were the names of those storage
3 services?

4 A. I do not remember.

5 Q. Did you use cloud storages in 2008?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. What were the names of those storages?

8 A. I do not remember.

9 Q. Did you use cloud storages in 2009?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. What were the names of those cloud
12 storages?

13 A. I do not remember.

14 Q. Did you use cloud storages in 2010?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. What were the names of those cloud
17 storages?

18 A. I do not remember.

19 Q. Did you use cloud storages in 2011?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. What were the names of those cloud
22 storages?

23 A. I do not remember.

24 Q. Did you use cloud storage services in
25 2012?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. What were the names of those cloud
3 storages?

4 A. I do not remember.

5 Q. Did you use cloud storages in 2013?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. What were the names of those cloud
8 storages?

9 A. I do not remember.

10 Q. From 2006 until 2013, did you ever use
11 cloud computing services?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: Yes.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. What were the names of those cloud
16 computing services?

17 A. I do not remember.

18 Q. What did you use cloud computing services
19 for?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: To store data, to analyse
22 data.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. What data did you store?

25 A. A wide variety of all different things

1 I was analysing.

2 Q. Can you list for me the types of data you
3 stored?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: 0s and 1s.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. And at a higher level?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

9 THE WITNESS: I do not remember the exact
10 composition of data that I had at any period. I do not
11 try and remember these things.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Do you remember any of the data that you
14 stored during that period?

15 A. I, at most of these periods that you are
16 talking about, have staff. I ask for things to happen,
17 things happen.

18 Q. So, is it your testimony today that from
19 2006 until 2013, you do not recall any of the data that
20 you stored on cloud storage devices?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
22 the testimony.

23 MR. FREEDMAN: I asked him if that was
24 his testimony. I would ask again, Ms. Markoe, that you
25 limit your objection to form.

1 MS. MARKOE: And I would ask that you ask
2 proper questions. Continue.

3 THE WITNESS: Very simply, you have tried
4 to twist my words, that is not what I said.

5 MR. FREEDMAN: Ms. Markoe, this is the
6 issue with objecting beyond form, because you are
7 coaching the witness and it is inappropriate under the
8 local rules.

9 MS. MARKOE: I am not coaching the
10 witness at all.

11 THE WITNESS: I would have said that
12 either way.

13 MS. MARKOE: I am telling you what my
14 objection is and I am allowed to record my objection for
15 the record.

16 MR. FREEDMAN: Just to form. It is part
17 of the local rules. It is quite clear. You are only
18 allowed to object to form.

19 MS. MARKOE: Take it up with the judge,
20 then.

21 MR. FREEDMAN: I will, but I am hoping I
22 do not have to.

23 Q. Dr. Wright, is it your testimony today
24 that from 2006-2013 that you do not recall any of the
25 data you stored on cloud storage devices?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: I do not recall the data,
3 no.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. Is it your testimony today that from
6 2006-2013 you do not recall any of the functions that
7 you used cloud computing services for?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

9 THE WITNESS: That is not what I actually
10 said. I said I do compute and storage. They are
11 functions.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Do you recall what data you computed
14 during the 2006-2013 time period?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: The purpose of storing data
17 and doing compute is so that I do not need to recall it.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. So do you recall what you stored from
20 2006-2013?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: Again, I do not recall,
23 because I do not need to. The whole purpose of storing
24 information is so that you do not need to think about
25 it.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. So you do not recall?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: I just said that.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. Did any of the data stored, or any of the
7 cloud computing services used, relate to Bitcoin?

8 A. Yes.

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Do you recall how it related to Bitcoin?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: Define that. Define what
14 you mean by how it related to Bitcoin.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Did it relate to the mining of Bitcoin?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: No.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Did it relate to blockchain-based
21 intellectual property?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: Define what
24 blockchain-based intellectual property means, please.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. What does the term blockchain mean to
2 you?

3 A. Blockchain is a misrepresentation of the
4 use of timechain that is defined within the word
5 Bitcoin. It is effectively, people not understanding
6 what the technology is, and calling it something that it
7 is not.

8 Q. So would timechain be a more accurate
9 term to use?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: For the general
12 representation, yes.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Did any of the cloud computing and cloud
15 storage services that you used between 2006 until 2013
16 relate to timechain intellectual property?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: Again, what you are trying
19 to do is multiple things, and are you talking about the
20 development of what became Bitcoin, or are you talking
21 about something else, such as documenting or writing
22 papers on the topic?

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Let us start with the development of
25 Bitcoin. Did any of those cloud storages and cloud

1 computing services relate to the development of what
2 became Bitcoin?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: The cloud storages did not
5 relate to the development of Bitcoin. The development
6 of Bitcoin was not done by cloud storages.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. What was the development of it being done
9 by?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: Humans.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Was any of the information relating to
14 the development of Bitcoin stored on cloud computing
15 services?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Do you recall what those cloud computing
18 services were called?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: No.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Do you still have access to those cloud
23 computing services?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Do you have copies of the data that were

1 on those cloud computing services?

2 A. The data that I have at my house has been
3 analysed. I do not recall what every bit of that data
4 is. The analysis of that data has either been taken or
5 not. I have not gone through what the lawyers have
6 copied, so I cannot answer that question.

7 Q. So, sitting here today, you do not know
8 whether the data that was on the cloud computing storage
9 -- strike that. Sitting here today, you do not know
10 whether the data that was on the cloud storage services
11 resides on the data that was imaged by your lawyers?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: I do not know what my
14 lawyers imaged, so I cannot answer that question.

15 May I have a glass of water, please?

16 MS. MARKOE: Yes.

17 MR. RIVERO: Sparkling or still?

18 THE WITNESS: Sparkling, thank you.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Dr. Wright, how did you identify for your
21 lawyers what devices to image?

22 MS. MARKOE: Can you repeat the question,
23 I am sorry?

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. How did you identify for your lawyers

1 what devices they should image for this litigation?

2 MR. RIVERO: Dr. Wright, you cannot go
3 into conversations with your lawyers, because that would
4 be privileged. So I am instructing you on that, but
5 answer to the extent that you can without going into any
6 communications with counsel.

7 THE WITNESS: I was given a set of
8 instructions. I told the people what matched the
9 instructions.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Are there devices at your home or office
12 here in the United Kingdom that have not been imaged?

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Can you list those devices for me?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: No.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Why not?

21 A. I do not know them.

22 Q. Would you be able to provide a list of
23 those devices to your lawyers?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: No.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. Why not?

3 A. My wife has machines, they are not mine,
4 they have nothing to do with Bitcoin or this case.
5 I will not list her machines. I will not ask her to
6 list her machines.

7 Q. Do you have access to your wife's
8 machines?

9 A. No.

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. Did your wife use those machines for the
13 ----

14 A. No.

15 Q. Sorry?

16 A. There are no machines at my house that
17 are that old. There are no machines that have been
18 older than 2015, as you are trying to imply. So, the
19 data that I had was copied to companies. Those
20 companies in Australia have been imaged by the
21 Australian Tax Office for whatever they have done. I do
22 not have them.

23 Q. From 2006 until 2014, did you make any
24 trips to the United States?

25 A. Yes.

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. How many trips did you make to the United
4 States?

5 A. I do not know.

6 Q. Did you travel to the United States in
7 2006?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Again, we are
9 talking about ----

10 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

11 MS. MARKOE: ---- a list of topics. This
12 is not related to your list of topics. Stick to the
13 topics that you agreed to. There were ten of them.
14 This is not one of them.

15 MR. FREEDMAN: This relates to the
16 formation of the partnership with Dave Kleiman, so ----

17 MS. MARKOE: How does it relate to the
18 formation of the partnership with Dave Kleiman when you
19 are asking about his trips to the United States from
20 2006-2014?

21 MR. FREEDMAN: Because that is when we
22 allege the formation of the partnership eventually took
23 place.

24 MS. MARKOE: Why do not you ask about the
25 formation of the partnership rather than random trips

1 that he might have taken to the United States.

2 MR. FREEDMAN: Ms. Markoe, I do not have
3 to ask the questions the way you would like me to ask
4 the questions. If you instruct the witness not to
5 answer we will take it up with the court. Otherwise
6 please either object or instruct.

7 Q. In 2006, did you travel to the United
8 States?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. In 2007, did you travel to the United
13 States?

14 A. I do not know.

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. In 2008, did you travel to the United
18 States?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: Yes.

21 THE COURT REPORTER: Slow down, please.
22 I cannot keep up.

23 MR. FREEDMAN: Sorry.

24 Q. In 2008, you travelled to the United
25 States?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. Where in the United States did you
5 travel?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: I do not remember.

8 MR. RIVERO: Dr. Wright, the most
9 important person is actually the court reporter, who
10 makes the official record. So you have to allow a beat
11 for objections, because our court reporter cannot take
12 you and the objection simultaneously, so I think it is
13 helpful if we all take a breath. I speak very quickly,
14 so I have to take a breath. It is very natural.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Do you recall the purpose of travel in
17 2008?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: I went to various different
20 trips around the world. I have been to over 100
21 countries. I travel, even now, probably 30-50 countries
22 every year. I do six countries a month, some months. I
23 do not remember my trips. I know I do presentations,
24 I met with government officials, I met with the FBI at
25 one point, I met with people in a number of three-letter

1 agencies. If you are asking did I meet with Dave
2 Kleiman then, no.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. You remember very specifically to have
5 taken a trip to the United States in 2008?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. How do you recall that you were
9 travelling to the United States in 2008?

10 A. One of my trips was to meet people at
11 Microsoft.

12 Q. Where did you meet people at Microsoft?

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

14 THE WITNESS: At Microsoft.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Where at Microsoft? At Microsoft
17 headquarters?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: At Microsoft headquarters.

20 MS. MARKOE: I am going to instruct the
21 witness not to answer this. It has absolutely nothing
22 to do with this case and it has nothing to do with topic
23 number 3 which you are purporting this line of
24 questioning is related to. He has already answered your
25 question that he did not meet with Mr. Kleiman during

1 any of his trips to the United States in 2008,
2 I believe, but the record will state what he said.

3 MR. FREEDMAN: Ms. Markoe, I am not going
4 to argue with you about it but we were given some leeway
5 to press Dr. Wright's initial representation of what he
6 did and did not do.

7 MS. MARKOE: And he answered your
8 question by telling you that he met with people at
9 Microsoft. That is enough pressing.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. In 2009, did you travel to the United
12 States?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. For what purpose?

15 A. I do not remember all the conferences,
16 etcetera, that I went to in 2009.

17 Q. Where in the United States did you travel
18 to?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: I do not have my travel
21 records in front of me. I cannot answer that.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Did you travel to Florida in 2009?

24 A. Either then or 2010, I cannot remember
25 the exact dates.

1 Q. Did you travel to Florida in 2008?

2 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

3 THE WITNESS: No. I do not believe

4 I did.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. When you travelled to Florida in 2009 or
7 2010, did you meet with Dave Kleiman?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. What did you discuss with Dave Kleiman?

10 A. We drank.

11 Q. Where did you drink?

12 A. A pub.

13 Q. Where was the pub located?

14 A. I do not know.

15 Q. In what city was the pub located?

16 A. It was somewhere that Dave drove to, with
17 another person called Paul Henry.

18 Q. Did you discuss anything related to
19 Bitcoin?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Did you discuss anything related to
22 blockchain or timechain?

23 A. No.

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. How long did you meet with Dave Kleiman
2 for?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: I do not remember. We
5 drank a lot.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Was that the only time you saw
8 Dave Kleiman?

9 A. No.

10 Q. That was a bad question. Strike that.
11 Was that the only time you saw Dave Kleiman on that
12 trip?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Did you travel to the United States in
15 2010?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: As I said, 2009/10.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Sorry, yes, you are right. Beyond the
20 2009/2010 trip to the United States where you met with
21 Dave Kleiman, was there another time that you travelled
22 to the United States and met with Dave Kleiman?

23 A. No.

24 Q. In 2011, did you travel to the United
25 States?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. Did you meet with Dave Kleiman in 2011?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: Briefly.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. Where did you meet with Dave Kleiman?

9 A. I need to obstruct -- this is one of
10 those matters.

11 MS. MARKOE: Okay. This is a matter that
12 I am going to instruct him not to answer. He will
13 discuss the basis for his refusing not to answer in
14 camera with the court. It involves issues of national
15 security and he is not permitted to answer these
16 questions. He can give a more fulsome explanation to
17 the court in camera and the court can make a ruling
18 based on that in camera discussion.

19 Can I just consult with my client for one
20 second to see if I can get you some sort of an answer
21 that might be of assistance to you?

22 MR. FREEDMAN: Sure.

23 MS. MARKOE: Let us go off the record.

24 MR. FREEDMAN: You want to take a break?

25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.

1 The time is 11.12.

2 (A Short Break)

3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the
4 record. The time is 11.23. Thank you.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. Dr. Wright ----

7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry, sir ----

8 MR. FREEDMAN: Oh, my mic!

9 MS. MARKOE: Why do we not read back that
10 last question before the objection and we can take it
11 from there.

12 MR. FREEDMAN: Actually, before we go
13 there, I just want to double back on something I left
14 out initially, which is earlier you told me that you
15 instructed employees how to store things on cloud
16 storage devices; do you recall that?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: No, that is not what
19 I said.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. What did you say?

22 A. I said I instruct employees to do things
23 and things happened.

24 Q. Who were those employees?

25 A. I do not remember the names of all my

1 employees.

2 Q. Do you remember the names of the
3 employees that dealt with cloud storage devices?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Cloud storage services?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Cloud computing services?

8 A. No.

9 Q. In 2011 you met with Dave Kleiman?

10 MR. RIVERO: Objection.

11 Paula, would you please go back. As we
12 requested, there was a pending question at which point
13 there was a request to take a break. We asked that the
14 last question be read back. I will read back but
15 I would ask the court reporter to confirm. The next to
16 last question: "Did you meet with Dave Kleiman in
17 2011?" The witness: "Briefly". Next question: "Where
18 did you meet with Dave Kleiman?" That is where that
19 took up. We would like to go back so it is clear on the
20 record what he is and is not answering. So if you can
21 read it. We want to do this formally. That is where we
22 were.

23 MR. FREEDMAN: Mr. Rivero, this is my
24 deposition. I strike the question. We will go back
25 now.

1 MR. RIVERO: No, Mr. Freedman ----

2 MR. FREEDMAN: Mr. Rivero, this is my
3 deposition. I ask the questions I would like to ask.

4 MR. RIVERO: Mr. Freedman, you need to
5 hear me on this. There was a pending question. We
6 asked for and took time to address it. We want to
7 respond so it is clear to the court what our position is
8 on your pending question. Please, let us go back and do
9 it right.

10 MR. FREEDMAN: I do strike the question
11 and you can take up my striking the question with the
12 court, Mr. Rivero.

13 MR. RIVERO: We are not going to ----

14 MR. FREEDMAN: Mr. Rivero, I am not going
15 to continue arguing.

16 MR. RIVERO: Mr. Freedman, there was a
17 pending question. We asked for permission to break to
18 address the pending question. If you are withdrawing
19 the line of questioning, I am perfectly happy to go on,
20 but I am not going to have an unclear record. He is
21 prepared to answer the last question.

22 MR. FREEDMAN: In the interests of time,
23 let us go back. Can you please read back the question.

24 (The court reporter read back as requested)

25 THE WITNESS: I had a video conference

1 while in New York that involved Mr. Kleiman.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Where was Mr. Kleiman when you video
4 conferenced him?

5 A. Exactly where I do not know.

6 Q. How long did the video conference last?

7 A. Probably 30-45 minutes.

8 Q. Did the video conference have anything to
9 do with Bitcoin?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: The video conference was
12 not about Bitcoin.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Did the video conference have anything to
15 do with blockchain or timechain technology?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: The video conference was
18 not about those topics.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Was there anyone else on the conference?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Who else was on the conference?

23 A. I cannot answer that. That is part of
24 what we need to ----

25 Q. Okay.

1 A. That individual has nothing to do with
2 Bitcoin in any way.

3 Q. Can you tell me the subject matter of the
4 discussion?

5 A. No.

6 MS. MARKOE: That is the in camera part.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. Was there any other time in 2011 that you
9 met with Dave Kleiman?

10 A. No.

11 Q. In 2012, did you meet with Dave
12 Kleiman -- strike that. In 2011, was there any other
13 time when you travelled to the United States?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: I do not know. I travel a
16 lot. I cannot remember exactly where I travel when.
17 I have been to the US many times. I do not know when
18 I have and have not been at particular times.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. In 2012, did you travel to the United
21 States?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: Again, I travelled a lot.
24 I do not remember.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. Did you travel to Florida in 2012?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Did you travel a lot between ----

4 A. I travelled a lot.

5 Q. ---- 2006 and 2013?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: I have travelled a lot all
8 my life.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. In 2013, did you travel to the United
11 States?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: I believe so. I did not
14 travel to Florida, however.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Why did you travel to the United States
17 in 2013?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: I travel a lot.
20 I presented conferences. I meet government officials.
21 I do all sorts of things.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Did you meet with Dave Kleiman in 2013?

24 A. Physically, no.

25 Q. Did you meet with Dave Kleiman via video

1 conference in 2013?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. How many times in 2013 did you meet with
4 Dave Kleiman via video conference?

5 A. More than I can remember.

6 Q. Approximately how frequently would you
7 meet with Dave Kleiman via video conference in 2013?

8 A. There was only a small amount of time
9 before he died.

10 Q. How many times a week approximately would
11 you meet with Dave Kleiman via video conference in 2013?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: Not many. Dave died. He
14 was sick.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Dave died in April of 2013?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. So, between January of 2013 and April of
19 2013, approximately how many times did you meet with
20 Dave Kleiman via video conference?

21 A. Not many.

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Ten times?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

1 THE WITNESS: Less.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Five times?

4 A. I do not remember.

5 Q. Some amount of times between five and ten
6 to the best of your recollection?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: Somewhere around less than
9 ten.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Okay. In 2012, did you meet via video
12 conference with Dave Kleiman?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Approximately how often would you meet
15 via video conference with Dave Kleiman in 2012?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: Dave was my best friend. We
18 talked a lot.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. So, once a week?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: I do not recollect. I do
23 not try to think about these things. I work. I work
24 100 hour weeks. At the moment my work is 108 hours.
25 I work less now than I used to. I call people, I do

1 things, I produce. I do not sit there recording and
2 remembering what I did. I do not remember how many
3 times I have called my mother. I do not remember how
4 many times I have talked to my wife. I do not remember
5 any of these things.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. In 2011, besides from the video
8 conference we have just discussed that you want to talk
9 to the court about in camera, how many times did you
10 meet via video with Dave Kleiman?

11 A. I do not know.

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Is it safe to say a lot?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: Define "a lot".

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. You said you met with him a lot in 2013.
19 Was the frequency of meeting with Dave Kleiman via video
20 conference in 2012 the same as it was in 2013?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection. The record will
22 speak for itself.

23 MR. FREEDMAN: Let me strike that and
24 start again.

25 Q. Approximately how many times did you meet

1 with Dave Kleiman via video conference in 2011?

2 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

3 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. More than ten times?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: I believe so.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. More than 20 times?

10 A. I do not know.

11 Q. Is it safe to say it was somewhere
12 between ten to 20 times?

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

14 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Could it have been more than 20 times?

17 BY MS. MARKOE: Objection: calls for
18 speculation.

19 MR. FREEDMAN: Please, Ms. Markoe, limit
20 your objections to form.

21 MR. RIVERO: Proceed.

22 THE WITNESS: Could this be the Cartesian
23 abstraction, a projection, yes, that is a possibility.
24 The possibility of my putting my hand through the wall
25 exists. Is that a probability: no. Please ask me

1 something that is not fluffy.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Was it probable that you spoke with Dave
4 Kleiman more than 20 times in 2011?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: I do not know. It was
7 definitely more than 10 times.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. In 2010, how many times did you meet with
10 Dave Kleiman via video conference?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: Again, even less
13 recollection. I do not know.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. More than 10 times?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Do you have any recollection at all of
20 the frequency in which you talked to Dave Kleiman via
21 video conference in 2010?

22 A. I could not even answer the recollection
23 of what I have talked to my wife in the last six months,
24 so, no. What I do recollect is I wrote 64 papers that
25 will go to patent last month.

1 Q. Doctor ----

2 A. I wrote 18 papers that have been
3 published last month. That I recollect. I recollect my
4 work.

5 Q. If you could try to answer the question
6 that is posed, that would help us move quicker. Did you
7 speak with Dave Kleiman via video conference in 2010
8 routinely?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: Define the word "routine".

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. As you understand the word "routine"?

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

14 THE WITNESS: I have a full 21 volume
15 copy of the Oxford greater dictionary. "Routine" is
16 actually about 1.5 pages worth of definitions going back
17 to the 16th century. Which particular use of "routine"
18 would you like me to have? As in per route, as in as a
19 directed, something that is not a common used word, or
20 what?

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Let us just jump to 2009. Do you recall
23 how many times you spoke with Dave Kleiman via video
24 conference in 2009?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

1 THE WITNESS: As with everyone else, I do
2 not recall exact details. I do not even remember how
3 many times I have spoken to my mother in the last six
4 months.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. You did not create Bitcoin with your with
7 mother, did you?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Move to strike.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. In 2008, do you recall how many times you
11 met with Dave Kleiman via video conference?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: The same answer applies
14 with even less recollection. I think about my work.
15 People do not always like it, but I do not think about
16 people.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. In 2008, do you recall how many times you
19 had video conferences with Dave Kleiman?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: Again, I do not recollect
22 exactly how many times.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. When did you first meet Dave Kleiman?

25 A. Exactly when, I do not remember. Define

1 "meet".

2 Q. What year?

3 A. Physically meet, meet online, meet by
4 e-mail, meet by video conference, meet by phone.

5 Q. When were you first introduced to Dave
6 Kleiman in any capacity, in what year?

7 A. I was never introduced to Dave Kleiman.

8 Q. How did you come to meet Dave Kleiman in
9 any capacity?

10 A. We started talking on mailing lists, IRC
11 chats and other such things.

12 Q. When did you begin that conversation?

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

14 THE WITNESS: I do not remember exactly.
15 It has been 15 years.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Do you remember the year?

18 A. No.

19 Q. How did you communicate during that time?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection: vague.

21 THE WITNESS: In English.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. From 2006, were you in regular contact
24 with Dave Kleiman?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

1 THE WITNESS: Define "regular".

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Once a week?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Twice a week -- once a month?

6 A. Most likely, yes.

7 Q. How were you in contact with him
8 approximately once a month in 2006?

9 A. We spoke over IRC, we spoke over video
10 chats, we spoke over chats.

11 Q. You said "chats". Can you drill down on
12 that for me; what do you mean by chats?

13 A. Digital chat media. IRC is an example of
14 an early chat format. It has rooms, some of those are
15 public, some of those are private. Would you like me to
16 detail the format of the protocol any more?

17 Q. No, but would I like you to drill down a
18 little more on the type of chats besides IRC that you
19 used to discuss with Dave Kleiman?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: I do not remember. These
22 things have changed.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Do you have access to any of these IRC
25 chats?

1 A. No, that is the nature of IRC.

2 Q. Do you have access to any of these video
3 chats?

4 A. No, that is nature of video chats.

5 Q. Do you have access to any of the other
6 chat forms that you used to discuss with Dave Kleiman in
7 2006?

8 A. No, we made sure we talked on things that
9 were chats.

10 Q. Meaning there was no record?

11 A. Meaning that there was no record.

12 Q. In 2007, did the frequency with which you
13 spoke to Dave Kleiman increase?

14 A. No.

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Did it stay the same?

18 A. I do not have a record of how many times
19 I spoke to him. As a statistician, I would have to
20 analyse that as a hypothesis taking one versus the
21 other, but I do not have the data.

22 Q. Did you speak to Dave Kleiman
23 approximately once a month in 2007?

24 A. I have no idea how many times I spoke to
25 anyone at any of those times. Very simply, I am not

1 able to answer that.

2 Q. You have no recollection of the frequency
3 with which you spoke to Dave Kleiman in 2007; is that
4 correct?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: I remember talking to
7 people. I do not remember each of the talks.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. But I am asking you to give me your best
10 recollection of the frequency with which you spoke to
11 Dave Kleiman in 2007?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: I do not have a best
14 recollection. I do not think about people that way.
15 I think about numbers. I think about algorithms.
16 I remember those.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. How often did you speak to Dave Kleiman
19 in 2008?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: I do not remember. The
22 same thing applies. The same thing will apply to 2009.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. How often did you speak to Dave Kleiman
25 in 2010?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. How often did you speak to Dave Kleiman
5 in 2011?

6 A. I do not know.

7 Q. How often did you speak to Dave Kleiman
8 in 2012?

9 A. I do not know.

10 Q. How often did you speak to Dave Kleiman
11 in 2013?

12 A. Not terribly much.

13 Q. When I say "speak to Dave Kleiman",
14 I also mean telephonically. Does that change any of
15 your answers?

16 A. No, I do not use telephone much at all.

17 Q. Did there come a time when you began
18 e-mailing Dave Kleiman?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: Yes.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. When did you begin e-mailing Dave
23 Kleiman?

24 A. I do not remember.

25 Q. Did you e-mail Dave Kleiman in 2006?

1 A. I would have to say most likely, yes.

2 Q. Did you e-mail Dave Kleiman in 2007?

3 A. Definitely yes.

4 Q. What about?

5 A. I do not remember all the topics that
6 I spoke to Dave in 2007. I did discuss a cookie recipe.

7 Q. Why did you say "definitely yes" in 2007?

8 A. Because there was a cookie recipe
9 discussed in 2007 that was published.

10 Q. Whose cookie recipe was it?

11 A. Mine.

12 Q. Why did you discuss a cookie recipe with
13 Dave Kleiman?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: He was asking about
16 cookies.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. Did you e-mail Dave Kleiman in 2008?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Do you know how frequently you e-mailed
21 him in 2008?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Do you know what you spoke about with
24 Dave Kleiman in 2008?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

1 THE WITNESS: I do not know the range of
2 topics I spoke to Dave in 2008, no.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. Did you speak to Dave Kleiman -- did you
5 e-mail Dave Kleiman in 2009?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. From 2006 until 2009, what e-mails did
8 you use to communicate with Dave Kleiman?

9 A. I do not remember.

10 Q. Do you remember the e-mails you used to
11 communicate with Dave Kleiman?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Do you remember the e-mails Dave Kleiman
14 used?

15 A. No, I have used multiple e-mail
16 addresses, I do not try and remember them.

17 Q. In 2010, did you e-mail Dave Kleiman?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. In 2011, did you e-mail Dave Kleiman?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Do you remember the frequency of e-mails
22 that you -- strike that. Do you remember how frequently
23 you e-mailed Dave Kleiman in 2010?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Do you remember the frequency with which

1 you e-mailed Dave Kleiman in 2011?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Do you remember what you spoke to Dave
4 Kleiman in 2010 and 2011 about?

5 A. Again, I spoke with a number of topics.
6 I do not try and recollect all the things that are
7 spoken about.

8 Q. What can you recollect?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: We spoke about his problems
11 and going into hospital quite a number of times.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. In 2012 -- did you e-mail Dave Kleiman in
14 2012?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Did you e-mail Dave Kleiman in 2013?

17 A. I do not remember.

18 Q. Do you remember the frequency with which
19 you e-mailed Dave Kleiman in 2012?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Do you remember the topics you e-mailed
22 Dave Kleiman about in 2012?

23 A. No.

24 Q. None of them?

25 A. I know there were a couple of things

1 about companies that I wanted to set up but none of them
2 is not do I remember them all, no.

3 Q. Which companies do you recall speaking
4 about in 2012 with Dave Kleiman?

5 A. I spoke to him about a company called
6 Design by Human that he was supposed to set up for me,
7 which he did not end up paying for.

8 Q. What do you mean "paying for"?

9 A. To set up a company you need to pay for
10 it. The exchange of goods and services generally
11 requires the exchange of money. The exchange of money
12 is generally considered paying for something.

13 Q. Do you mean a registration?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Did you e-mail Dave Kleiman about
16 timechain, blockchain or Bitcoin in 2006?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. How did you communicate with Dave --
19 strike that. What method did you use to communicate
20 with Dave Kleiman in 2006 about blockchain ----

21 A. Sorry, 2006, no, I did not. I was
22 thinking about the last year, sorry, about that,
23 I thought it was 2012. In 2006, no, I did not talk
24 about blockchain or timechain or anything like that with
25 Dave.

1 Q. In 2007, did you speak with Dave Kleiman?
2 And when I say "speak", I want you to include any form
3 of communication; do we understand each other?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. In 2007, did you speak with Dave Kleiman
6 about blockchain, timechain or Bitcoin?

7 A. I do not remember the first time that
8 I actually mentioned it to Dave.

9 Q. It could have been in 2007?

10 A. It was either 2007 or 2008. There's an
11 e-mail that I believe people have a copy of. I do not
12 remember the date of the e-mail.

13 Q. What does the e-mail say?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: I do not remember what the
16 e-mail says. I think it speaks for itself.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. What was the purpose of e-mailing Dave
19 Kleiman?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: I wanted Dave to give me
22 some help with editing a paper.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Which paper?

25 A. In this particular e-mail that I believe

1 you are saying, the Bitcoin white paper.

2 Q. The date of that e-mail -- does it help
3 you recollect if I tell you that that e-mail is in March
4 of 2008?

5 A. Yes, I will believe that that is the
6 date, then, and the date about that was March 2008 if
7 that is what it says.

8 Q. Was that e-mail the very first time you
9 spoke to Dave Kleiman about Bitcoin, blockchain or
10 timechain technology?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: I had not been talking to
13 Dave before that point, so those topics were not
14 something I discussed with Dave before that e-mail.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. So that was the very first time you spoke
17 to Dave Kleiman about Bitcoin, blockchain or timechain
18 technology?

19 A. I do not recollect whether I talked about
20 BlackNet at any point before that, I do not know.

21 Q. What is BlackNet?

22 A. BlackNet is a research project I started
23 in 1998.

24 Q. What about?

25 A. Digital electronic cash.

1 Q. I am looking at an e-mail from you to
2 Dave Kleiman dated 12th March 2008. The subject is
3 "Forward deformation and the difficulties of law on the
4 internet". It states: "I need your help editing a
5 paper I am going to release later this year. I have
6 been working on a new form of electronic money, BitCash,
7 Bitcoin ..." And the e-mail goes on. Is that the
8 e-mail you are referring to?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection. If you want to
10 show him a document, you can show him a document.

11 MR. FREEDMAN: Zaharah, please limit your
12 objection to form.

13 MS. MARKOE: If you want to show him a
14 document, show him a document.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN: Zaharah, this is my
16 deposition.

17 MS. MARKOE: If you want to have him
18 presume ----

19 THE WITNESS: Can I see the document.

20 MS. MARKOE: ---- that what you are
21 reading on a screen is accurate and correct, then he can
22 make that presumption if you ask him to, but if you are
23 going to be referencing a document you have to show the
24 witness the document.

25 MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you, Zaharah.

1 Q. Is that the e-mail that we are referring
2 to?

3 A. Can I see the e-mail?

4 Q. Not at this time.

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection. I am going to
6 instruct him not to answer the question.

7 MR. FREEDMAN: Then instruct him and just
8 instruct him. Zaharah, either object to form or
9 instruct him not to answer. There is no need for
10 dialogue between us. We can take it up with the court
11 once you instruct him not to answer.

12 MS. MARKOE: He can answer if you give
13 him the document. He has asked for the document and you
14 are refusing to give it to him.

15 MR. FREEDMAN: Zaharah, just instruct him
16 not to answer or object.

17 Q. Does the e-mail I quoted to you refresh
18 your recollection of the date of the e-mail?

19 A. Will you show me the e-mail?

20 Q. Not at this time.

21 A. Then I cannot answer that question.

22 Q. So it does not refresh your recollection?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You cannot have
24 a recollection refreshed without showing a document.
25 That is basic evidence.

1 MR. FREEDMAN: Zaharah, limit your
2 objection to form.

3 THE WITNESS: If you wish to show me the
4 document, I will comment on the document.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. In 2009, did you communicate with Dave
7 Kleiman about Bitcoin, blockchain or timechain
8 technology?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Do you recall the method of
11 communication?

12 A. Are we talking e-mail or chats?

13 Q. However you communicated with him about
14 Bitcoin, blockchain or timechain, please tell me all
15 methods.

16 A. I do not remember all methods. We used
17 IRC. We used e-mail. We used other -- I cannot even
18 remember the chats at the time. It could have been
19 Facebook. I do not have that Facebook account any more.

20 Q. What was the account with Facebook?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: I do not remember. It is
23 been gone four or five years now.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. Do you remember the name?

1 A. Yes, Dr. Craig Wright.

2 Q. What e-mails did you use to communicate
3 with Dave Kleiman?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: I do not remember.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Not even one.

8 A. No, I had many e-mails. I do not
9 remember which ones I actually used to communicate with
10 Dave.

11 Q. Do you remember what e-mails Dave used to
12 receive these communications?

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

14 THE WITNESS: No, I do not.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Not even one?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: I do not type in things in
19 my e-mail and bring up the whole name, I have contacts.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. So you do not remember any e-mails?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: I do not remember phone
24 numbers. I do not remember e-mails. I save those in
25 context.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. You do not remember any of Dave Kleiman's
3 e-mails that you communicated with to him about Bitcoin,
4 blockchain or timechain technology ----

5 A. I even cannot tell you ----

6 Q. Dr. Wright, if you could let me finish so
7 we have a clean record, I am sorry. Do you recall any
8 of the e-mails Dave Kleiman used to receive
9 communications about Bitcoin, blockchain or timechain
10 technology from you in 2009?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection: asked and
12 answered.

13 MR. FREEDMAN: Please limit your
14 objection to form.

15 MS. MARKOE: I am allowed to preserve my
16 objection for the record.

17 MR. FREEDMAN: By form, Zaharah.

18 MS. MARKOE: And I am describing what the
19 form of the objection is.

20 MR. FREEDMAN: No, that is not permitted
21 by the local rules.

22 MS. MARKOE: Show me the local rule you
23 are referring to, then.

24 MR. RIVERO: Please show us the local
25 rule.

1 MR. FREEDMAN: Okay. We will show it to
2 you at the break.

3 MR. RIVERO: There is no such local rule.

4 MR. FREEDMAN: There certainly is. Even
5 the Federal Civil Procedure require you to limit your
6 objection.

7 MR. RIVERO: Show us ----

8 MR. FREEDMAN: No, we will do this off
9 the record because I am not going to waste my time with
10 it.

11 MR. RIVERO: I am not wasting my time
12 with foolishness. Please show us the rule. If you say
13 this again show us the rule.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Did we get an answer to that question?
16 (Pause) Do you recall any of the e-mails Dave Kleiman
17 used to receive communications about Bitcoin, blockchain
18 or timechain technology from you in 2009?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection: asked and
20 answered.

21 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

22 THE WITNESS: I do not remember my
23 mother's e-mail address. I do not remember my son's
24 e-mail address. I do not remember either of their phone
25 numbers. I do not remember friends over here's e-mail

1 addresses today. If I was asked to swear or lose
2 everything I have, I could not even give you my sister's
3 e-mail address right now.

4 Q. Dr. Wright, if you could answer the
5 question posed it would help us move forward.

6 A. I believe I did.

7 Q. No, actually, I do not have an answer.

8 A. That is my total recollection ever on
9 e-mails.

10 Q. You do not recall any of the e-mails Dave
11 Kleiman used to receive communications from you about
12 Bitcoin, blockchain or timechain technology in 2009?

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection: asked and
14 answered.

15 THE WITNESS: I have answered that.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Do you recall any of the e-mails Dave
18 Kleiman used to receive communications about Bitcoin,
19 blockchain or timechain technology from 2010 through
20 2013?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer what Dave
23 received anything on. Dave is an independent person.
24 He was never my partner. I have never had any
25 relationship that way with him. He was just a friend.

1 I have never formed a partnership. I will never form a
2 partnership. I hate the whole concept of partnership.
3 I will never be a partner. I will never have a partner.
4 The only partner I have is my wife. That is the form of
5 partnership I am in. I have never been in a
6 partnership. I do not want to know what other people
7 do. I do not care what other people do. I do not ask
8 what other people do. I do not ever go into any details
9 of what other people do.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Did you communicate with Dave Kleiman on
12 Bitmessage?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. What was your Bitmessage user name?

15 A. There is not a Bitmessage user name.

16 Q. What is there?

17 A. Addresses.

18 Q. What is your Bitmessage address?

19 A. I cannot, from the top of my head, tell
20 you a many, many character long address. That is not
21 how the thing works.

22 Q. Do you recall Dave Kleiman's address on
23 Bitmessage?

24 A. Again, no. If I cannot recall an e-mail,
25 I definitely cannot recall a 30-something character

1 address.

2 Q. Could you look the addresses up and
3 inform your counsel of them after this deposition?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: No, I could not.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Why not?

8 A. I do not have Bitmessage any more.

9 Q. When did you lose Bitmessage?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: I did not lose Bitmessage.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Why do not you have Bitmessage any more?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: I stopped using it in 2015.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Why did you stop using it in 2015?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: Because I decided to stop
20 using it.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. What did you do with all of the
23 Bitmessage communications that were in Bitmessage?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: I do not keep all those

1 communications.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. What do you do with them?

4 A. I do not do anything with them.

5 Q. So?

6 A. So they do not exist.

7 Q. In 2015, did any Bitmessages exist?

8 A. Yes.

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. What happened to them?

12 A. I do not know.

13 Q. Did you delete them?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: I wiped hard drives.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. When, in 2015, did you wipe hard drives?

18 A. I wiped hard drives all the time.

19 I worked as a digital forensic expert for a part of my

20 time. I donated my time to working on child

21 exploitation cases, etcetera. I did many of those.

22 Every time I did something like that, I wiped my hard

23 drive.

24 Q. Have you wiped any hard drives since the

25 beginning of this litigation?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: No.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. So, in 2015, you wiped a hard drive and
5 destroyed all electronic records of Bitmessage?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: I do not know whether all
8 electronic messages have been destroyed.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. Where would they be if they still resided
11 on a computer?

12 A. I do not know. Dave could have a copy.
13 That would be given on his drive.

14 Q. Let us limit your responses to your
15 drives for now. In 2015, you wiped all electronic
16 Bitmessages from all of your electronic media?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
18 testimony.

19 MR. FREEDMAN: Go ahead. You can answer.

20 THE WITNESS: My media, yes. My media
21 has been wiped. I wipe my media.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. When did you begin discussing Bitcoin --
24 strike that. Did you discuss Bitcoin, blockchain or
25 timechain technology with Dave Kleiman on Bitmessage?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. When did those discussions begin on
3 Bitmessage?

4 A. I do not know.

5 Q. In 2009?

6 A. Bitmessage did not exist in 2009.

7 Q. When did Bitmessage begin to exist?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

9 THE WITNESS: I cannot remember.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. In 2010?

12 A. I do not remember when Bitmessage
13 started.

14 Q. It started some time after 2009.

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: Bitmessage was based on
17 Bitcoin. Bitcoin was launched in 2009. Nobody knew
18 about Bitcoin prior to its public launch. Developers
19 were unable to take the technology in Bitcoin and create
20 something that they did not know existed. So, I would
21 say it would be rather difficult for someone to invent
22 something using technology that they have never heard
23 of. That is what you call magic. I do not believe in
24 magic.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. Just so you know where I am going, I am
2 going to switch gears now to start talking about the
3 various entities that have been touched on in the
4 litigation.

5 MS. MARKOE: Why do we not take a
6 restroom break now ----

7 MR. FREEDMAN: Sure.

8 MS. MARKOE: ---- if this is a good time
9 to stop.

10 MR. FREEDMAN: That is fine. Let us go
11 off the record.

12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
13 The time is 11.57. End of video card number 1, volume 1
14 of the video deposition of Dr. Craig Wright.

15 (A Short Break)

16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning
17 of video card number 2, volume 1, in the video
18 deposition of Dr. Craig Wright. Going on the record.
19 The time is 12.11. Thank you.

20 MR. FREEDMAN: Just a small housekeeping
21 matter before we get back to the line of questioning.
22 I figured out an easy way to resolve our objection
23 issue. I am giving you on the record a standing
24 objection to form to every single one of my questions at
25 this deposition, so you no longer need to object to

1 anything. You can instruct the witness not to answer
2 obviously by the court's directive, but you have an
3 objection preserved as to every single question.

4 MS. MARKOE: I do not think that that is
5 how it works, and I appreciate the effort, but I will
6 still make objections as I feel and deem necessary.

7 MR. FREEDMAN: And we will raise with the
8 court that the only reason you are doing so is to coach
9 the witness.

10 MS. MARKOE: It is not, it is because not
11 every single one of your questions is objectionable. I
12 have not objected to every single one of your questions.

13 MR. FREEDMAN: We will let the judge
14 decide.

15 Q. Dr. Wright, before we took a break -- can
16 you mark this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1.

17 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked for identification)

18 Q. -- we were discussing ----

19 MR. RIVERO: Sorry, I have a housekeeping
20 question myself. I want the citation on the rule.

21 MR. FREEDMAN: Sure. Do you know what, I
22 will give it to you in the break. I have it but I am
23 not going to waste my time on the record for it. I will
24 give it to you.

25 MR. RIVERO: Please. I want it on the

1 record ----

2 MR. FREEDMAN: Do problem, we will give
3 it to you on the next break.

4 MR. RIVERO: Please.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. I am handing you what is marked as
7 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1. This purports to be an e-mail
8 from you to Dave Kleiman dated 12th March 2008. Do you
9 recognise this e-mail?

10 A. I recognise what you have there.

11 Q. Is this the e-mail that you sent?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: No.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Why is it not the e-mail you sent?

16 A. Because this is an import into a
17 different mail server that existed at a later time.

18 Q. Is this an identical copy of the e-mail
19 you sent to Dave Kleiman?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: No, because when you import
22 something from one exchange server to another it is not
23 identical.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. Is the text of the e-mail identical?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: I am unable to say whether
3 it is identical. It looks the same.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. Did you send this original e-mail on 12th
6 March 2008?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: This is not an e-mail.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. Did you send an e-mail that looks the
11 same as this on 12th March 2008?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: I sent an e-mail that
14 contains the body that was approximately like that, if
15 not like that. I cannot say exactly because this is a
16 copy and whatever else, but that is very familiar, and
17 that would appear to be the e-mail I sent, yes.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. On 12th March 2008?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: Yes.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Do you have the original copy of this
24 e-mail?

25 A. No, the original was moved from a former

1 exchange mailbox by one of my staff, Nicholas, I do not
2 remember his last name; hence the change in e-mail
3 address.

4 Q. What do you mean change in e-mail
5 address?

6 A. The "from" address changes when you move
7 OST files within Microsoft Exchange. When you preserve
8 exchange of information but change the domains, because
9 you move companies, it alters the sort of domain record
10 within exchange.

11 Q. So, if I am understanding you correctly,
12 and correct me if I am wrong, the original e-mail was
13 not sent from craig.wright@information-defense.com?

14 A. That would be correct.

15 Q. What was the original e-mail it was sent
16 from?

17 A. I cannot remember which domains I had
18 back then.

19 Q. Do you have the OST file that was moved
20 by Nicholas?

21 A. No.

22 Q. What happened to it?

23 A. I have no idea.

24 Q. Did you not think this was an important
25 e-mail to preserve?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: No, I did not.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. Why not?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: Why would I? It does not
7 add any value to anything I am doing.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Do you recall Nicholas's last name?

10 A. It might be Desmond. I do not remember.

11 Q. D-E-S-M-O-N-D?

12 A. You are asking me to spell someone's name
13 that I can barely remember.

14 Q. How would we find Nicholas's last name,
15 if we needed to?

16 A. Look up old records on the internet.

17 Q. What company did he work for?

18 A. The question you are, I believe, asking
19 is, which of my companies did he work for, which
20 I believe he worked for Hotwire, Integers and maybe
21 Pholus, P-H-O-L-U-S. It is one of the Greek gods. He
22 was a centaur. He was a wise centaur.

23 Q. Please, Dr. Wright, if we could have this
24 discussion on the break. It is interesting but I want
25 to get us through.

1 A. Certainly.

2 Q. Do you have records from Hotwire,
3 Integers and Pholus that would enable us to look up
4 Nicholas's last name?

5 A. Unless there is something that the
6 lawyers have captured. I have never looked at the
7 records in those boxes. They were delivered to me and
8 they remained sealed until the lawyers opened them.

9 Q. What boxes are you referring to?

10 A. The boxes that they took copies of
11 documents from.

12 Q. You said you received sealed boxes?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Where did you receive sealed boxes from?

15 A. When the companies in Australia were shut
16 down, boxes of information were sent to me.

17 Q. By whom?

18 A. Someone in Australia, to do with the old
19 company. I do not know.

20 Q. I do not recall, I may have asked this:
21 the date of this e-mail, 12th March 2008, was this the
22 first time you reached out to Dave Kleiman about
23 Bitcoin, blockchain or timechain technology?

24 A. I may have talked to him about BlackNet;
25 I do not recall.

1 Q. This is the first written communication?

2 A. Again, I do not recall.

3 Q. There is a company called Craig Wright
4 R&D?

5 A. There were multiple companies. There are
6 no longer those companies.

7 Q. There were multiple companies called
8 Craig Wright R&D?

9 A. There are multiple companies called Craig
10 Wright R&D.

11 Q. When was the first Craig Wright R&D
12 founded?

13 A. In the '90s.

14 Q. When did it cease to exist?

15 A. A variety of these companies have ceased
16 at different times.

17 Q. How many of Craig Wright R&Ds have there
18 been?

19 A. Seychelles, Panama, Belize, Kenya,
20 Australia, Singapore, a couple of Eastern European ones,
21 Hungary, Hong Kong. More than that, I do not remember.

22 Q. Okay. Craig Wright R&D in the
23 Seychelles, when was it formed?

24 A. A long time ago. We are talking
25 20 years.

1 Q. When was the one in Panama formed?

2 A. About 1998. My exact recollection of
3 time is ----

4 Q. When did the one in the Seychelles cease
5 to exist?

6 A. Somewhere between 2011 and 2013.

7 Q. When did the one in Belize -- when was
8 the Craig Wright R&D in Belize formed?

9 A. I do not remember.

10 Q. When did it cease to exist?

11 A. I do not exactly remember.

12 Q. When did Craig Wright R&D in Kenya --
13 strike that. When was Craig Wright R&D in Kenya formed?

14 A. Again, I do not remember the exact times
15 on that one.

16 Q. Approximate year do you recall?

17 A. Not off the top of my head, no.

18 Q. Do you have any way to look that up?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: No.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. When did it cease to exist?

23 A. I do not remember.

24 Q. What was the purpose of Craig Wright R&D
25 in the Seychelles?

1 A. The purpose of all of these was to hold
2 intellectual property.

3 Q. What type of intellectual property were
4 they holding?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: Any type of intellectual
7 property I held.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Were they patents?

10 A. No.

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Were they trade secrets?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: Define what you mean by
16 "trade secrets". That is a very wide area. I have a
17 masters in intellectual property law, I could spend a
18 long time detailing that if you wish, but please define
19 what you actually mean by "trade secrets" or I will just
20 have to say yes and leave it at that.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Were they all computer related?

23 A. No.

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. Did they relate to Bitcoin?

2 A. Which one?

3 Q. You said the purpose of all of these
4 entities -- strike that. Did any of them hold Bitcoin
5 related intellectual property?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: That, again, is a very wide
8 question. Did they hold any assets relating to Bitcoin
9 in any way: yes.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Which ones?

12 A. Panama, Costa Rica, Australia. The
13 others I could not say off the top of my head.

14 Q. Did any of these Craig Wright R&D
15 entities hold blockchain or timechain-related
16 intellectual property?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: It is the same question.
19 Just ask me Bitcoin, because the only thing I do is
20 Bitcoin.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. So, when I say "Bitcoin", you will take
23 it to mean Bitcoin, blockchain and timechain?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: Yes. I will answer per

1 Bitcoin. I only work on Bitcoin. Some of the things
2 I do apply to any blockchain, but I do not develop for
3 Ethereum, I do not develop for other things. I never
4 have, I never will. I solely do one single system which
5 is Bitcoin.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Why did you create multiple entities to
8 hold Bitcoin-related intellectual property in different
9 countries?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: I create multiple entities
12 all the time so that I can protect my assets. I have
13 what people have called a web of companies because that
14 is the best way to ensure that when someone is doing
15 something that governments may not like, to protect
16 those assets and ensure that they remain protected.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. In what way were you seeking to protect
19 these intellectual property assets?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You are going
21 beyond the scope of the topics in this deposition.

22 MR. FREEDMAN: Zaharah, just instruct him
23 not to answer.

24 MS. MARKOE: The judge said you can get
25 some leeway with regard to entities that do not relate

1 to Dave Kleiman.

2 MR. FREEDMAN: Zaharah, either instruct
3 him not to answer or object. That is it. Well,
4 actually, do not object because ----

5 MS. MARKOE: I can explain the basis of
6 my objection.

7 MR. FREEDMAN: I do not need to hear it.
8 I give you a standing objection.

9 MS. MARKOE: But the court does. But the
10 court needs to hear it and I need to make my record.

11 MR. FREEDMAN: So write it down.

12 MS. MARKOE: So I am entitled to make my
13 record on the record.

14 MR. FREEDMAN: Okay, we are going to move
15 on.

16 MS. MARKOE: You are not permitted to
17 stop me. I will not allow you to stop me. I am
18 instructing the witness not to answer that question.
19 You have gone beyond the scope.

20 MR. FREEDMAN: Which question are you
21 instructing him not to answer?

22 MS. MARKOE: The last question, I
23 believe, which was -- if the court reporter could kindly
24 read it back to me I would appreciate it.

25 (The court reporter read back as requested)

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. Did any of these Craig Wright R&Ds
3 involve Dave Kleiman?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: No.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Did they involve W&K?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

9 THE WITNESS: No.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Did they ever enter into transactions
12 with Dave Kleiman or W&K?

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

14 THE WITNESS: They enacted transactions
15 with W&K, of which Dave was a member.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. What were the transactions they entered
18 into W&K with?

19 A. They had contracts with W&K.

20 Q. Which entities specifically had contracts
21 with W&K?

22 A. I do not remember each of the contracts.

23 Q. Which entity had the contract?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: I do not remember each of

1 the contracts.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Was the ownership of all these Craig
4 Wright R&D entities identical?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: No.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. Who owned the Craig Wright R&D in the
9 Seychelles?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: I am unable to answer the
12 "who owned" because each of the companies, or whatever
13 else I have, has a complex ownership structure. At the
14 end of the day, I own nothing. I do not own a single
15 share in any company that I know of. I do not own a
16 single disposition of a trust that I know of. I have no
17 ownership of anything, which is what you are trying to
18 get at. I have very carefully constructed something
19 where I get to direct my research and own nothing.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. So I appreciate, again, that you are
22 anticipating where I am going, but please just answer
23 the question.

24 A. I believe that was answering the
25 question.

1 Q. Actually, I just want to know who owns
2 them, not if you own them. Who owns them?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: I believe I was ----

5 MS. MARKOE: You may answer.

6 THE WITNESS: I believe I was answering.
7 My answer is I have set up something so that I do not
8 need to know who owns them. I do not know who owns
9 nChain now.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Who knows who owns Craig Wright R&D?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: I have ensured that I know
14 nothing about the ownership of the companies I am with.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Who did you make that arrangement with?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: Other individuals.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. What are the names of those individuals?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: I do not remember all of
23 their names. Those people are no longer part of my
24 life.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. Do you remember any of their names?

2 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

3 THE WITNESS: Yes.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. Can you tell me the names that you do
6 recall?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: There was a Mark in High
9 Secured in Panama.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Anyone else?

12 A. I am thinking. (Pause) No, I do not
13 remember the names.

14 Q. Do you remember Mark's last name?

15 A. No, I do not.

16 Q. Mark was part of the people that took
17 care of the ownership of Craig Wright R&D for you?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: I do not know exactly what
20 they did. People set up structures.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. You trusted these people to set up
23 structures for your companies?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: High Secured was a law

1 firm.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. So you trusted a law firm to set up
4 ownership structures for your companies?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And you have no way to get those records
7 today?

8 A. Not that I know of.

9 Q. Did Craig Wright R&D ever mine Bitcoin?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: No.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Was Craig Wright R&D ever audited by the
14 Australian Tax Office?

15 MS. MARKOE: Also, I just want to make
16 sure for the court reporter, it is High Secured.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. Were any of the Craig Wright R&D entities
19 ever audited by the Australian Tax Office?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Were they ever the subject of an
22 Australian Tax Office investigation?

23 A. I do not know what the tax office
24 investigates.

25 Q. I just want to know what you know. Are

1 you aware of ----

2 A. I do not know what the tax office
3 investigates.

4 Q. Are you aware of any Australian Tax
5 Office investigation over any of the Craig Wright R&D
6 entities?

7 A. I do not know what the tax office
8 investigates.

9 Q. So you are not aware of any such
10 investigation?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: I do not know what the tax
13 office investigates. I will not speak for the tax
14 office.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. I am not asking you to speak for the tax
17 office, I am just ask you to tell me if you are aware of
18 an investigation?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: You are asking me to
21 express awareness of a federal body's investigations.
22 I have no interest in those unless it involves me
23 personally, in which case they will audit me first or do
24 something else. I will not speculate as to the nature
25 of what a government body will do.

1 Q. Did any of these Craig Wright R&D
2 entities ever go by another name?

3 A. No.

4 Q. I am going to the next entity. It is
5 called Chaos and Non-Linear FNE & Finance.

6 A. That is not the correct company name.

7 Q. What is the correct company name?

8 A. The exact reference I cannot remember,
9 but that is not it.

10 Q. When was it founded?

11 A. I do not remember. The records will be
12 on ASIC, A-S-I-C.

13 Q. What was the purpose of this entity?

14 A. To use non-linear forecasting, which is
15 probably the word, in the creation of models for
16 determining different linear risk effects.

17 Q. Does this have to do with Bitcoin?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Does it have to do with blockchain or
20 timechain?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: Again, the same question.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Who owns Chaos and Non-Linear FNE &
25 Finance?

1 A. Nobody as far as I know. I believe it is
2 already liquidated. If not, it is in the process of
3 being liquidated.

4 Q. Who owned it at the time it was
5 established?

6 A. You would need to look at the
7 shareholding.

8 Q. How do I obtain the shareholding?

9 A. I do not know.

10 Q. Do you have access to the shareholding?

11 A. If those records that the lawyers have
12 copied has any copy, then that would have it, otherwise
13 I do not know. I have not looked at those records.
14 I do not intend to.

15 Q. Did you use lawyers to form that entity?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection: relevance.

17 THE WITNESS: You are asking whether I --
18 that would be a privileged thing, whether I used lawyers
19 or not and what I use them for, so are you asking me to
20 breach privilege?

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Dr. Wright, you have to allow your own
23 counsel to object. You cannot object as a witness.

24 A. I did not object. I just said, are you
25 asking me to ----

1 Q. I am asking ----

2 MR. RIVERO: Let me step in a second.

3 Dr. Wright, the question as posed is, did you use
4 lawyers? You may answer that, but please avoid going
5 into any communications with the lawyers.

6 THE WITNESS: Mmm-hmm, okay. I do not
7 remember.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Did W&K or Dave Kleiman ever own a
10 percent of this entity?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Did this entity ever enter into a
13 relationship with Dave Kleiman or W&K?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: It was formed after
16 Mr. Kleiman died.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. Do you remember when it was formed?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Did this entity ever go by another name?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Did this entity ever mine Bitcoin?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

24 THE WITNESS: No.

25 MS. MARKOE: He has already said that

1 this entity was established after Mr. Kleiman's death.
2 Therefore, I am going to instruct him not to answer any
3 further questions about this entity. I believe you have
4 asked all the questions that are sort of permitted under
5 sub-(2) as envisioned by the court, as I understood it.

6 MR. FREEDMAN: Your recollection is
7 wrong, but we will take it up with the court.

8 Q. I am going to move on to the next entity,
9 Cloudcroft. When was Cloudcroft founded?

10 A. I do not remember the date on any of
11 these companies. All of them would be listed on ASIC.
12 It is a public record. You can pay for it. I am not
13 going to pay for it to hand it to you.

14 Q. What was the purpose of this entity?

15 A. Cloudcroft was designed -- well, created
16 for the development of large storage in high compute
17 devices. It was so that you would have machines that
18 could hold multiple petabytes of data and process those
19 using an optical backend at high speed.

20 Q. Did this entity ever mine Bitcoin?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: No.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Did this entity ever create intellectual
25 property related to Bitcoin?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

2 THE WITNESS: The nature of Bitcoin goes
3 to what we have dubbed Metanet. That requires storage.
4 To do that, to have large blocks and to scale Bitcoin
5 requires the creation of machines that can handle very
6 large transaction volumes and eventually be able to send
7 terabyte and larger block sizes in milliseconds.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. So, did the entity ever create
10 intellectual property that relates to Bitcoin?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: I believe I just said yes,
13 even if you did not understand it.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Was this entity ever audited by the
16 Australian Tax Office?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. When?

19 A. I do not remember the dates of the
20 audits.

21 Q. Were there multiple audits?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection: vague.

23 THE WITNESS: I have accountants in the
24 past, and I have them now. They do these things. I do
25 not necessarily, apart from when I am pulled up to

1 things, go in and I definitely do not try to remember
2 the dates of when all this happened.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. So your accountants would be aware of
5 this information?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Which accountants did you use to handle
10 the Australian Tax Office investigations?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Are you
12 referring to this entity or are you referring to
13 generally? It is just very unclear what you are talking
14 about and we need to have a clear record so that there
15 are no misunderstandings.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. What accountants did you use to handle
18 Australian Tax Office investigations and audits of
19 Cloudcroft?

20 A. If you are going to ask it that way,
21 I will say I do not remember.

22 Q. What accountants do you recall using to
23 handle any Australian Tax Office investigation or audit?

24 A. I did not use accountants to handle tax
25 office audits; I used accountants to be accountants and

1 auditors. Would you like me to answer that?

2 Q. Yes, please.

3 A. During the time that we were there, we
4 used KPMG, we used Ernst & Young, we used Harry
5 something, I do not remember the name exactly, which is
6 in the records, and we had internal audit and accounts.

7 Q. What are the names of the internal
8 auditing accounts?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: I do not know what the
11 auditing accounts are, but if you are asking what is the
12 name of the person who was the CFO or accountant, at one
13 point that was John Cheshire, and we had a bookkeeper
14 Ann, and I do not remember her last name. I am sure it
15 is on record somewhere.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Is that Ann Wrightson?

18 A. That would be it, yes.

19 MR. RIVERO: Can I just say to keep the
20 record clear, I believe he said "internal audit and
21 accounts", as opposed to "internal auditing accounts",
22 although I have old ears.

23 THE WITNESS: That is correct.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. Was there anyone else that worked

1 internally as an accountant or CFO for your companies?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. What were their names?

4 A. I do not remember.

5 Q. Did Jamie Wilson ever work as an
6 accountant for you?

7 A. Very briefly.

8 Q. What time period was that?

9 A. I dealt with Jamie Wilson some time
10 between -- some time in 2012 into 2013.

11 Q. Why did he stop working for you?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: He was fired.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Why was he fired?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection. This is really
17 going beyond the scope now.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Why was he fired?

20 MS. MARKOE: I am going to instruct the
21 witness not to answer. It goes beyond the scope.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Did KPMG interact with the Australian Tax
24 Office -- strike that. When your companies were under
25 audit by the Australian Tax Office, did KPMG handle

1 interactions with the tax office?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Same question for Ernst & Young?

4 A. No.

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Did Harry, and we do not recall his last
8 name, interact with the ATO in regard to their audits?

9 A. He interacted, but that is different than
10 your former question.

11 Q. I know. Did he interact -- he did?

12 A. Interact means he communicated in some
13 way. He e-mailed, he phoned, he had lunch, he passed
14 them in the street and said "Hi". So, being an auditor,
15 I would say he interacted with the ATO many times.

16 Q. Did Harry handle the Australian Tax
17 Office investigation on your behalf or your companies'
18 behalf?

19 A. No.

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Did John Cheshire handle the Australian
23 Tax Office investigation for you or your companies'
24 behalf?

25 A. He did some of that.

1 Q. Did Ann Wrightson?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Did Jamie Wilson?

4 A. No.

5 Q. What time period did John Cheshire work
6 for your companies or yourself?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection: compound.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. What time period did John Cheshire work
10 for you?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: John, I believe, would have
13 first been about 2008 until 2015, in different roles.

14 MS. MARKOE: Can you please just spell
15 for the record how you spell Cheshire, because I think
16 it is being misspelt right now, if you remember.

17 THE WITNESS: I am sorry, I cannot tell
18 you how I would spell John Cheshire. I could make a
19 guess, but then I am just guessing.

20 MR. RIVERO: Do not guess.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Did Ray Hong work for you at Cloudcroft?

23 A. He worked in one of my companies.

24 Q. Do you recall which company?

25 A. No.

1 Q. Do you recall what he did for your
2 companies?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. What did he do for your companies?

5 A. He was a programmer and graphic designer.

6 Q. What did he program for you?

7 A. Code.

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. Did it relate to Bitcoin?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: Yes.

13 MR. RIVERO: One moment. Dr. Wright,
14 I can only instruct you. I would be happy to instruct
15 everyone else. The court reporter can only take one of
16 us at a time. You have to pause a beat to allow the
17 objection.

18 THE WITNESS: Certainly.

19 MR. RIVERO: I do not mean to single you
20 out because everyone is doing it.

21 THE WITNESS: Yes. My apologies.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Who owned Cloudcroft on its founding?

24 A. You would have to look at the records. I
25 do not remember.

1 Q. Did the ownership ever change?

2 A. I would believe so, but again you would
3 have to look at the records. I do not remember.

4 Q. Was Cloudcroft ever owned by
5 Tulip Trading?

6 A. You would have to look at the records. I
7 do not remember. I do not do the company secretarial.

8 Q. Do you have any recollection of the
9 ownership of Cloudcroft at any point in time?

10 A. I do not speculate on these things.
11 I instruct people to do stuff. I hire company
12 secretarial when I need to. I do not remember.

13 Q. Did Lynne Wright ever own any portion of
14 Cloudcroft?

15 A. I do not remember.

16 Q. Was this entity related to Dave or W&K in
17 any way?

18 A. Not at any point.

19 Q. Did this entity ever go by another name?

20 A. I do not remember.

21 Q. Is this entity still in existence?

22 A. I have not checked.

23 Q. I am going to move to the next entity.

24 This is ----

25 MS. MARKOE: Before we move to the next

1 entity, it is getting on to be about 1 o'clock. Do you
2 want to go till 1 o'clock and then I do not know if we
3 are breaking for lunch, if they are bringing lunch in,
4 what the story is, but ----

5 MR. FREEDMAN: Let us go off the record.

6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.

7 The time is 12.45.

8 (A Short Break)

9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the
10 record. The time is 12.46. Thank you.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. Did a woman with the first name of Ellen
13 ever work at any of your companies?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: I do not know all the staff
16 at my companies now, so I cannot answer that.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. You have no recollection of a woman named
19 Ellen working at your companies?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: Do you have a last name?

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. I do not.

24 A. I have no idea.

25 Q. No recollection?

1 A. You realise that I have companies across
2 the world, and I meet people all the time in my
3 companies, and have no idea about all the people.
4 I shake hands, I speak in front of staff, I do all this
5 sort of stuff and people go, "Hey, I am such and such",
6 and a year later I do not remember.

7 Q. Doctor, I am a bit confused because
8 earlier I thought you told me you do not own any
9 companies and now you have referring to your companies
10 so can you explain how that works?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: I founded them. You are
13 trying to confuse or confound people with the notion
14 that a company that I own shares of, or the company that
15 I have set up to do my research, are separate. The fact
16 that I do not own, that I have set up trusts and
17 whatever else out of my control, does not remove the
18 fact that I will call them "my companies".

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Okay. I am going to move to the next
21 entity. This is called C01N.

22 A. C01N.

23 Q. When was C01N founded?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: I do not remember the date

1 and which C01N in particular you are talking about.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Is there more than one C01N?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Please list them for me?

6 A. I do not remember them all. I would need
7 to look at records.

8 Q. Please list the ones you recall?

9 A. UK, Australia.

10 Q. When was the UK C01N formed?

11 A. Under a different name, that is either
12 Permanent Success or Design by Human or whatever else, I
13 do not remember which exactly it was, which would have
14 been 2012.

15 Q. So, why did you change the name in 2012?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
17 the record.

18 THE WITNESS: I did not change the name
19 in 2012.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. Why was the name changed in 2012?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
23 the testimony.

24 THE WITNESS: As I just said, I did not
25 change the name in 2012, the name was not changed in

1 2012. Nor did I say ----

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. How did Permanent Success Limited or
4 Design by Human become C01N?

5 A. The name was changed.

6 Q. Who changed the name?

7 A. I instructed a person in the UK to change
8 the name.

9 Q. When did you make that instruction?

10 A. After Dave's death.

11 Q. Do you have ----

12 A. I do not have the records in front of me.
13 I do not remember.

14 Q. Who did you instruct to change the name?

15 A. I have no idea.

16 Q. You said a person in the UK?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. But you do not recall who it was?

19 A. I do not have the records in front of me.

20 If it is company secretarial, then all those records
21 would have been there at the time. I have no idea.

22 Q. What was the purpose of Permanent Success
23 Limited or Design by Human when it was formed? You know
24 what, strike that. What was the purpose of Permanent
25 Success Limited when it was formed?

1 A. Is that C01N? I cannot remember if that
2 is the exact one. I do not remember which one is which.

3 Q. Let us forget about C01N for a moment.
4 I am talking about Permanent Success Limited.

5 A. Is that separate to C01N? I am asking
6 that question. I do not remember otherwise.

7 Q. I do not know. It is your companies.

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
9 the testimony.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. When Permanent Success Limited was
12 formed, what was its purposes?

13 A. What was the rename of that company? I
14 do not know otherwise. I did not name it.

15 Q. Permanent Success Limited and Design by
16 Human were both renamed?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. What were the two renames?

19 A. You would need to tell me. I do not
20 remember off the top of my head. One of them became
21 C01N. If you can give me the name that you are talking
22 about that it later became, I could give you
23 information.

24 Q. The one that later became C01N?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. What was the purpose at formation?

2 A. The purpose was to hold assets because
3 I wanted to eventually form something as a wallet for
4 Bitcoin. So a custodial wallet service.

5 Q. What assets did it hold?

6 A. None.

7 Q. Did it ever hold assets?

8 A. It never held assets.

9 Q. So what purpose did C01N serve?

10 A. I believe I have said exactly what it
11 served.

12 Q. You said why you formed it. Did it end
13 up serving the purpose you formed it for?

14 A. No.

15 Q. So what purpose did it serve?

16 A. It was there while I was creating. We
17 did not end up launching C01N as a wallet.

18 Q. So did C01N ever hold assets -- any type
19 of asset?

20 A. Hold? No.

21 Q. Did it ever own assets?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. What assets did it own?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: It owned rights.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. It owned rights to what?

3 A. It owned rights to other assets.

4 Q. What assets did it own rights to?

5 A. I would need to look up the list.

6 Q. Was it Bitcoin?

7 A. Was what Bitcoin?

8 Q. Did it own rights to Bitcoin?

9 A. In part.

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. Did it own rights to intellectual
13 property?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: I would need to look at the
16 list of what was actually deposited into that company to
17 answer that question.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Who has the list of what was deposited
20 into that company?

21 A. Unless it is in any of the records that
22 have been given to the lawyers, I cannot answer.

23 Q. So are those assets lost to you now?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: What assets?

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. The Bitcoin assets?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: What Bitcoin are you
5 referring to?

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. C01N holds rights to Bitcoin; is that
8 correct?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: No, C01N does not hold
11 rights to Bitcoin.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. What does C01N hold rights to?

14 A. C01N is a liquidated company. It holds
15 rights to nothing.

16 Q. When C01N was operational?

17 A. I have already stated C01N was never
18 operational.

19 Q. At some point in time C01N owned rights;
20 is that a correct statement?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: That is a correct
23 statement.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. When did it own those rights -- during

1 what period of time?

2 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

3 THE WITNESS: I would need to look at the
4 records. I do not know the date of the transfers off
5 the top of my head.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Before Dave died or after Dave died?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

9 THE WITNESS: After Dave died.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. When was it liquidated?

12 A. I do not know that.

13 Q. Was it operational in 2008?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 MR. FREEDMAN: Sorry, 2018.

16 THE WITNESS: The company has never been
17 operational.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Was it in existence in 2018?

20 A. I do not believe so, but you would need
21 to look at the records. Companies House in the UK holds
22 records. You can obtain them.

23 MR. RIVERO: I think the last question
24 was in existence in 2018, but I do not want to misstate
25 it. The record is showing 2008.

1 MS. MARKOE: He corrected it.

2 MR. RIVERO: I apologise, sorry about
3 that.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. In 2013, C01N was in existence?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: It was not called C01N at
8 that time, I believe. I do not know when the change was
9 made to the name, but the company had been formed.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Once it had been formed, it held rights?

12 A. No.

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. When did it obtain rights?

16 A. Again, I would need to look at the
17 accounts and records to say when rights were issued.

18 Q. But at some point it held rights?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. It held rights to Bitcoin?

21 A. At some point it held rights to Bitcoin.

22 Q. What does that mean?

23 A. The term "rights" is defined in property
24 law rather succinctly. Would you like me to start
25 quoting maybe Black's Law Dictionary on the nature of

1 rights?

2 Q. I would like you to tell me what was the
3 nature of the rights C01N held?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: It had rights. I do not
6 have the records. I cannot read the exact stipulations.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. So, in your own terms, describe to me
9 what C01N was able to do with its rights?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: C01N cannot do anything.
12 It is a legal entity, which means by itself it cannot
13 actually do anything. An individual, a person, needs to
14 direct and make things happen.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Yes, but they did so under the auspices
17 of C01N?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: Did what under the auspices
20 of C01N exactly, please? Be specific.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Should C01N have exercised its rights --
23 strike that. If an individual of the appropriate
24 authority directed C01N to exercise its rights to
25 Bitcoin, what could they have done with it?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection: calls for
2 speculation.

3 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

4 THE WITNESS: If someone has rights to an
5 asset, they can do all sorts of things. As a
6 speculative dive, someone with assets can destroy
7 assets, move assets, give them away. So on a pure
8 speculative form in the way that you are asking this,
9 what could be done? They could be made into a
10 charitable trust. They could be shot into space as a
11 certain Tesla is believed to be up there. They could be
12 given away to children's charities in Africa.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. So, how much Bitcoin did C01N hold rights
15 over?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: I would need to look at the
18 accounts. I do not know off the top of my head.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Who has the accounts?

21 A. I do not know. It is a liquidated
22 company. It has been closed.

23 Q. So, where did the rights that C01N had
24 go?

25 A. They have been moved. I would need to

1 look at the individual records to say what transfers
2 have occurred. What you are trying to ask is about
3 Mr. Kleiman. Mr. Kleiman had no ownership in that
4 company at any point. He no assets in that company.
5 Nothing of his ever transferred to that company, or out
6 of that company. He had no shareholding. He had no
7 employee nature. There was no contracts with
8 Mr. Kleiman. There was no depositing of assets, removal
9 of assets, there was nothing that he owned ever went
10 into it. A cent of his money or more never was involved
11 with anything to do with it. He did not pay for the
12 formation. He was asked to, he did not, because he got
13 sick, and that never occurred.

14 Q. Did W&K have any relationship with C01N?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: No.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. Did C01N ever mine Bitcoin?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: No.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Was C01N ever audited by the ATO?

23 A. I do not know how that would be possible.

24 If you are talking about C01N UK, then C01N UK is a

25 British entity.

1 Q. Was it ever audited? A simple yes or no
2 suffices.

3 A. I would not be able to answer that.
4 I have no idea how the Australian government could ever
5 audit a British company, and if they did it would not
6 involve me.

7 Q. You told me there is a UK entity C01N and
8 an Australian entity C01N?

9 A. And I was very specific because we were
10 talking about the UK entity, you had not switched back
11 to the Australian entity, and I answered saying the UK
12 C01N.

13 Q. So the Australian C01N, did it ever mine
14 Bitcoin?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: No.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. Was the Australian C01N ever audited by
19 the ATO?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. When did that audit begin?

22 A. I do not have the records in front of me.
23 I cannot answer any of those details.

24 Q. Where do those records exist?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

1 THE WITNESS: I have no idea, other than
2 the documents that have been handed to my lawyers. That
3 is all I have.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. Who were the directors of C01N?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: Again, I do not remember
8 which directors were directors at any particular time.
9 I do not do company secretarial. I pay other people to
10 do company secretarial. As such, other people,
11 including professional companies that were there doing
12 that, would know these things, not me.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Who are those companies, so we can reach
15 out to them?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: If you look up the records
18 on ASIC you will see a record that notes a company.
19 I am not going to pay for the record for you to download
20 one that anyone can go and pay for.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Who owned C01N Australia when it was
23 founded?

24 A. Again, I do not have the shareholding
25 structure in front of me. I will not speculate on which

1 particular company out of which one I set up was owned
2 in what way.

3 Q. Who owned C01N UK when it was initially
4 set up?

5 A. Again, I do not have the records in front
6 of me. If you are asking about either of those having
7 anything to do with W&K or Dave, zero. Dave owned zero
8 in either C01N, nothing, nada, null, blank.

9 Q. Did either C01N UK or C01N Australia have
10 ownership over Bitcoin IP?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection. He has already
12 responded this had nothing to do with Dave Kleiman. You
13 have gotten some leeway into your questions about this
14 topic, and I am going to instruct him not to answer any
15 further questions about the assets of companies that had
16 nothing to do with Dave Kleiman or W&K.

17 MR. RIVERO: It is just after one, and
18 I think we are wearing our court reporter out. At a
19 good stopping point, let us take a break.

20 MR. FREEDMAN: That is fine, we can stop
21 now.

22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
23 The time is 13.02. End of video card number 2, volume
24 1, in the video deposition of Dr. Craig Wright.

25 (Luncheon adjournment)

1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning
2 of video card number 3, volume 1, in the video
3 deposition of Dr. Craig Wright. Going on the record.
4 The time is 14.07. Thank you.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Wright. Welcome
7 back. I had one last question about C01N. You were
8 referring me to ASIC. Who has the non-public records of
9 C01N?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

11 THE WITNESS: Anything that I do not have
12 in that pile, I do not know.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. So if you did not give it to your
15 lawyers, you do not know where it is?

16 A. I have no idea.

17 Q. Whose idea was it to create Bitcoin?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: I have been working on this
20 since 1998.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. So it was your idea?

23 A. Other people have wanted to create
24 digital money beforehand. Bitcoin differs in that
25 everyone wanted an anonymous cash system. I made sure

1 that it was a legal system. I have had other ideas that
2 were different to create what is Bitcoin meant
3 blockchain and that required being different than things
4 like e-cash, in a completely different way.

5 Q. When did you decide to go from working on
6 it to bringing it public?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

9 THE WITNESS: 2008.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. In 2008, did you believe what you were
12 doing would be successful?

13 A. I had no idea.

14 Q. Did you hope it would be successful?

15 A. Of course you hope, or you would not work
16 on it otherwise.

17 Q. Did you believe it would become a real
18 alternate currency?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: I do not know; it is still
21 not a currency. I hope.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Did you believe it would become a real
24 alternate method of exchange?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

1 THE WITNESS: I always hoped.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Do you recall reaching out to
4 Louis Kleiman in February 2014?

5 A. I do not remember the exact date, but
6 some time around then, yes.

7 Q. I am handing you what we can mark as
8 Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.

9 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 marked for identification)

10 This is docket entry 83-23. Do you recognise the
11 e-mail on the second half of page 2?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

13 THE WITNESS: I recognise the printout of
14 the e-mail.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. And it says: "Hello Louis, your son Dave
17 and I are two of the three key people behind Bitcoin."
18 Did you write that?

19 A. I typed that.

20 Q. Who is the third person?

21 THE WITNESS: Is it one of those things?

22 MS. MARKOE: Okay. Dr. Wright is not in
23 a position to answer that question. He will provide a
24 fulsome explanation to the court in camera.

25 MR. FREEDMAN: Do we know the basis for

1 refusing to answer?

2 MS. MARKOE: My understanding -- and he
3 will correct me if I am wrong -- is security.

4 MR. FREEDMAN: National security?

5 MS. MARKOE: Yes.

6 MR. FREEDMAN: Of which country?

7 THE WITNESS: In this particular case,
8 the USA.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. Do you have a formal security clearance
11 from the USA?

12 A. I am not going to be discussing any of
13 this stuff.

14 MS. MARKOE: Okay, so he will discuss
15 details regarding that in camera with the court, and the
16 court will make a determination as to what parts of that
17 he can answer, if any.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Is the third person still alive?

20 A. I do not know.

21 Q. Is the third person a member of the US
22 government?

23 A. If I do not know if they are alive I do
24 not know if they are a member of the US government.

25 Q. Were they ever a member of the US

1 government?

2 MS. MARKOE: If you can answer, answer.

3 If you cannot answer, then you will answer ----

4 THE WITNESS: Yes.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. What body of the government?

7 MS. MARKOE: Answer until you feel that
8 you need to answer in front of the court ----

9 THE WITNESS: I will leave that one for
10 the court.

11 MS. MARKOE: ---- in camera.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Was Dave aware of this third person's
14 involvement?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: Again, I will leave that to
17 the court.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Was this third person aware of Dave's
20 involvement?

21 A. Again, I am going to leave any of this to
22 the court.

23 Q. Between 1998 and 2008, when you decided
24 to take Bitcoin public, who did you speak to about the
25 idea?

1 A. The idea is a very wide topic. Who did
2 I speak to between 1998 and 2008? Apart from e-mails to
3 Wei Dai and others who were seemingly public, such as
4 Hal Finney and John MacDonald and Bear ----

5 Q. I am sorry?

6 A. Bear.

7 Q. Bear? Is that a first name or a last
8 name?

9 A. That is his nickname. Also Cryptonaut.
10 If you search up you will find who it is. That is Ray.

11 Q. Ray who, I am sorry?

12 A. Do a search on big time talk and say the
13 name, but "Bear Cryptonaut", you will find it.

14 Q. This is a user name?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And Cryptonaut and Bear are the same
17 people?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. I apologise, because I did not catch
20 Bear, but I interrupted you.

21 A. B-E-A-R.

22 Q. Thank you. Wei Dai, Hal Finney, John
23 MacDonald, Bear Cryptonaut; was there anyone else you
24 spoke to during that time?

25 A. In a 20-year period there were lots of

1 other people.

2 Q. I am talking just about 10 years from
3 1998-2008?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Were there any others that you recalled,
6 besides these four?

7 A. I discussed things with Allan Granger.

8 Q. Who is Allan Granger?

9 A. He is a former partner of BDO.

10 Q. Is Mr. Granger still alive?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. When did you contact Mr. Granger about
13 Bitcoin?

14 A. I worked for Mr. Granger.

15 Q. What time did those communications with
16 Mr. Granger take place?

17 A. Between times when we were working
18 together.

19 Q. So 2008?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. When was the timeframe you worked at BDO?
23 Remind me, I forget.

24 A. 2005.

25 Q. 2005-2008. Do you have contact

1 information for Mr. Granger?

2 A. I do not know. I am not sure. He is not
3 at BDO any more. I do not know if he is still where he
4 was.

5 Q. Does he still live in Australia?

6 A. I have not talked to him in a couple of
7 years.

8 Q. When was the last time you spoke to
9 Mr. Granger?

10 A. 2016, I believe.

11 Q. At that time, was he living in Australia?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Do you have contact information for
14 Wei Dai?

15 A. Just the e-mail.

16 Q. Do you know that e-mail by heart?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Can you provide it to your lawyers?

19 A. I will just do an internet search.

20 Q. Do you have contact information for John
21 MacDonald?

22 A. Again, I would do an internet search.

23 Q. Do you have contact information for Bear?

24 A. Again, I would do an internet search, and
25 he has not changed his address.

1 Q. When you contacted Bear, did you contact
2 him as Dr. Craig Wright or in some alias?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

5 THE WITNESS: Both.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. What method do you use to communicate
8 with Bear?

9 A. Bitcointalk, IRC, e-mail.

10 Q. Do you have any of those records still?

11 A. Bitcointalk is public, IRC does not have
12 records, unless someone has captured them, and, no, I do
13 not have those e-mails, although some of them are still
14 available.

15 Q. What was the user name on Bitcointalk
16 that you used?

17 A. Satoshi.

18 Q. Do you still have access to the Satoshi
19 account on Bitcointalk?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

21 THE WITNESS: I have not tried logging in
22 in a long time.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Do you have the old credentials?

25 A. I have not even looked whether I would.

1 Q. Where would they be, if you had them?

2 A. Most likely in my head.

3 Q. Can you look now and tell me if they are
4 there?

5 A. I would need to try and see if I do not
6 log myself out. I have used a lot of passwords in the
7 past and I can remember some of the mnemonics from some
8 of them. Have I tried: no; would I want to: no.

9 Q. Did anyone else have access to the
10 Satoshi account on Bitcoin.com?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 MR. FREEDMAN: Sorry, Bitcointalk, is it?

13 THE WITNESS: Bitcointalk. Yes.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Who else had access?

16 A. Dave.

17 Q. When did Dave have access to the Satoshi
18 account?

19 A. The exact set-up time, I do not remember,
20 but we stopped using it in December 2010.

21 Q. Why did you stop using it in December
22 2010?

23 A. I was disillusioned with Bitcoin and
24 I needed to test whether I had completely fucked up.

25 Q. So did you have a conversation with Dave?

1 How did you mutually come to the agreement not to use it
2 any more?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
4 the testimony.

5 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

6 THE WITNESS: It was my account, so there
7 is no -- should not be used any more. Did I go off and
8 stop interacting: yes. A number of things had occurred.
9 WikiLeaks, Silk Road and a number of other dark websites
10 were starting to be created. The reason I created
11 Bitcoin was to ensure a form of money that had an
12 evidence trail stopped all that. And what I saw was my
13 creation being used for everything I hated and nothing
14 valid at the time, and I thought I had failed.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Did Dave share this disappointment?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

19 A. No. Dave was the reason I kept going.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN

21 Q. Did you ask Dave to stop -- strike that.
22 Did Dave ever communicate with the Satoshi account on
23 Bitcointalk?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

1 THE WITNESS: You are asking, did he
2 communicate with the account?

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. Did he ever write a post? Did he ever
5 send a message as Satoshi?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: That is a different
8 question again. Did he send a message as Satoshi is not
9 did he answer on the Bitcoin account.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. You are right. Bad question. Strike it.
12 Did Dave ever post as Satoshi on the Bitcointalk forum?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Did Dave ever send a message as Satoshi
15 on the Bitcointalk forum?

16 A. No.

17 Q. What did Dave do with his access?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: He checked what I was
20 doing.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Why did you give Dave access to the
23 Bitcointalk Satoshi account?

24 A. Because I can be hot-headed.

25 Q. And?

1 A. Dave is -- Dave was a rambunctious bugger
2 at times too, but Dave did the e-mail rule of reread
3 before you send.

4 Q. So how did his access facilitate that?

5 A. He cut out a whole lot of stupid things
6 that I would have sent to people.

7 Q. So he edited the communications before
8 you sent them?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: Not all, some.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. Did you have a process in place where you
13 would draft responses, he would review it and then you
14 would send it?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: No, there was no formal
17 anything like that.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. How did he see what you were going to
20 send to edit it?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: If you have an account you
23 can see things.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. You would save drafts?

1 A. If I was annoyed, I was able to contact
2 him and say I was annoyed before I sent something.

3 Q. Did there come a time in December 2010
4 you asked Dave to stop using the account?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: No.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. You just never called him to log into the
9 account again?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: No.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. So, do you know if he stopped logging in?

14 A. No one was logging in.

15 Q. How do you know that he was not logging
16 in?

17 A. The account has account details. You can
18 log in and have a look at those if you want.

19 Q. Do those account details exist today?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Are those public?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Did anyone else have access to the
24 Satoshi account at Bitcointalk?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Who else?

2 A. It's run on a common forum, so
3 administrators, whatever else, could have gained access.

4 Q. Administrators could view the private
5 account of Satoshi?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: A Google administrator
8 could view Google e-mail from anyone. Whether they get
9 fired for doing it is another question. You said
10 "could".

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. Who were the administrators of Bitcoin --
13 strike that. Whose idea was it to write the Bitcoin
14 white paper?

15 A. Mine.

16 Q. When did you begin drafting the Bitcoin
17 white paper?

18 A. 2002.

19 Q. Did you speak with anybody about the
20 Bitcoin white paper?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have spoken to
23 people about the Bitcoin white paper. I was on a call
24 last night doing just that.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. Did you send a draft of the Bitcoin white
2 paper to anyone from 2002 until 2007?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: It was not complete at that
5 stage.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. But did you share any form of any draft
8 of the white paper from 2002 until 2007?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. With who?

11 A. The Australian government.

12 Q. How did you share it with the Australian
13 government?

14 A. I sought funding from ITOL.

15 Q. From, I am sorry?

16 A. I-T-O-L.

17 Q. What does that stand for?

18 A. Off the top of my head, I have no idea.
19 It has been a long time.

20 Q. Do you have the records of that
21 submission?

22 A. Some exist, yes.

23 Q. Do you have them?

24 A. I know they are on ITOL.

25 Q. Is ITOL publicly available?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Can you request them from ITOL?

3 A. I do not know. I have not done that.

4 Q. Did you get the funding?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Why not?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection: foundation.

8 THE WITNESS: The government decided not
9 to fund it.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Did you share the white paper with anyone
12 else from 2002-2007?

13 A. Other people had helped me.

14 Q. Who?

15 A. In parts, I do not know. I have talked
16 to many people in the past. There are bits of things
17 that I have given over. I cannot remember all the
18 details of that.

19 Q. Do you remember anyone?

20 A. In whole, no.

21 Q. What do you mean "in whole"?

22 A. You asked me if I have sent paragraphs to
23 people and things like this.

24 Q. Who did you send paragraphs to?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Vel, I would ask

1 that you limit your questions to the timeframe of this
2 litigation, which begins, I think per your request, in
3 2006 or 2007. So, anything prior to those years are
4 irrelevant, and beyond the scope. I will instruct the
5 witness not to answer.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. In 2006, did you share drafts of the
8 white paper with anyone?

9 A. I do not know. I discussed it.

10 Q. Who did you discuss it with?

11 A. I discussed some of the concepts that
12 became Bitcoin with Allan Granger, with Stefan Matthews,
13 with a person called Joseph Vaughn Perling.

14 Q. How did you make those ----

15 MR. RIVERO: He has not finished.

16 THE WITNESS: Michael Shehadie.

17 MR. FREEDMAN: Can you spell that for me.

18 THE WITNESS: No. S-H-E-H-A-D-I-E,

19 I believe, but quote me, it could have more Hs!

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. Anyone else?

22 A. Yes, I am thinking, sorry. (Pause)

23 Sorry, I just need to -- it has been a long time. A
24 person from the Australian Federal Police, I cannot
25 remember his name, he is in the financial crime

1 division.

2 MR. RIVERO: Can I ask for the court
3 reporter, is Mr. Granger's first name Allan or Allen, if
4 you know?

5 THE WITNESS: It is an AN, not an EN, but
6 I cannot remember off the top of my head whether it is a
7 LL or a single L.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Is there anyone else?

10 A. Yes, but I cannot remember. I know there
11 were a couple of people that I spoke to when I was doing
12 some financial crime work with BDO, and it was loosely
13 about not Bitcoin but the topics in there and I cannot
14 remember their name off the top of my head.

15 Q. You showed these individuals drafts of
16 the white paper?

17 A. I had shown them aspects.

18 Q. Aspects. How did you share aspects of
19 the white paper with Joseph Vaughn Perling?

20 A. Exactly how I do not remember. I met him
21 in person, exactly where back then I cannot remember.
22 It has been a long time. I have been to a lot of
23 conferences, I do not remember each one. I think other
24 people remember more than I do, because, as I said, I go
25 to so many conferences each month that when you are

1 asking me more than 10 years ago, I do not remember
2 which particular conference or which particular paper.

3 Q. How did you ----

4 A. Likely on a tablet.

5 Q. How did you share portions of the white
6 paper with Michael Shehadie?

7 A. He is my lawyer.

8 Q. Okay. Where does he work?

9 A. Australia.

10 Q. What law firm?

11 A. Michie Shehadie and Co.

12 Q. Without revealing anything about your
13 discussions between yourself and Mr. Shehadie, why did
14 you discuss it with him?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection. If you can
16 answer that question without revealing the contents and
17 legal purpose of your communication with him, then do
18 so. If you cannot then I would instruct you not to
19 answer.

20 THE WITNESS: It was all to do with legal
21 stuff.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Did you ever consider patenting the white
24 paper?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. When did you consider patenting the white
2 paper?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection. I think we are
4 sort of getting beyond, again, the topics. This is a
5 limited deposition. Can you please explain to me how
6 that question relates to any one of these topics.

7 MR. FREEDMAN: It has to do with -- well,
8 my next question was going to be, if it was Dave's idea
9 to patent it ----

10 MS. MARKOE: I am asking about this
11 question, I am not asking about the next question.

12 MR. FREEDMAN: Zaharah, I do not have
13 time, so either instruct him not to answer or object.
14 Choose.

15 MR. RIVERO: We are asking you to connect
16 it up to the topics, and that is a fair question.
17 Connect it up if you have another question.

18 MR. FREEDMAN: It has to do with quickly
19 details surrounding Craig and Dave's partnership to
20 create Satoshi Nakamoto.

21 MR. RIVERO: Ask the question that makes
22 the connection of a predicate to why this is relevant.
23 We are not trying to stop you. Go ahead.

24 MR. FREEDMAN: I am not going to do it.

25 Q. When did you contemplate patenting the

1 white paper?

2 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

3 THE WITNESS: I considered patenting

4 Bitcoin in 2002.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. Did you consider patenting it in 2008?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: I considered patenting it
9 in 2007, but not in 2008.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. When did Dave first become involved with
12 the white paper?

13 A. 2008.

14 Q. Why did you decide not to patent Bitcoin?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: Because ----

17 MS. MARKOE: How does this relate in any
18 way to any purported partnership between Dave and
19 Dr. Wright?

20 MR. FREEDMAN: I do not yet know the
21 answer. Once I know I will let you know.

22 MS. MARKOE: You have to actually
23 establish any sort of connection between the limited
24 topics. I am giving you leeway here but this is not a
25 merits deposition on every topic that you want to ask

1 about. It is a limited deposition on ten specific
2 topics. I have given you plenty of leeway but if you
3 cannot connect how a particular question, after that
4 leeway, relates to one of these topics then I will
5 instruct the witness not to answer.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Did you speak to anyone about patenting
8 Bitcoin?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Do not answer
10 that.

11 THE WITNESS: Lawyers.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Did you speak to anyone besides lawyers?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Do not answer
15 that, except as it relates to Dave Kleiman.

16 MR. RIVERO: Can you answer that, as
17 instructed by Ms. Markoe.

18 THE WITNESS: No relation to Mr. Kleiman,
19 only to do with lawyers.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. When did Dave become involved in the
22 white paper?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection: asked and
24 answered.

25 THE WITNESS: 2008.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. How did he become involved with the white
3 paper?

4 A. That is a rather wide question. How do
5 you -- sorry, how do you become involved with the white
6 paper? Can you clarify that a bit please?

7 Q. How did Dave find out about the white
8 paper?

9 A. You have already given me an e-mail that
10 I have sent. The white paper was not public before
11 that, so ----

12 Q. Did you attach the white paper to that
13 e-mail?

14 A. No.

15 Q. So how did he obtain the white paper?

16 A. It was put online.

17 Q. When was it put online?

18 A. 2008.

19 Q. Where was it put online?

20 A. A server in Melbourne upload.ae.

21 Q. How did he find the location of the white
22 paper?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
24 if you understand the question.

25 THE WITNESS: How did he find it? Well,

1 he typed in a link into a browser and it magically came
2 from the ether of the internet.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. And he magically found out about the
5 hyperlink?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection: argumentative.

7 MR. FREEDMAN: Withdrawn.

8 Q. How did he find the specific URL he was
9 supposed to type in?

10 A. As I have been saying, we discussed
11 things over IRC.

12 Q. Did you give him the address over IRC?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. When did that take place?

15 A. Shortly after that e-mail.

16 Q. Why did you e-mail him the initial
17 communication and follow up with IRC?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection: compound.

19 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

20 THE WITNESS: I sent him that original
21 e-mail because I wanted his help. I then followed up
22 because I would chat with him live over IRC.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. How long was the Bitcoin white paper when
25 you contacted Dave in 2008?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
2 if you can.

3 THE WITNESS: The same length as it is
4 now, approximately.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. Why did you reach out for Dave's help
7 about the white paper?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

9 THE WITNESS: I was not so much asking
10 for his help about the white paper.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. What were you reaching out for?

13 A. His help in other ways.

14 Q. What were the ways you were seeking Dave
15 Kleiman's help?

16 A. I am not a likeable person. Dave was.
17 I put people off. I care about my business, my work, my
18 maths, my papers, my patents, and not much more, so
19 unfortunately dealing with people and dealing with
20 people in open source communities is something I am
21 very, very bad at.

22 Q. This was something Dave was good at?

23 A. That is something Dave could help me
24 with.

25 Q. Did he help you with that?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

2 THE WITNESS: Dave has helped me with
3 that many times in the past. The e-mail that you are
4 referencing, I believe I saw the defamation and whatever
5 is the title.

6 MS. MARKOE: Exhibit 1. I believe it is
7 right in front of you.

8 THE WITNESS: "Defamation and the
9 difficulties of law on the Internet". Around the same
10 time I was having other troll fights as I have had many
11 times, and Dave helped there as well.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Why did Dave need to review the white
14 paper to help you interact with open source communities?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

16 THE WITNESS: Dave was not the only
17 person who reviewed the white paper.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Who else reviewed the entire white paper,
20 as uploaded to upload.ae?

21 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

22 BY MS. MARKOE: Objection.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Who else did you give the upload.ae
25 address to?

1 A. It was put on a public mailing list.

2 Q. Which public mailing list?

3 A. The cryptography mailing list, it was put
4 on the Usenet sites. It was in an IRC chat group. It
5 was sent to Wei Dai. It was sent to Adam Back.

6 Q. Did Dave put it on the cryptography
7 mailing list?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Who did?

10 A. Me.

11 Q. Did Dave put it on IRC?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Was there a chat on IRC?

14 A. There were multiple chats on IRC.

15 Q. Do you remember the chats he put them on?

16 A. You have not used IRC, have you?

17 Q. I have not.

18 A. I suggest you look at how IRC works and
19 then you will see why I am sighing when you ask that.

20 Q. Did Dave send it to Adam Back?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: No.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Who did?

25 A. I already said.

1 Q. I missed it. Can you repeat it?

2 A. Me.

3 Q. When did you send it to Adam Back?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

5 THE WITNESS: 2008.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Did Adam Back comment on the white paper?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You are getting
9 beyond the scope again.

10 MR. FREEDMAN: Okay.

11 MS. MARKOE: So, I would instruct the
12 witness not to answer. You are going beyond the scope.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Did Dave interact with Adam Back?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection: foundation. You
16 can answer.

17 THE WITNESS: Yes.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Did Dave interact with Adam Back about
20 the white paper?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection. If you know.

22 THE WITNESS: I do not know exactly what
23 Dave wrote. I am not Dave.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. How do you know that Adam Back

1 communicated with Dave?

2 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
3 the testimony.

4 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

5 THE WITNESS: I spoke with Dave.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. And what did Dave say about Adam Back?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

9 THE WITNESS: Do I have to say it?

10 MR. RIVERO: Yes, go ahead.

11 MS. MARKOE: Just answer.

12 THE WITNESS: He said something along the
13 lines of, to characterise what you bloody Aussies say,
14 he is a wanker and we got the wrong person.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. What did he mean by saying you have the
17 wrong person?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: Hal Finney wrote the R
20 proof of work code that I used as a basis, not Adam.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Did you confuse the R proof of work code
23 as having been authored by Adam Back?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

25 THE WITNESS: I did not check. I chucked

1 in a reference after doing a quick search. The work by
2 Aurora et al had been implemented by a site I saw
3 referenced as Adam Back. I put that down. I did not
4 check that that did not actually work, and that it was
5 Hal Finney who actually fixed it and had it working.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Did Dave have any further interactions
8 with Adam Back about the white paper that you are aware
9 of?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can only
11 state stuff that you know.

12 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Did Dave reach out to Hal Finney about
15 the R proof of work?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection: foundation.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. Withdrawn. Do you know whether Dave he
19 reached out to Hal Finney about the R proof of work
20 code?

21 A. No, he would not need to reach out to
22 Hal Finney.

23 Q. Why not?

24 A. Because Hal Finney reached out to us.

25 Q. How did Hal Finney reach out to you and

1 Dave?

2 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

3 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

4 A. He talked over public forums, IRC and
5 e-mail.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. What did he say, in his initial
8 communication?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: He thought Bitcoin could
11 work but there would be a few problems.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Did you and Dave work on those problems?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection: assumes facts not
15 in evidence.

16 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer it.

17 THE WITNESS: There were no problems.

18 Actually ----

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Hal Finney was wrong?

21 A. There were problems but not the problems
22 he was stating. So, yes, Hal Finney was wrong.

23 Q. What were the problems Hal Finney thought
24 were with the protocol?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection: relevance. This

1 is now again, you are getting beyond the scope. I am
2 going to ask him not to answer that question.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. Do you maintain any of the correspondence
5 with Hal Finney back in 2008?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Did Dave edit the white paper?

8 A. A few people edited the white paper,
9 including Dave.

10 Q. What were Dave's edits to the white
11 paper?

12 A. I do not exactly remember. There were
13 six different versions.

14 Q. Sorry?

15 A. There were six different versions.

16 Q. When did version 1 come out?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: 2002.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. When did version 2 come out?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: I do not remember the exact
23 dates of all of these.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. Do you recall when version 3 came out?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: Again, I do not remember
3 all of it. I had multiple versions, all simultaneously
4 running. If you ask any of my staff, my document
5 management is shit. I save and then update the old
6 version sometimes and then go back to the first one.
7 I then re-edit a later one. I have people bitch at me
8 and I have been banned from document management
9 altogether by my staff, who have basically just about
10 threatened to walk out if I am allowed to touch a
11 document ever again.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Did Dave help you keep track of the six
14 different versions of the white paper?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: No; hence why it was a
17 fucking mess.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. How did you compile all versions into
20 one?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: I did not.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Who did?

25 A. Nobody.

1 Q. So how did you get the final version?

2 A. The same way I do every single time,
3 I finish up a version.

4 Q. Which is the version that is public?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: It is the one that is still
7 public as the Bitcoin white paper.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Of the six, which one was that?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: Exactly where each bit
12 came, I could not answer.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. If you had a copy of the white paper in
15 front of you, would it help identify Dave's
16 contributions?

17 A. No. More than anything else, what Dave
18 helped me with was, it is like legal things. I have
19 been an expert witness many, many times, and that is way
20 easier than being your own witness. There is no emotion
21 in talking about someone else's things. It is easy to
22 make mistakes when you are doing your own thing. And it
23 is critical to get rid of the metadata. If you want to
24 not be found, not have something point back, then it is
25 absolutely critical to strip anything that can identify

1 a document. Dave was also very good at that. Dave
2 helped double-check that all the PDFs, etcetera, had
3 nothing to tie anything back.

4 Q. Was there anyone else besides Dave that
5 you could have used to do those two functions?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: I believe there is a world
8 full of editing services, so if you are saying anyone
9 could do that, then of course there are. There are
10 commercial companies, but then if I am going to someone
11 and going, "Hey, I have this supersecret document that
12 I want you to sort of sit on", it does not work too
13 well.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Was there anyone you could trust to keep
16 it secret and who had these abilities besides Dave?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

19 THE WITNESS: Yes.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. Who?

22 A. I have a lot of friends in the computer
23 forensics industry.

24 Q. Why did you not use them?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

1 THE WITNESS: Because I asked Dave.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Was it because Dave was your best friend?

4 A. In part, yes.

5 Q. I want to direct your attention back to
6 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1, which is the 2008 e-mail. When
7 did you settle on the name Bitcoin?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

9 THE WITNESS: I thought about the name
10 Bitcoin for a while. It was actually B-i-t-C-o-i-n,
11 which I got a lot shit for. I believed we discussed
12 that sort of thing when naming. Other people over here
13 in Britain seemed to like to capitalising in the middle
14 of things. Americans think I am stupid for doing it.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. So Dave eventually talked you into not
17 capitalising the C?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
19 the testimony.

20 THE WITNESS: No, it was capitalised in
21 many places.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Did Dave prefer BitCash or Bitcoin?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

25 THE WITNESS: Bitcoin.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. Did Dave prefer capital C or lower case
3 C?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: Dave was American.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. He liked lower case C?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Did you ultimately decide on a version?

10 A. No, I used both.

11 Q. When you sent this file to Ira, where did
12 you get the actual file from?

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

14 THE WITNESS: Which file?

15 MS. MARKOE: Are you referring to a
16 different e-mail or Exhibit 1?

17 MR. FREEDMAN: We are still on Exhibit 1.

18 Q. When you sent Exhibit 1 to Ira, where did
19 you get the e-mail from to send to Ira?

20 A. That would have been on our server.

21 Q. Which server is "our" server?

22 A. The company at the time. That was
23 Hotwire, I believe. We are talking about Hotwire time,
24 so it would have been on a Hotwire server.

25 Q. Do you still have access to Hotwire

1 servers?

2 A. It does not exist.

3 Q. Does anyone still have access to Hotwire
4 servers?

5 A. I do not know.

6 Q. Are you aware of anyone who has access to
7 a Hotwire server?

8 A. No, I am not. Actually, strike that, it
9 is possible that there are copies, because we had a
10 member of staff who stole information, but I do not know
11 whether they have it still or not.

12 Q. What are the names of the staff that
13 stole information?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 MR. FREEDMAN: Potential witnesses,
16 Zaharah.

17 MS. MARKOE: I did not instruct him not
18 to answer. Are you objecting to my objections now?

19 MR. FREEDMAN: I am anticipating.

20 THE WITNESS: I would need to double-check
21 that. I do not want to go on record defaming someone
22 who has not been formally charged or anything like this.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. I understand that it is not confirmed,
25 but who do you recall at the moment as being those

1 witnesses?

2 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer,
3 if you can.

4 THE WITNESS: I am trying to remember his
5 name. There were two people in particular. Both of
6 them were systems engineers. I really do not remember
7 their names.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. How would you look them up to confirm
10 them?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: I would not.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Is there any way to find out their names?

15 A. I am sure there is.

16 Q. Are you aware of any way to find out
17 their names?

18 A. One can do lots of searches for a start.
19 I mean, there is lots of stuff about me, my company,
20 people complaining, liquidation documents, etcetera, all
21 on the internet, that would list all the staff.

22 Q. Are the names of these two staff members
23 and their potential taking of information publicly
24 available?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

1 THE WITNESS: If you consider public
2 includes liquidation files that would be publicly
3 available, then yes.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. What liquidation files would have these
6 two ----

7 A. Hotwire.

8 Q. Would Ms. Watts know the name of these
9 two individuals?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: I am not going to bring
12 anything about my wife into this. I am not going to
13 answer anything about my wife's state of mind, my wife's
14 anything. I have already noted that my family is
15 something I will not touch.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Was Ms. Watts involved with Hotwire?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: You can check those
20 records.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. How?

23 A. They are public.

24 Q. Are all of Hotwire's records are public?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises

1 the testimony.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Are all of Hotwire's records public?

4 A. No.

5 Q. When did you decide to start programing
6 the Bitcoin protocol?

7 A. Can you be a bit more specific about what
8 you are saying there. That is actually a wider question
9 and more nebulous than you seem to think.

10 Q. When did you start writing the code that
11 became the Bitcoin protocol?

12 A. Again, do you mean the node software?

13 Q. When I say "Bitcoin protocol", what does
14 that mean to you?

15 A. Bitcoin protocol is a set of rules that
16 nodes will interact by. It will be not things like
17 block size, but rather the real sets that allow a
18 transaction signed, and not settled, to chain now to be
19 valid in 20-year time. So, it is like the internet
20 protocol itself has a set of rules as well as
21 structures. So things can happen within protocols but
22 also be dictated differently in rules. For instance,
23 the limitation of HTML for Apple and Microsoft, although
24 on the same protocol, have different rule sets. That
25 could be constructed such that the rules for one miner

1 would allow something to be offered or rejected, but a
2 protocol would be the same for all systems and nodes.

3 Q. If going forward I use the word "Bitcoin"
4 protocol to refer to all of those things, will you
5 understand what I mean?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: No.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. What is the way I should refer to the
10 code and programing that became the Bitcoin client?

11 A. If you were talking about the original
12 one then I would say the node software.

13 Q. When did that turn into something besides
14 the node software?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: When did what turn into
17 something?

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Going forward, if I use the word "node"
20 software, would you understand that to mean the computer
21 protocols and codes that people downloaded and used to
22 mine and use Bitcoin?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

24 THE WITNESS: In the original version?

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. In the original version.

2 A. So we are talking the Satoshi client,
3 yes.

4 Q. The Satoshi client. That was made public
5 in 2009?

6 A. In some parts it was actually made public
7 in, as early -- the first distribution was August 2008.

8 Q. So before we get there, when did you
9 decide to start writing that node software, the Satoshi
10 client?

11 A. In 2002.

12 Q. How did you make it public in August of
13 2008?

14 A. It was given to a few people.

15 Q. Who was it given to?

16 A. Parts were given to Wei Dai.

17 Q. Wei Dai, is that his legal name or is
18 that a screen name?

19 A. I have never really asked. He publishes
20 papers under that. So he could be a pseudonym like me,
21 but the thing is he has worked for companies under that.
22 I believe that is his real name. I have never
23 physically -- actually, I have met him once, but that
24 was in the '90s, and I did not ask whether he used a
25 pseudonym or not.

1 Q. Who else besides Wei Dai?

2 A. In August, there were other people, I do
3 not remember the names.

4 Q. Did you give it to Dave in August?

5 A. No.

6 Q. When did Dave first receive it?

7 A. May, end of, beginning of June.

8 Q. May/June of 2008?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. What did Dave do to develop the Satoshi
11 client?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection: foundation.

13 THE WITNESS: Dave did not develop the
14 Satoshi client.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Did Dave edit the Satoshi client code at
17 all?

18 A. It is an open source project.

19 Q. Prior to it becoming public -- strike
20 that. When did the Satoshi client become publicly
21 available to everyone?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection: vague.

23 THE WITNESS: I am sorry, "everyone" is
24 too vague.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. When was the first time you publicly
2 posted the Satoshi client?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection: asked and
4 answered. You can answer.

5 THE WITNESS: In full, was not until
6 January 2009.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. What should we call that event so we know
9 we are talking about the same thing?

10 A. You could say the public publishing of
11 the Bitcoin node software.

12 Q. Can I call it Satoshi client so we are
13 consistent?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Did Dave edit the Satoshi client at any
16 point before the public posting of the Satoshi client?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: Him and others could have,
19 yes. Did I review whose changes: no.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. Where did you publicly post it so that
22 others could contribute to it?

23 A. It was given privately after a post that
24 was public.

25 Q. Where was the public post made?

1 A. The public post was made on the mailing
2 list.

3 Q. What was the mailing list?

4 A. It is the cryptography mailing list.
5 There are other ones as well, but that was the main one.

6 Q. Where were the other ones?

7 A. I do not remember.

8 Q. Did Dave post it on other ones or did you
9 post it on other ones?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: I do not know what Dave
12 did.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Are you aware of Dave posting it on other
15 mailing lists?

16 A. No.

17 Q. After you posted it on a mailing list,
18 you then hosted the Satoshi client somewhere for others
19 to collaborate on?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: I am not sure what you are
22 asking, sorry.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. You told me that people collaborated on
25 this open source software?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: The full software was not
3 given. I said that.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. So where did you post parts of the
6 software?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
8 the testimony.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. I am just trying to figure out ----

11 A. I said they were e-mailed or given, I did
12 not say they were posted. There is a big difference.

13 Q. Okay, so then you e-mailed Dave portions
14 of the Satoshi client; is that correct?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And he e-mailed you back edits?

17 A. No, he communicated with other people.

18 Q. Who did he communicate with?

19 A. I do not know. That would be Dave.

20 Q. How did Dave get you back his edits to
21 the Satoshi client?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: We discussed things over
24 IRC.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. So his feedback was through IRC?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. So there is no record of his feedback?

4 A. No, not that I know of. There could be.

5 It is not impossible for IRC to be recorded and kept.

6 Q. You kept no record of his ----

7 A. I do not keep my IRC chats, no.

8 Q. Do you know if Dave kept them?

9 A. I do not know what Dave did with his IRC
10 chats. If you are asking for every line of code Dave
11 changed, there would be at least 100 changes by
12 Hal Finney, there would be at least 80 changes by Bear,
13 etcetera. There would be at least 1,000 changes by
14 other people for every one that Dave did. So 0.1%.

15 Q. 0.1% of the edits are attributable to
16 Dave?

17 A. Yes. That was not the primary task that
18 Dave did.

19 Q. Is it possible that it is more than 1%?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection: calls for
21 speculation.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. You have a clear recollection of it being
24 exactly 1% of the code that Dave edited?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

1 THE WITNESS: I did not say exactly 1%.

2 And Dave was not a C++ coder.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. Could it have been 5%?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: No.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. Could it have been 2%?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: You are calling for
11 speculation on probabilities of that where other people
12 did far more code. Basically you want to characterise
13 Dave as having written a lot more of the software. That
14 is not what Dave did.

15 MS. MARKOE: Can we take a bathroom
16 break?

17 MR. FREEDMAN: Sure.

18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
19 The time is 15.04. End of video card number 3, volume 1
20 of the video deposition of Dr. Craig Wright.

21 (A Short Break)

22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning
23 of video card number 4, volume 1, in the video
24 deposition of Dr. Craig Wright. Going on the record.
25 The time is 15.20. Thank you.

1 MR. RIVERO: Yes, please, identification
2 of persons on the line.

3 MR. BRENNER: (By Telephone) Sure. This
4 is Andrew Brenner of Boies Schiller and to my knowledge
5 I have been on the line for all of the time that the
6 deposition has been in session.

7 MR. RIVERO: Thank you, Mr. Brenner.

8 MR. BRENNER: You are welcome.

9 MR. MCADAMS: (By Telephone) This is John
10 McAdams, also from Boies Schiller, and also have been on
11 the line for all sessions.

12 MR. KLEIMAN: (By Telephone) This is Ira
13 Kleiman. I have been on the line since the beginning.

14 MR. RIVERO: Anyone else?

15 MS. MARKOE: Is there a reason why,
16 Mr. Kleiman, you failed to identify yourself previously?

17 MR. FREEDMAN: Ira, do not answer that.
18 I think they asked for lawyers to make their
19 appearances. I am not sure he knew.

20 THE WITNESS: That is not correct.

21 MR. FREEDMAN: Either way, we can deal
22 with this later, obviously. He has been on the line, we
23 have disclosed it and you can do what you like with it.

24 MR. RIVERO: Note our objection.

25 MS. MARKOE: I would like to note our

1 objection and I would also like to note that Mr. Kleiman
2 I am instructing you that this deposition is
3 confidential and you are bound by the confidentiality
4 order in this case. We presume that you are aware of it
5 and will abide by it.

6 MR. FREEDMAN: Okay.

7 MR. KLEIMAN: Yes.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Dr. Wright, the A Back cited in the
10 Bitcoin white paper, is that a reference to the same
11 Adam Back we were previously discussing?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: Yes.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Is it your testimony here today that that
16 is a mis-cite and it should instead be to Mr. Finney?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

18 THE WITNESS: It should have Aurora in
19 the R PoW, that is R as in R, PoW should be cited to
20 Mr. Finney.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. In response to interrogatory requests,
23 Dr. Wright, you said that "there was an individual who
24 helped me in the very early stages of my research well
25 before the release of the Bitcoin protocol. As far as

1 I know, that individual never met or interacted with
2 Dave Kleiman." Who was that individual?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

5 THE WITNESS: No, I cannot.

6 MS. MARKOE: This is part of what you
7 need to discuss with the court in camera?

8 THE WITNESS: Yes.

9 MS. MARKOE: Okay.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Dr. Wright, whose idea was it to register
12 the Bitcoin.com domain name?

13 A. Mine.

14 Q. When did you first register that domain?

15 A. I would have to look up the date. I do
16 not remember.

17 Q. Do you still have the records associated
18 with that original registration?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

20 THE WITNESS: They are online.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Where are they online?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

24 THE WITNESS: Again, I assume you do not
25 know technical name records or how these are

1 constructed. They are public records.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. That is all right but you can still
4 explain it to me. Where are they publicly available?

5 A. Whois.

6 Q. And what information did you give -- did
7 you do it under a private Whois registration or did you
8 do it publicly, and I give identification to Whois?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection: compound.

10 THE WITNESS: There is no such thing as a
11 private versus a public Whois.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. There is no way to privately register
14 domain names?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

16 THE WITNESS: Define what you mean by
17 "private".

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Is there a way to not give identifying
20 information for the owner of the domain name or the
21 registrant of the domain name?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: Define what you mean by
24 that. You are doing a whole lot of waffly fluffy crap,
25 excuse the language, that says private when you probably

1 mean anonymous. And can you do something about that
2 phone, please, or I am going to have to throw it out of
3 a window because it keeps flashing and it is really,
4 really annoying.

5 MR. FREEDMAN: My apologies.

6 Q. What information did you give Whois when
7 you registered the Bitcoin.com domain name?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

9 THE WITNESS: If you have a look at it,
10 you will see the information from the Vistomail or
11 anonymousspeech.com server. That is provided from that
12 server and the Whois that they allow goes across into
13 the Whois that is, or was there. I do not know about
14 the updates that have occurred since.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Did you communicate with Dave Kleiman
17 about the domain name?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: Define what you mean by
20 "communicate about the domain name".

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Did you communicate with him about the
23 registration of the domain name?

24 A. I am not sure what you would be asking?

25 Q. Did you send any communications to Dave

1 Kleiman about the registration of Bitcoin.com?

2 A. I did not register Bitcoin.com.

3 Q. Who registered Bitcoin.com?

4 A. It was not me.

5 Q. Who was it?

6 A. You are now asking me who registered
7 random e-mail -- sorry, domain name dot com, and expect
8 me to know.

9 Q. Do you know who registered Bitcoin.com?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Do you know who registered
12 Bitcointalk.com?

13 A. No. I mean, I am dot org. I think you
14 have those wrong.

15 Q. It could be. Did you register
16 Bitcoin.org?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Let me ask all the questions over because
19 I may have misspoken. When did you first register
20 Bitcoin.org?

21 A. Again, that is public record on Whois and
22 I do not remember the exact date.

23 Q. Any answers you gave before about the
24 Vistomail account apply to Bitcoin.org?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

1 THE WITNESS: Yes.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Did you ever communicate with
4 Dave Kleiman about Bitcoin.org registration?

5 A. No. I registered my first domain name in
6 the '80s. I do not need help registering domains.

7 Q. Did there come a time when you
8 transferred ownership of the Bitcoin.org domain name?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: There is not really
11 ownership of that domain.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Control of the domain?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: Yes.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. When did you transfer control of the
18 Bitcoin.org domain name?

19 A. When I stopped being involved with the
20 community.

21 Q. Which was?

22 A. 2011. It was actually a little bit
23 before that that information had been handed over.

24 Q. Information had been handed over, what do
25 you mean by that?

1 A. Domain keys, etcetera.

2 Q. Who did you hand them over to?

3 A. That was to Theymos, originally.

4 Q. Did Dave Kleiman ever have the control
5 over the Bitcoin.org domain name?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

7 THE WITNESS: No.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Who is Theymos?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection. This is again
11 now you are going beyond the scope. We have already
12 established that Dave Kleiman did not have control over
13 the Bitcoin.org domain name and you can move on now.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Who is Theymos?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection. I will instruct
17 you not to answer. Beyond the scope.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Why did you transfer the Bitcoin.org
20 domain name?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection: beyond the scope.
22 Do not answer.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Who mined the genesis block of the
25 Bitcoin timechain?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

3 MS. MARKOE: Can you connect that to one
4 these topics, please.

5 MR. FREEDMAN: Formation of the Satoshi
6 Nakamoto partnership. It is literally the first block
7 of Bitcoin.

8 THE WITNESS: It is not mine.

9 MS. MARKOE: The question you asked does
10 not make that connection, so why do you not try to make
11 that connection and then we can have a conversation.

12 MR. FREEDMAN: Do not tell me how to ask
13 my questions. Instruct him not to answer or object.

14 Q. Who mined the genesis block of the
15 Bitcoin timechain?

16 THE WITNESS: Nobody.

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. I am sorry?

20 A. Nobody.

21 Q. Who programed the genesis block of the
22 Bitcoin timechain?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

24 THE WITNESS: Nobody, because that is
25 again wrong.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. How did the Bitcoin genesis block come
3 into existence?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: Answer or not? Instruction?
6 Do I answer this or not?

7 MS. MARKOE: My suggestion would be that
8 someone relate this ----

9 MR. FREEDMAN: Please do not suggest ----

10 MS. MARKOE: ---- to Dave Kleiman or
11 I will strict him not to answer.

12 MR. FREEDMAN: Then do what you will. We
13 will raise it with the court.

14 MS. MARKOE: Relate your question to Dave
15 Kleiman and whether or not there was a partnership or
16 I will strict him not to answer.

17 MR. FREEDMAN: Do what you will.

18 MS. MARKOE: Okay. Then ask your
19 questions properly related to the scope as you prepared
20 this.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. How did the genesis block come into
23 existence?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Do not answer
25 that.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. What was the first Bitcoin block Satoshi
3 mined?

4 MS. MARKOE: You can answer that.

5 THE WITNESS: Block one.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Is that also referred to as the genesis
8 block?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Is it the second block?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: It is the first block -- it
13 is block one.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Block one. Do you know what computer
16 mined block one?

17 A. I know what, out of a group of computers,
18 mined block one.

19 Q. Where was that group of computers
20 located?

21 A. Port Macquarie just outside a small town
22 called Bagnoo.

23 MS. MARKOE: Can you spell those names
24 for the court reporter, please.

25 THE WITNESS: B-A-G-N-O-O.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. How many computers were in Bagnoo?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: I do not know how many
5 computers I had in Bagnoo. I do not know how many
6 computers I have now.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. Did anyone else know about the computer
9 set-up in Bagnoo?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

12 THE WITNESS: Yes.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Who else?

15 A. Many people knew that I had a computer
16 set-up in Bagnoo. I had spent a lot of money getting
17 fibre laid into a completely rural area, that basically
18 was never going to have fibre, that opened up maybe
19 50,000 people in the community to low cost, high-speed
20 internet, because I had the whole road ripped up and
21 paid for to lay fibre to my home, the power run into it,
22 etcetera, so many would have known.

23 Q. You had a home in Bagnoo?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Is it Bagnoo in New South Wales?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Satoshi mined block one. Were you the
3 one acting as Satoshi to mine block one?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: There is no Satoshi that
6 way. I was.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. I am sorry?

9 A. I was. I used the pseudonym. It did not
10 flip round like Dread Pirate Roberts or something like
11 this. It was just me. And it was not Satoshi mining
12 per se. There was not any, other than me, apart from
13 block nine, which was then referenced by a transfer
14 I did.

15 Q. So you mined block one?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Did you also mine block two?

18 A. Relevance, please, give me ----

19 MS. MARKOE: Look, connect it up with a
20 relationship with Dave Kleiman or do not.

21 THE WITNESS: There were mining pools,
22 there were no shared mining. Dave Kleiman and I could
23 not physically mine in any way. Mining pools were not
24 developed until years after I disappeared, so there is
25 no joint mining.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. That was not my question. It was just
3 whether ----

4 A. Yes, it is, basically you are trying to
5 find out what I do and do not have, which is none of
6 your God damn business. There is nothing to do with
7 Dave Kleiman. Dave Kleiman never had a machine access
8 code. He never went on those machines. He never
9 accessed those machines. He never touched those
10 machines. Nothing.

11 Q. Are you aware of anyone else who mined
12 Bitcoin in January of 2009?

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection. That is again
14 well beyond the scope of what this deposition is about.

15 MR. FREEDMAN: To witnesses.

16 MS. MARKOE: Of anyone who mined Bitcoin?

17 MR. FREEDMAN: It literally came out days
18 ago, Zaharah; it came out in January 2009, so anybody
19 who was mining then was ----

20 MS. MARKOE: Okay. How would he know who
21 is doing what?

22 MR. FREEDMAN: If he does not know he
23 does not know.

24 THE WITNESS: The whole nature of the
25 system is that you do not register.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. Do you know anyone who was mining in
3 January 2009?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: Yes. Hal Finney.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Besides Hal Finney, was there anyone
8 else?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: No, I do not know. I did
11 not even ask Dave if he was doing it.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. You do not know if Dave was mining in
14 January 2009?

15 A. No, I do not.

16 Q. Did he ever tell you if he was mining in
17 January 2009?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection: asked and
19 answered.

20 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

21 THE WITNESS: No idea.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Dr. Wright, did there come a time when
24 you discussed Satoshi Nakamoto and the origin of Bitcoin
25 with a gentleman named Andrew O'Hagan?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection. What exactly
2 does this have to do with the topic? I presume you are
3 talking about topic 3. So can you please explain to me
4 what this has to do with any of the subtopics under
5 topic 3.

6 MR. FREEDMAN: Yes. I am handing you
7 what has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4.

8 MS. MARKOE: Please explain it.

9 MR. FREEDMAN: I will. One second.
10 I think this is 4; right? Is it 3 or 4? 3. This goes
11 to relevant witnesses.

12 MS. MARKOE: What goes to relevant
13 witnesses?

14 MR. FREEDMAN: You will see when the
15 question comes. Mr. O'Hagan himself is a relevant
16 witness if the answer is yes.

17 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 marked for identification)

18 Q. Can you take a look at page 32, please.
19 The page numbering is in the upper right-hand corner of
20 the document.

21 MR. RIVERO: You are referring to 32 of
22 96?

23 MR. FREEDMAN: Correct. This is docket
24 entry 83-1.

25 Q. About halfway down that first paragraph

1 it starts off with: "Satoshi also sent four other
2 transactions on the same day. I asked Wright who the
3 recipients were -- who the four addresses belonged to.
4 'Hal, Dave, myself', he replied. 'And another I cannot
5 name as I have no right to do so'." Do you recognise
6 this conversation?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: I remember a half-truth
9 version of this conversation.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. What was the truth of the conversation?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You are going
13 beyond the scope. I am going to instruct him not to
14 answer.

15 MR. FREEDMAN: You are not going to let
16 me find out who the name of the other person is?

17 MS. MARKOE: I am going to instruct him
18 not to answer your question which, if I can see it,
19 says, "What was the truth of the conversation?" You are
20 limited to the details surrounding Craig and Dave's
21 partnership to create Satoshi Nakamoto, in your words,
22 the general process of their collaboration, in your
23 words, the accounts that they held to collaborate
24 technological and money, in your words, methods of
25 communication they used during that period, in your

1 words ----

2 MR. FREEDMAN: Zaharah, you do not need
3 you to read the entire -- I am familiar with it.

4 MS. MARKOE: ---- and to identify the
5 computers and servers Satoshi Nakamoto used to draft the
6 white paper ----

7 MR. FREEDMAN: I am going to ask you to
8 stop wasting my time.

9 MS. MARKOE: ---- program Bitcoin and mine
10 the first few Bitcoin. Your question does not go to any
11 of those topics.

12 MR. FREEDMAN: It goes to the first one.

13 MS. MARKOE: I am instructing the witness
14 not to answer.

15 MR. FREEDMAN: Then just instruct him not
16 to answer, Zaharah. That is all you need to do and I
17 will move on.

18 MS. MARKOE: I will also put on the
19 record my objection which I am entitled to do and you
20 are not entitled to stop me from doing. As to the first
21 question, you have already identified, and he has
22 already said, he had a conversation with Mr. O'Hagan,
23 this is not an accurate representation of that
24 conversation. That is your identity of your witness.
25 You are done now.

1 MR. FREEDMAN: Zaharah, if you continue
2 speaking we are going to ask the court for more time.

3 MS. MARKOE: Ask the court for more time.
4 I am allowed to state my objection for the record and
5 the basis for it so that I have an accurate record to
6 share with the court.

7 MR. FREEDMAN: We will.

8 Q. On January 12th, 2009, did you send
9 Bitcoin to anyone?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Who did you send it to?

12 A. Hal Finney.

13 Q. Who else?

14 MS. MARKOE: Answer if you can.

15 THE WITNESS: I do not actually remember.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Did you send Bitcoin to Dave Kleiman on
18 January 12th, 2009?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection, but you may
20 answer if you remember.

21 THE WITNESS: I cannot remember.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Did you send Bitcoin to yourself on
24 January 12th, 2009?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer

1 if you remember.

2 THE WITNESS: I cannot remember.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. Do you know who is being referred to in
5 Plaintiff's Exhibit 3: "... and another I cannot name
6 as I have no right to do so"?

7 MS. MARKOE: I just want to read the
8 question back. (Pause) You can answer the question. If
9 you need a read back, ask for a read back.

10 THE WITNESS: What I will say is this
11 work of fiction -- (Witness indicates Exhibit 3) -- was
12 created because Mr. O'Hagan refused to sign the
13 non-disclosure agreement, and basically took what he
14 thought would be a great story and created one. It is
15 fiction.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Your position is the entire article is
18 fiction?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
20 his testimony.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Is it your position that the entire
23 article is fiction?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Did Mr. O'Hagan record sessions --

1 interview sessions with you?

2 A. No, and if he did so that would be a
3 criminal act.

4 Q. There are no recordings that you are
5 aware of?

6 A. If he did so, that would be a criminal
7 act.

8 Q. In January of 2009, until 2011, was there
9 anywhere you mined Bitcoin besides Bungaloo -- help me
10 please?

11 A. Bagnoo.

12 Q. Bagnoo?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Where else?

15 A. At one stage, I had mining software I was
16 playing with on my phone. It did not actually mine any
17 Bitcoin.

18 Q. Was there any other locations of
19 computers that you mine Bitcoin in?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Only Bungaloo?

22 A. Bagnoo.

23 Q. Bagnoo. Only Bagnoo?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. That started in January 2009. When did

1 you stop, if ever, mining Bitcoin in Bagnoo?

2 A. I stopped everything to do with Bagnoo in
3 December.

4 Q. Of?

5 A. 2010. Or probably not everything to do
6 with because I still owned part of the property and
7 whatever else, but I was not doing any IT stuff there at
8 all.

9 MR. RIVERO: I want to note that we are
10 giving a lot of leeway, even though there is already
11 testimony disconnecting the subject of these questions
12 from Dave Kleiman. But go ahead with your next
13 question.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. In December of 2010 -- strike that. Did
16 you stop mining entirely in December of 2010?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Where did the mining continue?

19 A. The mining restarted later, by me, with
20 pools that I now run.

21 Q. When did that start up?

22 A. 2016 on.

23 Q. So is it your testimony here today that
24 from December of 2010 until the mining pools in 2016,
25 you never mined Bitcoin?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: That is not correct. I did
3 not earn any Bitcoin because running a node in certain
4 configurations means that you are also mining.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. Okay. So ----

7 A. Running testnet is also mining. This is
8 not public Bitcoin.

9 Q. So as I understand it, from December of
10 2010, until 2016, you never earned the mining reward for
11 mining a block of Bitcoin; is that correct?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

13 THE WITNESS: That is correct.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. At any point in time, was Dave involved
16 in the mining that took place in Bagnoo from 2009 until
17 to 2010?

18 A. Nobody was ever involved in that.

19 Q. Approximately how much Bitcoin were mined
20 from 2009 ----

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection. I am going to
22 instruct you not to answer.

23 MR. RIVERO: I instruct you not to
24 answer.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. I am going to ask you another question.
2 Your attorneys may instruct you not to answer so take a
3 second ----

4 MS. MARKOE: Do not ask it.

5 MR. FREEDMAN: I do not think you are
6 right to instruct him not to answer but I am going to
7 ask it.

8 Q. Do you know the amount of Bitcoin that
9 was mined from 2009 until 2010 in Bagnoo?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 MR. RIVERO: Same instruction.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Have you ever mined Bitcoin out of
14 Australia?

15 A. No.

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

17 THE WITNESS: Well, back then, no. Now,
18 the pools are outside of Australia, but that is 2016 on.
19 So, when I say no to mining or anything like this,
20 I will just make it clear now I am talking about before
21 2016.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. I understand. Thanks for the
24 clarification. I know we understood each other, but it
25 is important that the record is clear.

1 A. I got told to make sure I am clear,
2 so ----

3 Q. From 2009 until 2010, when you were
4 mining in Bagnoo, was that a full-time job for you?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: It was not a job at all.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. Did it take any time?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: Sneezing takes time.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. Touché. Did it take a significant amount
13 of your time?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: No.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Did you discuss the details of your
18 mining activity with Dave Kleiman?

19 A. Define what you mean by "discuss the
20 details of my mining activity".

21 Q. Did you discuss the Bagnoo computers and
22 servers with Dave Kleiman?

23 A. No.

24 Q. To the best of your recollection, did
25 Dave Kleiman have any knowledge of the mining you were

1 doing in Bagnoo?

2 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

3 THE WITNESS: Yes, he did.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. How did he come to find out about that?

6 A. He knew I had a property in Bagnoo and
7 that I was running Bitcoin nodes.

8 Q. Did you discuss the amount of Bitcoin you
9 had amassed with Dave Kleiman?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Again, I am
11 going to instruct the witness not to answer these
12 questions. You are now going well beyond the scope of
13 what is permitted in this deposition.

14 MR. FREEDMAN: Communication between him
15 and Dave Kleiman.

16 MS. MARKOE: You are not asking about
17 every communication that he had between himself and Dave
18 Kleiman. That is not one of your topics. Look at your
19 topics again. This is not a merits deposition. That
20 has been made very clear by the court.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Did Dave Kleiman mine any of the first 50
23 Bitcoin ----

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
25 if you know.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. ---- blocks.

3 A. Thank you for the clarification,
4 otherwise I would have to say no, because no one mined
5 the first 50 Bitcoin.

6 Q. I saw that look.

7 A. I cannot help rolling my eyes,
8 I apologise. I do not know.

9 Q. Did there come a time when Dave Kleiman
10 began mining Bitcoin?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. When did he begin mining Bitcoin?

13 A. I do not know.

14 Q. Do you know approximately when he began
15 mining Bitcoin?

16 A. I did not ask him.

17 Q. Do you know what computer he used to mine
18 Bitcoin?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Do you know what hardware he used to mine
21 Bitcoin?

22 A. Not really, no.

23 Q. How do you know that he eventually began
24 mining Bitcoin?

25 A. Because eventually we spoke about it and

1 he had told me he had mined Bitcoin.

2 Q. Where did that communication take place?

3 A. Most of my communications, including this
4 one, were on IRC.

5 Q. There is no record of it?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that one, I
8 do not know.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. You have no record of it?

11 A. I do not have any records of many of
12 these things, as I have already stated. That is why
13 I used IRC.

14 Q. Do you know if Dave Kleiman ever used
15 cloud computing to mine Bitcoin?

16 A. I do not know -- actually, we are talking
17 about a period where nobody used cloud computing to mine
18 Bitcoin. There was no cloud computing to mine Bitcoin
19 at that stage. Pool software did not exist. The person
20 who created some of the first pool software was after
21 I disappeared the first time, and ----

22 MR. RIVERO: Please finish.

23 THE WITNESS: ---- that person had
24 nothing to do with Dave or anything like that, and
25 created pool mining and I am sorry to tell you that

1 there was no cloud mining at that stage.

2 MR. RIVERO: Just to make sure the record
3 is clear, I heard at line 23 of the prior page, I heard
4 "many". I think it is transcribed as "any". I just
5 would like clarification.

6 MR. FREEDMAN: It is the hour.

7 MR. RIVERO: I am looking at the record
8 to make sure.

9 MR. FREEDMAN: It is rough.

10 Q. Do you know how Dave stored any of the
11 Bitcoins he mined?

12 A. No.

13 Q. And remind me, I do not recall if I asked
14 this, do you have any idea when Dave began mining
15 Bitcoin?

16 A. No, I do not.

17 Q. Was it before 2011?

18 A. I do not know.

19 Q. Do you recall when he told you he had
20 began mining Bitcoin?

21 A. Not exactly, no.

22 Q. Was it early on, after ----

23 A. It would have been early on, yes.

24 Q. Did Dave ever share the private keys of
25 Bitcoin with you?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: You do not share private
3 keys, ever.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. So is the answer no?

6 A. The answer is no.

7 Q. Did you ever share private keys with
8 Dave?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection: asked and
10 answered.

11 THE WITNESS: I do not share private keys
12 with my wife.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. What is a paper wallet?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection. I am going to
16 give you a little bit of leeway here, but again we are
17 going beyond the scope of this deposition. You get
18 another shot at him. I will certainly instruct him, if
19 you continue along these lines, to not answer those
20 questions at the later deposition, if you get answers to
21 them now.

22 THE WITNESS: A paper wallet is a key
23 that is printed on a piece of paper.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. Have you ever used a paper wallet?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Did you ever use a paper wallet with Dave
3 Kleiman?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: Please explain what you
6 actually mean in that fluffy nebulous sentence.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. Did you ever exchange a printed -- strike
9 that. Did you ever exchange a key that is printed on a
10 piece of paper with Dave Kleiman?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: Mr. Kleiman and I had been
13 in the country together once since the creation of
14 Bitcoin, where neither of us handed over any Bitcoin on
15 paper wallets, neither of us handed pieces of paper
16 together as we were drinking and getting drunk, to each
17 other on that day, and the value of the entire Bitcoin
18 market at that stage, when we got together, was,
19 I think, about \$100, which was millions of Bitcoin, for
20 the entire value \$100 was it. So, did I hand him a
21 piece of paper when we were in foreign countries: I do
22 not know how I could possibly do that.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. I am going to ask you just concisely, did
25 you ever exchange a key that is printed on a piece of

1 paper with Dave Kleiman in any way?

2 MS. MARKOE: Objection. I am going to
3 instruct the witness not to answer at this point. We
4 have given you a lot of leeway. These questions are not
5 going to subjects 3 or 4 which are the only ones I could
6 possibly see any relevance to. If you would like to
7 explain how they relate to those topics or any other
8 topics, I would reconsider my objection, but at this
9 point in time I just do not see it.

10 MR. FREEDMAN: It goes to the methods --
11 it goes to 4.

12 MS. MARKOE: Explain how whether he ever
13 exchanged a paper wallet goes to 4. 4 relates to mining
14 of Bitcoins, not paper wallets. There is a distinction.

15 MR. FREEDMAN: It goes to the general
16 process of their collaboration.

17 MS. MARKOE: We have already established
18 that there was no mining together.

19 MR. FREEDMAN: I am just trying to figure
20 out whether or not they collaborated in another way.

21 MS. MARKOE: How does one collaborate in
22 terms of holding a paper wallet or sharing information
23 about a paper wallet? I am instructing the witness not
24 to answer. You can move on.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. Did you ever use any other methods for
2 the offline exchange of Bitcoins with Dave?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: Again, I did not exchange
5 Bitcoins with Dave the way you are suggesting. The way
6 that you exchange Bitcoin is you a send transaction from
7 one address to another. I would never exchange private
8 keys with Dave.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. Did you ever send Bitcoin to public
11 addresses that you knew controlled?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: I do not know what
14 addresses Dave controlled, so how could I do that?

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Did Dave ever provide you with public
17 addresses that he controlled?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

19 THE WITNESS: One.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. Which one?

22 A. I do not remember.

23 Q. Why did he provide it to you?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: It was for testing.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. When did he provide it to you?

3 A. 2009.

4 Q. What were you testing?

5 A. Testnet.

6 Q. What is testnet?

7 A. Testnet is the development version of
8 Bitcoin, that runs alongside, that we had our own
9 version of in the world to create a test of Bitcoin.
10 So, when I am saying "we" there, I mean the Bitcoin
11 community. I started with my own multiple versions,
12 which I firewalled off, and eventually they became a
13 public testnet which was a second version of Bitcoin,
14 you could say, but a valueless version of Bitcoin that
15 was easier to mine, so you could test the software and
16 the code.

17 Q. Who had access to testnet?

18 A. Everyone.

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: It is possible.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. And Dave's public address was a testnet
23 address.

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
25 the testimony.

1 THE WITNESS: Testnet addresses are real
2 addresses and vice-versa, blah, blah, blah. There is no
3 distinction.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. What were the primary ways Satoshi
6 Nakamoto communicated with people?

7 A. Which people?

8 Q. What is the primary way Satoshi Nakamoto
9 communicated?

10 A. Again, which people? So, how did
11 I communicate? Which people? I mean, if you are
12 getting the "I am Satoshi", then that could be anything.
13 I primarily communicate with people without saying I am
14 Satoshi and I walk up like I am now and I open my mouth
15 and words come out.

16 Q. I understand that. When I say Satoshi
17 Nakamoto now, I am referring to the internet presence of
18 Satoshi Nakamoto.

19 A. So you mean the pseudonym?

20 Q. The pseudonym.

21 A. Can you please be explicit in saying
22 that.

23 Q. What was the primary way in which you
24 communicated through the pseudonym?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

1 THE WITNESS: Well, by definition, the
2 pseudonym was primarily done depending on which one it
3 was, by e-mail, or the Bitcoin forums, or the P2P
4 forums, or those other things. So, it depends on which
5 one you are talking about, but online things that are
6 there, so ----

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. What are the e-mail addresses that you
9 used as the pseudonym Satoshi Nakamoto?

10 A. They are all public. The GMX account and
11 the Vistomail accounts are all public.

12 Q. Please state them for the record.

13 MS. MARKOE: If you recall.

14 THE WITNESS: I do not remember which one
15 is Satoshi or Satoshi N off the top of my head. I have
16 not used them in years. I do not look at them any more.
17 And I am not going to even try and think about it. They
18 are there. Everyone knows them. Look it up.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Was there a third account?

21 A. Used for public communications?

22 Q. What was that third account?

23 A. There was not a third -- sorry. What
24 third account? I did not say there was a third account.

25 Q. I asked if there was a third -- strike

1 that. It may be I misunderstood your answer. Did
2 Satoshi Nakamoto, the pseudonym, have a third e-mail
3 account, besides GMX and Vistomail?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Answer if you
5 can recall.

6 THE WITNESS: I used multiple e-mail
7 accounts.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Can you list what they were?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: No.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Did you use an e-mail account
14 satoshi@anonymousspeech.com?

15 A. Yes, that one sounds about right.

16 Q. Do you still have access to these
17 accounts?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection: vague.

19 THE WITNESS: As I stated earlier, no.
20 I stopped accessing them a long time.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Do you have the ability to access these
23 accounts?

24 A. I very much doubt it.

25 Q. Why do you doubt it?

1 A. Because GMX has been compromised and the
2 other one has been compromised. They have been reset
3 over time. So, I do not actually want to access them.

4 Q. Have you tried to access them?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Does that go for the GMX account, the
7 Vistomail account and the Anonymous Speech accounts?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Do you know who has control over these
10 accounts now?

11 A. No. If anyone.

12 Q. Did there come a time when you provided
13 Dave Kleiman with access to any of these accounts?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Which accounts?

16 A. Dave was given the GMX account access for
17 a little while.

18 Q. When was he given access to the account?

19 A. I do not remember exactly.

20 Q. Who gave him access to the account?

21 A. If I am the person with it, then it has
22 to be me.

23 Q. You gave him access to the GMX account?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Why?

1 A. Because I asked him to check it for me.

2 Q. For what?

3 A. To read an e-mail.

4 Q. Which e-mail?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
6 if you recall.

7 THE WITNESS: I do not recall which
8 e-mail.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. How many times did Dave access the GMX
11 account?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection: foundation.

13 THE WITNESS: How many hairs do you have
14 in your beard?

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Did you provide access to anyone else --
17 strike that. Did anyone else -- strike that. Did you
18 ever give anyone else access to the GMX account?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Did you ever give anyone else access to
21 the Vistomail account?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Who?

24 A. I think the only other person who had
25 access at that stage for a little bit was Uyen.

1 Q. Can you spell that?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Is that Uyen Nguyen?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Who gave Uyen access to the Vistomail
6 account?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection: asked and
8 answered.

9 THE WITNESS: I am not sure of the exact
10 details. It was Uyen -- what is his name -- I cannot
11 remember his name -- the old Japanese guy, the one that
12 they pulled up as Satoshi?

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Dorian.

15 A. Dorian, that is it. Sorry, I had
16 forgotten his name. I instructed people to send a
17 message saying "I am not Dorian" because he was getting
18 a lot of shit.

19 Q. You instructed Uyen Nguyen to do that?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And provided her with the log in
22 credentials?

23 A. I gave her some, yes.

24 Q. Did you ever provide anyone else with
25 access to the Anonymous Speech account?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Did you ever use these accounts to
3 communicate with Dave Kleiman?

4 A. I do not remember.

5 Q. Did you ever ask Dave Kleiman to stop
6 accessing the GMX account?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Even when you stepped back from the
9 community you still did not ask him to stop accessing
10 the account?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: It was not used at that
13 stage -- by anybody.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. You trusted Dave Kleiman?

16 A. Dave was my friend.

17 Q. Best friend?

18 A. Fairly much, yes.

19 Q. Did you trust Uyen Nguyen?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection: you are going
21 beyond the scope. I am going to instruct him not to
22 answer.

23 MR. FREEDMAN: We agree for once!

24 MS. MARKOE: I am sorry. What?

25 MR. FREEDMAN: We agree for once!

1 BY MS. MARKOE: That is a miracle in and
2 of itself.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. After the creation of Bitcoin, what was
5 the first Bitcoin-related intellectual property you
6 worked on with Dave?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection: assumes facts not
8 in evidence.

9 THE WITNESS: I did not ever work on
10 Bitcoin IP with Dave.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. Did you ever work on any intellectual
13 property with Dave?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. What were those projects, in short? What
16 were the names? Strike that. What were the names of
17 those projects?

18 A. SWAMP, software assurance marketplace.
19 Basically, there are a number of projects that are all
20 public and all have had their papers published.

21 Q. Is one of them a metered payment system?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Do you know what I am referring to when
24 I say a metered payment system?

25 A. I know what a metered payment system is.

1 Q. Is there value to intellectual property
2 about a metered payment system?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection. I am going to
4 instruct the witness not to answer. He has already
5 stated he did not create any intellectual property with
6 Dave Kleiman on metered payment systems and therefore
7 any discussion of that would go beyond the scope of this
8 deposition.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. Did you collaborate with Dave Kleiman on
11 intellectual property entitled "Software Derivative
12 Markets and Information Security Risk Systems"?

13 A. I did not collaborate with Dave on
14 anything. I created software and Dave, who was a vet,
15 was able to try and file, so that he would have had some
16 money to help him out. Dave was not a mathematician.
17 Dave had no knowledge of that area, so there was no
18 collaboration at all in that way for research.

19 Q. Did there come a time when Dave Kleiman
20 took two millions lines of code and turned it into six
21 million lines of code?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection. But you can
23 answer if you recall.

24 THE WITNESS: No one could actually do
25 that. Four million lines of code would be approximately

1 4,000 years worth of work.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. So, Dave Kleiman never turned two
4 millions lines of code into six million lines of code;
5 is that your testimony?

6 A. No person can change four million
7 themselves into six million.

8 Q. Did Dave Kleiman cause two million lines
9 of code to turn into six million lines of code?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: Is Dave, in your
12 assumption, a wizard?

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. If you could just answer the question,
15 Dr. Wright.

16 A. I believe I just did.

17 Q. The answer is no?

18 A. The answer is unless he is already 4,000
19 years old and he started coding at the time of the sort
20 of exodus or whatever else, then probably not.

21 Q. Was it possible he supervised the
22 creation of four million lines of code?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

24 THE WITNESS: Yes.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. Did he supervise the creation of four
2 million lines of code?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
4 if you know.

5 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Whose idea was it to create W&K US?

8 A. Dave's.

9 Q. How did that idea get initially
10 communicated to you by Dave?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

12 THE WITNESS: I do not really remember.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Was anyone else involved in the initial
15 communications about W&K?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Who?

18 A. My ex-wife.

19 Q. What was the purpose of starting W&K?

20 A. Dave was a vet. As a vet, he was able to
21 theoretically access funding from the US government.
22 I was working on a number of different projects that
23 aligned with what the Department of Homeland Security
24 was seeking to be developed. I said I would aid Dave
25 because he was in a bit of trouble, and that we could do

1 a few different projects together, including that, that
2 would enable him to hopefully get some money to be able
3 to work less, as he was in the hospital.

4 Q. What was your involvement in W&K?

5 A. Very little.

6 Q. How much was your involvement? What was
7 your involvement in W&K?

8 A. Talking about it and then going off and
9 writing some papers, full stop.

10 Q. Did you have any ownership in W&K?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Who owned W&K?

13 A. The records for W&K exist. I do not know
14 if the records are accurate.

15 Q. Who owned W&K in reality?

16 A. Not me.

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Who?

20 A. Who owns BHP Billiton in reality? It is
21 not my company. I do not care.

22 Q. You have no idea who owns W&K?

23 A. I do not know that.

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: If I do not own it, I do

1 not care about it.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Did W&K ever mine Bitcoin?

4 A. I do not know what other companies that
5 are not mine do.

6 Q. Did you ever tell anyone that W&K mined
7 Bitcoin?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
9 if you remember.

10 THE WITNESS: I have no idea.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. Is there a reason you would have told
13 somebody why W&K mined Bitcoin?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection. If he does not
15 know if he has told anyone then the question lacks a
16 predicate.

17 MR. FREEDMAN: He does not recall. I am
18 asking if there is a reason why he might have said it.

19 MS. MARKOE: Answer if you can, but I am
20 objecting.

21 THE WITNESS: The nature of Bitcoin is a
22 predicate-based system. It either fails true or false.
23 If it is true, a transaction is valid. If it is false,
24 it is rejected and never talked of again. This will be
25 never talked of again.

1 Can we have a break in a moment?

2 MR. FREEDMAN: Absolutely. Let us take
3 one now.

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
5 The time is 16.14. End of video card number 4, volume
6 1, in the video deposition of Dr. Craig Wright.

7 (A Short Break)

8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning
9 of video card number 5, volume 1, in the video
10 deposition of Dr. Craig Wright. Going back on the
11 record. The time is 16.29. Thank you.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Do you feel better, Dr. Wright, for the
14 break?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: Mmm-hmm, definitely.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. Did W&K ever work on Bitcoin IP?

19 A. What do you mean by did W&K ever work on
20 Bitcoin IP?

21 Q. Did the company W&K ever work with you in
22 any way on Bitcoin IP?

23 A. No.

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. Did you work with W&K on any IP?

2 A. No. I did not work with W&K at all.

3 Q. Did you create intellectual property
4 called a metered payment system?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Did you create intellectual property
7 called Software Derivative Markets and Information
8 Security Risk Systems?

9 A. Yes.

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. Do you know who created a metered payment
13 system's intellectual property?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection. I am going to
15 instruct the witness not to answer. It has no relevance
16 to either Dave Kleiman, W&K or even the witness. He
17 said he had not create that intellectual property called
18 a metered payment system.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Did Dave Kleiman create a metered payment
21 system?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Answer if you
23 know.

24 THE WITNESS: I do not know what Dave
25 Kleiman created.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. The intellectual property Software
3 Derivative Markets and Information Security Risk
4 Systems, is it valuable?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: It is put out open source
7 and the paper was published in an academic conference.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Does that mean it has no private value?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
11 if you can.

12 THE WITNESS: I am not an IP valuer.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Do you have a claim to it, a title, a
15 patent?

16 A. No. It is public.

17 Q. Did you create intellectual property
18 called Software Assurance Marketplace?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Who has title to this intellectual
21 property now?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: Public domain.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. Did you create intellectual property

1 called Software Assurance Through Economic Measures and
2 Anti-Fraud System?

3 THE WITNESS: Yes.

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. Who has title to it now?

7 A. Public domain.

8 Q. Did you create intellectual property
9 called Risk Quantification System for Financial
10 Modelling in Bitcoin?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Look, can you
12 tell me what topics this relates to?

13 MR. FREEDMAN: The collaboration in W&K.

14 MS. MARKOE: But you have not connected.
15 You are asking has he created things. Connect it to W&K
16 or I will instruct him not to answer.

17 MR. RIVERO: Let me stop one second.
18 What topic about the collaboration on W&K? I see W&K
19 referred to in a couple of spots. Which topic?

20 MR. FREEDMAN: You know what, we will
21 look it up and we will get back to you after the break
22 because I do not want to just waste time on the record
23 for now. We will skip it.

24 THE WITNESS: Can I just say there have
25 been no collaborations.

1 BY MR. RIVERO: I just want to say very
2 inefficient to do a series of questions and when you ask
3 you specifically what topics so we can figure it out, it
4 is not there. That is the problem. Just as the rule
5 that you referred to is not there. You are wasting
6 time. Let us get going.

7 MR. FREEDMAN: No, we are not going to
8 keep going because I am going to respond to that on the
9 record.

10 MR. RIVERO: State the rule.

11 MR. FREEDMAN: I told you it is rule 30
12 of the Rule of Civil Procedure and the local rule 30.1
13 which was amended and specifically stated that this was
14 not meant to take away the fact that you cannot lead the
15 witness. There are many court opinions on point which
16 say you cannot make speaking objections because it leads
17 the witness. Second of all ----

18 MR. RIVERO: Let me respond to that.

19 MR. FREEDMAN: No, no, I am responding
20 to everything.

21 MR. RIVERO: Let me respond to number 1.
22 Despite repeated statements on the record that a local
23 rule prohibited ----

24 MR. FREEDMAN: It does.

25 MR. RIVERO: ---- any statement beyond

1 form, you are entirely wrong. I have asked repeatedly.
2 There is no rule, it is not in the discovery handbook
3 and the case authority is definitely not the way you
4 describe it. So let us keep going. Number two.

5 MR. FREEDMAN: I am not going to waste my
6 time but I will tell you the case authority you can look
7 up.

8 MR. RIVERO: That already answers that
9 when you stated there was a rule prohibiting it you were
10 absolutely wrong.

11 MR. FREEDMAN: No, that is not true. It
12 is cites ----

13 MR. RIVERO: It is not in 30.1, it is not
14 in 30, it is not in the discovery handbook.

15 MR. FREEDMAN: It certainly is.

16 MR. RIVERO: No, it is not.

17 MR. FREEDMAN: Let us just put it on the
18 record. It is Flexiteek Americas Inc v Plastique Inc.

19 MR. RIVERO: We are now way off in case
20 law which is in dispute.

21 MR. FREEDMAN: The citation would be 2009
22 WL 10667524.

23 THE WITNESS: I am the only person with a
24 British legal degree in ----

25 THE COURT REPORTER: You are both

1 speaking at the same time. I cannot do that.

2 MR. FREEDMAN: That is all right. The
3 videographer got it. That is all I needed. You will
4 get it later.

5 MR. RIVERO: I object to the process that
6 has been conducted. Next question.

7 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 marked for identification)

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. I have handed you what we have marked as
10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. Can you go to the bottom,
11 please, of page 4. Do you recognise what this document
12 is?

13 A. Yes. This was a document put together by
14 staff at one of my companies.

15 Q. Did you send this document to
16 Ira Kleiman?

17 A. I presume so, seeing as it is chronology
18 of Craig Wright to Ira K.

19 Q. Can you look at the bottom of page 4,
20 where it says: "There is a lot of IP and 'stuff' in the
21 mix. All up, it's about a hundred million dollars'
22 worth. This IP originates in work CSW has been doing
23 for more than 10 years; it originates in things that
24 came from W&K; it has to do with the software acquired."
25 Do you see that?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Can you re-point
2 us to where you are.

3 MR. FREEDMAN: Bottom of page 4.

4 MS. MARKOE: Bottom of page 4.

5 MR. FREEDMAN: Last paragraph, page 4.

6 MS. MARKOE: Okay. I will just state
7 that the document speaks for itself. I was unable to
8 track what you were saying, so the document will speak
9 for itself.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Can you break down that \$100 million
12 worth for me into the three buckets that you have put
13 forward in that chronology: the work you have been doing
14 for 10 years, the work that originates from W&K and the
15 software acquired?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: I did not put forth the
18 chronology.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. So is it inaccurate?

21 A. Yes. You will note that work for more
22 than 10 years and originates in W&K, which was not 10
23 years old, so therefore there is a discrepancy in that
24 very sentence you are pointing out. I did not create
25 the document.

1 Q. So was there not \$100 million worth of IP
2 in the mix?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: Which mix?

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. It says "'stuff' in the mix". You tell
7 me.

8 A. What is the mix?

9 Q. I am asking you, it is your staff that
10 did it. What did they mean by "IP and 'stuff' in the
11 mix"?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: I do not know the state of
14 mind of my staff at all times.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. You sent this to Ira without knowing what
17 it meant?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: I sent quite a few things
20 to Ira. I did not check all of them for all the details
21 at any point.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. So W&K had no IP of value?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: I am not W&K.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. To the best of your knowledge did W&K
3 ever have valuable intellectual property?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

5 THE WITNESS: I have stated before,
6 I care about my own companies. I really do not care
7 about any other in existence anywhere on the planet that
8 has nothing to do with my companies, or cannot hand me
9 something.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Did you ever obtain valuable intellectual
12 property from W&K?

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. How?

17 A. I paid for work to be done through my
18 companies.

19 Q. Was W&K your company?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

21 THE WITNESS: No.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. So how did you get W&K's valuable
24 intellectual property?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection: asked and

1 answered.

2 THE WITNESS: As I have just stated,
3 companies I own dealt with W&K. W&K provided
4 intellectual property.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. What was the intellectual property W&K
7 provided?

8 A. Source code.

9 Q. For?

10 A. Primarily it enhanced some of the gaming
11 operations I was doing. It improved upon a lot of the
12 poker operations. We built a back door so that we could
13 get through the Chinese firewall. That enabled a number
14 of Costa Rica gaming operations to basically deal with
15 online casinos in a number of places, not just sort of
16 in Costa Rica, but America and China. It enabled
17 Sportsbooks to access things without putting their IP
18 better than Tor. It enabled us to have monitoring and
19 software that was put onto WebMoney, and Liberty
20 Reserve. It enabled the capture of information from
21 many of these networks.

22 Q. What was the value of this intellectual
23 property?

24 A. I am not an intellectual property valuer.

25 Q. Who created this intellectual property?

1 A. Which part?

2 Q. Who created the intellectual property
3 that enhanced some of the gaming operations you were
4 doing?

5 A. I do not know.

6 Q. Who created the intellectual property
7 that improved upon a lot of the poker operations?

8 A. I do not know.

9 Q. Who created the intellectual property
10 that built the back door so that you could get through
11 the Chinese firewall?

12 A. Who built it or who enhanced it or who
13 distributed it? They are different things.

14 Q. Tell me who built it?

15 A. Me.

16 Q. Who enhanced it?

17 A. People Dave was dealing with out of
18 Russia.

19 Q. Who distributed it?

20 A. Quite a number of sites, including some
21 associated with the US government.

22 Q. Can you list those sites for me?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

24 THE WITNESS: No.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. Because you do not know them?

2 A. Some I do. Those ones we will have to
3 deal with in camera. Other ones, no.

4 Q. The distribution of these technologies
5 relates to national security?

6 A. The poker stuff, no. The back doors,
7 some could.

8 Q. Can you tell me about Dave's interaction
9 with the folks in Russia?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Answer if you
11 can.

12 THE WITNESS: I do not know about what
13 other people do. I do not follow my own staff at the
14 moment, so you are asking me -- I mean, do you actually
15 know the size of my operations?

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. No.

18 A. Then that is why you have no idea what
19 you are asking. Do you know how many countries I have
20 operations in now?

21 Q. I want you to focus on what you had in
22 2013 and before.

23 A. In Australia, do you know how many people
24 I had at the end of 2013?

25 Q. How many?

1 A. Over 50. Do you know how many countries
2 I had operations in in 2013?

3 Q. No.

4 A. Around 60.

5 MS. MARKOE: Guys, seriously, Vel is
6 taking this deposition. You are not taking the
7 deposition. Let him ask his questions.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Who created the intellectual property
10 that enabled Sportsbooks to access things without
11 putting their IP better than Tor?

12 A. Again, I did not follow up who was
13 individually creating anything.

14 Q. Was Dave responsible for the creation of
15 this intellectual property?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: Can you specify that in a
18 better, more clear manner.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. W&K created all this intellectual
21 property; is that correct?

22 A. A lot of that, yes.

23 Q. Who was responsible for W&K's operations?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: Again, you are asking me

1 something -- who was responsible for the operations in
2 this office.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. So you do not know who was responsible
5 for the operations at W&K to create this intellectual
6 property?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: I have already said I do
9 not know who is responsible for half the things that
10 happen in my own office right at the moment, nor do
11 I intend to be.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Who was your contact at W&K to create all
14 this intellectual property?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
16 the testimony.

17 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

18 THE WITNESS: Dave. By Dave, I mean Dave
19 Kleiman.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. Did the Sportsbooks program intellectual
22 property enable betting with -- strike that. Did any of
23 the poker-related technology involve the use of Bitcoin?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
25 if you can.

1 THE WITNESS: Yes.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. How did it involve Bitcoin?

4 A. You could take Bitcoin and bet.

5 Q. What was this called, this intellectual
6 property?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection: vague.

8 THE WITNESS: Texas hold'em.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. Did you file a patent? Was it a code?
11 How did you -- strike that.

12 A. Do you understand that this is ----

13 MS. MARKOE: There is no question
14 pending. He struck his question. Let him ask a
15 question and you can answer it.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. How did you obtain the intellectual
18 property that relates to poker?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
20 the testimony.

21 THE WITNESS: Can you ask something a bit
22 less vague.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. How did you obtain -- strike that. You
25 obtained intellectual property from W&K that involved

1 poker and Bitcoin; is that correct?

2 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

3 THE WITNESS: Are you talking about me or
4 a company that I owned?

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. Or a company that you -- I thought you
7 did not own any companies.

8 A. I said owned. And that is not own.

9 Q. Which company did you own previously but
10 no longer do?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 A. There are over 100 of those.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Over 100 companies that you owned but no
15 longer do?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Who owns them now?

18 A. I do not know.

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. This is because you put in place a
22 structure so you do not know who owns them?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection. This question is
24 overbroad and is going to result in a very unclear
25 answer when you are talking about over 100 companies.

1 They cannot possibly all have the same answer.

2 MR. FREEDMAN: Maybe it does.

3 THE WITNESS: It does not.

4 MR. RIVERO: What topic does it relate
5 to?

6 MR. FREEDMAN: The court has specifically
7 directed us to be able to ask all about Dr. Wright's
8 entities at hearings and even beyond here.

9 MR. RIVERO: No.

10 MR. FREEDMAN: But at subsequent hearings
11 and you can ask Ms. Markoe about this. He specifically
12 authorised inquiry into the companies.

13 MS. MARKOE: He authorised limited
14 inquiry into those companies and it needs to be clear
15 inquiry, so if you would like to ask a question that
16 will result in a clear answer I am sure he can answer on
17 a limited basis. You are limited, if my recollection is
18 correct, in asking questions about those once we have
19 established that those companies do not relate to Dave
20 Kleiman or W&K.

21 MR. FREEDMAN: I am not sure that is
22 correct, but let us not waste time on that.

23 Q. When was the first time you contracted
24 with W&K?

25 A. I do not know. You would have to look at

1 the date of the contract. (Pause).

2 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 marked for identification)

3 Q. I have just handed you Plaintiff's
4 Exhibit 5. Do you recognise this contract?

5 A. I recognise this contract.

6 Q. What is the date of this contract?

7 A. 22nd April 2011.

8 Q. Can you tell me in your own words what
9 the bargain in this contract was?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

11 THE WITNESS: This is an agreement between
12 Craig Wright R&D, a company, and W&K Info Defense, an
13 LLC in the US, for provision of services.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. On page 15 of this document is that your
16 signature?

17 MS. MARKOE: Do you mean 15 at the top?

18 MR. FREEDMAN: 15 at the top.

19 THE WITNESS: That is signed by me, yes.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. Can you go back to page 3, please.

22 Sorry, take that back, can you go to page 4. I am
23 looking at L.

24 MS. MARKOE: You are referring to page 4
25 at the top. There is just different paginations.

1 MR. FREEDMAN: Always at the top.

2 MS. MARKOE: Okay. I just want to make
3 sure we have a clear record.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. This is docket entry 83-10. Do you need
6 a second to familiarise yourself with paragraph L?

7 A. I have read it.

8 Q. What is going on here?

9 A. Sorry, what do you mean what is going on?
10 It is a piece of paper. Nothing is going on.

11 Q. Can you tell me, as I read this paragraph
12 of the contract, you are providing, you are the
13 financier; is that correct?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: No.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Craig Wright R&D is the financier?

18 A. That is what it states, yes.

19 Q. Craig Wright R&D is providing 1,024 core
20 Xeon and GPU based hardware solution. (a) It is
21 acknowledged that two SGI ICE XE310-512 core hosts have
22 been provided and are in a data centre specified by the
23 provider." What is SGI ICE XE310?

24 A. A computer.

25 Q. Is it a special computer or just a

1 regular computer?

2 A. It is an HPC, high memory, high core
3 computer.

4 Q. (b) states the purpose of the provision
5 of these hardware systems; is that correct?

6 A. It is a possible use that by the time
7 that this was implemented was not available.

8 Q. So (b) says -- can you read (b) for me?

9 A. "The provider will use these systems to
10 mine Bitcoin."

11 Q. And then (c) says the expected amount of
12 the Bitcoin; is that correct?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Well, can you read (c) for me?

15 A. "The provider expects to earn" -- that is
16 not correct because by the time this was implemented,
17 ASICs, FPGAs and other things had been developed which
18 would make this about one Bitcoin a year.

19 Q. (c) says that you expect it to earn
20 12,000 Bitcoin per month; is that correct?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection: misstates what
22 the document says.

23 THE WITNESS: I did not expect to earn
24 anything.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. M states that W&K are supposed to pay for
2 these systems with 300,000 Bitcoin; is that correct?

3 A. That is what it states.

4 Q. Did W&K ever pay 300,000 Bitcoin?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Why not?

7 A. David died.

8 Q. How did W&K get 300,000 Bitcoin if
9 this -- strike that. Did W&K put these computers listed
10 in L to work to mine Bitcoin?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection: foundation and
12 you are going beyond the scope again. Would you like to
13 connect it to one of the topics, please.

14 MR. FREEDMAN: This is 4, the location
15 and duration of Dave, W&K and Craig's mining of Bitcoin
16 from 2009 till April 2013.

17 MS. MARKOE: You can answer the question
18 if you know the answer.

19 THE WITNESS: There was no mining of
20 Bitcoin between myself and Dave ever. There was no
21 mining at all in any way that I know of on those
22 machines, ever.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. So, as far as you are aware, Dave never
25 used those machines to mine Bitcoin?

1 A. It would be totally stupidly foolish to
2 mine anything once ASICs came out on those machines. It
3 would probably set me back around \$4 million a month for
4 something, at the time, worth less than \$12,000.

5 Q. What was the purpose of this clause at
6 the time the contract was entered into?

7 A. Which clause?

8 Q. L?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 MR. RIVERO: You may answer.

11 THE WITNESS: The clause was there to
12 have machines that would be run and managed. The
13 primary purpose would be I was using scaling tests and
14 other such things. Those machines were running enhanced
15 versions of Bitcoin that I was creating, the node
16 software, so that I could see how far I could scale
17 Bitcoin as a blockchain. Any other side use, while not
18 being used, was originally envisioned that others could
19 mine Bitcoin with.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. In clause B, on page 3, the contract
22 states that "The provider desires the intellectual
23 property for the permitted use ..." What was the
24 intellectual property referred to here?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

1 THE WITNESS: Some of that is the things
2 we have already talked about, including gaming software.
3 Dave was running operations that I helped set him up in
4 Costa Rica.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. Craig Wright R&D paid for this
7 development in paragraphs F and G; is that correct?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

9 THE WITNESS: I instructed people who
10 were with the company to pay for machines to be
11 purchased.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Where did this Bitcoin come from?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection. I am going to
15 instruct the witness not to answer. It goes beyond the
16 scope.

17 MR. FREEDMAN: Of 10 as well?

18 MS. MARKOE: Where in 10 does it
19 reference ----

20 MR. FREEDMAN: Inquiry into the entities
21 and projects referenced in Exhibits 5, 10 and 15 in a
22 second I am going to complain.

23 MS. MARKOE: Right, and your question, if
24 I recall correctly -- let me just see -- actually, would
25 you mind reading it back; I cannot locate it at the

1 moment.

2 (The court reporter read back as requested)

3 MR. RIVERO: Just give us a moment.

4 MR. FREEDMAN: Let me take a break
5 because I have to use the restroom.

6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
7 The time is 16.58.

8 (A Short Break)

9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the
10 record. The time is 17.09. Thank you.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. Before the break, Dr. Wright, I asked you
13 where the Bitcoin mentioned in paragraphs F and G --
14 sorry, take that back.

15 MS. MARKOE: It might be easier just to
16 have her read back the last question.

17 MR. FREEDMAN: I looked at it, though. I
18 just said: "Where did this Bitcoin come from?" Let us
19 start again with a clear record.

20 MS. MARKOE: Okay.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Let us look at paragraph A. Paragraph A,
23 Dr. Wright, says: "The Financier controls the following
24 Bitcoin (BTC) addresses." How did Craig Wright R&D come
25 to possess these Bitcoin addresses?

1 A. I do not know.

2 Q. Paragraph F says that the financier will
3 send 165,140 Bitcoin to the 1MSU address by 30th April
4 2011. Did Craig Wright R&D send 165,140 Bitcoin to the
5 1MSU address?

6 A. I do not know.

7 Q. Did you cause or request that Craig
8 Wright R&D make this transfer?

9 A. I requested that people pay the amounts
10 that they are meant to pay, yes.

11 Q. Where did this request go to?

12 A. It went to Craig Wright R&D.

13 Q. Who at Craig Wright R&D?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

15 THE WITNESS: I do not know. This is
16 years ago. I do not remember the people in that
17 company.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Which company was it?

20 A. Craig Wright R&D.

21 Q. But there were many of them.

22 A. Which Craig Wright R&D is I believe what
23 you are asking.

24 Q. Mmm-hmm.

25 A. That was in Panama.

1 Q. And Craig Wright R&D Panama had control
2 over these Bitcoin addresses?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
4 if you know.

5 THE WITNESS: I told people what to do.
6 They told me they had done it. That is as far as I go.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. And then did Craig Wright R&D deliver the
9 50,000 Bitcoin referenced in paragraph G?

10 A. Again, I do not have much to do with
11 finance in the companies other than people giving me
12 reports saying it has all been done or not, and if I do
13 not get complaints about finance by creditors or debtors
14 or whatever else going, "Why the hell it has not
15 happened", etcetera, then I am a happy guy and I stay
16 out of people's way.

17 Q. Can you go to page 13 for me, please,
18 Dr. Wright.

19 MS. MARKOE: Again, just for clarity's
20 sake, that is 13 at the top.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Top of the page. I am looking at
23 paragraph 18(a).

24 A. Mmm-hmm.

25 Q. It says: "The paper Bitcoin Wallet with

1 address 1933ph", and so on ----

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. ---- "will be held by the financier as
4 assurance or the contract and will convert to the
5 ownership of the financier on default of the provider."
6 So, Craig Wright R&D held the 1933 wallet as collateral?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

8 THE WITNESS: That would be the finance
9 people over in Panama, not me.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Who were the finance people at Panama?

12 A. Some of those were associated with a
13 company called High Secured, and there were other people
14 who used to work for Liberty Reserve.

15 Q. So the folks at High Secured and Liberty
16 Reserve controlled this Bitcoin wallet address?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
18 the testimony.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Did the folks at High Secured and Liberty
21 Reserve control this wallet address?

22 A. I have stated my involvement with finance
23 which is exactly as it is now, is, I say do things;
24 things either happen or do not happen. If they do not
25 happen Craig gets all yelly and screamy and everyone

1 gets upset, and when they do happen, things are good.

2 Q. So the folks at finance controlled the
3 wallets listed at A on page 3; is that correct?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
5 the testimony. You can answer.

6 THE WITNESS: Again, I basically go to
7 finance people, they tell me things, I trust what my
8 people tell me, and if no one complains, no one says it
9 is not real, then I have to believe what I am told by
10 people I contract or paid.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. How did this amount of Bitcoin end up in
13 these wallets?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
15 if you know.

16 THE WITNESS: There are two problems with
17 what you have just said. This amount of Bitcoin in
18 18(a) is not -- that is an address, not an amount of
19 Bitcoin, and, secondly, they are an address, not a
20 wallet.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. How did Bitcoin end up in the wallets
23 listed at A(a) and A(b)?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: My assumption is that a

1 transaction would be sent to the Bitcoin ledger. Miners
2 would take that transaction and send into a block which
3 would be mined, updating the ledger.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. Did you cause the Bitcoin to end up in
6 those wallets?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: These are not wallets.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. Addresses. Did you cause the Bitcoin to
11 end up in these addresses?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Answer if you
13 can.

14 THE WITNESS: If you are saying did
15 I tell someone to do something and things happened, to
16 the best of my knowledge, no one complained. I told
17 people in a group of companies in Panama to do things to
18 make sure Dave was happy. Things happened. No one
19 complained. Dave did not complain to me. I am happy.
20 Everyone is happy.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. I am trying to figure out how the folks
23 in Panama ended up with that much Bitcoin at their
24 disposal?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

1 THE WITNESS: Sorry, what is that much
2 Bitcoin?

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. It is 165,140 and then 50,000, so a total
5 of 215,140.

6 A. You are asking how an organisation that
7 was turning over probably \$20 million a month managed to
8 obtain \$80,000 worth of Bitcoin?

9 Q. Yes.

10 A. Well, if you had offered someone 100,000,
11 they would have given you no questions asked. You could
12 have gone to LocalBitcoins. You could have gone to
13 Mt. Gox. You could have gone to a number of criminal
14 organisations that were selling it. Libya Reserves had
15 quite a number of Bitcoin. How would you do that?
16 Well, people exchange goods and services. You take US
17 dollars and you make a trade.

18 MR. RIVERO: There is a question from the
19 court reporter.

20 THE WITNESS: Mt. Gox.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. In April of 2011, was it possible to
23 acquire 165,000 Bitcoin on the open market?

24 A. Yes. In fact, around that sort of time,
25 50,000 Bitcoin was swapped for two pizzas.

1 Q. Do you have any information on how the
2 165,140 and 50,000 ended up in the two addresses at A(a)
3 and A(b)?

4 MR. RIVERO: Objection. Did you say A(a)
5 and A(b)?

6 MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, on page 3.

7 MR. RIVERO: I am sorry, I do not
8 understand the question. I do not understand your
9 question. I cannot form an objection. Do you mean F(b)
10 and G(b)? Oh, I see. Withdraw. Go ahead. Yes?

11 THE WITNESS: My statement is very
12 simple: they are addresses; no amount is claimed at
13 those addresses.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. These addresses transferred these
16 amounts -- sorry, let us make that clear. The 12h
17 address transferred 165,140 Bitcoin to the 1MSU address?

18 MR. RIVERO: Objection: mischaracterises
19 the testimony. You may answer.

20 MR. FREEDMAN: Do you mind, I did not
21 quite finish yet.

22 MR RIVERO: Oh!

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Do you know how the Bitcoin ended up in
25 the 12h address?

1 A. Well, a transaction would be sent to the
2 blockchain. Miners will take their transaction for
3 transaction fees.

4 Q. Let me be clear, doctor, because you
5 explained this before. I am not asking for the
6 technical explanation of how the Bitcoin ends up, I am
7 asking for the practical explanation. Everyday people
8 like me would say, how did the Bitcoin end up in that
9 wallet address, or that public address; who sent it
10 there?

11 MR. RIVERO: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: I am saying, where is a
13 block explorer to tell me it actually went on any
14 particular date?

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Sitting here today -- strike that. Did
17 any of the Bitcoin you mined in Australia end up at
18 these public addresses?

19 A. No.

20 MR. RIVERO: Object to the form. Just
21 give me one moment to state the objection. (Pause)

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Can you go with me to page 14 at the top.
24 Can you look at the definition of "Product", or the
25 listing of what product is. Can you read that for me,

1 please.

2 A. "Bitcoin and Exchange Software in
3 C/C++/C#/R code."

4 Q. How did Bitcoin and Exchange Software fit
5 into this contract?

6 MR. RIVERO: Misstates the document.
7 Objection.

8 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

9 THE WITNESS: Sorry, how did Bitcoin and
10 Exchange Software fit into this document?

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. Yes. It just says "Product". What does
13 that mean?

14 A. It means exactly what it says there.

15 Q. Is this the product that Dave Kleiman was
16 producing for you at W&K?

17 MR. RIVERO: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: I need more of an
19 explanation than that. Exchange Software, I mean that
20 is a very wide -- that is like saying ----

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. You do not know what the contract means?

23 A. I do not know what you are trying to
24 classify it as.

25 Q. You tell me what the contract you signed

1 means. It says: "Product: Bitcoin and Exchange
2 Software in C/C++/C#/R code." What does that mean?

3 A. If you want to cherry pick, that is a
4 different thing than saying this line means something
5 out of sort of the rest. What the contract was about
6 was the production of code at the end.

7 Q. For Bitcoin?

8 A. Define "for Bitcoin".

9 Q. I do not know, it says "Bitcoin",
10 "Product: Bitcoin"?

11 A. Mmm-hmm.

12 Q. Is that because the contract was creating
13 Bitcoin?

14 A. No, Bitcoin was already created.

15 Q. Is that because the contract was for the
16 purpose of creating mining Bitcoin?

17 A. No, you cannot mine Bitcoin that way.
18 I have already stated this.

19 Q. Was it for a Bitcoin exchange?

20 A. No. There were certain things that were
21 to do with a Bitcoin exchange, and some other aspects of
22 poker software, other aspects of the software I have
23 mentioned before, and the other stuff, intellectual
24 property, under the unawarded DHS projects.

25 Q. Can you go with me to page 15.

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Do you see Dave Kleiman's name about a
3 quarter of the way down from the top of the page?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Do you see the signature there?

6 A. What I see is his name written there,
7 yes.

8 Q. Is that Dave Kleiman's signature?

9 A. The definition of signature, if we take,
10 for instance, Salinger v Golden Mining, what you will
11 see is if I type "Regards, Craig", that is deemed a
12 signature under the law. That was upheld in 2011 in the
13 British courts to be a signature. A signature is an
14 attestation. If I tell my EA, as I do every now and
15 again, "Please send in this document, I cannot get into
16 the office, I have noted in this e-mail I am authorising
17 you to sign", and she puts my name at the bottom, that
18 is legally a signature. When I go "Regards, Dr. Craig
19 Wright" on an e-mail and it is typed, that is legally a
20 signature. If I have a video attestation and I say, "I,
21 Craig Wright, agree to this contract", that is legally a
22 signature. A signature in writing, basically,
23 incorporates, in this country at least, and as well
24 Australia, the incorporation of anything in any media,
25 including video attestation, that will allow one to

1 prove that they agreed to be bound. The definition of
2 signature is actually an agreement to be bound. So,
3 what you are saying is, did Dave agree to be bound? And
4 if he was part of this contract that would be that he
5 agreed to be bound. If he was not, then he had no part
6 in the contract, and then has no rights under that
7 contract. Which would you prefer to choose?

8 Q. Actually, I would just prefer you to
9 answer the question. Is that Dave Kleiman's signature?

10 A. I have answered the question in detail.

11 Q. I do not think so. Can you give me a yes
12 or no; is that Dave Kleiman's signature?

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

14 THE WITNESS: I have answered the
15 question.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. How did that mark get to be made on the
18 page?

19 A. I do not really care. If someone sends
20 me a contract and I haven't witnessed it personally or
21 noted that I witnessed it, then I have not witnessed it.
22 I am not going to give a rat's rectum about the origin
23 of something that someone does not dispute. Dave had
24 many years to say, "Hey, I did not sign". At some point
25 he could have put his hand up and said, "I do not agree

1 with this". At no point did he. Of course, the
2 argument here when we were talking about the creation of
3 software that is legal in Australia, but illegal in the
4 USA, brings into a difficult position with contracts.
5 Although it is a legal contract in New South Wales, one
6 could argue that the creation of a prohibitive product,
7 that is actually a crime for an American citizen to
8 create, or be involved with, or distribute, or actually
9 fairly much anything to do with, would actually
10 invalidate Dave's contract but that is a different
11 issue.

12 Q. Did you witness Dave Kleiman sign this
13 contract?

14 A. It does not say witnessed at any point.

15 Q. So you did not witness him sign the
16 contract?

17 A. I believe I have noted that I was never
18 in Australia -- sorry, Dave was not in Australia, and
19 that I was not on the signing date of this contract in
20 the US. At the time, witnessing over video was not
21 legal, and although the law has been updated and now in
22 the UK and Australia that is possible, it was not
23 possible at the time. So, without being in the same
24 room as Dave, I would not be able to witness.

25 Q. Dr. Wright, it would help us get through

1 this deposition if you gave shorter answers that address
2 the exact question targeted.

3 A. I do not believe I can without being
4 vague.

5 Q. If I asked you to, how could you prove to
6 me that Dave Kleiman signed this contract?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: I do not need to.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. List to me all the ways in which you
11 could demonstrate Dave Kleiman signed this contract?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 MR. RIVERO: Objection.

14 MS. MARKOE: I am going to instruct the
15 witness not to answer unless you can show me where in
16 the topics this relates.

17 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 marked for identification)

18 MR. FREEDMAN: We believe this question
19 relates to section 10 that authorises us to ask about
20 the projects referenced in 5, 10 and 15, but if you are
21 instructing the witness not to answer, in the interests
22 of time, we will move on.

23 MS. MARKOE: Can we go back and look at
24 the question again, based on what he said. (Pause) The
25 last question by Mr. Freedman was: "List to me all the

1 ways in which you could demonstrate Dave Kleiman signed
2 this contract." I objected and instructed you not to
3 answer. I will remove my instruction not to answer and
4 you can answer if you can.

5 MR. RIVERO: We maintain the objection.

6 MS. MARKOE: We maintain the objection,
7 correct.

8 MR. RIVERO: The form is completely
9 defective, but answer if you can.

10 THE WITNESS: I have just published to
11 two papers on electronic signatures that were presented
12 in Oxford last month. That involves an analysis of many
13 ways of signing digitally. So, basically, any way that
14 you can say that someone signed. Now, a signature is
15 very simple. It does not need to be a handwritten
16 thing, and in fact a handwritten thing is the antithesis
17 of the idea of what it was. The history of signatures
18 goes back to allowing Jews to sign with their name and
19 Christians would put an X. In fact, only those who were
20 literate signing with Xs in medieval England. So, the
21 reason for that is that you were taking an attestation
22 or an oath. So, the history of signatures is such that
23 you are saying that you agree to be bound. Can you say
24 that you agree to be bound? Was there any evidence to
25 the contrary where someone could bring up saying there

1 was no evidence of agreement to be bound? In the case
2 of someone like Mr. Kleiman, did Mr. Kleiman ever stand
3 up and say, "I do not agree to be bound"? In years of
4 dealing, contracting, etcetera, did he say, "No, this
5 was not my contract"? You would want to show the
6 absence of anything like that. You would want to show
7 the absence of e-mails saying, "I disagree with this
8 thing. I did not agree to be bound by the contract.
9 That is not my signature".

10 Of course, that is a signature not being
11 this handwritten thing that people try and say, but
12 rather the agreement to be bound. I would look for the
13 absence, and hope that if you were trying to contest a
14 signature, and say that someone did not agree to be
15 bound, there would be something, some evidence, in the
16 wide swathe of communications that can occur over years,
17 of anyone at any point going, "I do not agree with
18 this", that there were some communications with other
19 people saying, "I do not agree", where Dave would say,
20 "I did not agree to this contract", where maybe Ira or
21 whatever else had been communicating and going, "Dave,
22 what do you mean he says you are under contract?" And
23 then Dave would go, "No, I did not actually sign that".

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. Okay, I am going to cut you up.

1 MR. RIVERO: Wait, do not cut the
2 witness -- hold on. You ask about tell me all the ways
3 you can prove it and he is answering your question. He
4 is going to finish his answer. Whenever you feel like
5 it, Dr. Wright. You asked the question. We objected to
6 it because it is a completely defective question. You
7 answer it until you feel satisfied.

8 THE WITNESS: So, I would start by
9 analysing every bit of media Dave has ever had. Was
10 there any social media where Dave had online -- and he
11 was very prolific online -- stated, "I do not agree to
12 this contract. Someone is saying I am bound but I am
13 not". So, you would go through all of his Facebook
14 posts, all of his Twitter, all of his communications
15 with other partners that he had in Australia, because
16 Dave did have partners. I was not one of them, but Page
17 and Connor and things like that, maybe he would go to
18 them and say, "I was not bound by this", or mutual
19 friends we had, like Paul Henry, would Dave talk to
20 these guys, who were really good friends with us, who
21 had been in the industry a long time, "I did not agree
22 to this", he would say. He would go up there and go,
23 "I did not agree to this contract and yet people are
24 claiming that I did". You would find some evidence.
25 You would analyse all his hard drives, all his e-mails.

1 You would look to what other people might say. You
2 would find somewhere where someone had said, "I do not
3 agree to be bound", or Dave had gone to them, "I do not
4 agree to be bound"; why? You would find something in
5 communications where that has occurred. Thank you.

6 MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you. If you do that
7 again, Dr. Wright, Andrés, we will bring it up with the
8 court. It is purposely wasting time.

9 MR. RIVERO: The question asked for
10 proof. The witness has answered exactly. But you
11 allowed him to finish, so we are going to continue.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. I believe we handed you Plaintiff's
14 Exhibit 6. Do you recognise this exhibit?

15 A. I do.

16 Q. What is this exhibit?

17 A. It is a contract.

18 Q. Made between who and who?

19 A. Between W&K Info Defense in Florida and
20 Craig Wright R&D, which would be an Australian entity.

21 Q. Is there another party to the contract?

22 A. And W&K Info Defense LLC company.

23 Q. I think you have misstated the first
24 party to the contract. Perhaps take a look again at the
25 first party to the contract.

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: W&K Info Defense LLC.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. I believe it says Dave Kleiman of W&K
5 Info Defense LLC.

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: That is still the company,
8 which, under Commonwealth law means the legal entity
9 being represented by Dave Kleiman, not Dave Kleiman, is
10 being bound. Dave Kleiman is not being bound by this
11 contract in any way. Sorry, I do have a masters degree
12 and I am a legal scholar, and I am doing my doctor of
13 law at the moment. If you want to discuss British or
14 Australian law I am quite happy to.

15 MS. MARKOE: I would also like to note
16 for the record that it actually says that the entirety
17 of that first party, which is defined as the vendor, is
18 "Dave Kleiman of W&K Info Defense LLC (Florida)".

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Can you take a look at paragraph B for
21 me, please, Dr. Wright.

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Can you read that out loud for the
24 record?

25 A. "The company is the owner of and conducts

1 business known as Bitcoin mining and Software
2 Research/development (sic)."

3 Q. I think it says "Software
4 development/Research"?

5 A. Correct, I am sorry about that error.

6 Q. Dr. Wright, this contract was signed two
7 years after, approximately, the last contract we just
8 looked at?

9 A. Where is the date? Yes.

10 Q. You told me the April 2011 contract,
11 although it provides for Bitcoin mining hardware, could
12 not be used for Bitcoin mining hardware because ASIC
13 miners came along and rendered that technology obsolete;
14 is that correct?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection: the record will
16 speak for itself.

17 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

18 THE WITNESS: I told you exactly as
19 I told you, yes.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. Now two years later you are signing a
22 contract that says that the company does conduct the
23 business as Bitcoin mining. Can you explain that to me?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. Did something change?

2 A. I do not have any care about what Dave
3 said his business was.

4 Q. Can you go with me to page 11 of the
5 contract up on the top page.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Down the bottom, it says "Craig S
8 Wright"; is that your signature?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. How do you know that Dave Kleiman has
11 entered into this contract?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Answer if you
13 can.

14 THE WITNESS: We communicated.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. How did you communicate about it?

17 A. As I have noted before, we would talk
18 over IRC and Skype.

19 Q. So you have no record of those
20 communications?

21 A. I do not have many records of anything
22 from that period.

23 Q. Or a record of those communications?

24 A. I do not have a record of practically
25 anything from that period.

1 Q. Do you have a record of the communication
2 between yourself and ----

3 A. I do not know. Unless my lawyers ----

4 Q. Dr. Wright, please let me finish the
5 question. Do you have a record of the communication
6 between yourself and Dave Kleiman where he assented to
7 the terms of this contract?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

9 THE WITNESS: If my lawyers have any
10 record of what they have imaged, etcetera, then yes,
11 otherwise no. I do not know.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Can you go with me to page 5, please.

14 MS. MARKOE: Again, for the record, that
15 is page 5 at the top.

16 THE WITNESS: Yes.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. Can you look at (b) of paragraph 2. Let
19 me know when you have familiarised yourself with it.

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. This is the 1933 wallet that we saw in
22 the 2011 contract?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. In the 2011 contract it was being held by
25 Craig Wright R&D as a collateral?

1 A. In Panama, yes.

2 Q. Can you explain to me why it is being
3 released to Craig Wright R&D if there is no default on
4 the contract?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: The contract speaks for
7 itself.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. I am trying to understand the negotiation
10 that went on. The wallet was a collateral in 2011 and
11 now it is being given to the holder of the collateral.
12 I do not understand why. Why?

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

14 THE WITNESS: It is a negotiation, it is
15 a contract. You are saying, why did we negotiate
16 something?

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. Yes. It was held as collateral. Usually
19 collateral is returned at the fulfillment of the
20 contract. Why are you keeping the collateral?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: I did not keep the
23 collateral.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. Who kept the collateral?

1 A. Again you will note that I am not the
2 person here, sorry.

3 Q. You signed the contract?

4 A. I signed for a legal entity. Please be
5 specific.

6 Q. Who owned Craig Wright R&D at the time
7 you signed this contract -- Craig Wright R&D Panama at
8 the time you signed this contract?

9 A. I do not remember.

10 Q. Have you any way to look that up?

11 A. Not now, no.

12 Q. Was it a trust?

13 A. No.

14 Q. It was people or corporations?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

16 THE WITNESS: Companies are always
17 people.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Natural persons?

20 A. Companies always have natural persons. I
21 do not know any unnatural persons that can actually act.

22 Q. Dr. Wright, my question was, did natural
23 persons own Craig Wright R&D Panama?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

25 THE WITNESS: I do not remember. I have

1 already stated I do not have any involvement with the
2 company structures or whatever else after I have set
3 them up and handed them off to be mixed up and created
4 so that we have companies.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. Can you look at paragraph 3(a).

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Was the 250,5000 -- well, tell me if you
9 are familiar with 3(a) and I can ask you questions on
10 it.

11 A. It is right in front of me.

12 Q. Can you tell me, did this 250,500
13 Bitcoin, was it ever transferred?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Can you tell me 3(b), did Craig Wright
16 R&D accept the 1933 paper Bitcoin wallet?

17 A. I am not Craig Wright R&D. I cannot
18 speak for other people.

19 Q. Do you know if Craig Wright R&D accepted
20 the paper Bitcoin wallet?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: I am not Craig Wright R&D.
23 I cannot speak for other people.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. I am just asking what your knowledge is,

1 Dr. Wright. Please tell me only what your knowledge is.
2 If you know, you do not know. If you know, let me know.

3 A. I do not know.

4 Q. Can you look at 3(c)?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Can you read that for me?

7 A. "Transfer the ASC hardware to the
8 purchaser".

9 Q. What is "ASC hardware"?

10 A. It should be ASIC.

11 Q. It is ASIC mining hardware?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: Yes.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. What ASIC mining hardware is it referring
16 to?

17 A. I do not know.

18 Q. It says in 3(d): "Release the source
19 code to the purchaser." What source code is it talking
20 to?

21 A. That was a variety of source code that
22 I already had in my possession as well as other source
23 code that I did not.

24 Q. What was the source code you had in your
25 possession?

1 A. That includes all the things we have
2 already detailed, I would need to look through the list
3 to go through without missing anything, but it included
4 the covert channel software, the recording software, the
5 poker software, etcetera.

6 Q. Were you authorised to enter contracts
7 for Craig Wright R&D Panama?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. How did you come to be authorised to
10 enter into contracts for Craig Wright R&D Panama?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection. But you can
12 answer.

13 THE WITNESS: I set up the system so that
14 I would be.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. You set up Craig Wright R&D Panama?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: That is not what I said.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. You said: "I set up the system so that
21 I would be".

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. Can you look at 3(e)?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Can you read it for the record, please.

1 A. "Transfer the Vistomail e-mail account."

2 Q. Which Vistomail e-mail account is it
3 referring to?

4 A. I think it was Sakura.

5 BY MS. MARKOE: Can you spell that, if
6 you can.

7 THE WITNESS: It is the name of the
8 Japanese flowers, the ones with the cherry blossoms in
9 spring.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Sakura; is that correct?

12 A. Yes, I am not going try and spell it.

13 Q. I think it is S-A-K-U-R-A. What was
14 Sakura used for?

15 A. Discussing some of the work that was
16 being done.

17 Q. By whom?

18 A. By people in Panama.

19 Q. Did you get access to the Vistomail
20 e-mail account?

21 A. I did.

22 Q. Do you still have access to the Sakura
23 Vistomail e-mail account?

24 A. No, I do not.

25 Q. What happened to the access?

1 A. No one paid for the account so it lapsed.

2 Q. And Vistomail delete it if you do not
3 pay?

4 A. I have no idea.

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. When did it lapse?

8 A. I don't know.

9 Q. Have you tried to get the account back
10 from Vistomail?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: Why would I do that?

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Because you have been sued in this
15 lawsuit.

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: You are saying you want me
18 to pay to get evidence that you want.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. All right, going back to 3(c), did you
21 obtain the ASIC hardware back from the purchaser?

22 A. No, I specifically, when I went to the
23 court, etcetera, said I do not really care about
24 anything other than the IP, and said that anyone there
25 could keep it because I do not want it.

1 Q. What was the ASIC hardware worth?

2 A. I do not know.

3 Q. Did you get the source code back?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: I had the source code that
6 I had. I did not get any extra.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. 3(f), can you read that for me, please.

9 A. "Transfer all research materials from the
10 four (4) DHS BAA research projects to the purchaser with
11 all notes, data and results."

12 Q. Did this transfer occur?

13 A. No.

14 Q. What are the four DHS BAA projects that
15 are being referred to?

16 A. They are the ones that have been noted
17 before, SWAMP, the other software risk ones, etcetera.

18 Q. Did Dave build those out for you?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection: asked and
20 answered. You can answer.

21 THE WITNESS: I do not know, because
22 I did not get it.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Can you look at 4(b) for me?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Tell me when you are familiar with it?

2 A. It is right in front of me.

3 Q. Craig Wright R&D is to accept the
4 vendor's 323,000 remaining mined Bitcoin as a 49.5%
5 stake in a new venture, and that venture was called
6 Coin-Exchange; is that correct?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Did you get the 323,000 Bitcoin?

9 A. No.

10 Q. So you were now aware that there were
11 323,000 Bitcoin mined by Dave Kleiman?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: He had stated that.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Did he use the ASIC mining hardware --
16 strike that. The ASIC mining hardware referred to at
17 3(c), did you provide that to Dave Kleiman?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Do you know how he obtained that
20 hardware?

21 A. I knew people who developed chips and
22 I put them in contact with Dave.

23 Q. Who are those people?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
25 if you know.

1 THE WITNESS: I do not remember their
2 name.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. Do you remember anything about them?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Can you tell me any contact details you
7 have about them?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Do you remember any identifying features
10 about them?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Like a tattoo?!

12 MR. FREEDMAN: Judging by Dr. Wright's
13 previous answers, if I asked him what he remembered he
14 would launch into an irrelevant tirade about all kinds
15 of other things.

16 MR. RIVERO: Please ask your questions.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. Can you go to page 6 for me.

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Top of page 6, 4(d). Can you read that
21 for me.

22 A. "Provide \$30,000,000 in capital into
23 Coin-Exch Pty Ltd (to be formed) and the software
24 developed in the prior venture."

25 Q. This is an obligation by Craig Wright R&D

1 to provide \$30 million to Coin-Exchange?

2 A. In capital.

3 Q. Did Craig Wright R&D provide \$30 million
4 in capital to Coin-Exchange?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. How?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Can you tie this
8 to one of your topics in the scope?

9 MR. FREEDMAN: We are allowed to ask
10 about the projects in Exhibits 5, 10 and 15. This is
11 Exhibit 10 -- 5.

12 MS. MARKOE: Right, but this is not a
13 project. This is a capital infusion into a company that
14 did not ----

15 MR. FREEDMAN: It is part of the project,
16 it is part of the contractual agreement of the project.

17 THE WITNESS: It was not part of a
18 project.

19 MS. MARKOE: What project? This is not
20 referring to a project, so can you tie it.

21 MR. FREEDMAN: This is the Coin-Exchange
22 project. This is an agreement with Coin-Exchange ----

23 THE WITNESS: There is no such thing as a
24 Coin-Exchange project.

25 MS. MARKOE: It does not say the

1 Coin-Exchange project.

2 MR. FREEDMAN: Okay, we will take it with
3 the court if you instruct him not to answer. So, just
4 choose if you will or not.

5 MS. MARKOE: I am not instructing him not
6 to answer. I am asking you ----

7 MR. FREEDMAN: I am not going to alter my
8 questions any more. The question is what it is.

9 MR. RIVERO: You have to give the court
10 reporter a break. Again I am as guilty as everyone
11 else.

12 THE WITNESS: I am starting to see smoke.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. How did Craig Wright R&D provide the \$30
15 million in capital to Coin-Exchange?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: You would need to go to the
18 financial records and accounts of the companies.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Which companies?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: Coin-Exch for a start.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Who has access to Coin-Exchange records?

25 A. I do not know.

1 Q. Sitting here today you have no idea
2 whether or not Craig Wright R&D -- how Craig & Wright
3 R&D provided the \$30 million in capital to
4 Coin-Exchange?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 THE WITNESS: If you go through the
7 records you will be able to track all that.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. I do not have the records. I am not
10 asking you for what is in the records. I am asking you
11 for what you recollect. Do you ----

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Vel do not
13 testify.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Are you sitting here today able to
16 recollect anything about how Craig Wright R&D
17 transferred \$30 million in capital to Coin-Exchange?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: I instruct people to do
20 things. Things happened.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Did you instruct someone to transfer?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

24 THE WITNESS: I would need to look at the
25 records.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. Sitting here today, you do not know
3 whether that 30 million was provided?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: That is not what I said.
6 You are again misstating what I said. I said all of
7 this had been completed, the company had been set up. I
8 do not know the exact process off the top of my head. I
9 do not try and remember my finances. I instruct people
10 to do things. They get paid. I instruct my lawyers to
11 get paid. Magic happens, they get paid.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Was the \$30 million provided in cash or
14 in Bitcoin?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: Again, I would need to look
17 at the accounts. I do not know the breakdown of what
18 the capital per company was.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Can you take a look at 8(e) for me.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Let me know when you are familiar with
23 it.

24 A. I am fine with it.

25 Q. This indicates that the ASIC mining

1 hardware will be returned with this transfer. You told
2 me Craig Wright R&D did not provide it?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: I do not know what happened
5 with companies. You are asking whether I did. Return
6 can be taken in many ways. It could be returned to
7 other people or myself. I do not really care. I did
8 not get, it was never given back, and if you notice "at
9 a site known to Mr. Kleiman", who died before anything
10 occurred. Unfortunately, post his death Mr. Kleiman was
11 not forthcoming in giving up that information.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. So, sitting here today, do you know
14 whether or not Craig Wright R&D provided Mr. Kleiman
15 with ASIC mining hardware?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection: asked and
17 answered. You may answer.

18 THE WITNESS: I do not know. I do not
19 look at the records of companies. I do not believe so.
20 And I do not believe that "returned" means what you are
21 saying it does. And I think you are miscategorising the
22 contract.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Can you explain to me what 8(f) means?

25 A. "Solutions to the Agent and Merkle tree

1 problems development by Professor David Reese." It is
2 saying the vendors shall deliver up and it means that
3 certain problems to do with agent-based software and
4 Merkle tree problems, which are mathematical constructs
5 that can be put into code, that had originally been
6 worked on by David Reese and were to be formulated into
7 code, David Reese having written these, not so much the
8 -- it was -- I think the language was CoCo if I remember
9 it right. Dr. Reese or Professor Reese had created
10 software in mathematics in a language earlier called
11 CoCo. CoCo was a horrendous, awful, awful piece of --
12 I will not even go there -- that needed to be changed
13 into something usable and constructed into something
14 that could actually be deployed. There are a number of
15 interesting things that can be done with Merkle trees.
16 So, the solutions would be taking something that
17 Dr. Reese had developed around 2004, back when he was a
18 lot, sharper, I am not trying to sound mean or anything
19 like that, but he was old at the end, and then taken by
20 others and constructed into software.

21 MS. MARKOE: I think the court reporter
22 needs a break.

23 MR. FREEDMAN: Let us take a break.

24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
25 The time is 17.55. End of video card number 5, volume

1 1, in the video deposition of Dr. Craig Wright.

2 (A Short Break)

3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning
4 of video card number 6, volume 1, in the video
5 deposition of Dr. Craig Wright. Going on the record.
6 The time is 18.08. Thank you.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. Dr. Wright, before the break we were
9 looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. Can you look at page
10 6. I am looking at 8(g) at the very bottom.

11 MS. MARKOE: That is 6 at the top, for
12 the record.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Can you read that sentence for me.

15 A. "Bitcoin agent software and suit of
16 C/C++/C# and Python Blockchain software source codes."

17 Q. Did you receive these?

18 A. I already had those.

19 Q. Why were they included in the contract,
20 then?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer,
22 if you know.

23 THE WITNESS: Because, quite simply, the
24 fact that you own -- so you have a copy of source code
25 does not mean that you own copy of source code.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. Doctor, I would like to talk you a little
3 bit about Ms. Uyen Nguyen. Do you know who I am
4 referring to when I say that?

5 A. I do.

6 Q. How did you first meet or come to know
7 Ms. Nguyen?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

9 THE WITNESS: I do not remember when
10 I first met her. I came to know her because she tracked
11 me down way back. I cannot remember exactly when. Like
12 2011, 2012. Because of my background and history in
13 information security, she wanted to learn from myself
14 and Dave. She knew about all of the courses I have done
15 with SANS, all of the publications I had been doing, and
16 came to the belief that I was Satoshi.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. Ms. Nguyen deduced on her own that you
19 were Satoshi?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Again you are
21 going beyond the scope. Please tell me what topic it is
22 that you are referring to.

23 MR. FREEDMAN: I am trying to determine a
24 relevant witness and what her knowledge is.

25 MS. MARKOE: That is not within the

1 scope. The scope of number 1, which is the one that you
2 are referring to: "The location and existence of
3 documents along with the identification of witnesses,
4 including information about their whereabouts, and roles
5 in the subject matter of the pleadings."

6 MR. FREEDMAN: Roles in the subject
7 matter of the pleadings.

8 MS. MARKOE: So, let me see the question.
9 (Pause) Fine, you can answer that one. I am going to
10 give you some limited scope here, but let us keep it
11 tight everyone.

12 THE WITNESS: Can you ask that again,
13 please.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Sure. Did Ms. Nguyen determine on her
16 own that you were Satoshi?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

18 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. When did she first meet -- sorry, when
21 did she first come to know Dave Kleiman?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
23 if you know.

24 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. You said she reached out to you and Dave
2 Kleiman in 2011 or 2012?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: Yes.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. How did she reach out to you both?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: I am not trying to sound
9 rude, but the only way to put it she cyberstalked me.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Can you drill down on that a little bit?

12 A. Cyberstalking is well developed as a sort
13 of discipline. She followed me on every bit of social
14 media, e-mailed me a lot, kept asking and talking about
15 what I was doing. Asked lots of questions.

16 Q. Did Ms. Nguyen have a role in W&K?

17 A. W&K is not my company. I cannot talk
18 about W&K.

19 Q. So you are not aware of any role she
20 played with W&K?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
22 his testimony.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Are you aware of any role she played with
25 W&K?

1 A. I believe she was a director.

2 Q. Was Ms. Nguyen ever appointed as a
3 director of any of your companies?

4 A. I believe so.

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Which companies?

8 A. I would need to look at the records.

9 Q. Sitting here today, you are not aware
10 which companies she was a director of?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: I have no idea what the
13 directorships of each of my companies were multiple
14 years ago. I do not know what the directorship of
15 nChain is today.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Did Ms. Nguyen ever become a trustee for
18 you?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: In what sense?

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Was she ever a trustee that you were --
23 strike that. Was she ever the trustee over a trust that
24 you were the beneficiary of?

25 A. What sort of trust are we talking about?

1 Q. Any.

2 A. Then yes.

3 Q. Can you tell me what that trust was
4 about?

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

6 THE WITNESS: That trust was holding a
7 number of slices of early Bitcoin keys.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Does that mean that it controlled
10 Bitcoin?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: Nobody controls Bitcoin.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Does that mean it owned Bitcoin?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: No, that does not mean it
17 owned Bitcoin.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. What was the name of the trust?

20 A. Which trust?

21 Q. How many was Uyen a trust on?

22 A. I do not know.

23 Q. How many are you aware of her being a
24 trustee on?

25 A. At least one.

1 Q. What is the name of that trust?

2 A. There was a trust called the Tulip Trust.

3 Q. Is that trust no longer in existence?

4 A. The trust was formalised early on and is
5 not the informal thing from 2011.

6 Q. I am not following. When was the
7 Tulip Trust created?

8 A. 2011.

9 Q. Who created it?

10 A. Me.

11 Q. Who were the trustees when you created
12 it?

13 A. I do not remember. I would need to look
14 at the document.

15 Q. Where are the documents?

16 A. I do not have the documents.

17 Q. Who has the documents?

18 A. I do not know.

19 Q. Who were the beneficiaries of the
20 Tulip Trust in 2011?

21 A. I do not have the document. I cannot
22 answer that.

23 Q. What assets were controlled by the
24 Tulip Trust in 2011?

25 A. Companies that I hold overseas, such as

1 Wright International Investments that I founded in 2009,
2 and Tulip Trading.

3 Q. What is Tulip Trading?

4 A. It is a company.

5 Q. So, when it was founded in 2011, it never
6 controlled the rights to any Bitcoin?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection: vague.

8 THE WITNESS: That is not what I said.

9 The rights ----

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. How did -- sorry, go ahead.

12 A. The rights to Bitcoin and controlled are
13 different. You are mixing all your bits and pieces,
14 yes.

15 Q. Did the Tulip Trust own any Bitcoin at
16 any point from 2011 until 2013?

17 A. The trust does not own generally. A
18 trust holds in trust.

19 Q. I do not want to get into an argument
20 with you about the structure of trusts, I am just trying
21 to get to the bottom of, did the trust have control over
22 Bitcoin?

23 A. Which Bitcoin?

24 Q. Any Bitcoin.

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. How much Bitcoin did the trust control
2 between 2011 and 2013?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Where is this
4 related to the scope of this deposition?

5 MR. FREEDMAN: Ms. Nguyen is a trustee of
6 the trust and we are authorised under number 6:
7 "Inquiry into the scope of knowledge and information
8 possessed by the individuals." So, I am trying to
9 determine what her scope of knowledge was.

10 MS. MARKOE: Her scope of knowledge is
11 not related to how much Bitcoin the trust controlled.
12 If you would like to ask, do you know if Ms. Nguyen
13 knows how much Bitcoin the trust controlled, you can ask
14 that question and he can answer that question. However,
15 I will instruct him not to answer the question as asked.

16 MR. FREEDMAN: You instruct how you need
17 to instruct.

18 Q. Was Dave Kleiman ever involved with the
19 Tulip Trust?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

21 THE WITNESS: Dave Kleiman was not
22 involved in the Tulip Trust as it is. What you are
23 trying to get at was because I asked him to hold
24 documents for a while does that make him part of the
25 trust. Dave was never a beneficiary of the trust. Dave

1 never put money into the trust. Dave never had any
2 Bitcoin in the trust. Dave never mined any Bitcoin that
3 had anything to do with the trust. None of the Bitcoin
4 was ever involved with any mining in the US. No Bitcoin
5 was post 2010 from that trust. No company Dave owned
6 was involved with the trust. No shares Dave owned was
7 involved with the trust. Nothing Dave owned was
8 involved with the trust. Dave had no rights to the
9 trust, no ownership of the trust, no knowledge of the
10 set-up of the trust. He did not know about the
11 companies in the trust. He did not know about Wright
12 International Investments that I set up in 2009. Dave
13 did not know about any of those details. Dave was asked
14 simply to hold a part of some documents and keys that
15 were split using Shamir's Secret Sharing scheme so that
16 he did not even know what he was actually holding.

17 MS. MARKOE: Can you spell Shamir's for
18 the court reporter, please.

19 THE WITNESS: S-H-A-M-I-R-S.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. Did you put Bitcoin into the trust in
22 2011?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

24 THE WITNESS: I founded the trust.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. Did you put Bitcoin into the trust in
2 2011?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: No.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. Did you put Bitcoin into the trust in
7 2012?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

9 THE WITNESS: No.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Did you ever put Bitcoin into the trust?

12 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

13 THE WITNESS: No.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Did anyone ever put Bitcoin into the
16 trust?

17 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

18 THE WITNESS: No.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Did the Tulip Trust ever come to hold
21 private keys to Bitcoin wallets?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Did it ever come to own or possess
24 private keys to Bitcoin addresses?

25 A. No.

1 Q. What is the relationship between the
2 Tulip Trust and Bitcoin?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Again, where are
4 we on the topics?

5 THE WITNESS: What the hell does that
6 question even mean?

7 MR. FREEDMAN: We are permitted to
8 enquire into Dr. Wright's companies.

9 THE WITNESS: That is not a company.

10 MR. RIVERO: Hold on, Dr. Wright. Tell
11 us what topic or tell us where in the transcripts
12 because we can review the transcript.

13 MR. FREEDMAN: Number 10.

14 MS. MARKOE: Number 10? Can you point
15 out where the Tulip Trust is referenced in the exhibits
16 that are referenced in topic 10? It could be there.
17 I just do not recall.

18 MR. FREEDMAN: We just do not have time,
19 so I guess you are going to instruct him not to answer?

20 MR. RIVERO: If we do not get some
21 connection ----

22 MR. FREEDMAN: The court has authorised
23 us. I do not know which number it is because I do not
24 have it in front of us. I believe Ms. Markoe has been
25 at many hearings where the court has authorised us to

1 enquire into -- let me finish -- Dr. Wright's various
2 entities and trusts. Specifically at the last hearing
3 he authorised us to do because Ms. Markoe refused to
4 turn over a compilation of those entities on
5 work-product grounds and he specifically authorised me
6 to enquire into the trust and companies.

7 MR. RIVERO: With respect ----

8 MS. MARKOE: Right, but ----

9 MR. RIVERO: Let me please address.
10 Counsel today has referred to a rule that does not
11 exist. He has referred to it without a number. Now he
12 refers to transcripts without a certain page number.
13 I have been reviewing transcripts. I do not find the
14 reference. Unless there is a basis, the instruction is
15 do not answer. Let us move on to the next question.

16 MR. FREEDMAN: We will just move on. We
17 will raise it with the court.

18 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 marked for identification)

19 MR. FREEDMAN: For the record,
20 Mr. Rivero, you can look this over later, but just so
21 the record reflects, it is at the last hearing,
22 transcript pages 55 and 56, and I will give you the ----

23 MR. RIVERO: I have the transcript.

24 MR. FREEDMAN: It is for your own
25 knowledge and for the record, we can look at it and

1 discuss it later.

2 MR. RIVERO: Now you have identified a
3 page I will review it and we will come back to it.

4 MR. FREEDMAN: We will return to it.

5 MR. RIVERO: Yes.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Dr. Wright, do you recognise Plaintiff's
8 Exhibit 7 which has been just marked and placed before
9 you?

10 A. I recognise two documents joined
11 together, yes.

12 Q. What are the two documents that are
13 joined together?

14 A. You have deed of loan as a front page.
15 Page 1 of 7, 2 of 7, 3 of 7, 4 of 7, 5 of 7, 6 of 7 of a
16 document, and then page 7 of 7 of a separate document.
17 So, potentially two, if not three, documents, put
18 together as one.

19 Q. Page 7 of 7 belongs to what document?

20 A. Not this one.

21 Q. Do you know what document it does belong
22 to?

23 A. I would need to look at records. I do
24 not know.

25 Q. Looking at the first six pages, which you

1 say are one document; is that correct?

2 A. The first six pages, you mean not the
3 first six, but the cover page does not have a thing, and
4 then that starts at page 1. So, page 2, which is on
5 here as page 3 of 10, page 4 of 10, page 5 of 10, page 6
6 of 10, page 7 of 10, and page 8 of 10 are parts of the
7 same document that is not complete.

8 Q. Sitting here today you have no idea what
9 page 9 of 10 document is -- strike that. Sitting here
10 today you have no idea what page 9 of 10 -- strike that
11 again. Sitting here today you have no idea what
12 document page 9 of 10 belongs to; is that correct?

13 A. That is not what I said.

14 Q. What document does page 9 of 10 belong
15 to?

16 A. A different document that is not this
17 one.

18 Q. Which document?

19 A. I do not have documents in front of me.
20 I cannot match them.

21 Q. So, sitting here today you do not know
22 what that document -- what that page -- what document
23 that page belongs to?

24 A. I cannot match them, no, and page 10 of
25 10 is a separate document as well. You will notice no

1 page numbers or anything like that, so that is also out
2 of -- so there are possibly four documents constructed
3 into one.

4 Q. Who has all the originals of these
5 documents?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Do you have the originals of these
10 documents?

11 A. Unless my lawyers have gone through and
12 found things in boxes, then I do not know.

13 Q. Does Ms. Nguyen have the originals of
14 this document?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: I do not know what
17 Ms. Nguyen has. I have not spoken to Ms. Nguyen in
18 three plus years.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Can you look at page 9 of 10.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. There is a signature at the bottom; is
23 that your signature?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And there is a signature above that; is

1 that Ms. Nguyen's signature?

2 A. I believe so.

3 Q. The handwriting on the right-hand side of
4 all the Bitcoin wallets listed there, whose handwriting
5 is that?

6 A. That looks like mine.

7 Q. Do you recognise what this appendix list
8 of Bitcoin is?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Answer if you
10 can.

11 THE WITNESS: I think you are confounding
12 two different things. There is a random note talking
13 about wallets and a set of addresses. Where I talk
14 about wallets, wallets are files, computer files,
15 etcetera, so you have done a typical error that most
16 people do in calling Bitcoin addresses wallets. So, you
17 have taken two completely separate things, because
18 I have this habit of writing wherever the hell I feel
19 like it, usually over documents people complain that
20 I write on, because I write notes whenever I feel like
21 writing notes, and saying that they are related.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. So, is it your testimony here today that
24 the note in your handwriting on the right-hand side of
25 this document is completely unrelated to the list of

1 Bitcoin block addresses on the left-hand side of the
2 document?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: I cannot say what it is.
5 It is all wallets, and then there is a list of
6 addresses. They are two different things. I have made
7 a note. I would need to look at records to be able to
8 match up what that was. I have left myself a note at
9 some point. I cannot necessarily say what my note was.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Do you have those records that you could
12 look that up?

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

14 THE WITNESS: My lawyers have all the
15 records I have. If anything is in there that goes to
16 further, then that would be there.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. Did you have counsel help you draft this
19 document?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection. He has already
21 testified that this appears to be a compilation of
22 multiple documents that were put together in error.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Did counsel help you draft page 9 of the
25 document?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Answer if you
2 can.

3 THE WITNESS: There is no page 9 of the
4 document. This is a compilation of multiple documents.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. Exhibit. Did counsel help you draft page
7 9 of the exhibit?

8 MS. MARKOE: He is referring to page 9 at
9 the top.

10 THE WITNESS: By "counsel", do you mean
11 my lawyers?

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Yes.

14 A. Possibly. I had lists of different
15 addresses done by lawyers at different times.

16 Q. Which lawyers created lists of different
17 addresses?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

19 THE WITNESS: I do not know which lawyers
20 produced different lists at different times. I have had
21 more lawyers than birthdays!

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Can you list all the lawyers that you
24 have had that helped you draft lists of Bitcoin
25 addresses?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer,
2 to the extent you remember.

3 THE WITNESS: That would be Clayton Utz.
4 There would be M & K. There would be the split off from
5 M & K that I cannot remember the name of -- one of the M
6 & K partners split off and formed his own firm -- and
7 I used both those firms. There would be High Secured.
8 There would be -- I should remember the name. The most
9 famous law firm in Panama that got into the Panama
10 papers, I used them too.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. Do you recall the name?

13 A. No, I do not. I should do, because it
14 was a big thing of discussion including everyone, and
15 I think I blocked it out of my mind because of that.
16 There were more law firms than I care to remember.

17 Q. Can you tell me what you meant by your
18 handwritten note: "As agreed. All wallets ..." What
19 do you mean "All wallets"?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

21 THE WITNESS: "All wallets" means all
22 wallets, as in files, computer files, or other such
23 things, that hold Bitcoin.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. And you say "As agreed". Agreed with

1 who?

2 A. I would need to look at the rest of the
3 document. I am not going to speculate what a page out
4 of a mysterious document, where this is page 7 of 7 that
5 has been attached incorrectly to a different document,
6 means.

7 Q. So, sitting here today you do not recall
8 what "As agreed" means; is that correct?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
10 his testimony.

11 THE WITNESS: I understand what
12 "As agreed" means.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. You do not recall who the agreement was
15 made with?

16 A. This is a note written on a thing that
17 may or may not have any relationship to the original
18 document, that I have one page of addresses, that I do
19 not memorise all the addresses from, that has been
20 constructed between four other documents and handed to
21 me.

22 Q. And: "... held in UK in trust ..." Are
23 you aware, sitting here today, of moving wallets to be
24 held in a UK trust?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Answer if you

1 can.

2 THE WITNESS: No UK trust was ever set
3 up.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. Can you go to page 2 of 10 for me at the
6 top.

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Do you see where it says the last party,
9 Denariuz Seychelles Trust?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Who are the trustees of this trust?

12 A. I do not know.

13 Q. Who are the beneficiaries of this trust?

14 A. Another trust.

15 Q. What is the trust's name that is a
16 beneficiary?

17 A. I would need to look at records.

18 Q. Do you have those records?

19 A. Not on me.

20 Q. Have you given those records to your
21 lawyers?

22 A. I have a box of -- well, actually, I had,
23 I do not know how many boxes. There were many, many
24 boxes that they spent many days going through, and if it
25 is in there, it would be there.

1 Q. And if it is not there?

2 A. When things get closed down, the
3 requirement is for Australian records to be kept for a
4 number of years afterwards and British records to be
5 kept for a number of years afterwards. The Seychelles
6 records requirement is under a year, and once anything
7 hits a period of one year, and the Seychelles trust is
8 no more, it goes the way of anything that is no longer
9 needed to be held, which generally means the shredder.

10 Q. The Denariuz Seychelles Trust, does it no
11 longer exist?

12 A. It no longer exists.

13 Q. When did it cease to exist?

14 A. Probably around December 2013.

15 Q. And the wallet existed, what assets did
16 it hold?

17 A. Again, I could not answer that.

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. So, sitting here today, you have no idea
21 what assets the Denariuz Seychelles Trust held?

22 A. Sitting here today, I could not answer
23 what assets the companies I founded hold.

24 Q. Okay. At the top, "Design by Human Ltd"?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. What is this?

2 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

3 THE WITNESS: It is a company name.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. Was it a trust?

6 A. It is a company.

7 Q. Did it ever change its name?

8 A. You have already covered that one. Yes,
9 Design by Human had changed its name.

10 Q. To? What did it change its name to?

11 A. Again, I do not remember which one is
12 which. We covered that as well. I do not remember
13 which particular one changed its name to C01N or
14 Denariuz, so I would need the records to check those
15 facts, otherwise I will be saying it changed to C01N
16 when in fact it changed to Denariuz and I will get it
17 wrong, and I do not want to do that.

18 Q. Dr. Wright, you keep saying you checked
19 the records but then tell me that you do know where the
20 records are. What would you do if you needed to figure
21 this information out?

22 A. I do not need to figure this information
23 out.

24 Q. Why not?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

1 THE WITNESS: Because we are talking
2 about companies that have been liquidated and no longer
3 need to hold records.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. The assets held by the Denariuz
6 Seychelles Trust where are they currently held?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: Again, I do not even know
9 where the current assets of my current things that
10 I have founded happen to be right now. So you are
11 asking me when I do not know my current company, and
12 what it holds in four continents, where did this other
13 trust that has now gone years ago, where does it have
14 assets that you cannot even tell me what they are.

15 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

16 Q. Under this trust document, Dr. Wright,
17 you are entitled to borrow 650,000 Bitcoin; is that
18 right?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: Which trust document?

21 There is no full document here.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Sorry, I misspoke. I strike that. Under
24 this deed of loan you are entitled to borrow up to
25 650,000 Bitcoin; is that correct?

1 A. The partial deed of loan, yes.

2 Q. Did you in fact borrow 650,000 Bitcoin?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: How does this relate to
5 anything, sorry?

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Dr. Wright, please answer the question
8 unless you are instructed otherwise by your counsel.

9 A. I did not borrow 650,000 Bitcoin.

10 Q. How much did you borrow?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: I do not know how much
13 I actually borrowed.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. To take these loans, did you have to
16 communicate with Ms. Nguyen?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Who was the one who you spoke to in order
19 to take the loans?

20 A. I do not remember his last name. He
21 worked for a company called High Secured. His first was
22 Mark.

23 Q. Was it Mark Ferrier?

24 A. No. He had nothing to do with anything
25 in Panama, nor did he have anything to do with High

1 Secured.

2 Q. Can you go to page 7 of 10 for me.

3 MS. MARKOE: At the top again for the
4 record.

5 MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, at the top, thank
6 you.

7 THE WITNESS: Yes.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Do you see the reference at the bottom to
10 Permanent Success Limited?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. What was Permanent Success Limited?

13 A. A company.

14 Q. Was it related to a trust in any way?

15 A. I do not know.

16 Q. Can you let me know what it says at the
17 bottom there, "and all related trusts"; what does that
18 mean?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: It means any related
21 trusts.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Were there trusts related to Permanent
24 Success Limited?

25 MS. MARKOE: Objection: rule of

1 completeness.

2 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

3 THE WITNESS: I do not know. I cannot
4 take part of a document, and part of other things, and
5 incomplete records and then construct everything you
6 expect me to know. As I have stated before, I do not
7 know the structure of BITC or nChain or nChain Holdings
8 or any other company that exists right now, so I cannot
9 actually even tell you what I have now, and yet you are
10 saying, "What happened years ago?"

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. Do you have any way of contacting Mark
13 from High Secured?

14 A. He is in a federal penitentiary in the
15 USA.

16 Q. Which federal penitentiary?

17 A. I do not know. I did not follow his
18 case.

19 Q. Is there a way you can determine his last
20 name and let us know what it is later?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: You can do searches on High
23 Secured. There is this thing called Google. You go
24 into this task bar, you type in "High Secured", and
25 search.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. Did you pay back the loans that you took
3 under this deed of loan?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

5 THE WITNESS: None of your God damn
6 business. This has nothing to do with anything there.
7 Does it say that it has to be paid back? Does it say
8 what it is? You are asking about the management of a
9 trust that has no relationship to Mr. Kleiman, no
10 relationship to a company Dave Kleiman has worked for,
11 no relationship to anyone who has ever been in the USA
12 as a resident or a citizen at any point in human
13 history, no relationship to anyone who has been in North
14 America from Mexico up in human history, that entire
15 continent. No person who has ever been anything to do
16 with residing or citizenship in that part of the world
17 has had anything at all to do with this trust, assets in
18 this trust, management of this trust, control of this
19 trust, etcetera. And then you want me to talk about
20 incomplete records that have been constructed in bits
21 and chunked together from four different documents as if
22 this is real evidence.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Did you pay back the loans that you took
25 from under this deed of loan, Dr. Wright?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
2 if you can.

3 THE WITNESS: I do not have any records
4 in front of me. I do not have the rest of the records
5 for this, so ----

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. So? Could you finish your response,
8 please.

9 A. So when you can give me all the financial
10 records of things, I will answer against them.

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Okay, withdrawn.
12 I strike my own.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Do you go where Ms. Nguyen is now,
15 Dr. Wright?

16 A. Earth.

17 Q. Do you know where on earth she is?

18 A. I am assuming land.

19 Q. Dr. Wright, I would appreciate if you
20 would co-operate with me so we could get this done. Do
21 you know the whereabouts of Ms. Nguyen?

22 A. I stated earlier I have not had any
23 contact with Ms. Nguyen for over three years. That
24 would generally mean I do not have any knowledge. I can
25 restate in other forms if you want or I can be narky

1 about it.

2 Q. Does Ms. Nguyen still maintain a trust
3 role in relation to companies that are related to you?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

5 THE WITNESS: No.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. She is no longer a trustee of any trusts
8 related to you?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

10 THE WITNESS: That is what I just said.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. When did she stop becoming a trustee of
13 trusts related to you?

14 A. 2015.

15 Q. Did you help Ms. Nguyen disappear?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: You are presuming that she
18 has disappeared. I do not know. You are asking me
19 about someone I have not had contact with. My sister --
20 I have not had contact with my older sister in four
21 years. She has not disappeared. She is a hippy, and
22 I am a hypercapitalist. We get on like oil, water,
23 petrol and a match. But my mother would know so she has
24 not actually disappeared.

25 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 8 marked for identification)

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. Dr. Wright, I am handing you what has
3 been marked now as Plaintiff's Exhibit 8. This is some
4 exchange of e-mails between you and Ira Kleiman; do you
5 recognise that?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Can you go to 3 of 5 of the document?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Do you see there at the bottom it says:
10 "1.) GICSR Trust in Belize"?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Can you explain to me what the GICSR
13 trust in Belize is?

14 A. It was a trust set up in Belize.

15 Q. By whom?

16 A. I do not know.

17 Q. Why did you give this information to Ira?

18 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Why was this information relevant to Ira?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

23 THE WITNESS: There was a person

24 I thought would be interested in Dave's past, which was
25 his father, who then put me onto Ira, who was a greedy

1 person who wished not to have shares that would vest
2 over a long time but instructed me to hide assets
3 because he would have to pay tax. So, I stopped talking
4 to Ira because basically I had this fraud, con man,
5 trying to take money that he was not owed and trying to
6 hide things from the tax office in America and lying and
7 cheating and whatever else to make up things to try and
8 get more.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. Dr. Wright, I do not understand how that
11 is related to my question, so let us try ----

12 A. It is related perfectly well.

13 Q. Let us try one more time. Did Dave
14 Kleiman have anything to do with the GICSR trust in
15 Belize?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. What was his relationship to the GICSR
18 trust in Belize?

19 A. We organised putting information onto
20 computers because of it.

21 Q. I am sorry, what type of information?

22 A. This is, again, something we will need to
23 talk about with the judge.

24 MS. MARKOE: Okay. That is going to be
25 one of the in camera conversations.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. Okay, Dr. Wright, are there reasons ----

3 A. What I will say is there a reason if you
4 look at the GICSR website that used to be up in the
5 past, it had Department of Homeland Security, NSA and
6 other things on the website.

7 Q. Do you know Deborah Kobza from GICSR?

8 MS. MARKOE: Can you spell that for the
9 court reporter.

10 MR. FREEDMAN: D-E-B-O-R-A-H -- I could
11 not tell you. K-O-B-Z-A, I think.

12 THE WITNESS: Not personally.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Can you look at page 2 of 5, please.

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Can you look at the message that comes
17 from Ira to you at March 2nd, 2014. Can you read that
18 for the record?

19 A. "From: '----'".

20 Q. Dr. Wright, please just read the body of
21 the e-mail.

22 A. "Just to clarify on thoughts from
23 previous e-mail... In one of the email exchanges between
24 Dave and you, he mentioned that you had 1 million
25 Bitcoins in the trust and since you said he has 300,000

1 as his part I was figuring the other 700,000 is yours.

2 Is that correct? Ira."

3 Q. Can you read above that your response at
4 March 1st, 2014 at 3 p.m.?

5 A. Mine. "Around that. Minus what was
6 needed for the company's use."

7 Q. So, where is the 300,000 that belonged to
8 Dave?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Can you tie that
10 to one your topics, please?

11 MR. FREEDMAN: 4: "The location and
12 duration of Dave, W&K and Craig's mining of Bitcoin from
13 2009 until 2013."

14 MS. MARKOE: You are not talking about
15 mining now, you are talking about actual Bitcoin. Those
16 are two separate topics. This does not relate to number
17 4.

18 MR. FREEDMAN: Okay, so either instruct
19 him not to answer or allow the question.

20 MS. MARKOE: I am going to instruct him
21 not to answer.

22 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

23 Q. Can you go down to the February 28th,
24 2014 e-mail.

25 A. Mmm-hmm.

1 Q. You say: "The trust Dave setup should
2 have around 300,000." Do you see that?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Is that 300,000 Bitcoin?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Where is the trust Dave set up?

7 A. Dave set up a series of trusts as well.
8 One was in Belize, which was not GICSR, he also had one
9 in Panama and companies in Costa Rica.

10 Q. Do you have any information on who helped
11 him set those up?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Can you read the next sentence for me?

14 A. "We moved everything offshore as a result
15 of my early fight with the Tax office. This was back in
16 2011. The BTC would be on a server on hard drive, just
17 the rights are overseas."

18 Q. Here you say: "We moved everything
19 offshore"?

20 A. I use a royal "we" all the time, so if
21 you are taking "we", "we" rarely means, for me, multiple
22 people. I talk. As my lawyers keep instructing me,
23 stop saying "we".

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: I say "we" all the time.

1 MS. MARKOE: Do go into what we talked
2 about.

3 THE WITNESS: Sorry.

4 MS. MARKOE: Our conversations are
5 privileged. And there is just a correction.

6 THE WITNESS: I was not talking just
7 about you!

8 MS. MARKOE: Nonetheless, any
9 conversations you have with lawyers are privileged, the
10 contents thereof.

11 MR. RIVERO: Move to strike your client's
12 testimony.

13 MR. FREEDMAN: Any move to just strike is
14 objected to.

15 MS. MARKOE: Also, I would just like to
16 point out that there is an error in the transcript. It
17 says "really means", and he said "rarely means".

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. So is it your testimony here today,
20 Dr. Wright, that when you used "we" here, you were
21 referring only to yourself?

22 A. Independently Dave set up his own trust.

23 Q. I am talking about your use of the word
24 "we".

25 A. I am talking, and explaining this.

1 I moved my things, Dave moved his things, independently.
2 We did not do it together. It was a quick, flippant
3 e-mail to a con man who will take things out of context.
4 Basically, as this says, BTC would be on a server or
5 hard drive. My suspicion is that it is the one Dave had
6 with him at nearly all time.

7 Q. Can you go to page 4 for me. Can you
8 look at, toward the bottom of the page, it says: "Look
9 up Wotty - it is not a mistake"; do you see that?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. What is Wotty?

12 A. It is a word.

13 Q. Why is it not a mistake?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: What do you mean, why is it
16 not a mistake?

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. This is an e-mail that Dave Kleiman sent
19 to you; is that correct?

20 A. That is what it appears to be.

21 Q. Did you know what Dave Kleiman meant when
22 he wrote to you: "Look up Wotty - it is not a mistake"?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Answer if you
24 can.

25 THE WITNESS: I am being told to look up

1 the word "Wotty".

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Did you know what Dave Kleiman meant when
4 he told you this?

5 A. Yes, he asked me to look up the word
6 "Wotty."

7 Q. Did you understand the implication of
8 what that meant?

9 A. Yes, it meant I would go to probably
10 volume 20 of the Oxford greater dictionary.

11 Q. Dr. Wright, I mean what Dave Kleiman
12 meant when he -- let me phrase it another way. Why did
13 Dave Kleiman want you to look up the word "Wotty"?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Answer if you
15 can.

16 THE WITNESS: You are asking me why
17 someone else asked me to look up something.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Do you know? If the answer is no, then
20 just say no.

21 A. Because he did silly things like that and
22 so did I. So, my suspicion is without looking it up and
23 trying to figure out, because I cannot remember what
24 Wotty actually is, I would need to look up the word
25 again and try and guess what he was saying.

1 MR. FREEDMAN: Can we take a five-minute
2 break. I need a drink of water.

3 MS. MARKOE: Sure.

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
5 The time is 18.50.

6 (A Short Break)

7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning
8 of video card number 7, volume 1, in the video
9 deposition of Dr. Craig Wright. Going on the record.
10 The time is 19.05. Thank you.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. Dr. Wright, who is Ian Grigg?

13 A. Ian Grigg is a person currently involved
14 with the cryptocurrency called EOS.

15 Q. Have you ever met Ian Grigg?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Did Ian have any involvement in the
18 development of the Bitcoin protocol or the Satoshi
19 client?

20 A. Involvement, as I said, is a big word.
21 Ian Grigg wrote a whole lot of things, like Ricardian
22 contracts. I have used some of Ian Grigg's writings.
23 I have used contacts I got from Ian. I have used other
24 such things. Bitcoin was not developed because of Ian
25 but I used some of the things that Ian had published.

1 Q. Did you converse directly with Ian before
2 the public posting on the Satoshi client?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection, but you can
4 answer.

5 THE WITNESS: I talked to Ian in the
6 '90s, which had nothing to do with Bitcoin.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. Did you talk to Ian about -- strike that.
9 Was Ian aware that you were Satoshi Nakamoto?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection: foundation.

11 THE WITNESS: I cannot state his state of
12 mind.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Did you reveal yourself as Satoshi
15 Nakamoto to Ian Grigg?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: I did not reveal myself to
18 anyone. It was revealed.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. Did you tell Ian Grigg that you were the
21 creator of Bitcoin?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: I did not tell anyone until
24 this year that I was the creator of Bitcoin.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. Do you know when Ian Grigg came to learn
2 that you were Satoshi Nakamoto?

3 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

4 THE WITNESS: Strike the last one.

5 I have talked to my wife, but that is a different
6 matter, and I have talked to Dave, so they are anyone,
7 but, I mean, outside of the people that we are not
8 talking about, generally, in public, I did not talk to
9 anyone. Ian Grigg came to believe that some time on his
10 own. I do not remember the exact timing of that.
11 I know I had been talking to him about Bitcoin before
12 all the outing, etcetera. I do not know when he decided
13 that I was.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Do you know whether Ian Grigg knew Dave
16 Kleiman?

17 A. I believe he did. I do not know.

18 Q. Do you know whether Ian Grigg and Dave
19 Kleiman had any direct correspondence?

20 A. I do not know. Dave was known by
21 practically everyone in the industry.

22 Q. To your best knowledge, does Ian Grigg
23 have any personal knowledge concerning the use of the
24 Satoshi e-mail addresses?

25 A. I do not know what Ian knows. I have not

1 talked to Ian since he started bloody EOS.

2 Q. When you first met Joseph Vaughn Perling,
3 did you introduce yourself as Satoshi Nakamoto?

4 A. I do not remember what I said I was.
5 I used a number of silly pseudonyms in the past, Satoshi
6 being one of them, Toshi being another one, Toshi Gati
7 being another one. Yes, I used a lot of Japanese
8 pseudonyms.

9 Q. Did Mr. Vaughn Perling have any
10 involvement in the development of the Satoshi client?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: I do not know what he did
13 online. I believe he probably did. He was very
14 interested in this. He was one of the reasons that
15 I stayed secret as long as I did.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Mr. Vaughn Perling knew you were Satoshi
18 before the world did?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: That is not what I said.

21 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

22 Q. Did Mr. Vaughn Perling know you were
23 Satoshi Nakamoto before the world did?

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Vaughn Perling
3 knows Uyen Nguyen?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: I believe he does.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. Do you know when they came to meet?

8 A. I do not know that.

9 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Vaughn Perling
10 knows about the Tulip Trust?

11 A. I believe he does.

12 Q. Is Mr. Vaughn Perling a trustee of the
13 Tulip Trust?

14 A. No, he is not.

15 Q. Is he a trustee of any trust related to
16 you?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Do you know a gentleman named G Mark
19 Hardy?

20 A. G Mark Hardy? The name is familiar.

21 Q. Do you ever e-mail with him?

22 A. If I have in the past, I do not any more.

23 Q. Do you know whether G Mark Hardy is a
24 trustee of any trust related to you?

25 A. He is not. Oh, Mark Hardy he is from the

1 tax office.

2 Q. No, this is a different Mark Hardy. G
3 Mark Hardy I am talking about.

4 A. G Mark Hardy?

5 Q. Did Nick Szabo have any involvement in
6 the development of the Bitcoin protocol?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: Nick Szabo ----

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. Let me clarify that though before, and I
11 do not mean that you used his prior work. I mean, did
12 Nick Szabo have any direct involvement in the programing
13 of the Satoshi client?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
15 if you can.

16 THE WITNESS: Nick Szabo could not
17 program himself out of a wet paper bag if he was given
18 his children about to be hung and he had to save himself
19 by getting out of the wet paper bag, and having to type
20 a simple one-line C code. He did not have anything to
21 do with Bitcoin. He does not understand Bitcoin. He
22 has no clue about what Bitcoin is, how it works or
23 anything more. He is probably the most clueless guy who
24 has latched on to Bitcoin ever.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. Am I understanding you correctly, that
2 Satoshi Nakamoto would have to have a deep understanding
3 of C computer language?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: C++.

6 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

7 Q. C++; is that correct?

8 A. Yes.

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Did you ever e-mail with Jeff Garzik
12 about the Satoshi client?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Before it was released or after it was
15 released?

16 A. I do not believe Jeff was e-mailed before
17 it was released. I do not think he was on that list.

18 Q. Did there come a time -- strike that.
19 Did Mr. Garzik learn you were Satoshi before the world
20 learned you were Satoshi?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: I do not know. I did not
23 ever tell him.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. Did you ever discuss the amount of

1 Bitcoin you had with Mr. Garzik?

2 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

3 THE WITNESS: No.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. Did you ever discuss the Tulip Trust with
6 Mr. Garzik?

7 A. No.

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. Did you discuss any trust with
11 Mr. Garzik?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Garzik and Dave
14 Kleiman had any direct communication between 2009 and
15 2013?

16 A. Dave was on IRC groups that Jeff was on.
17 More than that, I could not say.

18 Q. Have you ever met with Gavin Andresen?

19 A. I have.

20 Q. Did you ever speak with Gavin Andresen
21 about you being Satoshi Nakamoto?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

23 THE WITNESS: I did.

24 MS. MARKOE: Craig, just give me a minute
25 to object before you answer so we are not driving the

1 court reporter crazy, please.

2 THE WITNESS: Sorry, yes.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. Did you ever discuss the amount of
5 Bitcoin that you have with Mr. Andresen?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

7 THE WITNESS: No.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Did you ever discuss the Tulip Trust with
10 Mr. Andresen?

11 A. I do not believe so.

12 Q. Did you ever discuss any other trusts
13 with Mr. Andresen?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

15 THE WITNESS: No, and I do not discuss my
16 trusts with anyone outside my family, unless I am
17 required to by law.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Do you know if Uyen Nguyen ever reached
20 out to Mr. Andresen?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection: foundation.

22 THE WITNESS: No.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Do you know if she would have a reason to
25 reach out to Mr. Andresen?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection: foundation.

2 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. Doctor, I want to direct your attention
5 the Australian Tax Office investigations. How many
6 investigations were undertaken by the tax office of
7 yourself personally?

8 A. I do not know.

9 Q. How many investigations were undertaken
10 by the tax office of your companies?

11 A. I do not know.

12 Q. Are you -- let me rephrase that question.
13 How many investigations are you aware that the tax
14 office has conducted against yourself?

15 A. I do not know. I do not know.

16 Q. And are you aware of how many
17 investigations the tax office has conducted against your
18 companies?

19 A. No. What I do know is, for instance, on
20 myself, they have taken me to court multiple times, and
21 multiple times they have been forced basically to
22 apologise. Multiple times they have doctored records.
23 They have constructed records. They have done anything
24 possible, since the time I told them about Bitcoin,
25 before it was called Bitcoin, to basically find

1 something to get me on. Because little things like
2 where I said Bitcoin means we do not need as many
3 auditors because it gets rid of fraud, means that they
4 do not like what it is.

5 Q. Dr. Wright, you swore to the court in the
6 Southern District of Florida that you do not have any
7 Australian Tax Office documents; do you recall that?

8 A. No, I do not. Can you show me the
9 document.

10 MR. FREEDMAN: Sure. Let us take a
11 break. I will go get it for you.

12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
13 The time is 19.15.

14 (A Short Break)
15 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 marked for identification)

16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the
17 record. The time is 19.31. Thank you.

18 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

19 Q. Dr. Wright, before the break we were
20 discussing a sworn statement you submitted to the court,
21 and now you have what has been marked as Plaintiff's
22 Exhibit 9.

23 A. I do.

24 Q. If you would turn, please, to page 4.

25 A. Page 4 of 7.

1 Q. Okay. If you read for me ----

2 MS. MARKOE: And that is at the top;
3 correct?

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. Do you recognise this as your sworn
6 statement?

7 A. I do.

8 Q. Do you recognise that at the very
9 beginning of this statement you swore: "I, Craig
10 Wright, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws
11 of United States of America that the following is true
12 and correct"?

13 A. I do.

14 Q. And if you see on page 4 of this
15 document, paragraph 18, can you read that for me?

16 A. Sorry, number 19?

17 Q. Number 18.

18 A. 18. "I have no documents in my
19 possession from any ATO investigation. To the extent
20 that my attorneys have any documents from any ATO
21 investigation related to me, those documents would be
22 located in Australia."

23 Q. So, Dr. Wright, here you have sworn that
24 you have no documents in your possession from any ATO
25 investigation; is that correct?

1 A. That is correct.

2 Q. But that is not entirely true; is that
3 not right?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

5 THE WITNESS: I am sorry, I object to the
6 fact personally that you are implying that I have
7 perjured myself or lied. I do not have documents from
8 any ATO investigation at all. I do not have them now;
9 I did not have them in the past.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. Dr. Wright, are you aware that your
12 lawyers have produced documents from the Australian Tax
13 Office investigation that they collected from your
14 house?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: No, they have corporate
17 documents and e-mails back and forwards from the ATO.
18 You are saying that I have investigation files. I do
19 not.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. Okay, so I am trying to understand
22 exactly what you have and what you do not have. Can you
23 tell me what it is you do have in regards to the
24 Australian Tax Office investigation?

25 A. I have what my lawyers have, which is not

1 ATO documents, or documents from an investigation.

2 Q. In this you say that to the extent that
3 your attorneys have documents from the ATO, those
4 documents would be located in Australia. Which
5 attorneys are those?

6 MS. MARKOE: You can identify the names
7 of the attorneys. You cannot identify the contents of
8 the conversations.

9 THE WITNESS: I do not know which
10 documents would be with which attorneys.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. You swore that you have no documents in
13 your possession from any ATO investigation. What
14 documents did you mean that you do not have and what
15 documents do you have?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection: compound.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. What documents do you not have in your
19 possession from the ATO?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

21 A. I am a scientist, I cannot answer a
22 negative. I do not know what documents I do not have.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. You said: "I have no documents in my
25 possession from any ATO investigation." What did you

1 mean?

2 A. An ATO investigation is where a group of
3 federal investigators decide to investigate. That would
4 be material from what the ATO has. That would be things
5 such as records of the ATO. They can be given to you
6 after an investigation has happened. You can ask for
7 them. For instance, I could have, when I won the case
8 in 2012, asked for records. I did not.

9 Q. So you have the ability to ask the
10 Australian Tax Office for records?

11 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
12 the testimony.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Do you have the ability to ask the
15 Australian Tax Office for records?

16 A. I am an Australian citizen and I have my
17 rights under Australian law which includes asking
18 government officials, including freedom of information
19 and personal records, to be delivered to me, yes.

20 Q. Did you ask for those records to be
21 collected from the Australian Tax Office when responding
22 to discovery requests in this lawsuit?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

24 THE WITNESS: No.

25 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

1 Q. I believe the witness answered the
2 question. Can you answer again?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Dr. Wright, have you asked your attorneys
5 to collect documents from -- have you asked your
6 attorneys whether they hold any Australian Tax Office
7 documents?

8 MR. RIVERO: Objection.

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection. I am instructing
10 the witness not to answer. Communications between
11 counsel are privileged and are not to be disclosed.

12 MR. FREEDMAN: Requesting whether or not
13 his lawyers have documents in the investigation?

14 MS. MARKOE: Your question was:
15 "Dr. Wright, have you asked your attorneys to collect
16 documents ..." That is ----

17 MR. FREEDMAN: I disagree, but let me see
18 if I can make it so you do not object.

19 Q. Dr. Wright, have you contacted your
20 Australian counsel to determine whether they have
21 documents in their possession from an Australian Tax
22 Office investigation?

23 A. No.

24 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

25 THE WITNESS: And nor would I be sort of

1 able to at the moment, because I resigned as a director
2 of all those companies before the end of those
3 companies.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. Who took over the directorship after you
6 resigned?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can respond
8 if you recall.

9 THE WITNESS: That would be in public
10 records.

11 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

12 Q. So you do not know sitting here today?

13 A. I do not follow-up these things. I did
14 not look at the shareholding after I left. Again, as
15 I said, I really do not care what it is after I have
16 done whatever else, as long as things get run and things
17 happened. I do not care. It is magic.

18 Q. Dr. Wright, have you ever met
19 Ross Ulbricht in person?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

21 MR. RIVERO: Can we ask what ----

22 MR. FREEDMAN: Identification of the
23 witnesses and their knowledge base.

24 MS. MARKOE: It has already been pretty
25 well established that Ross Ulbricht has no relevance. I

1 will allow him to answer this question. Tread lightly,
2 please.

3 THE WITNESS: Yes, once.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. What was that meeting about?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You do not need
7 to answer that question.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Did that meeting involve Bitcoin?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

11 THE WITNESS: I mentioned Bitcoin.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. In what way did you mention Bitcoin?

14 MS. MARKOE: Objection. I am going to
15 instruct the witness not to answer. It goes beyond the
16 scope, unless you can point me to something.

17 MR. FREEDMAN: To determine
18 Ross Ulbricht's knowledge. It is clearly within the
19 scope.

20 MS. MARKOE: It talks about his role in
21 the subject matter, not about his ----

22 MR. FREEDMAN: No, no. Where is the
23 list? 6. Sorry, that is the wrong one, my apologies.
24 It is 1. So, the question was: "In what way did you
25 mention Bitcoin?"

1 MS. MARKOE: Right, and I am instructing
2 him ----

3 MR. FREEDMAN: You are instructing him
4 not to answer.

5 MS. MARKOE: I am instructing him not to
6 answer.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. When did this meeting take place?

9 MS. MARKOE: If you can recall, you can
10 answer.

11 THE WITNESS: I do not recall exactly.
12 It was at the Bondi Icebergs, so therefore I pretty much
13 say not in the middle of winter.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Do you know what year it was?

16 A. It would be 2010 off the top of my head.

17 Q. Did Dave know you discussed Bitcoin with
18 Ross Ulbricht?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

20 THE WITNESS: Dave's not my wife. I do
21 not sit there and go, "Hey, Dave, I discussed something
22 with this guy who one day will be famous for doing shit
23 because he is a criminal."

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. I just asked the question, Dr. Wright,

1 whether or not Dave knew you had spoken with Ross
2 Ulbricht about Bitcoin. If the answer is no, it is no.
3 If it is yes, it is yes. Please answer the question?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection ----

5 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

6 MS. MARKOE: ---- you are asking him to
7 get into someone else's head. He can answer if he
8 knows.

9 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

10 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

11 Q. You do not know; okay. Did you ever tell
12 him you spoke to Ross Ulbricht about Bitcoin?

13 A. No. I did not like Ross Ulbricht.

14 Q. Why did you not like Ross Ulbricht?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 MR. FREEDMAN: He said he did not like
17 him.

18 MS. MARKOE: I am going to instruct the
19 witness not to answer.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. Have you ever communicated with Ross
22 Ulbricht by e-mail or other communications protocol?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

24 THE WITNESS: No, I did not like him.

25 I did not really try and communicate with people I do

1 not like.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Did you use Liberty Reserve with Dave
4 Kleiman?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Did you ever send money to Dave Kleiman
7 through Liberty Reserve?

8 A. I sent money to a -- well, I instructed a
9 group to send money to a group that Dave Kleiman was
10 involved.

11 Q. Which group did you instruct?

12 A. Craig Wright R&D.

13 Q. Which one?

14 A. Panama.

15 Q. And you instructed Craig Wright R&D
16 Panama to send money to who?

17 A. Dave's company in Panama.

18 Q. Which was?

19 A. I cannot remember off the top of my head.
20 I would need to see the record.

21 Q. Where do those records exist?

22 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer
23 if you know.

24 THE WITNESS: I believe the lawyers have
25 taken a copy.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. How many times did you instruct Craig
3 Wright R&D to send money to Dave's company in Panama?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
5 if you know.

6 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. How much money did you instruct Craig
9 Wright R&D to send to Dave's company in Panama?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Is this at a
11 particular time or is this overall or over the course of
12 a period time? Your question is unclear.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. You said that you instructed Craig Wright
15 R&D, so at all times, how many times -- well, you know
16 what, strike that. How much money in total did you
17 instruct Craig Wright R&D to transfer to Dave's company
18 in Panama in the transaction you referenced earlier?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer
20 if you understand.

21 THE WITNESS: I do not remember the exact
22 amount. It was like, I think it was about US\$5 million.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Why did you have Craig Wright R&D make
25 this transfer?

1 A. To have machines built.

2 Q. What type of machines?

3 A. HPCs.

4 Q. What purpose were you building HPCs for?

5 A. To test scaling.

6 Q. Scaling for what?

7 A. Bitcoin.

8 Q. And when you say scaling, does that mean
9 bigger blocks?

10 A. That is the only way Bitcoin scales.

11 Q. Did Dave make the machines?

12 A. No.

13 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

14 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

15 Q. Why not?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. Do you know why Dave did not make the
19 machines?

20 A. Because to make the machines would
21 basically mean that you have a company that goes out
22 there and smelts iron and forms that into shapes and
23 then has silicon fabs and ----

24 Q. Dr. Wright, did he cause them to be made?
25 I think you understood what I meant.

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You cannot
2 testify as to what our client understood.

3 MR. RIVERO: And you cannot cut-off the
4 answer.

5 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

6 Q. Did Dave cause the machines to be built?

7 A. You want to know if Dave or Dave's
8 company bought them and I am going to have to interrupt
9 this way because I cannot stand this any more. He did
10 not cause them to be built. That would be an incorrect
11 characterisation, because companies make machines and
12 then they sell them. He caused a number of machines to
13 be sent through grey markets from SGI, and then people
14 put them together.

15 Q. And what happened to those machines?

16 A. The last I know of, the American
17 government has them.

18 Q. How did the American government come to
19 possess the machines?

20 A. The American government started a number
21 of investigations. One was into High Secured where they
22 have arrested the founders, another was into Arthur
23 Budovsky in Liberty Reserve, and due to money laundering
24 charges, a lot of people were arrested.

25 Q. So, of the 5 million that you caused to

1 be transferred, do you know approximately how much of it
2 Dave Kleiman spent on purchasing these machines?

3 A. All of my machines ended up costing
4 around 60 million.

5 Q. So the full 5 million was spent?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
7 the testimony.

8 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

9 Q. Was the full 5 million spent?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer
11 if you understand.

12 THE WITNESS: I would assume so, but
13 I did not actually do that, and Dave obviously managed
14 to get something somewhere and other people got money
15 together to put, well, all those machines together. So,
16 therefore, someone spent money. Either that or there is
17 a debt, and which I do not care because it is not my
18 company.

19 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 marked for identification)

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. Mr. Wright, I have handed you what has
22 been marked now as Plaintiff's Exhibit 10.

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Do you recognise what these are?

25 A. Yes, they are a statement of claim.

1 Q. Who is the plaintiff in this action?

2 A. The plaintiff is Craig Steven Wright.

3 Q. Is that yourself?

4 A. Yes, via ---

5 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

6 THE WITNESS: Yes, via a business trust.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. What business trust?

9 A. The one associated with ABN 97 481 146
10 384.

11 Q. Can you go with me to page 3?

12 MS. MARKOE: Are we talking about on the
13 top?

14 MR. FREEDMAN: On the top.

15 MS. MARKOE: On the top.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Paragraph 1 says that "the plaintiff",
18 Craig Steven Wright, "provided contract labour services
19 to the defendant." Do you see that?

20 A. I see that.

21 Q. What were the labour services you
22 provided?

23 A. The document is badly drafted.

24 Q. Who drafted this document?

25 A. Myself.

1 Q. What does it mean to say, or why is it
2 badly drafted?

3 A. Because some of the things were in error,
4 it was rushed, I was trying to get through a document so
5 that I could simply just state the intellectual property
6 that I had and start moving forward.

7 Q. So, is the sentence, "Between 2011 and
8 2013 the plaintiff provided contract labour services to
9 the defendant" incorrect?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection. What topic are
11 we talking about now?

12 MR. FREEDMAN: These are the Australian
13 tax proceedings -- sorry, the Australian court
14 proceedings.

15 MS. MARKOE: The Australian court
16 proceedings, so that would be number 7, allows inquiry
17 into individuals and entities identified in the
18 proceedings, along with what documents exist relevant to
19 the lawsuit and where those documents are held. These
20 questions do not address those topics.

21 MR. FREEDMAN: He is saying the contract
22 was badly drafted, so I am trying to understand what it
23 was about so I can ask ----

24 THE WITNESS: I did not say contract.

25 MR. FREEDMAN: Sorry, statement of claim.

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection. I am going to
2 instruct him not to answer. Your inquiry can be limited
3 to those specific topics.

4 MR. FREEDMAN: Okay.

5 Q. Can you go down to paragraph 5, please.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. "By contract dated" -- can you read
8 paragraph 5 for me, please?

9 A. "By contract dated 8 January 2009, the
10 Defendant agreed to pay the Plaintiff for property and
11 consulting services to complete research. The contract
12 was bonded against the intellectual property of the
13 defendant."

14 Q. Where is that contract between Craig
15 Steven Wright and W&K Info Defense Research?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

17 THE WITNESS: As stated, there was an
18 error in drafting.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. So there is no contract?

21 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
22 the testimony. You may answer.

23 THE WITNESS: No, I have the wrong date.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. What date was it supposed to be?

1 A. I do not remember the date of the
2 contract.

3 Q. Is it one of the contracts we looked at
4 today?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Is there anything else wrong with the
7 document?

8 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

9 THE WITNESS: I do not know. I would
10 need to read through everything line-by-line and match
11 it all up.

12 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

13 Q. Can you look at paragraph 7, please.

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Can you read it for me?

16 A. "The plaintiff conducted a project for
17 the development of a Bitcoin SDK in exchange".

18 Q. What is SDK?

19 A. Software development kit.

20 Q. Do any documents exist as to this
21 development, software development kit?

22 A. Again, this is an error. The plaintiff
23 is mixed up with the defendant.

24 Q. So, W&K conducted a project for the
25 development of the Bitcoin SDK?

1 A. Again what you are doing is the initial
2 horrible, horrible statement of claim that I had to go
3 into court and have multiple other documents done to
4 correct because we were not expecting anything fought,
5 it was just to basically end a contract saying anything
6 that W&K has they can keep; the things I have got,
7 I keep. We all move on, happy, the end.

8 Q. So is it fair to say that you were trying
9 to get this done and it is not accurate?

10 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: This is part of a
12 proceedings.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Why did you file a statement of claim
15 that was inaccurate?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection. I am going to
17 instruct the witness not to answer. You are limited in
18 this deposition. You are going to get another bite at
19 this apple in terms of a full merits deposition of
20 Dr. Wright. Move on. Limit your questions to the
21 topics you identified to the court and the court
22 approved.

23 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

24 Q. Can you go to page 9, please, for me and
25 paragraph 1.

1 A. And again, the same errors were made when
2 these were filed.

3 Q. I need to know if any documents exist, so
4 I am trying to understand what it is really supposed to
5 say. So you say it is an error. What should it say?

6 A. I do not know what the court may or may
7 not have document-wise. A lot of documents were
8 produced for the court. A lot of changes were made.
9 The register required that I went back several times and
10 corrected things. I handed all those documents to the
11 register. I do not know what the court has or has not
12 kept.

13 Q. I want to know what you have kept?

14 A. If my lawyers have it, I have it.

15 Q. Which lawyers would this be?

16 A. These ones right here.

17 Q. Can you go down to paragraph 3, please.
18 You said -- can you read paragraph ----

19 MR. RIVERO: This I think ----

20 MR. FREEDMAN: Let us go off the record.

21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.

22 The time is 19.53.

23 (A Short Break)

24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the
25 record. The time is 19.55.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. Can I direct your attention to paragraph
3 3.

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And can you read that for me?

6 A. Again, this is the same error as before.
7 The wrong date is in this version of the statement of
8 claim.

9 Q. Did you take the 27th October 2008 date
10 from a document?

11 A. There is no 27th October 2008 document
12 that I know of. It could have been taken from a
13 different document and put in in error. This does not
14 refer to that document.

15 Q. Can you go to 6, please, on page 10 at
16 the top.

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Can you read 6 for me?

19 A. "In May 2013 the primary director of the
20 defendant died leaving the project not transferred to
21 the plaintiff and not returning funds. These funds were
22 rated as: a. TTA 01 ----"

23 Q. That is fine. Just 6, not the a, b, c,
24 d. There is then a list of funds below that in a, b, c
25 and d; is that not correct?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Do any documents exist that validate that
3 these funds were provided?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection: mischaracterises
5 the document and what it states. You can answer.

6 MR. FREEDMAN: You can answer.

7 THE WITNESS: Basically, this says funds
8 were meant to be given from Department of Homeland
9 Security if Dave had gone through with things.
10 Unfortunately Dave did not continue with the filing
11 after he went into hospital, so the payment lapsed.
12 This was not funds from me, this was funds that would
13 have been completed. I completed those things, the
14 papers are published, and the other material was
15 produced.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. You said the payment lapsed. What
18 payment are you referring to?

19 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You can answer.

20 THE WITNESS: The Department of Homeland
21 Security fund that Dave was there, which was because he
22 was a veteran. None of that can be filed on a veteran
23 who is dead, and I believe part of the problem was he
24 did not file any taxes at all in any of the companies,
25 which invalidated any of the things he was going for.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. Could you look at 8 for me?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Can you read it for the record?

5 A. "The contract set the interest rate at 8%
6 calculated annually."

7 Q. Can you tell me what contract sets the
8 interest rate at 8% annually?

9 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

10 THE WITNESS: When you are talking about
11 New South Wales, the New South Wales contract rate was
12 about 8%. It fluctuates between 7 and 9%. This is a
13 court proceeding-type thing and if you are talking about
14 setting government mandated things we have high interest
15 rates in Australia because we have a crappy banana
16 republic-type economic.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. So, "the contract" is not a reference to
19 the actual contract that is between you and W&K?

20 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

21 THE WITNESS: When you are stating that
22 certain things apply as in jurisdiction in Australia and
23 this sort of X, Y, Z, then it also implies interest,
24 which, when you are putting interest -- when you are
25 doing this sort of stuff, has to be put into court for

1 statement of claim.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Can you look at 13 for me, please?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Can you read it for the record?

6 A. "The IP is software and code used by the
7 US Military, [Department of Homeland Security] and other
8 associated parties."

9 Q. Do any documents exist that substantiate
10 that this ----

11 A. I will have to take this offline.

12 Q. Does this relate to the matters you want
13 to speak to the court about in camera?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Can you go to page 13 for me. The
16 signature in the middle of the page, is that your
17 signature?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Can you go to page 6 for me. Is that
20 signature in the middle of the page your signature?

21 A. Yes.

22 MR. FREEDMAN: I need a drink and a
23 bathroom break. If we could ----

24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.

25 The time is 19.59.

1 (A Short Break)

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the
3 record. The time is 20.09. Thank you.

4 THE JUDGE: (By Telephone) Okay, counsel,
5 what can I do for you today?

6 MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honour, this is
7 Mr. Freedman. We had a couple of questions that the
8 witness has either just refused to answer or has been
9 instructed not to answer, and there is one particular
10 issue that I will let defence counsel talk to you about,
11 but ----

12 THE JUDGE: Okay.

13 MR. FREEDMAN: ---- if I could raise the
14 questions that the witness has refused to answer or has
15 been instructed not to answer. There are only a couple
16 of them.

17 THE JUDGE: Sure.

18 MR. FREEDMAN: We asked Dr. Wright --
19 and, your Honour, just so you are aware Dr. Wright is
20 here in the room.

21 THE JUDGE: Okay. Hello, Dr. Wright.

22 THE WITNESS: Hello. How are you?

23 MR. FREEDMAN: We asked Dr. Wright how
24 much Bitcoin he mined from January of 2009 until
25 December of 2010, which was his testimony on the time he

1 mined Bitcoin. He was instructed not to answer the
2 question. We believe this goes to the tracing forward
3 issue and we were told this morning that we were not
4 receiving the list of Bitcoin wallets we were supposed
5 to receive before the deposition. We never got the
6 list.

7 THE JUDGE: Okay, so I am clear, the
8 question is, how much Bitcoin did he mine in 2009 and
9 2010?

10 MR. FREEDMAN: Correct. That is the
11 first question.

12 THE JUDGE: Why do you not give me all
13 the questions. That way I can have Mr. Rivero or
14 Ms. Markoe respond to all of them, then I will have you
15 address all of them and then I will come to a conclusion
16 about all of them.

17 MR. FREEDMAN: Sure.

18 MR. RIVERO: Judge, just before the
19 listing -- this is Andrés Rivero -- I believe by
20 telephone as well we have Mr. Brenner and Mr. McAdams
21 who are lawyers at Boies Schiller and, at least as of
22 some point during the deposition, the plaintiff Ira
23 Kleiman also by telephone.

24 MR. BRENNER: That is right. This is
25 Andrew Brenner for Boies Schiller by telephone.

1 MR. MCADAMS: John McAdams by telephone.

2 MR. KLEIMAN: (By Telephone) Ira Kleiman.

3 THE JUDGE: Thank you all very much.

4 MR. FREEDMAN: The second question, your
5 Honour, was, did you ever tell Dave Kleiman how much
6 Bitcoin you had mined, and the witness was instructed
7 not to answer. The witness informed us ----

8 THE JUDGE: I am sorry, was there a
9 timeframe attached to that question? During what time
10 period did he mine it or just general?

11 MR. FREEDMAN: I would have to check the
12 record, your Honour, but the question is just from 2009
13 until 2010, because Dr. Wright's testimony was that he
14 stopped mining and then did not begin again until 2016
15 when Dave Kleiman was already dead.

16 THE JUDGE: Okay.

17 MR. FREEDMAN: The third question was
18 that Dr. Wright had testified that his ex-wife, Lynne
19 Wright, had been on e-mail communications with Dave
20 Kleiman about the founding of W&K, but then refused to
21 answer any questions about Lynne Wright due to -- and if
22 I am misstating this please correct me defence
23 counsel -- an oath that he filed with the courts in
24 Australia not to talk about his ex-wife.

25 THE JUDGE: Okay.

1 MR. FREEDMAN: And then Dr. Wright also
2 refused to answer any questions about his current wife,
3 Ms. Ramona Watts, who is listed as a director of many
4 different companies. He was not instructed not to
5 answer, he just refused to answer the questions.

6 THE JUDGE: Okay.

7 MR. FREEDMAN: Those are the four
8 questions that do not touch on this other issue.
9 Briefly, there are other questions that Dr. Wright has
10 refused to answer, on national security grounds, and
11 defence counsel has requested an in camera discussion
12 with you about them. I will let them talk to that, but
13 those first four are the questions the plaintiff is
14 raising now.

15 THE JUDGE: Again, so our record is
16 clear, the plaintiff is asking me to compel Dr. Wright
17 to provide truthful answers to those four areas of
18 questioning?

19 MR. FREEDMAN: Correct.

20 THE JUDGE: Okay. Let me hear from
21 counsel for Dr. Wright.

22 MS. MARKOE: Your Honour, this is Zaharah
23 Markoe. How are you this afternoon? For us very late
24 in the evening.

25 THE JUDGE: I am fine, Ms. Markoe. Thank

1 you. Good afternoon.

2 MS. MARKOE: Good afternoon. Your
3 Honour, it is our position that this is a
4 limited-in-scope deposition, primarily targeted at
5 discovery issues, opening doors, closing doors, the
6 location of documents, and the location and
7 identification of witnesses, with some leeway, which we
8 believe we have been more than fair in providing.

9 With regard to the first question, which
10 is how much Bitcoin did Dr. Wright mine between 2009 and
11 2010, that goes beyond the scope. We allowed him to
12 answer questions about the location of the computers
13 that were used to mine, and we also allowed him to
14 answer questions about whether or not he mined in any
15 way in conjunction with either Dave Kleiman or W&K. And
16 the answer was there was no mining with Dave Kleiman or
17 with W&K. Therefore, it is our position that how much
18 he mined on his own between 2009 and 2010 is both beyond
19 the scope and further irrelevant.

20 THE JUDGE: Okay. His testimony was that
21 he never mined anything with Mr. Kleiman?

22 MS. MARKOE: Correct.

23 THE JUDGE: Okay.

24 MS. MARKOE: With regard to the second
25 question, did you ever tell Dave Kleiman how much

1 Bitcoin you mined between 2009 and 2010, again this is a
2 limited-in-scope deposition, as I understood it. It is
3 not going to the merits and we believe that that
4 question went too far into the merits, and is not
5 appropriate for this deposition.

6 THE JUDGE: Okay.

7 MS. MARKOE: With regard to the questions
8 about Lynne Wright, I believe specifically one of those
9 questions was how did they meet; (a) that is irrelevant
10 and then, (b), Dr. Wright has testified in this
11 deposition that he has in his divorce settlement
12 agreement agreed not to discuss Lynne Wright, so he
13 believes he is bound by that agreement, and that divorce
14 settlement. With regard to his current wife, his
15 position is that he made an oath to his wife not to
16 discuss her, so he would like to honour that oath.
17 Again, one of the specific questions that was objected
18 to further goes beyond the scope in terms of how did he
19 meet. These witnesses have already been identified.
20 There is no further information that is required as it
21 relates to this deposition, which again limited in
22 scope. So, that is my response to those four questions.

23 THE JUDGE: Let me start off with one or
24 two follow-up questions I have for you. As to his
25 current wife, Ramona Wright, are you invoking any sort

1 of marital privilege under US law or are you simply
2 relying upon some other basis upon which he is legally
3 bound?

4 MS. MARKOE: I believe it depends on the
5 question. I think that there were a couple of questions
6 that went into spousal communications, certainly, and
7 again as I said, I think that the question regarding how
8 they met certainly is, (a), irrelevant, and (b) goes
9 beyond the scope of this deposition.

10 THE JUDGE: Okay, put aside the spousal
11 communications, are you also invoking the spousal
12 testimonial privilege?

13 MS. MARKOE: Yes.

14 THE JUDGE: In terms of his ex-wife,
15 Lynne Wright -- and I understand there may be some sort
16 of court proceeding in Australia that he feels bound
17 by -- what is your position as to whether I can order
18 him to do something even if the Australian court has
19 said or his agreement in Australia said that he cannot,
20 even if I have that authority?

21 MS. MARKOE: It would be our position
22 that you do not have that authority, your Honour,
23 respectfully, of course.

24 THE JUDGE: That is why I am asking.
25 What about the issue -- we have had so many hearings in

1 this case that I do not remember everything, but I do
2 recall that I had ordered the production of a list of
3 Bitcoin. Was that not done?

4 MS. MARKOE: Your Honour, you had ordered
5 production of a list of his Bitcoin at a particular
6 point in time or allow us the opportunity to make an
7 objection probably by formal motion as to
8 burdensomeness. We will probably be filing that motion
9 soon. There is no such document that exists regarding
10 his list of public addresses at, I believe it was
11 December 31st, 2013, and to compile that list would be
12 incredibly burdensome. We will be filing a motion to
13 that effect.

14 However, more importantly, with regard to
15 the questions that were at issue in this deposition,
16 they did not relate to addresses. The questions were
17 about how much Bitcoin Dr. Wright mined and whether he
18 ever told Dave Kleiman how much Bitcoin he mined, and it
19 was our position, and remains our position, that those
20 go beyond the scope of this deposition.

21 THE JUDGE: Okay. I have heard you on
22 that. Let me turn back to Mr. Freedman before I make my
23 rulings. Mr. Freedman?

24 MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honour, this is
25 Mr. Freedman. First of all, I do not know if the court

1 recalls, but the court set a deadline on when that
2 motion for burdensomeness would have had to have been
3 filed and it was purposely set in advance so that this
4 issue could be dealt with in advance of this deposition.
5 The motion was never filed. We thought it would be
6 coming. The list never came.

7 MS. MARKOE: I would like a point of
8 clarification. We had actually asked Mr. Freedman for
9 an extension of time to file that motion, because that
10 motion was contemplated to be filed after our last
11 hearing. Mr. Freedman needed to move that last hearing
12 for religious purposes and we accommodated that request.
13 We asked for a similar extension of time with regard to
14 filing our motion. To be frank, Mr. Freedman never got
15 back with us and I think this is just something that
16 slipped through the cracks.

17 THE JUDGE: No problem. I know counsel
18 in this case have a lot going on and are working very
19 hard. I hear you as to that, but the fact is it was not
20 yet produced and you are asking for leave to file a
21 motion. I understand the structure of where we are.
22 Mr. Freedman, is there anything else you want to address
23 on the merits of these four things -- areas?

24 MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, your Honour,
25 absolutely. As the court is aware, it is our contention

1 that the Bitcoin mined by Dr. Wright from 2009, and he
2 testifies until the end of 2010, was done in partnership
3 with Dave Kleiman, and so the amount of Bitcoin that was
4 mined during that period is relevant to plaintiff's
5 claims. Whether or not he informed Dave Kleiman about
6 this amount is relevant, again, to the partnership and
7 in particular for this deposition, whether those
8 communications still exist anywhere.

9 As to questions about Ms. Wright, there
10 were initial questions about how they had met to
11 determine the timeframe of when she came in. Obviously
12 I am happy not to ask those questions. The purpose
13 would be to understand what she knows about and what she
14 does not know about to see whether or not she is a
15 witness for the case.

16 As to questions about Ms. Watts,
17 obviously if counsel invokes spousal privilege that is
18 one thing, but questions were not about communications,
19 they were questions about what companies she was a
20 director on, I believe, and certainly we would explore
21 that topic, but after asking a few questions and being
22 given the same mantra, "I will not discuss anything
23 about my wife", we moved on, so we never got a chance to
24 fully explore those topics.

25 THE JUDGE: Okay, thank you. Anything

1 further, Mr. Freedman?

2 MR. FREEDMAN: No, your Honour.

3 THE JUDGE: Thank you. Let me rule. As
4 to the first area, which is enquiring of Dr. Wright
5 under oath how much Bitcoin he mined in 2009/2010,
6 I will defer that. I will not require him to answer
7 that question today because I believe if I determine
8 that as a proper subject matter area, that can be
9 responded to through a targeted interrogatory and if
10 I determine that it is relevant, I would require him to
11 respond to that interrogatory under oath as if he were
12 asked the question live. Since that is simply a fairly
13 straightforward question of how much Bitcoin that should
14 not be too burdensome to respond to, but I will deal
15 with that in the context of any motion and I will grant
16 leave for the defence to file a motion relating to
17 providing a list of the Bitcoin, because again obviously
18 if I order him to provide the list you are going to get
19 a lot more detail than just the final number. So, as to
20 that issue, I will not require him to answer those
21 questions today.

22 As to the area of questioning about
23 whether he told Dave Kleiman how much he mined, I will
24 direct him to answer those questions. I believe that
25 is not unduly burdensome. I believe it is relevant to

1 the plaintiff's theory that there was a partnership
2 here, and his answers are what they are. If he told
3 Mr. Kleiman what he was doing he should answer that and
4 if he did not he can answer that.

5 As to the issues relating to the ex-wife,
6 Lynne Wright, I will allow the defence to file a
7 briefing as to whether, as a matter of law, I am
8 precluded from compelling this testimony. I will not
9 opine as to whether -- I would probably be inclined to
10 compel the testimony if the law allows me to do so, but
11 I cannot claim to be an expert on Australian law or the
12 interactions between US law and Australian law on this
13 issue. Given that I have already said that Dr. Wright
14 can be deposed a second time, I will defer that issue
15 and allow the defence time to file any motion they want
16 to file on that.

17 My ruling will be the same as to the
18 questions relating to any communications or testimony
19 relating to his current wife. Again I will allow the
20 defence to flush out any privilege arguments they want
21 to make. I will allow the plaintiffs to respond only to
22 any privilege arguments as to either the current or past
23 wife and I will rule on that at a later time.

24 I think I have now dealt with the four
25 areas that were raised.

1 In terms of the national security
2 issues -- sorry, Mr. Freedman, not waiving any
3 objections to my ruling, were there any other issues
4 that you require me to rule on or you request that I
5 rule on this afternoon?

6 MR. FREEDMAN: Just the national security
7 stuff, your Honour.

8 MS. MARKOE: And your Honour for that --
9 sorry, go ahead.

10 THE JUDGE: Can you ask me the context --
11 the kinds of questions for which the national security
12 issues are going to relate. Let us start with that.

13 MS. MARKOE: I believe it came up in four
14 contexts. One related to the -- well, two questions
15 related to the identity of particular people. I believe
16 one related to a trust called GICSR, and the third
17 related to Exhibit 11 (sic) to the complaint, and it is,
18 I believe, page 10 of 15, on paragraph 13: "The IP is
19 software and code used by the US Military, DHS and other
20 associated parties." Certainly Mr. Freedman should
21 correct me if I either misstated or missed a general
22 topic area.

23 THE JUDGE: Okay, you said there were
24 four, but there are only two. Maybe you are merging
25 them together.

1 MS. MARKOE: So one was the identity of
2 particular people who may have had involvement in
3 particular projects. Two was related to a trust called
4 GICSR. The third was related to Exhibit 11 (sic), and
5 then maybe I misspoke when I said four, I could have
6 misspoken.

7 THE JUDGE: Okay.

8 MS. MARKOE: I have three.

9 THE JUDGE: I have not committed a
10 complaint on all of its attachments to memory. Can you
11 help me out with what is on page 10 of 15 at paragraph
12 13?

13 MS. MARKOE: Yes. It says: "The IP" --
14 and this is regarding the New South Wales statement of
15 claim -- "is software and code used by the US Military,
16 DHS and other associated parties."

17 THE JUDGE: Okay. Mr. Freedman?

18 MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, your Honour, I think
19 Mr. Markoe laid it out, but just to give a little bit of
20 gloss on it, the identity of the first person was when
21 Dr. Wright first reached out to Louis Kleiman, which is
22 Dave and Ira's father. He said to him: "Your son Dave
23 and I are two of the three key people behind Bitcoin."
24 We asked the identity of the third person and were told
25 we were not able to know that information for national

1 security reasons.

2 The second is that in response to an
3 interrogatory request that the court ordered Dr. Wright
4 to respond to at the last hearing, Dr. Wright wrote:
5 "There was an individual who helped me in the very early
6 stages of my research, well before the release of the
7 Bitcoin protocol. As far as I know, that individual
8 never met or interacted with Dave Kleiman." And the
9 defendant refused to identify that individual on
10 national security grounds.

11 THE JUDGE: Okay.

12 MR. FREEDMAN: The statement of claim
13 that Ms. Markoe was talking about is the Australian
14 statement -- a lawsuit where Dr. Wright sued W&K and
15 collected its consent judgment on its intellectual
16 property valued at tens of millions of dollars, and as
17 part of that statement of claim said that part of the IP
18 at issue was IP of software and code used by the US
19 Military, DHS and other associated parties. It was
20 intellectual property that title was taken, as
21 I understand it, from W&K pursuant to these consent
22 judgments, and so it is directly relevant to the
23 intellectual property claims that plaintiff have brought
24 in this case.

25 Then finally, I do not have the e-mail in

1 front of me, your Honour, but when Ira Kleiman was
2 conversing with Dr. Wright before the lawsuit was
3 initiated, Dr. Wright told him that there was a GICSR
4 trust that would be related to Dave's Bitcoin holdings
5 or intellectual property -- I do not have it in front of
6 me -- and when Dr. Wright was questioned about the trust
7 and who set it up, he refused to answer questions on
8 national security grounds.

9 MS. MARKOE: Just one point of clarity.
10 We believe that Mr. Freedman incorrectly stated that
11 title was taken. It is not quite that simple, and it is
12 certainly not entirely accurate, but that is the only
13 clarification I have for the moment.

14 THE JUDGE: Okay. Any further argument,
15 Ms. Markoe?

16 MS. MARKOE: No, we just request that
17 Dr. Wright be permitted to speak with you in camera in a
18 separate room, without counsel for plaintiffs present,
19 without plaintiff on the phone, and without the court
20 reporter and you can get more information about this and
21 then render your decision.

22 THE JUDGE: Okay. I will respectfully
23 decline to have an off-the-record conversation with
24 Dr. Wright. These are all topics that if I determine
25 that the information needs to be turned over, it can

1 either be turned over in the nature of an interrogatory
2 response, or a continuation of this deposition by video
3 teleconference, or in the subsequent deposition of
4 Dr. Wright. What I am going to do is I am going to not
5 rule on any of these national security arguments,
6 because I think there is one and only one person I need
7 to hear from as to whether there is a national security
8 interest here, and it is not Dr. Wright, it is the
9 United States Government.

10 So, I will defer ruling, I will give the
11 defence leave to file a motion with any sort of
12 supporting affidavits or whatever else you want to
13 supply me with that comes from a responsible party of
14 the US government who tells me that US national security
15 interests require that these questions not be answered.
16 That obviously is not going to happen today.

17 I think I have now ruled on all the
18 issues that were presented this afternoon. I know you
19 all worked very hard to get this accomplished and get it
20 done and I appreciate everyone's efforts. Counsel, when
21 you are back in the country or while you are there and
22 you have some time, talk about how much time you think
23 is appropriate for the filing of the motions that we
24 discussed today, and when you get back we can do a quick
25 phone call and I can enter an order with an operational

1 schedule.

2 Is there anything further I need to rule
3 on this afternoon? Mr. Freedman?

4 MR. FREEDMAN: No, your Honour.

5 THE JUDGE: Ms. Markoe? Mr. Rivero?

6 MR. RIVERO: No, your Honour, and thank
7 you so much for helping us with these issues.

8 THE JUDGE: No, like I said, thanks to
9 the parties. I know this is a really heavy effort to
10 get this done but I really think it is going to help
11 move this case forward. I will get off the phone. You
12 can continue with whatever is left of the deposition.
13 Everyone have a safe trip home and we will be in touch
14 when you get back. Thank you.

15 MS. MARKOE: Thank you, your Honour.

16 MR. RIVERO: Thank you.

17 MR. FREEDMAN: I think the only thing we
18 are entitled to ask about now is whether Dr. Wright
19 communicated -- okay.

20 MS. MARKOE: How much Bitcoin he mined,
21 yes.

22 MR. RIVERO: One subject.

23 MR. FREEDMAN: One subject, yes.

24 MR. RIVERO: Dave Kleiman did. So let us
25 do this. Because they have been running -- I think it

1 was 30 left at that time. Why do we not just run -- it
2 is going to be -- as a matter of fact just ask your
3 question and it is 30 minutes.

4 MR. FREEDMAN: I have 30 minutes? I am
5 going to use 30 minutes.

6 MR. RIVERO: No, no, I am not going to
7 count ----

8 MS. MARKOE: Let us just move on so that
9 we can get everyone out of here.

10 MR. RIVERO: Just do it and we will say
11 it is 30 minutes.

12 MS. MARKOE: Let us just get it done.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Dr. Wright, did you ever tell Dave
15 Kleiman how much Bitcoin you mined?

16 A. No.

17 MR. RIVERO: 30 minutes left.

18 MR. FREEDMAN: 30 minutes, okay.

19 MS. MARKOE: 29:46.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. Dr. Wright, do you have a trust that is
22 based in Singapore?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Have you ever had a trust that is based
25 in Singapore?

1 A. No, I have never had a Singapore trust.

2 Q. Do you have a trust based in the
3 Seychelles?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. How many?

6 A. I do not know.

7 Q. Dr. Wright, do you remember telling Ira
8 Kleiman that you have back-up files of Dave's drives?

9 A. No, I told Ira Kleiman that he needed to
10 keep back-up files of Dave's drives.

11 MR. RIVERO: Just a point of order,
12 I have got 29 minutes, but are you going to reserve some
13 time against a ruling by the court?

14 MR. FREEDMAN: I do not think that would
15 -- no.

16 MR. RIVERO: So your position is you get
17 this time plus more time?

18 MR. FREEDMAN: I think if the court rules
19 we get more time, yes.

20 MR. RIVERO: I do not agree.

21 MR. FREEDMAN: Okay. Understood. Noted.

22 MR. RIVERO: We object and we think you
23 should reserve time in case you win something.

24 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

25 Q. Dr. Wright, do you have a Twitter

1 account?

2 A. Not any more, no.

3 Q. Did you have a Twitter account?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. What was it called?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

7 THE WITNESS: I have had multiple Twitter
8 accounts.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. What was the last Twitter account you
11 had?

12 A. Dr. Craig S Wright.

13 Q. What happened to Dr. Craig S Wright
14 Twitter account?

15 A. I got suspended after I threatened Jack
16 with DMCA violations.

17 Q. Who is Jack?

18 A. One of the founders of Twitter.

19 Q. What was the name of that handle? Was it
20 at ----

21 A. At probably Dr. Craig S Wright. I do not
22 remember exactly. I do not type the things in.

23 Q. Does that "@ProfFaustus" mean anything?

24 A. It was before that, yes.

25 Q. Before Dr. Craig S Wright you had

1 @ProfFaustus?

2 A. Professor Faustus, yes.

3 Q. And what happened to Professor Faustus?

4 A. I started complaining about the fact that
5 I had bots on the account.

6 Q. Okay. And?

7 A. And Twitter will not take them down and
8 I started complaining and now I have suspended accounts.

9 Q. So Twitter suspended your @ProfFaustus
10 account?

11 A. The account went up, down, and all over
12 the place, so I do not know what is happening with it,
13 and I do not particularly want an account full of bots
14 back.

15 Q. Did you take down the account?

16 MS. MARKOE: Objection. You may answer.

17 THE WITNESS: I threatened Twitter with a
18 lawsuit.

19 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

20 Q. And Twitter suspended your account?

21 A. I do not know what has happened with that
22 account. I cannot access it.

23 Q. Did you save copies of your direct
24 messages in that account?

25 A. No.

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Did you give your lawyers copies of the
4 direct messages in that account?

5 A. No.

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

7 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

8 Q. Did you save messages in the Dr. Craig S
9 Wright account?

10 A. It was up for a day. There was no direct
11 messages that I know of.

12 Q. When did the @ProfFaustus account start?

13 A. It was originally started, I think, in
14 2011, but no posts were done until 2016.

15 Q. Did you have a Twitter account when Dave
16 Kleiman was alive before 2013?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. What was it called?

19 A. Dr. Craig S Wright, I believe.

20 Q. Do you still have access to that account?

21 A. No. That account was cancelled in
22 December 2015 when I was exposed to the media.

23 Q. Dr. Wright, do you an individual called
24 Marco Bianchi?

25 A. Marco is a familiar name.

1 MS. MARKOE: That is my name!

2 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

3 Q. Was there a Marco Bianchi who helped you
4 set up trusts?

5 A. What trusts, sorry?

6 Q. Are you familiar with a Marco Bianchi
7 helping you set up any trusts?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Dr. Wright, do you have a supercomputer
10 called C01N?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Do you have any supercomputer?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Have you ever had a supercomputer?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: Yes.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. When did you have a supercomputer?

19 A. Back in 2013. Sorry, end of 2012, but it
20 was not working. 2013, 2014, 2015.

21 Q. What was it called?

22 A. Tulip and C01N. There were two.

23 Q. So, you did have a supercomputer called
24 C01N?

25 A. That is what I just said.

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: You before that said "do
3 I have". "Did I have" and "do I have" are different.

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. When did you get rid of these
6 supercomputers?

7 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: I did not.

9 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

10 Q. You still have them?

11 A. I do not have them.

12 Q. Who has them?

13 A. I do not know.

14 Q. What happened to them at the end of 2015?

15 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

16 THE WITNESS: I do not know.

17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

18 Q. Did you ever discuss your supercomputers
19 with Dave?

20 A. Dave basically died before I had
21 everything built and operating, so I discussed creating
22 them, but it is hard to discuss something, I do not
23 believe in seances, with dead people.

24 Q. Dr. Wright, in 2016 you came forward and
25 claimed to be Satoshi Nakamoto; is that correct?

1 MS. MARKOE: Objection.

2 THE WITNESS: I did not come forward.

3 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

4 Q. You gave an interview to the BBC where
5 you said you were Satoshi Nakamoto; is that correct?

6 MS. MARKOE: Objection. Can you tie this
7 to one our topics, please.

8 MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, request for
9 production 88 goes into the relationship with
10 Robert MacGregor and the court said we should ask about
11 the deferred ruling in advance of the deposition.

12 MR. RIVERO: Hearing transcript
13 citelements?

14 MR. FREEDMAN: I do not have time. Look
15 for it if you can find it. 86, 16-19.

16 MR. RIVERO: Which date?

17 MS. MARKOE: It is this one.

18 MR. FREEDMAN: Last one. In the meantime
19 we will keep moving.

20 Q. As a consequence of coming out, you
21 provided cryptographic proof that you were in fact
22 Satoshi Nakamoto?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection: goes beyond the
24 scope.

25 THE WITNESS: I did not come out.

1 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

2 Q. And people have tried to debunk your
3 claim of being Satoshi Nakamoto?

4 MS. MARKOE: Objection: goes beyond the
5 scope.

6 MR. FREEDMAN: Are you instructing him
7 not to answer?

8 MS. MARKOE: Can we just get out of here.
9 Will it make it move faster if he can answer?

10 MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, it is going to go
11 pretty quick.

12 MS. MARKOE: This is beyond the scope.
13 It is public information. This is a colossal waste of
14 our time.

15 MR. FREEDMAN: I do not agree.

16 MS. MARKOE: But if you would like you
17 may answer.

18 THE WITNESS: There is public information
19 to say that.

20 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

21 Q. And they have called you Faketoshi
22 because of that?

23 MS. MARKOE: Objection. First of all,
24 I am going to instruct him not to answer. You are
25 harassing him now. It is offensive. It is very late.

1 You have limited topics. This does not go to any of
2 these topics.

3 MR. RIVERO: Can I say another thing, Ms.
4 Markoe. Especially giving that you are not reserving
5 time against disputes, this kind of harassment is not
6 appropriate. We are going to argue very forcefully that
7 you wasted time you could have reserved for things the
8 court may rule on.

9 MR. FREEDMAN: We will reserve the rest
10 of our time, then. Thank you.

11 MS. MARKOE: Okay. Great. Thank you.

12 MR. FREEDMAN: How much time is left?
13 Let us note it on the record.

14 MS. MARKOE: 22 minutes, 19 seconds.

15 MR. ROCHE: That is what I have.

16 MR. FREEDMAN: Great.

17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Are we off the record?

18 MR. RIVERO: We are off the record.

19 MS. MARKOE: Off the record.

20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.

21 The time is 20.42. End of the hearing card number 7,
22 volume 1. This is the end of volume 1 video deposition
23 of Dr. Craig Wright.

24 -----

25

CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I, Craig Steven Wright, am the deponent in the foregoing deposition. I have read the foregoing deposition and, having made such changes and corrections as I desired, I certify that the transcript is a true and accurate record of my responses to the questions put to me on 4th April, 2019.

Signed

Craig S. Wright

Dated this day of 2019

1 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

2

3 I, Paula Foley, Accredited Court Reporter,
4 do hereby certify that I took the Stenograph Notes
5 of the foregoing, and that the transcript thereof
6 is a true and accurate record transcribed to the
7 best of my skill and ability.

8

9 I further certify that I am neither
10 counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of
11 the parties to the action in which the deposition
12 was taken and that I am not a relative or employee
13 of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties
14 hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in
15 the outcome of the action.

16

17

22

23 Signed


24 Paula Foley

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

E R R A T A

(Please make any corrections here,
not in the transcript)

A				
abide 175:5	accommodated 366:12	acquire 251:23	administrators 138:3,4,12	aligned 218:23
abilities 159:16	accomplished 374:19	acquired 227:24	Admitted 2:6	alive 127:19,23
ability 6:22,24	account 67:19,20	act 194:3,7 269:21	advance 366:3,4	130:10 380:16
210:22 337:9,14	132:19 133:10,18	acting 186:3	383:11	Allan 130:7,8
387:7	134:6,22 135:2,9	action 5:18 348:1	affect 6:22,24	141:12 142:3
able 31:22 57:1	135:23 136:22	387:11,15	affidavits 374:12	allege 33:22
100:6 118:9 121:3	137:4,9,17,17,19	activity 198:18,20	affirmative 7:13	Allen 142:3
137:1 216:15	137:24 138:5	actual 161:12	Africa 119:12	allow 35:10 89:17
218:20 219:2	179:24 209:10,20	318:15 356:19	afternoon 124:6	97:22 106:16
238:7 258:24	209:22,24,24	Adam 151:5,20	361:23 362:1,2	165:17 166:1
280:7,15 301:7	210:3,13 211:6,7	152:3,7,14,19,25	370:5 374:18	178:12 256:25
339:1 371:25	211:16,18,20,23	153:7,20,23 154:3	375:3	318:19 340:1
ABN 348:9	212:11,18,21	154:8 175:11	age 11:19	365:6 369:6,15,19
absence 261:6,7,13	213:6,25 214:6,10	add 82:7	agencies 36:1	369:21
absolutely 20:7	273:1,2,20,23	address 5:24 6:2,4	agent 282:25	allowed 25:14,18
36:21 158:25	274:1,9 378:1,3	9:22,23 14:16,17	284:15	69:15 157:10
221:2 226:10	378:10,14 379:5	14:24 43:6,18	agent-based 283:3	192:4 263:11
366:25	379:10,11,13,15	70:23,24 71:3	ago 84:24 143:1	278:9 362:11,13
abstraction 50:23	379:20,22,24	72:18,20,22 73:1	187:18 246:16	allowing 260:18
abusing 9:13	380:4,9,12,15,20	81:3,5,6 131:25	288:14 308:13	allows 349:16
academic 223:7	380:21	148:12 150:25	311:10	369:10
accept 270:16	accountant 102:12	206:7 207:22,23	agree 214:23,25	alongside 207:8
276:3	103:1,6	246:3,5 248:1,16	256:21 257:3,25	alter 279:7
accepted 270:19	accountants 100:23	248:21 249:18,19	260:23,24 261:3,8	alternate 125:18,24
access 29:22 32:7	101:4,9,17,22,24	252:17,17,25	261:14,17,19,20	alters 81:9
55:24 56:2,5	101:25,25	253:9,9 259:1	262:11,21,23	altogether 157:9
97:10 132:18	accounting 12:23	296:9 349:20	263:3,4 377:20	amassed 199:9
133:9,15,17	accounts 102:6,8	359:15 366:22	384:15	amended 225:13
135:17,22 136:4	102:11,21,21	addresses 11:3,11	agreed 8:8 33:13	America 18:25
137:23 138:3	117:17 119:18,20	14:13,23 60:16	257:1,5 303:18,25	231:16 312:14
161:25 162:3,6	190:23 209:11	71:1 72:17 73:2	303:25 304:8,12	316:6 334:11
187:7 207:17	210:7,17,23 211:7	190:3 206:11,14	350:10 363:12	American 161:5
210:16,22 211:3,4	211:10,13,15	206:17 208:1,2	agreement 134:1	258:7 346:16,18
211:13,16,18,20	214:2 279:18	209:8 245:24,25	193:13 239:11	346:20
211:23 212:10,16	281:17 378:8	247:2 250:10,11	257:2 261:1,12	Americans 160:14
212:18,20,25	379:8	252:2,12,13,15	278:16,22 304:14	Americas 226:18
213:5,25 218:21	Accredited 387:3	253:18 294:24	363:12,13 364:19	amount 47:8 48:5
231:17 234:10	accurate 28:8	300:13,16 301:1,6	ahead 75:19 144:23	197:8 198:12
273:19,22,25	65:21 191:23	302:15,17,25	153:10 195:12	199:8 241:11
279:24 379:22	192:5 219:14	304:18,19 325:24	252:10 291:11	249:12,17,18
380:20	352:9 373:12	365:10,16	370:9	252:12 329:25
accessed 187:9	386:7 387:6	adjournment	aid 218:24	331:4 344:22
accessing 210:20	acknowledged	123:25	al 4:4 154:2	367:3,6
214:6,9	240:21	administrator	algorithms 57:15	amounts 246:9
		138:7	alias 132:2	252:16
				analyse 23:21

56:20 262:25 analysed 30:3 analysing 24:1 262:9 analysis 30:4 260:12 Andresen 330:18 330:20 331:5,10 331:13,20,25 Andrew 2:22 5:1 174:4 188:25 359:25 Andrés 4:22 15:22 263:7 359:19 ANDRÉS 2:10 Ang 18:6,19 20:2 Ann 102:14,17 105:1 annoyed 137:1,2 annoying 178:4 annually 356:6,8 anonymous 124:25 178:1 211:7 213:25 anonymousspeec... 178:11 answer 7:13 9:10 9:14 15:20 16:2 17:13,15 19:20 30:6,14 31:5 34:5 36:21 37:21 40:1 40:12,13,15,20 43:21 44:23 51:22 52:5 53:13 57:1 66:6,9,11,12,16 66:21 70:15,21 71:4,7,22 75:19 78:1 87:25 88:23 89:3,18,21 91:11 91:22 92:5,7 98:4 99:2 100:1 102:1 103:21 108:16 114:17,22 119:3 121:3,23 123:14 124:10 125:8 126:12,23 127:1	127:17 128:2,2,3 128:3,7,8 132:4 132:20 134:5,18 134:25 135:9 141:5 143:16,19 144:13 145:2,21 146:5,9,14,16 147:23 148:19 149:1,8 150:1,15 152:4,12,16 153:4 153:11,24 155:3 155:16 156:2 158:12 159:18 160:8,24 162:18 163:2,25 164:13 169:4 172:25 174:17 175:17 176:4,19,23 177:15 181:6,17 181:22 182:2,13 183:5,6,11,16,24 184:4 185:11 188:20 189:16 190:14,18 191:14 191:16 192:14,20 192:25 193:8 196:12,22,24 197:2,6,16 199:11 199:24 201:7 203:5,6,19 205:3 205:24 206:18 208:25 210:1,4 212:5 214:22 216:4,23 217:14 217:17,18,23 218:3,11 220:8,19 221:24 222:15,22 223:10 224:16 230:4,13 233:10 235:17,24 236:15 237:25 238:1,16 238:16 239:10 242:17,18 243:10 243:25 244:8,15 246:14 247:3 248:7 249:5,14	250:12 252:19 254:8 257:9,13 259:15,21 260:3,3 260:4,9 262:4,7 265:17 266:12 269:15,24 272:12 275:20 276:24 279:3,6 280:23 282:17 284:21 285:8 286:9,17,22 289:5 290:22 292:14,15,20 293:23 295:19 296:15 300:9 301:13 302:1,18 303:1,20 304:25 306:17,22 307:2 307:25 309:7 311:2 312:4 313:1 313:10 314:4,9 315:22 318:19,21 321:23 322:14,19 324:4 328:14 330:22,25 331:6 331:14 336:21 338:2,10 340:1,7 340:10,15 341:4,6 341:10 342:2,3,7 342:19,23 343:22 344:4,19 346:4 347:10 348:5 350:2,16,22 352:17 355:5,6,19 356:9,20 358:8,9 358:14,15 359:1 360:7,21 361:2,5 361:5,10 362:12 362:14,16 368:6 368:20,24 369:3,4 373:7 378:6 379:16 384:7,9,17 384:24 answered 20:18 36:24 37:7 69:12 70:20 71:14,15 121:11 146:24	169:4 188:19 203:10 213:8 231:1 257:10,14 263:10 275:20 282:17 338:1 374:15 answering 18:11 42:20 91:24 92:6 262:3 answers 58:15 179:23 203:20 226:8 259:1 277:13 361:17 369:2 anticipating 91:22 162:19 antithesis 260:16 Anti-Fraud 224:2 anybody 138:19 187:18 214:13 anyones 325:6 apart 100:25 129:2 186:12 apologies 106:21 178:5 340:23 apologise 117:2 129:19 200:8 332:22 appear 80:17 appearances 174:19 appears 301:21 321:20 appendix 300:7 apple 165:23 352:19 applies 53:13 57:22 apply 57:22 88:2 179:24 356:22 appointed 288:2 appreciate 78:5 89:24 91:21 313:19 374:20 appropriate 118:23 363:5 374:23 385:6	approved 19:4,10 19:10 352:22 approximate 10:17 10:19 85:16 approximately 47:6,10,19 48:14 49:25 55:8 56:23 80:14 149:4 196:19 200:14 216:25 265:7 347:1 April 1:18 4:7 47:16,18 239:7 242:16 246:3 251:22 265:10 386:8 area 86:16 185:17 216:17 368:4,8,22 370:22 areas 361:17 366:23 369:25 argue 37:4 258:6 385:6 arguing 43:15 argument 258:2 291:19 373:14 argumentative 148:6 arguments 369:20 369:22 374:5 Armonk 2:7 arrangement 92:16 arrested 346:22,24 Arthur 346:22 article 193:17,23 ASC 271:7,9 ASIC 96:12 99:11 122:18 124:8 265:12 271:10,11 271:15 274:21 275:1 276:15,16 281:25 282:15 ASICs 241:17 243:2 aside 364:10 asked 20:17 24:23
---	---	---	---	--

42:13 43:6,17 66:13 69:11 70:19 71:1,13 83:20 99:4 120:12 137:4 140:22 146:23 160:1 167:19 169:3 174:18 182:9 188:18 190:2 202:13 203:9 209:25 212:1 213:7 226:1 230:25 245:12 251:11 259:5 262:5 263:9 275:19 277:13 282:16 287:15 292:15,23 293:13 322:5,17 337:8 338:4,5,15 341:25 358:18,23 366:8 366:13 368:12 371:24 asking 14:9 17:18 33:19 36:1 57:9 59:15 82:12,18 95:16,20 97:17,19 97:25 98:1 102:11 112:5 119:8 123:6 135:1 143:1 144:10,11,15 149:9 170:22 172:10 178:24 179:6 199:16 220:18 224:15 229:9 233:14,19 234:25 238:18 246:23 251:6 253:5,7 270:25 279:6 280:10,10 282:5 287:14 308:11 312:8 314:18 322:16 337:17 342:6 361:16 364:24 366:20 367:21 aspects 142:17,18	142:18 255:21,22 assented 267:6 asset 113:19 119:5 assets 87:8 88:12 88:16,19 113:2,5 113:7,8,18,21,23 114:3,4,23,25 115:2 119:6,7,7 120:4,8,9 123:15 290:23 306:15,21 306:23 308:5,9,14 312:17 316:2 assistance 40:21 associated 176:17 232:21 248:12 348:9 357:8 370:20 371:16 372:19 assume 7:6 176:24 347:12 assumes 155:14 215:7 assuming 313:18 assumption 217:12 249:25 assurance 215:18 223:18 224:1 248:4 ATO 104:8,15 120:22 121:19 334:19,20,24 335:8,17 336:1,3 336:13,19,25 337:2,4,5 attach 147:12 attached 304:5 360:9 attachments 371:10 attention 160:5 332:4 354:2 attestation 256:14 256:20,25 260:21 attorney 387:13 attorneys 197:2 334:20 336:3,5,7	336:10 338:4,6,15 attributable 172:15 audit 95:23 101:23 102:6,20 103:25 121:5,21 audited 94:13,19 100:15 120:22 121:1,18 auditing 102:8,11 102:21 auditor 104:14 auditors 102:1 333:3 audits 100:20,21 101:18,25 104:8 August 167:7,12 168:2,4 Aurora 154:2 175:18 auspices 118:16,19 Aussies 153:13 Australia 5:23 7:21 7:22,23,25 8:1,3 10:13,14,23 12:12 14:11 17:17 32:20 83:15,18 84:20 87:12 110:9 122:22 123:9 131:5,11 143:9 197:14,18 233:23 253:17 256:24 258:3,18,18,22 262:15 334:22 336:4 356:15,22 360:24 364:16,19 Australian 32:21 94:14,19,22 95:4 100:16 101:10,18 101:23 103:23,25 104:16,22 121:4,8 121:11,13,18 139:11,12 141:24 263:20 264:14 306:3 332:5 333:7 335:12,24 337:10 337:15,16,17,21	338:6,20,21 349:12,13,15 364:18 369:11,12 372:13 authored 153:23 authorised 238:12 238:13 272:6,9 292:6 295:22,25 296:3,5 authorises 259:19 authorising 256:16 authority 118:24 226:3,6 364:20,22 available 11:5 132:14 139:25 163:24 164:3 168:21 177:4 241:7 average 11:19 avoid 98:4 aware 95:1,4,9,17 101:4 128:13,19 154:8 162:6 163:16 170:14 175:4 187:11 194:5 242:24 276:10 287:19,24 288:9 289:23 304:23 324:9 332:13,16 335:11 358:19 366:25 awareness 95:21 awful 283:11,11 A(a) 249:23 252:2,4 A(b) 249:23 252:3 252:5 A-N-G 18:8 A-S-I-C 96:12 a.m 4:8 <hr/> B <hr/> b 241:4,8,8 243:21 264:20 267:18 354:23,24 363:10 364:8 BAA 275:10,14	back 8:2 41:3,9,13 42:11,14,14,19,24 43:8,23,23,24 52:16 77:21 81:18 89:24,25 108:9 121:10 124:7 142:21 151:5,20 152:3,7,14,19,25 153:7,23 154:3,8 156:5 157:6 158:24 159:3 160:5 171:16,20 175:9,11 193:8,9 193:9 197:17 214:8 221:10 224:21 231:12 232:10 233:6 239:21,22 243:3 244:25 245:2,9,14 245:16 259:23 260:18 274:9,20 274:21 275:3 282:8 283:17 285:11 297:3 312:2,7,24 319:15 333:16 335:17 353:9,24 358:2 365:22 366:15 374:21,24 375:14 379:14 381:19 backend 99:19 background 285:12 back-up 377:8,10 bad 13:14 39:10 135:11 149:21 badly 348:23 349:2 349:22 bag 328:17,19 Bagnoo 184:22 185:2,5,9,16,23 185:25 194:11,12 194:22,23,23 195:1,2 196:16 197:9 198:4,21 199:1,6 banana 356:15
--	--	---	--	---

banned 157:8	behalf 2:2,9 104:17	290:19 305:13	bitch 157:7	201:15,18,18
bar 311:24	104:18,24	beneficiary 288:24	Bitcoin 8:16 27:7	202:15,20,25
barely 82:13	belief 285:16	292:25 305:16	27:11,14,16 28:5	204:14,14,17,19
bargain 239:9	believe 37:2 38:3	best 48:6,17 57:9	28:20,25 29:2,5,6	206:6,10 207:8,9
base 339:23	46:13 50:7 63:11	57:13 88:14 160:3	29:14 32:4 38:19	207:10,13,14
based 40:18 76:16	63:25 64:5 71:6	198:24 214:17	44:9,12 45:2 53:6	209:3 215:4,10
240:20 259:24	76:23 82:18,20	230:2 250:16	62:16 63:6 64:1,9	220:3,7,13,21
376:22,24 377:2	89:23 91:24 92:4	325:22 387:7	64:17 65:7 67:7	221:18,20,22
basic 66:25	92:6 97:1 99:3	bet 236:4	67:14 69:3,9	224:10 235:23
basically 157:9	100:12 102:20	better 221:13	70:17 71:12,18	236:3,4 237:1
173:12 185:17	105:12 107:2	231:18 234:11,18	75:23,24 76:17,17	241:10,12,18,20
187:4 193:13	113:10 116:20	betting 235:22	76:18,19 83:23	242:2,4,8,10,15
215:19 231:14	117:8 125:11,17	beyond 25:6 39:19	87:1,4,8,19,20,22	242:20,25 243:15
249:6 256:22	125:23 131:10	88:21 89:19	87:23 88:1,1,5	243:17,19 244:13
260:13 316:4	141:19 150:4,6	103:17,21 141:4	94:9 96:17 98:22	245:13,18,24,25
321:4 332:21,25	159:7 161:23	144:4 152:9,12	99:20,25 100:2,4	246:3,4 247:2,9
345:21 352:5	167:22 217:16	156:1 181:11,17	100:10 106:10	247:25 248:16
355:7 382:20	246:22 249:9	181:21 187:14	113:4 114:6,7,8	249:12,17,19,22
basis 40:13 89:5	258:17 259:3,18	190:13 199:12	115:2,4,7,11	250:1,5,10,23
126:25 153:20	263:13 264:4	203:17 214:21	117:20,21 118:25	251:2,8,15,23,25
192:5 238:17	282:19,20 288:1,4	216:7 225:25	119:14 120:18	252:17,24 253:6,8
296:14 364:2	295:24 300:2	238:8 242:12	121:14 123:10	253:17 254:2,4,9
bathroom 173:15	325:9,17 326:13	244:15 285:21	124:17,24 125:2	255:1,7,8,9,10,13
357:23	327:5,11 329:16	340:15 362:11,18	126:17 128:24	255:14,16,17,19
BBC 383:4	331:11 338:1	363:18 364:9	130:13 133:23	255:21 265:1,11
BDO 12:23,24 13:2	343:24 355:23	365:20 383:23	134:11 135:9	265:12,23 270:13
13:11,16,20 130:9	359:2,19 362:8	384:4,12	138:12,13,16,20	270:16,20 276:4,8
130:22 131:3	363:3,8 364:4	BHP 219:20	138:23 139:1	276:11 281:14
142:12	365:10 367:20	Bianchi 380:24	141:12 142:13	284:15 289:7,10
Bear 129:4,6,7,13	368:7,24,25	381:3,6	145:4,14 146:8	289:12,14,17
129:16,20,23	370:13,15,18	big 129:12 171:12	148:24 155:10	291:6,12,15,22,23
131:23 132:1,8	373:10 380:19	303:14 323:20	158:7 160:7,10,23	291:24 292:1,11
172:12	382:23	bigger 345:9	160:25 165:6,11	292:13 293:2,2,3
beard 212:14	believed 119:11	Billiton 219:20	165:13,15 166:3	293:4,21 294:1,6
beat 35:10 106:16	160:11	birth 5:21	166:10,22 169:11	294:11,15,21,24
becoming 168:19	believes 363:13	birthdays 302:21	175:10,25 181:25	295:2 300:4,8,16
314:12	Belize 84:19 85:7,8	bit 9:12 30:3 109:7	182:7,15,22 183:2	301:1 302:24
began 58:17 200:10	315:10,13,14	147:6 158:11	184:2 187:12,16	303:23 308:17,25
200:14,23 202:14	316:15,18 319:8	165:7 180:22	188:24 191:9,10	309:2,9 318:12,15
202:20	belong 297:21	203:16 212:25	192:9,17,23 194:9	319:4 323:18,24
beginning 74:25	298:14	218:25 236:21	194:17,19 195:1	324:6,21,24
77:16 124:1 168:7	belonged 190:3	262:9 285:3	195:25 196:3,8,11	325:11 328:6,21
173:22 174:13	318:7	287:11,13 371:19	196:19 197:8,13	328:21,22,24
221:8 284:3 323:7	belongs 297:19	BITC 311:7	199:7,8,23 200:5	330:1 331:5
334:9	298:12,23	BitCash 65:6	200:10,12,15,18	332:24,25 333:2
begins 4:2 141:2	beneficiaries	160:23	200:21,24 201:1	340:9,11,13,25

341:17 342:2,12 345:7,10 351:17 351:25 358:24 359:1,4,8 360:6 362:10 363:1 365:3,5,17,18 367:1,3 368:5,13 368:17 371:23 372:7 373:4 375:20 376:15 Bitcoins 202:11 205:14 206:2,5 317:25 Bitcointalk 132:9 132:11,15,19 133:12,13 134:23 135:12,15,23 137:24 Bitcointalk.com 179:12 Bitcoin-related 88:8 215:5 Bitcoin.com 133:10 176:12 178:7 179:1,2,3,9 Bitcoin.org 179:16 179:20,24 180:4,8 180:18 181:5,13 181:19 bite 352:18 Bitmessage 72:12 72:14,15,18,23 73:8,9,11,13,23 73:23 75:5,25 76:3,6,7,12,16 Bitmessages 74:7 75:16 bits 140:16 291:13 312:20 Black 15:14 16:16 17:14 BlackNet 64:20,21 64:22 83:24 Black's 117:25 blah 208:2,2,2 blank 123:8	block 100:7 165:17 181:24 182:6,14 182:21 183:2,22 184:2,5,8,10,12 184:13,15,16,18 186:2,3,13,15,17 196:11 250:2 253:13 301:1 blockchain 28:1,3 38:22 44:15 62:16 62:20,24 63:6 64:9,17 67:7,14 69:4,9 70:17 71:12,19 75:24 83:23 87:15,23 88:2 96:19 125:3 243:17 253:2 284:16 blockchain-based 27:20,24 blocked 303:15 blocks 100:4 200:2 345:9 bloody 153:13 326:1 blossoms 273:8 Blvd 2:11 body 80:14 95:25 128:6 317:20 body's 95:21 Boies 1:21 2:3,6,22 2:23 4:10,11,15 174:4,10 359:21 359:25 bonded 350:12 Bondi 341:12 bookkeeper 102:13 born 5:23 7:21 borrow 308:17,24 309:2,9,10 borrowed 309:13 bots 379:5,13 bottom 227:10,19 228:3,4 256:17 266:7 284:10 291:21 299:22	310:9,17 315:9 321:8 bought 346:8 bound 175:3 257:1 257:2,3,5 260:23 260:24 261:1,3,8 261:12,15 262:12 262:18 263:3,4 264:10,10 363:13 364:3,16 box 305:22 boxes 83:7,9,10,12 83:14,16 299:12 305:23,24 breach 17:17 18:14 97:20 break 7:17 16:19 17:4,10,18,18 40:24 41:2 42:13 43:17 70:2 77:6 77:15 78:15,22 79:3 82:24 108:8 123:19 173:16,21 221:1,7,14 224:21 228:11 245:4,8,12 279:10 283:22,23 284:2,8 323:2,6 333:11,14,19 353:23 357:23 358:1 breakdown 281:17 breaking 108:3 breath 35:13,14 Brenner 2:22 5:1,2 5:4 174:3,4,7,8 359:20,24,25 briefing 369:7 briefly 40:6 42:17 103:7 361:9 bring 68:19 164:11 260:25 263:7 bringing 108:3 125:6 brings 258:4 Brisbane 5:23 Britain 160:13	British 120:25 121:5 226:24 256:13 264:13 306:4 brought 372:23 browser 148:1 BTC 245:24 319:16 321:4 buckets 228:12 Budovsky 346:23 bugger 136:1 build 275:18 building 345:4 built 231:12 232:10 232:12,14 345:1 346:6,10 382:21 Bungalow 194:9,21 burdensome 365:12 368:14,25 burdensomeness 365:8 366:2 business 14:8 149:17 187:6 265:1,23 266:3 312:6 348:6,8 businesses 19:12 B-A-G-N-O-O 184:25 B-E-A-R 129:21 B-i-t-C-o-i-n 160:10 <hr/> C <hr/> c 2:1 160:17 161:2 161:3,7 173:2 241:11,14,19 254:3 255:2 284:16 328:20 329:3,5,7 354:23 354:24 calculated 356:6 call 13:13 16:7 48:25 76:23 109:18 138:23 169:8,12 374:25 called 12:23 17:22	29:18 38:17 49:3 62:5 84:3,7,9 88:13 96:5 109:21 117:7 137:8 141:13 184:22 222:4,7,17 223:18 224:1,9 236:5 248:13 276:5 283:10 290:2 309:21 311:23 323:14 332:25 370:16 371:3 378:5 380:18,23 381:10,21,23 384:21 calling 28:6 173:10 300:16 calls 50:17 119:1 172:20 camera 40:14,17,18 45:6 49:9 126:24 127:15 128:11 176:7 233:3 316:25 357:13 361:11 373:17 cancelled 380:21 capacity 54:6,9 capital 161:2 277:22 278:2,4,13 279:15 280:3,17 281:18 capitalised 160:20 capitalising 160:13 160:17 capture 231:20 captured 83:6 132:12 card 4:2 77:13,17 123:23 124:2 173:19,23 221:5,9 283:25 284:4 323:8 385:21 care 72:7 93:17 149:17 219:21 220:1 230:6,6 257:19 266:2
---	---	--	---	--

274:23 282:7 303:16 339:15,17 347:17 carefully 91:18 Carol 15:12 Cartesian 50:22 case 1:6 4:5,6 18:23 19:2 32:4 36:22 95:23 127:7 161:2 161:7 175:4 226:3 226:6,19 261:1 311:18 337:7 365:1 366:18 367:15 372:24 375:11 377:23 cases 74:21 cash 64:25 124:25 281:13 casinos 231:15 catch 129:19 cause 217:8 246:7 250:5,10 345:24 346:6,10 caused 346:12,25 cease 84:14 85:4,10 85:22 306:13 ceased 84:15 cent 120:10 centaur 82:22,22 centre 240:22 century 52:17 certain 119:11 196:3 255:20 283:3 296:12 356:22 certainly 19:6 70:4 83:1 106:18 203:18 226:15 364:6,8 367:20 370:20 373:12 CERTIFICATE 386:1 387:1 certify 386:6 387:4 387:9 CFO 102:12 103:1 chain 165:18	chance 367:23 change 18:9 58:14 81:2,4,8 107:1 110:15,18,25 111:7,14 117:8 217:6 266:1 307:7 307:10 changed 18:19 20:1 55:22 110:21,25 111:5,6 131:25 172:11 283:12 307:9,13,15,16 changes 81:6 169:19 172:11,12 172:13 353:8 386:5 channel 272:4 Chaos 96:5,24 character 72:20,25 characterisation 346:11 characterise 153:13 173:12 charged 162:22 charges 346:24 charitable 119:10 charities 119:12 charity 12:1,1 chat 55:13,14 56:6 148:22 151:4,13 chats 54:11 55:10 55:10,11,12,18,25 56:3,4,9 67:12,18 151:14,15 172:7 172:10 cheating 316:7 check 153:25 154:4 164:19 212:1 229:20 307:14 360:11 checked 107:22 135:19 307:18 cherry 255:3 273:8 Cheshire 102:13 104:22 105:5,9,15 105:18	child 74:20 children 328:18 children's 119:12 China 231:16 Chinese 231:13 232:11 chips 276:21 choose 144:14 257:7 279:4 Christians 260:19 chronology 227:17 228:13,18 chucked 153:25 312:21 circle 19:24 Circus 6:5 14:24 citation 78:20 226:21 cited 175:9,19 citements 383:13 cites 226:12 citizen 258:7 312:12 337:16 citizenship 312:16 city 38:15 Civil 70:5 225:12 claim 18:25 223:14 347:25 349:25 352:2,14 354:8 357:1 369:11 371:15 372:12,17 384:3 claimed 252:12 382:25 claiming 262:24 claims 367:5 372:23 clarification 197:24 200:3 202:5 366:8 373:13 clarify 147:6 317:22 328:10 clarity 373:9 clarity's 247:19 classify 254:24 clause 243:5,7,11	243:21 Clayton 303:3 clean 69:7 clear 25:17 42:19 43:7 101:14 102:20 172:23 197:20,25 198:1 199:20 202:3 234:18 238:14,16 240:3 245:19 252:16 253:4 359:7 361:16 clearance 127:10 clearly 340:18 client 40:19 166:10 167:2,4,10 168:11 168:14,16,20 169:2,12,15,16 170:18 171:14,21 323:19 324:2 326:10 328:13 329:12 346:2 client's 320:11 closed 119:22 306:2 closing 362:5 cloud 21:20,25 22:5 22:9,11,14,16,19 22:21,24 23:2,5,7 23:11,15,18 24:20 25:25 26:7 27:7 28:14,14,25,25 29:4,6,14,17,22 30:1,8,10 41:15 42:3,5,7 201:15 201:17,18 202:1 Cloudcroft 99:9,9 99:15 101:19 105:22 106:23 107:4,9,14 clue 328:22 clueless 328:23 coach 78:8 coaching 25:7,9 Cobham 6:1 9:19 9:21,22,23 10:4,6 10:8,8	CoCo 283:8,11,11 code 106:7 153:20 153:22 154:20 165:10 166:10 168:16 172:10,24 173:12 187:8 207:16 216:20,21 216:25 217:4,4,9 217:9,22 218:2 231:8 236:10 254:3 255:2,6 271:19,19,21,23 271:24 275:3,5 283:5,7 284:24,25 328:20 357:6 370:19 371:15 372:18 coder 173:2 codes 166:21 284:16 coding 217:19 Coin-Exch 277:23 279:22 Coin-Exchange 276:6 278:1,4,21 278:22,24 279:1 279:15,24 280:4 280:17 collaborate 8:16 170:19 190:23 205:21 216:10,13 collaborated 170:24 205:20 collaboration 190:22 205:16 216:18 224:13,18 collaborations 224:25 collateral 248:6 267:25 268:10,11 268:18,19,20,23 268:25 collect 338:5,15 collected 335:13 337:21 372:15 colossal 384:13
--	--	--	--	--

com 179:7	63:3 67:11 84:1	296:6 306:23	complains 249:8	concept 72:2
come 7:22 8:5 9:3	143:17 148:17	308:2 314:3 319:9	complaint 370:17	concepts 141:11
9:20 10:22 13:5	155:8 190:25	332:10,18 339:2,3	371:10	concerning 325:23
54:8 58:17 134:1	199:14,17 201:2	346:11 355:24	complaints 247:13	concisely 204:24
137:3 156:16,20	267:1,5 330:14	361:4 367:19	complete 139:4	conclusion 359:15
180:7 183:2,22	communications	company 12:22	298:7 350:11	conduct 265:22
188:23 199:5	31:6 68:12 69:9	13:12 62:5,9	completed 281:7	conducted 227:6
200:9 208:15	70:17 71:11,18	82:17 83:19 84:3	355:13,13	332:14,17 351:16
211:12 216:19	73:23 74:1 98:5	91:15 96:6,7	completely 125:4	351:24
244:13 245:18,24	130:15 136:7	105:24 107:7,11	133:24 185:17	conducts 264:25
272:9 285:6	178:25 201:3	109:14,14 111:20	260:8 262:6	conference 4:17
286:21 294:20,23	209:21 218:15	112:13 114:16,20	300:17,25	43:25 44:6,8,11
297:3 329:18	261:16,18 262:14	115:14 116:16	completeness 311:1	44:14,17,20,22
346:18 359:15	263:5 266:20,23	117:9 119:22	complex 91:13	47:1,4,7,11,20
383:2,25	338:10 342:22	120:4,4,5,6 121:5	composition 24:10	48:12,15 49:8,20
comes 189:15	360:19 364:6,11	122:9,10,18 123:1	compound 105:7	50:1 51:10,21
317:16 374:13	367:8,18 369:18	161:22 163:19	148:18 177:9	52:7,24 53:11
coming 366:6	communities	219:21 221:21	336:16	54:4 143:2 223:7
383:20	149:20 150:14	230:19 237:4,6,9	compromised	conferenced 44:4
comment 67:4	community 180:20	239:12 244:10	211:1,2	conferences 37:15
152:7	185:19 207:11	246:17,19 248:13	compute 26:10,17	46:20 53:19
commercial 159:10	214:9	263:22 264:7,25	99:16	142:23,25
committed 371:9	companies 13:15	265:22 270:2	computed 26:13	confidential 5:12
common 52:19	14:4,6,10 19:24	278:13 281:7,18	computer 11:13,22	175:3
138:2	32:19,20 62:1,3	287:17 291:4	21:4,7,12 75:11	confidentiality
Commonwealth	81:9 82:19 83:15	293:5 295:9 307:3	86:22 159:22	175:3
264:8	84:5,6,7,9,15	307:6 308:11	166:20 184:15	configurations
communicate	88:13 91:12 92:14	309:21 310:13	185:8,15 200:17	196:4
54:19 60:8,11	93:23 94:4 99:11	311:8 312:10	240:24,25 241:1,3	confirm 42:15
62:18,19 67:6	103:1,24 104:17	343:17 344:3,9,17	300:14 303:22	163:9
68:2,9 72:11	104:23 105:6,23	345:21 346:8	329:3	confirmed 162:24
132:7 134:22	106:2,4 108:13,16	347:18	computers 8:14	confound 109:13
135:2 171:18	108:19 109:1,3,9	company's 318:6	11:8,10,20 12:4	confounding
178:16,20,22	109:9,18 112:7	compel 361:16	20:14,24 184:17	300:11
180:3 208:11,13	116:21 122:11,14	369:10	184:19 185:2,5,6	confuse 109:13
214:3 266:16	123:15 159:10	compelling 369:8	191:5 194:19	153:22
309:16 342:25	167:21 220:4	compilation 296:4	198:21 242:9	confused 10:10
communicated	227:14 230:6,8,18	301:21 302:4	316:20 362:12	109:7
67:13 69:3 104:12	231:3 237:7,14,25	compile 157:19	computing 23:11	conjunction 362:15
153:1 171:17	238:12,14,19	365:11	23:16,18 26:7	connect 144:15,17
208:6,9,24 218:10	247:11 250:17	complain 244:22	27:7 28:14 29:1	146:3 182:3
266:14 342:21	269:16,20 270:4	250:19 300:19	29:14,17,23 30:1	186:19 224:15
375:19	279:18,20 282:5	complained 250:16	30:8 42:7 201:15	242:13
communicating	282:19 288:3,7,10	250:19	201:17,18	connected 224:14
261:21	288:13 290:25	complaining	con 18:24 316:4	connection 144:22
communication	293:11 295:8	163:20 379:4,8	321:3	145:23 182:10,11

295:21 Connor 262:17 consent 372:15,21 consequence 383:20 consider 143:23 144:1 145:6 164:1 considered 62:12 145:3,8 consistent 169:13 construct 311:5 constructed 91:18 165:25 177:1 283:13,20 299:2 304:20 312:20 332:23 constructs 283:4 consult 40:19 consulting 350:11 contact 54:23 55:7 130:12,25 131:13 131:20,23 132:1 137:1 235:13 276:22 277:6 313:23 314:19,20 contacted 132:1 148:25 338:19 contacting 311:12 contacts 68:19 323:23 contains 80:14 contemplate 144:25 contemplated 366:10 contention 366:25 contents 143:16 320:10 336:7 contest 261:13 context 68:25 321:3 368:15 370:10 contexts 370:14 continent 312:15 continents 308:12 continuation 374:2 continue 19:22	25:2 43:15 192:1 195:18 203:19 263:11 355:10 375:12 continuing 9:7 contract 90:23 239:1,4,5,6,9 240:12 243:6,21 248:4 249:10 254:5,22,25 255:5 255:12,15 256:21 257:4,6,7,20 258:5,10,13,16,19 259:6,11 260:2 261:5,8,20,22 262:12,23 263:17 263:21,24,25 264:11 265:6,7,10 265:22 266:5,11 267:7,22,24 268:4 268:6,15,20 269:3 269:7,8 282:22 284:19 348:18 349:8,21,24 350:7 350:9,11,14,20 351:2 352:5 356:5 356:7,11,18,19 contracted 238:23 contracting 261:4 contracts 90:19,20 90:22 91:1 120:7 258:4 272:6,10 323:22 351:3 contractual 278:16 contrary 260:25 contribute 169:22 contributions 158:16 control 109:17 180:13,17 181:4 181:12 211:9 247:1 248:21 291:21 292:1 312:18 controlled 206:11 206:14,17 248:16	249:2 289:9 290:23 291:6,12 292:11,13 controls 245:23 289:12 conversation 18:18 54:12 133:25 182:11 190:6,9,11 190:19 191:22,24 373:23 conversations 31:3 316:25 320:4,9 336:8 converse 324:1 conversing 373:2 convert 248:4 cookie 59:6,8,10,12 cookies 59:16 copied 30:6 32:19 97:12 copies 29:25 83:10 162:9 379:23 380:3 copy 12:6 52:15 63:11 75:12 79:18 80:16,23 97:12 158:14 284:24,25 343:25 core 240:19,21 241:2 corner 189:19 corporate 335:16 corporations 269:14 correct 10:7,9 57:4 65:21 81:12,14 96:6,7 102:23 115:8,20,22 127:3 171:14 174:20 189:23 196:2,11 196:13 234:21 237:1 238:18,22 240:13 241:5,12 241:16,20 242:2 244:7 249:3 260:7 265:5,14 272:22	273:11 276:6 298:1,12 304:8 308:25 318:2 321:19 329:7 334:3,12,25 335:1 352:4 354:25 359:10 360:22 361:19 362:22 370:21 382:25 383:5 corrected 117:1 353:10 correction 320:5 corrections 386:6 388:3 correctly 81:11 244:24 329:1 correspondence 156:4 325:19 cost 185:19 Costa 87:12 231:14 231:16 244:4 319:9 costing 347:3 counsel 4:14 11:6 15:2 31:6 73:3 97:23 296:10 301:18,24 302:6 302:10 309:8 338:11,20 358:4 358:10 360:23 361:11,21 366:17 367:17 373:18 374:20 387:10,13 count 376:7 countries 35:21,21 35:22 88:9 204:21 233:19 234:1 country 127:6 204:13 256:23 374:21 couple 61:25 84:20 131:6 142:11 224:19 358:7,15 364:5 course 125:15	159:9 258:1 261:10 344:11 364:23 courses 285:14 court 1:1 2:14 4:6 4:13 5:5 7:11 15:24 16:7,11 17:16 19:4,10 20:11,12 34:5,21 35:9,11 40:14,17 40:17 42:15 43:7 43:12,24 49:9 66:10 78:8 89:9 89:10,23,25 94:16 99:5,7 106:15 123:18 126:24 127:15,16 128:8 128:10,17,22 142:2 176:7 183:13 184:24 192:2,3,6 199:20 225:15 226:25 238:6 245:2 251:19 263:8 274:23 279:3,9 283:21 293:18 295:22,25 296:17 317:9 331:1 332:20 333:5,20 349:13,15 352:3 352:21,21 353:6,8 353:11 356:13,25 357:13 364:16,18 365:25 366:1,25 372:3 373:19 377:13,18 383:10 385:8 387:1,3 courts 256:13 360:23 court's 78:2 cover 298:3 covered 18:20 307:8,12 covering 15:19 covert 272:4 co-operate 313:20
---	--	---	--	---

cracks 366:16	222:3,6,17,20	323:13	126:5 176:15	145:11,18 146:15
Craig 1:11,17 3:3	223:17,25 224:8	custodial 113:4	179:22 239:1,6	146:21 147:7
4:3,4,22 5:7,22	228:24 235:5,13	cut 136:5 261:25	253:14 258:19	148:25 149:14,16
68:1 77:14,18	258:8	262:1	265:9 350:23,25	149:22,23 150:2
84:3,8,9,11,17,22	created 14:5,6	cut-off 346:3	351:1 354:7,9	150:11,13,16
85:8,12,13,24	99:15 134:10,10	cyberstalked 287:9	383:16	151:6,11,20
87:14 90:2 91:3,8	193:12,14 201:20	Cyberstalking	dated 65:2 79:8	152:14,19,23,23
92:11 93:17 94:9	201:25 216:14	287:12	350:7,9 386:24	153:1,5,7 154:7
94:13,18 95:5	222:12,25 224:15	C/C 254:3 255:2	dates 37:25 100:19	154:14,18 155:1
96:1 123:24 124:3	231:25 232:2,6,9	284:16	101:2 156:23	155:13 156:7,9
132:2 144:19	234:9,20 255:14	C01N 109:21,22,23	Dave 33:16,18 36:1	157:13 158:17
173:20,24 190:20	270:3 283:9 290:7	110:1,3,10 111:4	38:7,9,16 39:1,8	159:1,1,4,16
221:6,10 227:18	290:9,11 302:16	112:1,3,5,21,24	39:11,21,22 40:4	160:1,3,16,23
239:12 240:17,19	creating 113:16	113:9,17,18 115:7	40:8 42:9,16,18	161:2,5 168:4,6
244:6 245:24	234:13 243:15	115:10,13,14,16	45:9,11 46:23,25	168:10,13,16
246:4,7,12,13,20	255:12,16 382:21	115:17,19 117:5,7	47:4,7,11,13,16	169:15 170:8,11
246:22 247:1,8	creation 96:15	118:3,9,11,17,20	47:20 48:12,15,17	170:14 171:13,19
248:6,25 256:11	100:5 134:13	118:22,24 119:14	49:10,19 50:1	171:20 172:8,9,10
256:18,21 263:20	204:13 215:4	119:23 120:14,18	51:3,10,20 52:7	172:14,16,18,24
266:7 267:25	217:22 218:1	120:22,24,24	52:23 53:11,19,24	173:2,13,14 176:2
268:3 269:6,7,23	234:14 258:2,6	121:7,8,12,13,18	54:5,7,8,24 55:19	178:16,25 180:4
270:15,17,19,22	creator 324:21,24	122:5,22 123:3,8	56:6,13,22 57:3	181:4,12 183:10
272:7,10,16 276:3	credentials 132:24	123:9,9 124:7,9	57:11,18,24 58:4	183:14 186:20,22
277:25 278:3	213:22	307:13,15 381:10	58:7,10,13,18,22	187:7,7 188:11,13
279:14 280:2,2,16	creditors 247:13	381:22,24	58:25 59:2,6,13	190:4 192:17
282:2,14 284:1,5	crime 141:25		59:18,24 60:2,4,5	195:12 196:15
323:9 330:24	142:12 258:7	D	60:8,11,13,17,19	198:18,22,25
334:9 343:12,15	criminal 194:3,6	d 3:1 354:24,25	60:23 61:1,3,13	199:9,15,17,22
344:2,8,14,17,24	251:13 341:23	Dai 129:3,22	61:16,19,22 62:4	200:9 201:14,24
348:2,18 350:14	critical 158:23,25	131:14 151:5	62:15,18,20,25	202:10,14,24
378:12,13,21,25	critique 13:14	167:16,17 168:1	63:1,5,8,18,21	203:8 204:2,10
380:8,19 385:23	cryptocurrency	damn 187:6 312:5	64:9,13,14,17	205:1 206:2,5,8
386:3,20	323:14	dark 134:9	65:2 67:6 68:3,10	206:14,16 211:13
Craig's 242:15	cryptographic	data 12:3 23:21,22	68:11 69:2,8	211:16 212:10
318:12	383:21	23:24 24:2,10,13	70:16 71:10,17,22	214:3,5,15,16
craig.wright@inf...	cryptography	24:19 25:25 26:2	71:23 72:11,22	215:6,10,13 216:6
81:13	151:3,6 170:4	26:13,16 27:6	75:12,25 79:8,19	216:10,13,14,16
crap 177:24	Cryptonaut 129:9	29:25 30:2,3,4,8	83:22 89:1 90:3	216:17,19 217:3,8
crappy 356:15	129:13,16,23	30:10,11 32:19	90:12,15 98:9,13	217:11 218:10,20
crazy 331:1	CSW 227:22	56:21 99:18	107:16 116:7,7,9	218:24 222:16,20
create 8:16 53:6	currency 125:18,21	240:22 275:11	123:7,7,12,16	222:24 232:17
76:19 88:7,11	current 308:9,9,11	date 4:7 5:20 9:17	126:16 128:13	234:14 235:18,18
99:24 100:9	361:2 363:14,25	17:1,6 21:7 63:12	133:16,17,25	235:18 238:19
124:17,23 125:2	369:19,22	64:2,6,6 66:18	134:16,19,21,22	242:15,20,24
144:20 190:21	currently 5:25	83:21 99:10	135:12,14,17,22	244:3 250:18,19
207:9 216:5 218:7	11:15 308:6	109:25 116:4	136:1,1,2 137:4	254:15 256:2,8

257:3,9,12,23 258:12,18,24 259:6,11 260:1 261:19,21,23 262:9,10,16,19 263:3 264:4,9,9 264:10,18 266:2 266:10 267:6 275:18 276:11,17 276:22 285:14 286:21 287:1 292:18,21,25,25 293:1,2,5,6,7,8,12 293:13 312:10 316:13 317:24 318:8,12 319:1,6 319:7 320:22 321:1,5,18,21 322:3,11,13 325:6 325:15,18,20 330:13,16 341:17 341:21 342:1 343:3,6,9 345:11 345:18 346:6,7 347:2,13 355:9,10 355:21 360:5,15 360:19 362:15,16 362:25 365:18 367:3,5 368:23 371:22,22 372:8 375:24 376:14 380:15 382:19,20 Dave's 111:10 128:19 144:8,19 149:6 156:10 158:15 190:20 207:22 218:8 233:8 258:10 315:24 341:20 343:17 344:3,9,17 346:7 373:4 377:8 377:10 David 1:7 8:16 242:7 283:1,6,7 day 91:14 190:2 204:17 341:22	380:10 386:24 days 187:17 305:24 de 2:11 dead 355:23 360:15 382:23 deadline 366:1 deal 16:10 174:21 231:14 233:3 368:14 dealing 149:19,19 232:17 261:4 dealt 42:3 103:9 231:3 366:4 369:24 death 99:1 111:10 282:10 Deborah 317:7 debt 347:17 debtors 247:13 debunk 384:2 December 12:25 14:3 133:20,21 137:3 195:3,15,16 195:24 196:9 306:14 358:25 365:11 380:22 decide 78:14 125:5 145:14 161:9 165:5 167:9 337:3 decided 73:19 128:23 140:8 325:12 decision 373:21 declare 334:10 decline 373:23 deduced 285:18 deed 297:14 308:24 309:1 312:3,25 deem 78:6 deemed 256:11 deep 329:2 defamation 150:4,8 defaming 162:21 default 248:5 268:3 defective 260:9 262:6	defence 358:10 360:22 361:11 368:16 369:6,15 369:20 374:11 defendant 1:12 2:9 348:19 349:9 350:10,13 351:23 354:20 372:9 Defense 1:7 239:12 263:19,22 264:2,5 264:18 350:15 defer 368:6 369:14 374:10 deferred 383:11 define 27:13,13,23 49:16 52:10 53:25 55:1 86:15,18 177:16,23 178:19 198:19 255:8 defined 28:4 117:23 264:17 definitely 51:7 59:3 59:7 72:25 101:1 221:16 226:3 definition 209:1 253:24 256:9 257:1 definitions 52:16 deformation 65:3 degree 226:24 264:11 delete 74:13 274:2 deliver 247:8 283:2 delivered 83:7 337:19 demonstrate 259:11 260:1 Denariuz 305:9 306:10,21 307:14 307:16 308:5 Department 218:23 317:5 355:8,20 357:7 depending 209:2 depends 209:4 364:4	deployed 283:14 deponent 3:2 386:3 deposed 369:14 deposited 114:16 114:19 depositing 120:8 deposition 1:16 3:9 4:3,9 5:11 6:23 8:8,9 20:5 42:24 43:3 65:16 73:3 77:14,18,25 88:21 123:24 124:3 144:5 145:25 146:1 173:20,24 174:6 175:2 187:14 199:13,19 203:17,20 216:8 221:6,10 234:6,7 259:1 284:1,5 292:4 323:9 352:18,19 359:5 359:22 362:4 363:2,5,11,21 364:9 365:15,20 366:4 367:7 374:2 374:3 375:12 383:11 385:22 386:4,5 387:11 deposition-taking 7:9 Derivative 216:11 222:7 223:3 describe 118:8 226:4 describing 69:18 Design 62:6 110:12 111:4,23 112:15 306:24 307:9 designed 99:15 designer 106:5 desired 386:6 desires 243:22 Desmond 82:10 Despite 225:22 destroy 119:6 destroyed 75:5,8	detail 55:16 257:10 368:19 detailed 272:2 detailing 86:18 details 53:2 72:8 121:23 127:15 137:17,19 140:18 144:19 190:20 198:17,20 213:10 229:20 277:6 293:13 determination 127:16 determine 19:23,25 20:2 285:23 286:15 292:9 311:19 338:20 340:17 367:11 368:7,10 373:24 determining 96:16 develop 88:2,3 168:10,13 developed 186:24 218:24 241:17 276:21 277:24 283:17 287:12 323:24 Developers 76:18 development 28:20 28:24 29:1,5,5,8 29:14 99:16 207:7 244:7 283:1 323:18 326:10 328:6 351:17,19 351:21,21,25 development/Res... 265:4 device 11:14 21:17 devices 24:20 25:25 30:21 31:1,11,16 31:23 41:16 42:3 99:17 DEVIN 2:3 de-designate 5:13 DHS 255:24 275:10 275:14 370:19
--	---	---	---	--

371:16 372:19 dialogue 66:10 dictated 165:22 dictionary 52:15 117:25 322:10 died 47:9,13,16 98:16 116:7,7,9 242:7 282:9 354:20 382:20 difference 171:12 different 10:15 14:2 23:25 35:19 79:17 84:16 88:8 96:16 104:9 105:13 110:11 125:2,3,4 135:7 156:13,15 157:14 161:16 165:24 218:22 219:1 232:13 239:25 255:4 258:10 291:13 298:16 300:12 301:6 302:14,15,16,20 302:20 304:5 312:21 325:5 328:2 354:13 361:4 382:3 differently 165:22 differs 124:24 difficult 76:21 258:4 difficulties 65:3 150:9 digital 55:13 64:25 74:19 124:24 digitally 260:13 direct 91:19 118:14 160:5 325:19 328:12 330:14 332:4 354:2 368:24 379:23 380:4,10 directed 52:19 118:24 238:7 directive 78:2	directly 324:1 372:22 director 13:12 288:1,3,10 339:1 354:19 361:3 367:20 directors 122:5,8,8 directorship 288:14 339:5 directorships 288:13 disagree 261:7 338:17 disappear 314:15 disappeared 186:24 201:21 314:18,21,24 disappointment 134:16 discipline 287:13 disclosed 174:23 338:11 disconnecting 195:11 discovery 226:2,14 337:22 362:5 discrepancy 228:23 discuss 16:18,23 17:3,9 18:21 38:9 38:18,21 40:13 55:19 56:6 59:6 59:12 75:24 127:14 141:10 143:14 176:7 198:17,19,21 199:8 264:13 297:1 329:25 330:5,10 331:4,9 331:12,15 363:12 363:16 367:22 382:18,22 discussed 49:8 59:9 64:14 130:7 141:9 141:11 148:10 160:11 171:23 188:24 341:17,21	374:24 382:21 discussing 75:23 78:18 127:12 175:11 273:15 333:20 discussion 40:18 45:4 82:24 216:7 303:14 361:11 discussions 76:2 143:13 disillusioned 133:23 dismiss 19:2 disposal 250:24 disposition 91:16 dispute 226:20 257:23 disputes 385:5 distinction 205:14 208:3 distribute 258:8 distributed 232:13 232:19 distribution 167:7 233:4 District 1:1,1 4:6,6 333:6 dive 119:6 division 142:1 divorce 16:18 17:1 17:6 363:11,13 divorced 16:21,23 DMCA 378:16 docket 126:10 189:23 240:5 doctor 6:8 52:1 109:7 253:4 264:12 285:2 332:4 doctored 332:22 document 65:10,10 65:14,14,19,23,24 66:13,13,24 67:4 67:4 157:4,8,11 159:1,11 189:20 227:11,13,15	228:7,8,25 239:15 241:22 254:6,10 256:15 290:14,21 297:16,16,19,21 298:1,7,9,12,14 298:16,18,22,22 298:25 299:14 300:25 301:2,19 301:25 302:4 304:3,4,5,18 308:16,20,21 311:4 315:7 333:9 334:15 348:23,24 349:4 351:7 354:10,11,13,14 355:5 365:9 documenting 28:21 documents 83:11 122:2 163:20 286:3 290:15,16 290:17 292:24 293:14 297:10,12 297:17 298:19 299:2,5,10 300:19 301:22 302:4 304:20 312:21 333:7 334:18,20 334:21,24 335:7 335:12,17 336:1,1 336:3,4,10,12,14 336:15,18,22,24 338:5,7,13,16,21 349:18,19 351:20 352:3 353:3,7,10 355:2 357:9 362:6 document-wise 353:7 doing 26:17 78:8 82:7 88:14 106:20 122:11 125:12 135:20 138:9,24 142:11 154:1 158:22 160:14 177:24 187:21 188:11 191:20 195:7 199:1	227:22 228:13 231:11 232:4 264:12 285:15 287:15 341:22 352:1 356:25 369:3 dollars 227:21 251:17 372:16 domain 81:9 176:12,14 177:14 177:20,21 178:7 178:17,20,23 179:7 180:5,8,11 180:13,18 181:1,5 181:13,20 223:23 224:7 domains 81:8,17 180:6 donate 11:25 donated 74:20 door 231:12 232:10 doors 233:6 362:5,5 Dorian 213:14,15 213:17 dot 179:7,13 double 41:13 double-check 159:2 162:20 doubt 210:24,25 download 122:19 downloaded 166:21 Dr 3:3 4:3,25 5:7 5:15 8:13,22 18:17,22 19:12 20:14 25:23 30:20 31:2 35:8 37:5 41:6 68:1 69:6 71:4 77:14,18 78:15 82:23 97:22 98:3 106:13 123:24 124:3,6 126:22 132:2 145:19 173:20,24 175:9,23 176:11 188:23 217:15 221:6,10,13 238:7
---	--	---	--	---

245:12,23 247:18 256:18 258:25 262:5 263:7 264:21 265:6 267:4 269:22 271:1 277:12 283:9,17 284:1,5 284:8 295:8,10 296:1 297:7 307:18 308:16 309:7 312:25 313:15,19 315:2 316:10 317:2,20 320:20 322:11 323:9,12 333:5,19 334:23 335:11 338:4,15,19 339:18 341:25 345:24 352:20 358:18,19,21,23 360:13,18 361:1,9 361:16,21 362:10 363:10 365:17 367:1 368:4 369:13 371:21 372:3,4,14 373:2 373:3,6,17,24 374:4,8 375:18 376:14,21 377:7 377:25 378:12,13 378:21,25 380:8 380:19,23 381:9 382:24 385:23 draft 136:13 139:1 139:7 191:5 301:18,24 302:6 302:24 drafted 348:23,24 349:2,22 drafting 138:16 350:18 drafts 136:25 141:7 142:15 drank 38:10 39:5 Dread 186:10 drill 55:11,17	287:11 drink 7:17 38:11 323:2 357:22 drinking 204:16 drive 14:24 21:14 74:23 75:4,13 319:16 321:5 drives 21:6 74:15 74:17,18,24 75:15 262:25 377:8,10 driving 330:25 drove 38:16 drunk 204:16 dubbed 100:3 due 346:23 360:21 duration 242:15 318:12 D-E-B-O-R-A-H 317:10 D-E-S-M-O-N-D 82:11 <hr/> E E 2:1,1 3:1 388:1 EA 256:14 earlier 5:17 41:14 109:8 210:19 283:10 313:22 344:18 early 55:14 167:7 175:24 202:22,23 289:7 290:4 319:15 372:5 earn 196:3 241:15 241:19,23 earned 196:10 ears 102:22 earth 313:16,17 easier 21:11 158:20 207:15 245:15 Eastern 84:20 easy 77:22 158:21 economic 224:1 356:16 EC4A 1:22 edit 136:20 156:7	168:16 169:15 edited 136:7 156:8 172:24 editing 63:22 65:4 159:8 edits 156:10 171:16 171:20 172:15 effect 365:13 effectively 14:1 28:5 effects 96:16 effort 78:5 375:9 efforts 374:20 either 25:12 30:4 34:6 37:24 63:10 66:8 70:24 89:2 110:11 123:6,8,9 144:13 174:21 220:22 222:16 248:24 318:18 347:16 358:8 362:15 369:22 370:21 374:1 electronic 64:25 65:6 75:5,8,15,16 260:11 Ellen 108:12,19 else's 158:21 342:7 email 317:23 emotion 158:20 employed 12:11,16 12:21 13:3,6,8 387:10,13 employee 120:7 387:12 employees 41:15,22 41:24 42:1,3 employer 13:3,6 employment 13:13 EN 142:5 enable 83:3 219:2 235:22 enabled 231:13,16 231:18,20 234:10 enacted 90:14 ended 250:23 252:2	252:24 347:3 ends 253:6 engineers 163:6 England 260:20 English 54:21 enhanced 231:10 232:3,12,16 243:14 enquire 295:8 296:1,6 enquiring 368:4 ensure 88:14,16 134:11 ensured 92:13 enter 90:11 98:12 272:6,10 374:25 entered 19:24 90:17 243:6 266:11 entire 5:11 150:19 191:3 193:17,22 204:17,20 312:14 entirely 195:16 226:1 335:2 373:12 entirety 264:16 entities 77:3 87:4 87:15 88:7,11,25 90:20 91:4 94:18 95:6 96:2 238:8 244:20 296:2,4 349:17 entitled 89:12 191:19,20 216:11 308:17,24 375:18 entity 90:23 96:4 96:13 97:15 98:10 98:12,20,22 99:1 99:3,8,14,20,24 100:9,15 101:12 107:16,19,21,23 108:1 109:21 118:12 120:25 121:7,8,10,11 263:20 264:8 269:4	entry 126:10 189:24 240:5 envisioned 99:5 243:18 EOS 323:14 326:1 equipment 11:24 Ernst 102:4 104:3 error 265:5 300:15 301:22 320:16 349:3 350:18 351:22 353:5 354:6,13 errors 353:1 Especially 385:4 ESQ 2:3,5,22,23 establish 8:13 145:23 established 97:5 99:1 181:12 205:17 238:19 339:25 Estate 1:6 et 4:4 154:2 etcetera 17:19,19 21:8 37:16 74:21 159:2 163:20 172:13 181:1 185:22 247:15 261:4 267:10 272:5 274:23 275:17 300:15 312:19 325:12 ether 148:2 Ethereum 88:3 European 84:20 evening 361:24 event 169:8 eventually 20:5 33:22 100:6 113:3 160:16 200:23,25 207:12 Everyday 253:7 everyone's 374:20 evidence 66:25 134:12 155:15 215:8 260:24
--	--	--	---	---

261:1,15 262:24 274:18 312:22 exact 9:17 11:2 14:15 24:9 37:25 53:2 85:2,14 96:8 112:2 118:6 126:5 133:19 156:22 179:22 213:9 259:2 281:8 325:10 344:21 exactly 44:5 45:16 53:22,25 54:14 80:15 85:11 93:19 102:5 110:13 113:10 118:20 142:20,21 152:22 156:12 158:11 172:24 173:1 189:1 202:21 211:19 248:23 254:14 263:10 265:18 285:11 335:22 341:11 378:22 examination 6:13 example 55:13 exchange 62:10,11 62:11 79:22 81:1 81:7,8,10 125:24 204:8,9,25 206:2 206:4,6,7 251:16 254:2,4,10,19 255:1,19,21 315:4 351:17 exchanged 205:13 exchanges 317:23 excuse 177:25 exercise 118:24 exercised 118:22 exhibit 3:10 78:16 78:17 79:7 126:8 126:9 150:6 160:6 161:16,17,18 189:7,17 193:5,11 227:7,10 239:2,4 259:17 263:14,14	263:16 278:11 284:9 296:18 297:8 302:6,7 314:25 315:3 333:15,22 347:19 347:22 370:17 371:4 exhibits 3:9 244:21 278:10 295:15 exist 74:6,7 76:6,7 84:14 85:5,10,22 121:24 137:19 139:22 162:2 201:19 219:13 296:11 306:11,13 343:21 349:18 351:20 353:3 355:2 357:9 367:8 existed 20:15 76:20 79:17 306:15 existence 107:21 116:19,24 117:5 183:3,23 230:7 286:2 290:3 exists 50:25 306:12 311:8 365:9 exodus 217:20 expect 179:7 241:19,23 311:6 expected 241:11 expecting 352:4 expects 241:15 expert 74:19 158:19 369:11 explain 19:8 89:5 109:10 144:5 177:4 189:3,8 204:5 205:7,12 265:23 268:2 282:24 315:12 explained 253:5 explaining 320:25 explanation 40:16 126:24 253:6,7 254:19 explicit 208:21	exploitation 74:21 explore 367:20,24 explorer 253:13 exposed 380:22 express 95:21 extension 366:9,13 extent 19:21 31:5 303:2 334:19 336:2 extra 275:6 ex-wife 15:17 17:6 218:18 360:18,24 364:14 369:5 eyes 200:7 e-cash 125:4 e-mail 54:4 58:25 59:2,18 60:5,15 60:17,19 61:13,16 62:15 63:11,12,13 63:16,25 64:2,3,8 64:14 65:1,7,8 66:1,3,17,18,19 67:12,17 68:19 70:23,24,25 71:3 72:24 79:7,9,11 79:15,18,25 80:5 80:8,10,13,17,24 81:2,4,12,15,25 83:21 126:11,14 131:15,16 132:9 136:2 138:8 147:9 147:13 148:15,16 148:21 150:3 155:5 160:6 161:16,19 179:7 209:3,8 210:2,6 210:13 212:3,4,8 256:16,19 273:1,2 273:20,23 317:21 317:23 318:24 321:3,18 325:24 327:21 329:11 342:22 360:19 372:25 e-mailed 59:20 60:23 61:1,19,21	104:13 171:11,13 171:16 287:14 329:16 e-mailing 58:18,22 63:18 e-mails 60:7,10,13 60:21 68:2,8,11 68:21,24 69:3,8 70:16 71:9,10,17 129:2 132:13 261:7 262:25 315:4 335:17 <hr/> F <hr/> F 244:7 245:13 246:2 fabs 345:23 Facebook 67:19,19 67:20 262:13 facilitate 136:4 fact 6:22 109:15,18 225:14 251:24 260:16,19 284:24 307:16 309:2 335:6 366:19 376:2 379:4 383:21 facts 155:14 215:7 307:15 failed 134:14 174:16 fails 220:22 fair 144:16 352:8 362:8 fairly 214:18 258:9 368:12 Faketoshi 384:21 false 220:22,23 familiar 80:16 191:3 270:9 276:1 281:22 327:20 380:25 381:6 familiarise 240:6 familiarised 267:19 family 18:21 164:14 331:16	famous 303:9 341:22 far 97:1 173:12 175:25 242:24 243:16 247:6 363:4 372:7 faster 384:9 father 315:25 371:22 fault 10:10,12 Faustus 379:2,3 FBI 35:24 features 277:9 February 126:4 318:23 federal 70:5 95:21 141:24 311:14,16 337:3 feedback 172:1,3 feel 7:17 9:13 78:6 128:7 221:13 262:4,7 300:18,20 feels 364:16 fees 253:3 Ferrier 309:23 fibre 185:17,18,21 fiction 193:11,15 193:18,23 fight 319:15 fight 150:10 figure 171:10 205:19 225:3 250:22 307:20,22 322:23 figured 77:22 figuring 318:1 file 81:19 161:11,12 161:14 216:15 236:10 352:14 355:24 366:9,20 368:16 369:6,15 369:16 374:11 filed 17:16 353:2 355:22 360:23 366:3,5,10 files 81:7 164:2,5
--	--	--	---	---

300:14,14 303:22 303:22 335:18 377:8,10 filing 355:10 365:8 365:12 366:14 374:23 final 158:1 368:19 finally 372:25 finance 96:5,25 247:11,13 248:8 248:11,22 249:2,7 finances 281:9 financial 141:25 142:12 224:9 279:18 313:9 financially 387:14 financier 240:13,17 245:23 246:2 248:3,5 find 16:8 82:14 129:10,13 147:7 147:21,25 148:8 163:14,16 187:5 190:16 199:5 262:24 263:2,4 296:13 332:25 383:15 fine 5:14 6:8 77:10 123:20 281:24 286:9 354:23 361:25 finish 69:6 158:3 201:22 252:21 262:4 263:11 267:4 296:1 313:7 finished 141:15 Finney 129:4,22 153:19 154:5,14 154:19,22,24,25 155:20,22,23 156:5 172:12 175:16,20 188:5,7 192:12 fired 103:13,15,19 138:9 firewall 231:13	232:11 firewalled 207:12 firm 12:23 94:1,3 143:10 303:6,9 firms 303:7,16 first 9:24 15:11,15 16:2,8 53:24 54:5 63:7 64:8,16 83:22 84:1,11 95:23 105:13 108:12 129:7 142:3 145:11 157:6 167:7 168:6 169:1 176:14 179:19 180:5 182:6 184:2,12 189:25 191:10,12 191:20 199:22 200:5 201:20,21 215:5 238:23 263:23,25 264:17 285:6,10 286:20 286:21 297:25 298:2,3 309:21 326:2 359:11 361:13 362:9 365:25 368:4 371:20,21 384:23 fit 254:4,10 fits 8:10 five 48:3,5 67:23 five-minute 323:1 fixed 154:5 FL 2:12 flashing 178:3 Flexiteek 226:18 Flexner 1:21 2:3,6 2:22,23 4:10,11 flip 186:10 flippant 321:2 Florida 1:1 2:4 4:6 37:23 38:1,6 46:1 46:14 263:19 264:18 333:6 flowers 273:8 fluctuates 356:12	fluffy 51:1 177:24 204:6 flush 369:20 FNE 96:5,24 focus 233:21 Foley 1:24 2:15 4:13 387:3,24 folks 233:9 248:15 248:20 249:2 250:22 follow 148:17 233:13 234:12 311:17 followed 148:21 287:13 following 245:23 290:6 334:11 follow-up 339:13 363:24 foolish 243:1 foolishness 70:12 forced 332:21 forcefully 385:6 forecasting 96:14 foregoing 386:4,5 387:5 foreign 204:21 forensic 74:19 forensics 159:23 forget 112:3 130:23 forgotten 213:16 form 20:20 24:25 25:6,16,18 50:20 63:2 65:6,12 66:8 67:2 69:14,17,19 72:1,4 77:24 97:15 113:3 119:8 134:11 139:7 226:1 252:9 253:20 260:8 formal 127:10 136:16 365:7 formalised 290:4 formally 42:21 162:22 format 55:14,16	formation 33:16,18 33:22,25 113:1 120:12 182:5 formed 72:1 84:23 85:1,8,13 98:15 98:18 110:10 111:23,25 112:12 113:12,13 117:9 117:11 277:23 303:6 former 16:19,24 17:2,3,9 80:25 104:10 130:9 forms 14:2 56:6 313:25 345:22 formulated 283:6 forth 228:17 forthcoming 282:11 forum 135:12,15 138:2 forums 155:4 209:3 209:4 forward 65:3 71:5 166:3,19 228:13 349:6 359:2 375:11 382:24 383:2 forwards 335:17 fought 352:4 found 11:10 13:25 148:4 158:24 299:12 foundation 140:7 152:15 154:16 168:12 212:12 242:11 324:10 331:21 332:1 founded 13:24 14:1 84:12 96:10 99:9 109:12,23 122:23 291:1,5 293:24 306:23 308:10 founders 346:22 378:18 founding 106:23	360:20 four 67:23 130:6 190:1,3 216:25 217:6,22 218:1 275:10,14 299:2 304:20 308:12 312:21 314:20 361:7,13,17 363:22 366:23 369:24 370:13,24 371:5 FPGAs 241:17 frank 366:14 fraud 316:4 333:3 fraudulent 18:25 Freedman 2:3 3:4 4:18,18 5:3,8,9,14 5:17 8:12,21 9:9 9:15 10:3 11:12 11:23 12:15,19 13:10 15:6,10,22 16:1,4,10,14 17:11,25 18:16 19:6,9,17,23 20:3 20:10,13,19,23 23:14,23 24:6,12 24:23 25:5,16,21 26:4,12,18 27:1,5 27:10,15,19,25 28:13,23 29:7,12 29:21 30:19,24 31:10,15,19 32:1 32:11 33:2,15,21 34:2,11,16,23 35:3,15 36:3,7,15 37:3,10,22 38:5 38:25 39:6,18 40:3,7,22,24 41:5 41:8,12,20 42:23 43:1,2,4,10,14,16 43:22 44:2,13,19 45:7,19,25 46:9 46:15,22 47:15,23 48:2,10,19 49:6 49:13,17,23 50:4 50:8,15,19 51:2,8
--	---	---	--	--

51:14,18 52:11,21	124:21 125:8,10	183:12,17,21	244:5,12,17,20	315:1,19,22 316:9
53:5,9,17,23	125:22 126:2,15	184:1,6,14 185:1	245:4,11,17,21	317:1,10,13
54:16,22 55:2,23	126:25 127:4,6,9	185:7,11,13 186:7	246:18 247:7,21	318:11,18,22
56:16 57:8,17,23	127:18 128:5,12	187:1,15,17,22	248:10,19 249:11	320:13,18 321:17
58:3,21 59:17	128:18 130:21	188:1,6,12,20,22	249:21 250:4,9,21	322:2,18 323:1,11
60:3 61:12 63:17	132:4,6,23 133:12	189:6,9,14,23	251:3,21 252:6,14	324:7,13,19,25
63:23 64:15 65:11	133:14 134:5,15	190:10,15 191:2,7	252:20,23 253:15	325:14 326:16,21
65:15,25 66:7,15	134:18,20,25	191:12,15 192:1,7	253:22 254:8,11	327:1,6 328:9,25
67:1,5,24 68:6,15	135:3,10,21	192:16,22 193:3	254:21 257:16	329:6,10,24 330:4
68:20 69:1,13,17	136:11,18,24	193:16,21 195:14	259:9,18,25	330:9 331:3,8,18
69:20 70:1,4,8,14	137:7,12 138:11	196:5,14,25 197:5	261:24 263:6,12	331:23 332:3
70:21 71:16 72:10	138:25 139:6	197:12,22 198:7	264:3,19 265:17	333:10,18 334:4
73:6,12,16,21	140:10 141:6,17	198:11,16 199:4	265:20,25 266:15	335:10,20 336:11
74:2,10,16 75:3,9	141:20 142:8	199:14,21 200:1	267:12,17 268:8	336:17,23 337:13
75:19,22 76:10,25	143:22 144:7,12	201:9 202:6,9	268:17,24 269:18	337:25 338:12,17
77:7,10,20 78:7	144:18,24 145:5	203:4,13,24 204:7	270:5,24 271:14	339:4,11,22 340:4
78:13,21 79:2,5	145:10,20 146:6	204:23 205:10,15	272:15,19 273:10	340:8,12,17,22
79:14,24 80:4,9	146:12,20 147:1	205:19,25 206:9	274:6,13,19 275:7	341:3,7,14,24
80:18,22 82:3,8	148:3,7,19,23	206:15,20 207:1	275:23 276:14	342:10,16,20
85:21 86:8,12,21	149:5,11 150:12	207:21 208:4	277:3,12,17 278:9	343:2 344:1,7,13
86:25 87:10,21	150:18,23 151:23	209:7,19 210:8,12	278:15,21 279:2,7	344:23 345:14,17
88:6,17,22 89:2,7	152:6,10,13,18,24	210:21 212:9,15	279:13,19,23	346:5 347:8,20
89:11,14,20 90:1	153:4,6,15,21	213:13 214:14,23	280:8,14,21 281:1	348:7,14,16
90:6,10,16 91:2,7	154:6,13,17 155:3	214:25 215:3,11	281:12,19 282:12	349:12,21,25
91:20 92:10,15,19	155:6,12,16,19	216:9 217:2,13,25	282:23 283:23	350:4,19,24
92:25 93:4,10,21	156:3,19,24	218:6,13 219:18	284:7,13 285:1,17	351:12 352:13,23
94:2,12,17 95:15	157:12,18,23	220:2,11,17 221:2	285:23 286:6,14	353:20 354:1
96:23 97:21 98:8	158:8,13 159:14	221:12,17,25	286:19,25 287:5	355:6,16 356:1,17
98:17 99:6,23	159:18,20 160:2	222:11,19 223:1,8	287:10,23 288:6	357:2,22 358:6,7
100:8,14 101:3,8	160:15,22 161:1,6	223:13,24 224:5	288:16,21 289:8	358:13,18,23
101:16 102:16,24	161:17 162:15,19	224:13,20 225:7	289:13,18 291:10	359:10,17 360:4
103:14,18,22	162:23 163:8,13	225:11,19,24	292:5,16 293:20	360:11,17 361:1,7
104:6,21 105:8,21	164:4,16,21 165:2	226:5,11,15,17,21	293:25 294:5,10	361:19 365:22,23
106:9,22 108:5,11	166:8,18,25	227:2,8 228:3,5	294:14,19 295:7	365:24,25 366:8
108:17,22 109:19	168:15,25 169:7	228:10,19 229:5	295:13,18,22	366:11,14,22,24
110:2,20 111:2	169:20 170:13,23	229:15,22 230:1	296:16,19,24	368:1,2 370:2,6
112:10 114:1,11	171:4,9,25 172:22	230:10,15,22	297:4,6 299:8,19	370:20 371:17,18
114:18 115:1,6,12	173:3,7,17 174:17	231:5 232:25	300:22 301:10,17	372:12 373:10
115:24 116:6,10	174:21 175:6,8,14	233:16 234:8,19	301:23 302:5,12	375:3,4,17,23
116:15,18 117:4	175:21 176:4,10	235:3,12,17,20	302:22 303:11,24	376:4,13,18,20
117:10,14 118:7	176:21 177:2,12	236:2,9,16,23	304:13 305:4	377:14,18,21,24
118:15,21 119:3	177:18 178:5,15	237:5,13,20 238:2	306:19 307:4	378:9 379:19
119:13,19 120:17	178:21 180:2,12	238:6,10,21	308:4,15,22 309:6	380:2,7 381:2,17
120:21 121:17	180:16 181:8,14	239:14,18,20	309:14 310:5,8,22	382:4,9,17 383:3
122:4,13,21	181:18,23 182:2,5	240:1,4,16 241:25	311:2,11 312:1,23	383:8,14,18 384:1
123:20 124:5,13	182:12,18 183:1,9	242:14,23 243:20	313:6,13 314:6,11	384:6,10,15,20

385:9,12,16 freedom 337:18 frequency 49:19 51:20 56:12 57:2 57:10 60:21,25 61:18 frequently 47:6 59:20 60:22 friend 48:17 71:25 160:3 214:16,17 friends 70:25 159:22 262:19,20 front 37:21 109:4 111:12,19 121:22 122:25 123:5 128:8 150:7 158:15 270:11 276:2 295:24 297:14 298:19 313:4 373:1,5 fucked 133:24 fucking 157:17 fulfillment 268:19 full 8:18,20 18:21 52:14 159:8 169:5 171:2 219:9 308:21 347:5,9 352:19 379:13 fully 367:24 full-time 198:4 fulsome 40:16 126:24 functions 26:6,11 159:5 fund 140:9 355:21 funding 139:14 140:4 218:21 funds 354:21,21,24 355:3,7,12,12 further 99:3 123:15 154:7 301:16 362:19 363:18,20 368:1 373:14 375:2 387:9 F(b) 252:9	G G 244:7 245:13 247:9 327:18,20 327:23 328:2,4 gained 138:3 gaming 231:10,14 232:3 244:2 Garzik 329:11,19 330:1,6,11,13 Gati 326:6 Gavin 330:18,20 gears 77:2 general 28:11 190:22 205:15 360:10 370:21 generally 62:10,12 101:13 291:17 306:9 313:24 325:8 genesis 181:24 182:14,21 183:2 183:22 184:7 gentleman 188:25 327:18 getting 8:25 108:1 144:4 152:8 156:1 185:16 204:16 208:12 213:17 328:19 GICSR 315:10,12 316:14,17 317:4,7 319:8 370:16 371:4 373:3 give 10:19 40:16 57:9 63:21 66:12 66:14 71:2 78:22 78:24 79:2 89:8 112:21,22 119:7 124:14 135:22 148:12 150:24 168:4 177:6,8,19 178:6 186:18 203:16 212:18,20 245:3 253:21 257:11,22 279:9	286:10 296:22 313:9 315:17 330:24 359:12 371:19 374:10 380:3 given 31:7 37:4 75:13 114:22 119:12 140:17 146:2 147:9 167:14,15,16 169:23 171:3,11 205:4 211:16,18 251:11 268:11 282:8 305:20 328:17 337:5 355:8 367:22 369:13 giving 9:12 77:23 145:24 195:10 247:11 282:11 385:4 glass 30:15 gloss 371:20 GMX 209:10 210:3 211:1,6,16,23 212:10,18 214:6 go 8:2 12:1 14:25 17:12 19:16,19,20 19:21 20:8,9 31:2 40:23 41:12 42:11 42:19,24 43:8,19 43:23 51:25 72:8 75:19 77:10 96:2 98:20 101:1 107:19 108:2,5 109:5 119:24 122:20 125:5 134:7 142:24 144:23 153:10 157:6 162:21 191:10 195:12 211:6 216:7 227:10 239:21,22 246:11 247:6,17 249:6 252:10 253:23 255:25	256:18 259:23 261:23 262:13,17 262:22,22 266:4 267:13 272:3 277:18 279:17 280:6 283:12 291:11 305:5 310:2 311:23 313:14 315:7 318:23 320:1 321:7 322:9 333:11 341:21 348:11 350:5 352:2,24 353:17 353:20 354:15 357:15,19 365:20 370:9 384:10 385:1 God 187:6 312:5 gods 82:21 goes 65:7 100:2 103:21 178:12 189:10,12 191:12 205:10,11,13,15 244:15 260:18 301:15 306:8 340:15 345:21 359:2 362:11 363:18 364:8 383:9,23 384:4 going 5:11 8:22,24 16:1 31:5 36:20 37:3 40:12,25 41:3 43:13,14,20 52:16 61:11 65:5 65:23 66:5 70:9 77:1,2,12,18 78:23 88:20 89:14 91:22 96:4 98:4 99:2,8,13 101:20 103:17,20 107:23 108:6,9 109:20 122:19 123:14,22 124:3 127:12 128:21 134:19 136:19 144:8,24	152:12 156:2 159:10,11 164:11 164:12 166:3,19 173:18,24 178:2 181:11 185:18 190:12,13,15,17 191:7 192:2 196:21 197:1,6 199:11,12 203:15 203:17 204:24 205:2,5 209:17 214:20,21 216:3 219:8 221:4,10 222:14 225:6,7,8 225:8 226:4,5 237:24 240:8,9,10 242:12 244:14,22 245:6,9 247:14 257:22 259:14 261:17,21,25 262:4 263:11 273:12 274:20 279:7 283:24 284:5 285:21 286:9 295:19 304:3 305:24 316:24 318:20 323:4,9 333:12,16 340:14 342:18 346:8 350:1 352:16,18 353:21 353:24 355:25 357:24 358:2 363:3 366:18 368:18 370:12 374:4,4,16 375:10 376:2,5,6 377:12 384:10,24 385:6 385:20 Golden 6:6 256:10 good 5:15,16 13:14 77:8 123:19 124:6 149:22 159:1 249:1 262:20 362:1,2 goods 62:10 251:16
---	---	---	---	---

Google 138:7,8 311:23	G(b) 252:10	273:25 280:20 282:4 311:10 337:6 339:17 346:15 378:13 379:3,21 382:14	361:20 366:19 374:7	hereto 387:14
gotten 123:13	H	happening 379:12	heard 4:5 76:22 202:3,3 365:21	Hey 109:5 159:11 257:24 341:21
government 35:24 46:20 95:25 121:4 127:22,24 128:1,6 139:11,13 140:8 218:21 232:21 337:18 346:17,18 346:20 356:14 374:9,14	habit 300:18	happens 281:11	hearing 296:2,21 366:11,11 372:4 383:12 385:21	Hi 104:14
governments 88:15	Hac 2:6	happy 43:19 106:14 247:15 250:18,19,20 264:14 352:7 367:12	hearings 238:8,10 295:25 364:25	hide 316:2,6
Gox 251:13,20	Hal 129:4,22 153:19 154:5,14 154:19,22,24,25 155:20,22,23 156:5 172:12 188:5,7 190:4 192:12	harassing 384:25	heart 131:16	high 93:8,25 94:16 99:16,19 241:2,2 248:13,15,20 303:7 309:21,25 311:13,22,24 346:21 356:14
GPU 240:20	half 126:11 235:9	harassment 385:5	heavily 19:11,12	higher 24:7
Granger 130:7,8,10 130:12,14,16 131:1,9 141:12	halfway 189:25	hard 21:6,14 74:15 74:17,18,22,24 75:4 262:25 319:16 321:5 366:19 374:19 382:22	heavy 375:9	high-speed 185:19
Granger's 142:3	half-truth 190:8	hardware 12:4 200:20 240:20 241:5 265:11,12 271:7,9,11,15 274:21 275:1 276:15,16,20 282:1,15	held 86:7 113:8 117:11,18,20,21 118:3 190:23 248:3,6 267:24 268:18 304:22,24 306:9,21 308:5,6 349:19	Hill 2:20 4:12 5:25
grant 368:15	hand 50:24 99:13 181:2 204:20 230:8 257:25	Hardy 327:19,20 327:23,25 328:2,3 328:4	hell 247:14 295:5 300:18	hippy 314:21
graphic 106:5	handbook 226:2,14	Harebell 5:25	Hello 5:19 126:16 358:21,22	hire 107:11
great 193:14 385:11,16	handed 122:2 180:23,24 204:14 204:15 227:9 239:3 263:13 270:3 304:20 347:21 353:10	Harry 102:4 104:7 104:16	help 52:6 63:22 64:2 65:4 71:5 148:21 149:6,10 149:13,15,23,25 150:14 157:13 158:15 180:6 194:9 200:7 216:16 258:25 301:18,24 302:6 314:15 371:11 375:10	history 20:4 260:17 260:22 285:12 312:13,14
greater 52:15 322:10	handing 79:6 126:7 189:6 315:2	hate 72:2	helped 140:13 150:2,11 158:18 159:2 175:24 244:3 302:24 319:10 372:5 381:3	hits 306:7
greedy 315:25	handle 100:5 101:9 101:17,23,24 103:25 104:16,22 378:19	headed 134:13	helpful 35:13	hold 86:1 87:4,8,15 88:8 99:18 113:2 113:5,7,18,20 115:10,13 119:14 262:2 290:25 292:23 293:14 294:20 295:10 303:23 306:16,23 308:3 338:6
Greek 82:21	hands 109:4	head 11:4 72:19 85:17 87:13 112:20 116:5 119:18 133:2 139:18 142:6,14 209:15 281:8 341:16 342:7 343:19	Henry 38:17 262:19	holder 268:11
grey 346:13	handwriting 300:3 300:4,24	hear 43:5 89:7,10		holding 86:4 205:22 289:6 293:16
Grigg 323:12,13,15 323:21 324:15,20 325:1,9,15,18,22	handwritten 260:15,16 261:11 303:18			holdings 311:7 373:4
Grigg's 323:22	happen 24:16,17 118:14 165:21 235:10 248:24,24 248:25 249:1 308:10 374:16			holds 115:7,14 116:21 291:18 308:12
grounds 296:5 361:10 372:10 373:8	happened 41:23 74:11 81:22 101:2 247:15 250:15,18			hold'em 236:8
group 151:4 184:17 184:19 250:17 337:2 343:9,9,11				home 5:24 6:3 31:11 185:21,23 375:13
groups 330:16				Homeland 218:23 317:5 355:8,20 357:7
guess 12:20 105:19 105:20 295:19 322:25				honestly 14:22
guessing 105:19				
guilty 279:10				
guy 213:11 247:15 328:23 341:22				
guys 234:5 262:20				

Hong 84:21 105:22	314:22	372:9	349:9	367:5
honour 358:6,19	hyperlink 148:5	identifying 177:19	incorrectly 304:5	infusion 278:13
360:5,12 361:22	hypothesis 56:20	277:9	373:10	initial 37:5 148:16
362:3 363:16	I	identity 191:24	increase 56:13	155:7 218:14
364:22 365:4,24	Ian 323:12,13,15	370:15 371:1,20	incredibly 365:12	352:1 367:10
366:24 368:2	323:17,21,22,23	371:24	independent 71:23	initially 41:14
370:7,8 371:18	323:24,25 324:1,5	illegal 258:3	independently	123:3 218:9
373:1 375:4,6,15	324:8,9,15,20	image 30:21 31:1	320:22 321:1	initiated 373:3
hope 125:14,15,21	325:1,9,15,18,22	imaged 21:5,8	indicates 193:11	inquiry 19:10
261:13	325:25 326:1	30:11,14 31:12	281:25	238:12,14,15
hoped 126:1	ICE 240:21,23	32:20 267:10	individual 45:1	244:20 292:7
hopefully 219:2	Icebergs 341:12	implemented 154:2	118:13,23 120:1	349:16 350:2
hoping 25:21	idea 56:24 81:23	241:7,16	175:23 176:1,2	instance 165:22
horrendous 283:11	108:24 109:3	implication 322:7	372:5,7,9 380:23	256:10 332:19
horrible 352:2,2	111:15,21 121:4	implies 356:23	individually 234:13	337:7
hospital 61:11	122:1 124:16,17	imply 32:18	individuals 92:18	instruct 9:14 15:20
219:3 355:11	124:22 125:13	implying 335:6	92:20 142:15	16:2 34:4,6 36:20
hosted 170:18	128:25 129:1	import 79:16,21	164:9 292:8	40:12 41:22 66:6
hosts 240:21	138:13 139:18	important 35:9	349:17	66:7,8,9,11,15
Hotwire 82:20 83:2	144:8 176:11	81:24 197:25	industry 159:23	78:1 88:22 89:2
161:23,23,24,25	188:21 202:14	importantly 365:14	262:21 325:21	99:2 103:20
162:3,7 164:7,17	218:7,9 219:22	impossible 172:5	inefficient 225:2	106:14,14 107:11
Hotwire's 164:24	220:10 233:18	improved 231:11	Info 1:7 239:12	111:14 123:14
165:3	260:17 274:4	232:7	263:19,22 264:2,5	141:4 143:18
hot-headed 135:24	280:1 288:12	inaccurate 228:20	264:18 350:15	144:13 146:5
hour 48:24 202:6	298:8,10,11	352:15	inform 73:3	152:11 162:17
hours 48:24	306:20	inappropriate 25:7	informal 290:5	181:16 182:13
house 10:4 30:2	ideas 125:1	inclined 369:9	information 19:14	190:13,17 191:15
32:16 116:21	identical 79:18,23	include 63:2	26:24 29:13 81:8	196:22,23 197:2,6
335:14	79:25 80:3 91:4	included 272:3	83:16 101:5	199:11 203:18
housekeeping	identification 19:14	284:19	112:23 131:1,13	205:3 214:21
77:20 78:19	78:17 126:9 174:1	includes 164:2	131:20,23 162:10	216:4 222:15
houses 10:18	177:8 189:17	272:1 337:17	162:13 163:23	224:16 244:15
HPC 241:2	227:7 239:2	including 17:1	177:6,20 178:6,10	259:14 279:3
HPCs 345:3,4	259:17 286:3	19:14 122:11	180:23,24 205:22	280:19,22 281:9
Hs 141:19	296:18 314:25	156:9 201:3 219:1	216:12 222:7	281:10 292:15,16
HTML 165:23	333:15 339:22	232:20 244:2	223:3 231:20	292:17 295:19
human 62:6 110:12	347:19 362:7	256:25 286:4	252:1 282:11	318:18,20 340:15
111:4,23 112:16	identified 191:21	303:14 337:18	285:13 286:4	342:18 343:11
306:24 307:9	297:2 349:17	incomplete 311:5	292:7 307:21,22	344:2,8,17 350:2
312:12,14	352:21 363:19	312:20	315:17,20 316:19	352:17 384:24
Humans 29:11	identify 8:13 20:3	incorporates	316:21 319:10	instructed 17:19
hundred 227:21	30:20,25 158:15	256:23	337:18 363:20	41:15 111:7
hung 328:18	158:25 174:16	incorporation	371:25 373:20,25	146:17 213:16,19
Hungary 84:21	191:4 336:6,7	256:24	384:13,18	244:9 260:2 309:8
hypercapitalist		incorrect 346:10	informed 360:7	316:2 343:8,15

344:14 358:9,15 359:1 360:6 361:4 instructing 9:9 31:4 89:18,21 175:2 191:13 205:23 259:21 279:5 319:22 338:9 341:1,3,5 384:6 instruction 111:9 183:5 197:11 260:3 296:14 instructions 31:8,9 Integers 82:20 83:3 intellectual 27:21 27:24 28:16 86:2 86:3,6,17 87:5,16 88:8,19 99:24 100:10 114:12 215:5,12 216:1,5 216:11 222:3,6,13 222:17 223:2,17 223:20,25 224:8 230:3,11,24 231:4 231:6,22,24,25 232:2,6,9 234:9 234:15,20 235:5 235:14,21 236:5 236:17,25 243:22 243:24 255:23 349:5 350:12 372:15,20,23 373:5 intend 97:14 235:11 interact 103:23 104:8,11,12 150:14 152:14,19 165:16 interacted 104:9,15 176:1 372:8 interacting 134:8 interaction 233:8 interactions 104:1 154:7 369:12 interest 95:22	356:5,8,14,23,24 374:8 interested 315:24 326:14 387:14 interesting 82:24 283:15 interests 43:22 259:21 374:15 internal 102:6,7,20 102:21 internally 103:1 International 291:1 293:12 internet 15:5 65:4 82:16 131:19,22 131:24 148:2 150:9 163:21 165:19 185:20 208:17 interrogatory 175:22 368:9,11 372:3 374:1 interrupt 346:8 interrupted 129:20 interview 194:1 383:4 introduce 4:14 326:3 introduced 54:5,7 intuitive 7:10 invalidate 258:10 invalidated 355:25 invent 76:21 investigate 337:3 investigates 94:24 95:3,8,13 investigation 94:22 95:5,10,18 101:23 104:17,23 334:19 334:21,25 335:8 335:13,18,24 336:1,13,25 337:2 337:6 338:13,22 investigations 95:21 101:10,18 332:5,6,9,13,17	346:21 investigators 337:3 Investments 291:1 293:12 invokes 367:17 invoking 363:25 364:11 involve 90:3,7 121:6 235:23 236:3 340:9 involved 19:12 44:1 120:10 145:11 146:21 147:2,5 164:17 180:19 196:15,18 218:14 236:25 258:8 292:18,22 293:4,6 293:7,8 323:13 343:10 involvement 128:14,20 219:4,6 219:7 248:22 270:1 323:17,20 326:10 328:5,12 371:2 involves 40:14 95:22 260:12 IP 123:10 215:10 221:18,20,22 222:1 223:12 227:20,22 229:1 229:10,23 231:17 234:11 274:24 357:6 370:18 371:13 372:17,18 Ira 1:6 2:25 4:4 161:11,18,19 174:12,17 227:16 227:18 229:16,20 261:20 315:4,17 315:20,25 316:4 317:17 318:2 359:22 360:2 373:1 377:7,9 Ira's 371:22 IRC 54:10 55:9,13	55:18,24 56:1 67:17 132:9,11 148:11,12,17,22 151:4,11,13,14,16 151:18 155:4 171:24 172:1,5,7 172:9 201:4,13 266:18 330:16 iron 345:22 irrelevant 8:20 141:4 277:14 362:19 363:9 364:8 issue 25:6 77:23 258:11 358:10 359:3 361:8 364:25 365:15 366:4 368:20 369:13,14 372:18 issued 117:17 issues 19:18,19,20 19:21 20:9 40:14 362:5 369:5 370:2 370:3,12 374:18 375:7 ITOL 139:14,24,25 140:2 I-T-O-L 139:16	102:13 104:22 105:5,9,12,18 129:4,22 131:20 174:9 360:1 joined 297:10,13 joint 186:25 Joseph 141:13 142:19 326:2 judge 16:8 17:19 25:19 78:13 88:24 316:23 358:4,12 358:17,21 359:7 359:12,18 360:3,8 360:16,25 361:6 361:15,20,25 362:20,23 363:6 363:23 364:10,14 364:24 365:21 366:17 367:25 368:3 370:10,23 371:7,9,17 372:11 373:14,22 375:5,8 Judging 277:12 judgment 372:15 judgments 372:22 jump 52:22 June 168:7 jurisdiction 356:22 jury 6:16
J				
Jack 378:15,17 Jamie 103:5,9 105:3 January 47:18 169:6 187:12,18 188:3,14,17 192:8 192:18,24 194:8 194:25 350:9 358:24 Japanese 213:11 273:8 326:7 Jeff 329:11,16 330:16 Jews 260:18 job 198:4,6 John 2:23 5:4				
K				
K 227:18 303:4,5,6 keep 11:19 34:22 73:25 102:19 157:13 159:15 172:7 225:8 226:4 268:22 274:25 286:10 307:18 319:22 352:6,7 377:10 383:19 keeping 268:20 keeps 178:3 Kenya 84:19 85:12 85:13 kept 134:19 172:5,6 172:8 268:25				

287:14 306:3,5 353:12,13 key 126:17 203:22 204:9,25 371:23 keys 181:1 202:24 203:3,7,11 206:8 289:7 293:14 294:21,24 kind 385:5 kindly 89:23 kinds 8:15 277:14 370:11 Kingdom 31:12 kit 351:19,21 Kleiman 1:6,7 2:25 4:4 8:16 33:16,18 36:2,25 38:7,9 39:1,8,11,21,22 40:4,8 42:9,16,18 44:1,3 45:9,12 46:23,25 47:4,7 47:11,20 48:12,15 49:10,19 50:1 51:4,10,20 52:7 52:23 53:11,19,24 54:6,7,8,24 55:19 56:6,13,22 57:3 57:11,18,24 58:4 58:7,10,13,18,23 58:25 59:2,13,18 59:24 60:4,5,8,11 60:13,17,19,23 61:1,4,13,16,19 61:22 62:4,15,20 63:1,5,19 64:9,17 65:2 67:7 68:3 69:8 70:16 71:11 71:18 72:11 75:25 79:8,19 83:22 89:1 90:3,12 98:9 98:13,16 120:3,3 120:8 123:12,16 126:4 146:15,18 174:12,13,16 175:1,7 176:2 178:16 179:1	180:4 181:4,12 183:10,15 186:20 186:22 187:7,7 192:17 195:12 198:18,22,25 199:9,15,18,22 200:9 201:14 204:3,10,12 205:1 211:13 214:3,5,15 216:6,10,19 217:3 217:8 222:16,20 222:25 227:16 235:19 238:20 254:15 258:12 259:6,11 260:1 261:2,2 264:4,9,9 264:10,18 266:10 267:6 276:11,17 282:9,10,14 286:21 287:2 292:18,21 312:9 312:10 315:4 316:14 321:18,21 322:3,11,13 325:16,19 330:14 343:4,6,9 347:2 359:23 360:2,2,5 360:15,20 362:15 362:16,21,25 365:18 367:3,5 368:23 369:3 371:21 372:8 373:1 375:24 376:15 377:8,9 380:16 Kleiman's 69:2 72:22 99:1 149:15 256:2,8 257:9,12 knew 76:17 174:19 185:15 199:6 206:11 276:21 285:14 325:15 326:17 342:1 know 6:4,6,21 7:2 7:18 8:24,24,25 10:16,17 15:2	18:13 21:5,13,16 21:19 30:7,9,13 31:21 33:5,10 34:10,14 35:23 38:14 44:5 45:15 45:17 49:11 50:3 50:10,14 51:6,13 51:17 58:2,6,9 59:20,23 60:1 61:25 64:20 72:6 74:12 75:7,12 76:4,20 77:1 78:21 83:19 91:15 91:16 92:1,8,8,13 93:19 94:8,23,25 94:25 95:2,7,12 97:1,9,13 101:7 102:10 104:11 108:2,15 111:23 112:7,14 116:4,12 117:8 119:18,21 120:23 122:12 124:12,15 125:20 126:25 127:20,23 127:24 131:2,3,16 137:13,15 139:24 140:3,15 141:9 142:4,10 145:20 145:21,21 150:21 152:21,22,25 154:11,12,18 162:5,10 164:8 169:8 170:11 171:19 172:4,8,9 176:1,25 178:13 179:8,9,11 184:15 184:17 185:4,5,8 187:20,22,23 188:2,10,13 193:4 197:8,24 199:25 200:8,13,14,17,20 200:23 201:8,14 201:16 202:10,18 204:22 206:13 211:9 215:23,25 218:4,5 219:13,23	220:4,15 222:12 222:23,24 224:20 229:13 232:5,8 233:1,12,15,19,23 234:1 235:4,9 237:18,22 238:25 242:18,21 246:1,6 246:15 247:4 249:15 252:24 254:22,23 255:9 266:10 267:3,11 267:19 269:21 270:19 271:2,2,2 271:2,3,17 274:8 275:2,21 276:19 276:25 279:25 281:2,8,17,22 282:4,13,18 284:22 285:3,6,10 286:18,21,23,24 288:14 289:22 290:18 292:12 293:10,11,13,16 295:23 297:21,24 298:21 299:7,12 299:16 302:19 305:12,23 307:19 308:8,11 309:12 310:15,16 311:3,6 311:7,17,20 313:17,21 314:18 314:23 315:16 317:7 321:21 322:3,19 325:1,11 325:12,15,17,18 325:20,25 326:12 326:22,25 327:2,7 327:8,9,18,23 329:22 330:13 331:19,24 332:2,8 332:11,15,15,19 336:9,22 339:12 341:15,17 342:5,9 342:11 343:23 344:5,6,15 345:18 346:7,16 347:1	351:9 353:3,6,11 353:13 354:12 365:25 366:17 367:14 371:25 372:7 374:18 375:9 377:6 379:12,21 380:11 382:13,16 knowing 229:16 knowledge 174:4 198:25 216:17 230:2 250:16 270:25 271:1 285:24 292:7,9,10 293:9 296:25 313:24 325:22,23 339:23 340:18 known 185:22 265:1 282:9 325:20 knows 92:11 209:18 292:13 325:25 327:3,10 342:8 367:13 Kobza 317:7 Kong 84:21 KPMG 102:4 103:23,25 KT11 10:1 Kyle 2:5 4:20 K-O-B-Z-A 317:11
<hr/>				
L				
<hr/>				
L 142:7 239:23 240:6 242:10 243:8 labour 348:18,21 349:8 lacks 220:15 laid 185:17 371:19 land 313:18 language 177:25 283:8,10 329:3 lapse 274:7 lapsed 274:1 355:11,17				

large 99:16 100:4,6	leave 86:20 128:9	190:20 238:13,17	literally 182:6	long 12:24 39:1
larger 100:7	128:16,21 366:20	238:17 286:10	187:17	44:6 72:20 84:24
latched 328:24	368:16 374:11	310:10,12,24	literate 260:20	86:18 132:22
late 361:23 384:25	leaving 354:20	350:2 352:17	litigation 31:1	139:19 141:23
launch 76:18	ledger 250:1,3	363:21 385:1	74:25 77:4 141:2	142:22 148:24
277:14	leeway 9:12,13 37:4	limited-in-scope	little 9:12 55:18	210:20 262:21
launched 76:17	88:25 123:13	362:4 363:2	180:22 203:16	316:2 326:15
launching 113:17	145:24 146:2,4	line 8:9 9:8 36:23	211:17 212:25	339:16
laundering 346:23	195:10 203:16	43:19 77:21	219:5 285:2	longer 77:25 84:6
law 65:3 86:17	205:4 362:7	172:10 174:2,5,11	287:11 333:1	92:23 237:10,15
93:25 94:3 117:24	left 10:23 12:12	174:13,22 202:3	371:19	290:3 306:8,11,12
117:25 143:10	13:1,2,20 41:13	255:4	live 5:25 131:5	308:2 314:7
150:9 226:20	301:8 339:14	linear 96:16	148:22 368:12	look 11:6 15:1 73:2
256:12 258:21	375:12 376:1,17	lines 153:13 203:19	living 7:22,23,25	82:16 83:3 85:18
264:8,13,14 303:9	385:12	216:20,21,25	8:3 9:2 10:7,11,13	97:6 106:24 107:3
303:16 331:17	left-hand 301:1	217:4,4,8,9,22	131:11	107:6 110:7 114:5
337:17 364:1	legal 2:16,20 4:12	218:2	LLC 1:7 239:13	114:15 116:3,21
369:7,10,11,12,12	4:14 118:12 125:1	line-by-line 351:10	263:22 264:2,5,18	117:16 119:17
laws 334:10	143:17,20 158:18	link 4:17 148:1	LLP 1:21 2:3,6,11	120:1 122:17
lawsuit 274:15	167:17 226:24	liquidated 97:2,3	2:22,24	133:3 137:18
337:22 349:19	258:3,5,21 264:8	115:14 116:11	loan 297:14 308:24	151:18 163:9
372:14 373:2	264:12 269:4	119:21 308:2	309:1 312:3,25	176:15 178:9
379:18	legally 256:18,19	liquidation 163:20	loans 309:15,19	186:19 189:18
lawyer 18:14 143:7	256:21 364:2	164:2,5	312:2,24	199:18 200:6
lawyers 30:5,11,14	legs 7:18	list 19:5,9 24:2	local 4:8 25:8,17	209:16,18 224:11
30:21,25 31:3,23	length 149:3	31:16,22 32:5,6	69:21,22,24 70:3	224:21 226:6
83:6,8 97:11,15	Leon 2:11	33:11,12 110:5,8	225:12,22	227:19 238:25
97:18 98:4,5	level 24:7	114:5,16,19 151:1	LocalBitcoins	245:22 253:24
114:22 122:2	Liberty 231:19	151:2,3,7 163:21	251:12	259:23 261:12
124:15 131:18	248:14,15,20	170:2,3,4,17	locate 244:25	263:1,24 264:20
146:11,13,19	343:3,7 346:23	210:9 232:22	located 38:13,15	267:18 269:10
174:18 267:3,9	Libya 251:14	259:10,25 272:2	184:20 334:22	270:6 271:4 272:2
281:10 299:11	lied 335:7	300:7,25 301:5	336:4	272:23 275:24
301:14 302:11,15	life 46:8 92:24	302:23 329:17	location 8:14,19	280:24 281:16,20
302:16,19,21,23	lightly 340:1	340:23 354:24	147:21 242:14	282:19 284:9
305:21 319:22	likeable 149:16	359:4,6 365:2,5	286:2 318:11	288:8 290:13
320:9 335:12,25	liked 161:7	365:10,11 366:6	362:6,6,12	296:20,25 297:23
338:13 343:24	limit 20:20 24:25	368:17,18	locations 8:14,15	299:20 301:7,12
353:14,15 359:21	50:19 65:11 67:1	listed 99:11 242:9	10:15,18,20	304:2 305:17
380:3	69:13 70:5 75:14	249:3,23 300:4	194:18	317:4,14,16 321:8
lay 185:21	141:1 352:20	361:3	log 133:6 137:8,18	321:8,22,25 322:5
lead 225:14	limitation 165:23	listing 253:25	213:21	322:13,17,24
leads 225:16	limited 8:9 111:3	359:19	logging 132:21	339:14 351:13
learn 20:4 285:13	111:23,25 112:4	lists 54:10 170:15	137:13,14,15	356:2 357:3
325:1 329:19	112:11,15 144:5	302:14,16,20,24	London 1:22 4:9,10	383:14
learned 329:20	145:23 146:1	lit 19:4	4:16 11:15	looked 83:6 97:13

132:25 245:17 265:8 351:3 looking 65:1 202:7 239:23 247:22 284:9,10 297:25 322:22 looks 80:3,10 300:6 loose 5:3 loosely 142:12 lose 71:1 73:9,11 lost 114:23 lot 39:5 45:16,23 46:3,4,7,19 48:18 49:14,16,18 133:6 136:5 142:22 159:22 160:11 173:13 177:24 185:16 195:10 205:4 213:18 227:20 231:11 232:7 234:22 283:18 287:14 323:21 326:7 346:24 353:7,8 366:18 368:19 lots 129:25 163:18 163:19 287:15 loud 264:23 Louis 126:4,16 371:21 low 185:19 lower 161:2,7 lunch 104:13 108:3 108:3 Luncheon 123:25 lying 316:6 Lynne 15:12 16:16 17:14 107:13 360:18,21 363:8 363:12 364:15 369:6	MacGregor 383:10 machine 187:7 machines 11:19 32:3,5,6,8,12,16 32:17 99:17 100:5 187:8,9,10 242:22 242:25 243:2,12 243:14 244:10 345:1,2,11,19,20 346:6,11,12,15,19 347:2,3,15 Macquarie 184:21 magic 76:23,24 281:11 339:17 magically 148:1,4 Magna 2:16,20 4:12,13 maiden 15:13 mail 79:17 mailbox 81:1 mailing 54:10 151:1,2,3,7 170:1 170:3,4,15,17 main 2:7 170:5 maintain 13:20 156:4 260:5,6 314:2 man 18:25 316:4 321:3 managed 243:12 251:7 347:13 management 157:5 157:8 312:8,18 mandated 356:14 manner 234:18 mantra 367:22 March 64:3,6 65:2 79:8 80:6,11,19 83:21 317:17 318:4 Marco 380:24,25 381:3,6 marital 18:14 364:1 mark 5:11 78:16 93:8,16 126:7	257:17 309:22,23 311:12 327:18,20 327:23,25 328:2,3 328:4 marked 3:9 78:17 79:6 126:9 189:7 189:17 227:7,9 239:2 259:17 296:18 297:8 314:25 315:3 333:15,21 347:19 347:22 market 2:16 204:18 251:23 marketplace 215:18 223:18 markets 216:12 222:7 223:3 346:13 Markoe 2:10 4:24 4:24 5:10 8:7 9:7 9:11,25 11:7,16 12:14,18 13:7 15:3,9,16 17:7,24 18:10 19:3,7,8,13 19:18 20:1,6,12 20:17,19 21:3 23:12,20 24:4,8 24:21,24 25:1,5,9 25:13,19 26:1,8 26:15,21 27:3,9 27:12,17,22 28:10 28:17 29:3,10,19 30:12,16,22 31:13 31:17,24 32:10 33:1,8,11,17,24 34:2,9,15,19 35:1 35:6,18 36:6,13 36:18,20 37:3,7 37:19 38:2,24 39:3,16 40:1,5,11 40:23 41:9,17 44:10,16 45:6,14 45:22 46:6,12,18 47:12,22,25 48:7 48:16,21 49:12,15	49:21 50:2,6,13 50:17,19 51:5,11 51:16 52:9,13,25 53:8,12,20 54:13 54:20,25 55:20 56:15 57:5,12,20 58:1,19 59:14,25 61:9 63:14,20 64:11 65:9,13,17 65:20 66:5,12,23 67:21 68:4,13,17 68:22 69:11,15,18 69:22 70:19 71:13 71:21 73:4,10,14 73:18,24 74:9,14 75:1,6,17 76:8,15 77:5,8 78:4,10 79:12,20 80:1,7 80:12,20 82:1,5 85:19 86:5,11,14 86:24 87:6,17,24 88:10,20,24 89:5 89:9,12,16,22 90:4,8,13,24 91:5 91:10 92:3,5,12 92:17,21 93:2,7 93:18,24 94:10,15 95:11,19 96:21 97:16 98:14,23,25 99:21 100:1,11,22 101:6,11 102:9 103:12,16,20 104:5,20 105:7,11 105:14 106:8,11 107:25 108:14,20 109:11,24 110:16 110:22 112:8 113:24 114:10,14 114:24 115:3,9,21 116:2,8,14 117:1 117:6,13 118:4,10 118:18 119:1,16 120:15,19 121:15 121:25 122:6,16 123:11 124:10,18 125:7,19,25	126:12,22 127:2,5 127:14 128:2,7,11 128:15 130:20 132:3,20 133:11 134:3,17,24 135:6 135:18 136:9,15 136:21 137:5,10 138:6,21 139:3 140:7,25 143:15 144:3,10 145:2,7 145:15,17,22 146:9,14,17,23 147:23 148:6,18 149:1,8 150:1,6 150:15,22 151:21 152:4,8,11,15,21 153:2,8,11,18,24 154:10,16 155:2,9 155:14,25 156:17 156:21 157:1,15 157:21 158:5,10 159:6,17,25 160:8 160:18,24 161:4 161:13,15 162:14 162:17 163:2,11 163:25 164:10,18 164:25 166:6,15 166:23 168:12,22 169:3,17 170:10 170:20 171:1,7,22 172:20,25 173:5,9 173:15 174:15,25 175:12,17 176:3,6 176:9,19,23 177:9 177:15,22 178:8 178:18 179:25 180:9,14 181:6,10 181:16,21 182:1,3 182:9,17,23 183:4 183:7,10,14,18,24 184:4,11,23 185:3 185:10 186:4,19 187:13,16,20 188:4,9,18 189:1 189:8,12 190:7,12 190:17 191:4,9,13
M M 242:1 303:4,5,5 MacDonald 129:4 129:23 131:21				

191:18 192:3,14 192:19,25 193:7 193:19 196:1,12 196:21 197:4,10 197:16 198:5,9,14 199:2,10,16,24 201:6 203:1,9,15 204:4,11 205:2,12 205:17,21 206:3 206:12,18,24 207:19,24 208:25 209:13 210:4,10 210:18 212:5,12 213:7 214:11,20 214:24 215:1,7 216:3,22 217:10 217:23 218:3,11 219:17,24 220:8 220:14,19 221:15 221:24 222:10,14 222:22 223:5,10 223:22 224:4,11 224:14 228:1,4,6 228:16 229:3,12 229:18,24 230:4 230:13,20,25 232:23 233:10 234:5,16,24 235:7 235:15,24 236:7 236:13,19 237:2 237:11,19,23 238:11,13 239:10 239:17,24 240:2 240:14 241:21 242:11,17 243:9 243:25 244:8,14 244:18,23 245:15 245:20 246:14 247:3,19 248:7,17 249:4,14,24 250:7 250:12,25 257:13 259:7,12,14,23 260:6 264:1,6,15 265:15,24 266:12 267:8,14 268:5,13 268:21 269:15,24	270:21 271:12 272:11,17 273:5 274:5,11,16 275:4 275:19 276:12,24 277:11 278:7,12 278:19,25 279:5 279:16,21 280:5 280:12,18,23 281:4,15 282:3,16 283:21 284:11,21 285:8,20,25 286:8 286:17,22 287:3,7 287:21 288:5,11 288:19 289:5,11 289:15 291:7 292:3,10,20 293:17,23 294:3,8 294:12,17 295:3 295:14,24 296:3,8 299:6,15 300:9 301:3,13,20 302:1 302:8,18 303:1,20 304:9,25 306:18 307:2,25 308:7,19 309:3,11 310:3,19 310:25 311:21 312:4 313:1,11 314:4,9,16 315:18 315:21 316:24 317:8 318:9,14,20 319:24 320:1,4,8 320:15 321:14,23 322:14 323:3 324:3,10,16,22 325:3 326:11,19 326:24 327:4 328:7,14 329:4,9 329:21 330:2,8,22 330:24 331:6,14 331:21 332:1 334:2 335:4,15 336:6,16,20 337:11,23 338:9 338:14,24 339:7 339:20,24 340:6 340:10,14,20	341:1,5,9,19 342:4,6,15,18,23 343:22 344:4,10 344:19 345:13,16 346:1 347:6,10 348:5,12,15 349:10,15 350:1 350:16,21 351:8 352:10,16 355:4 355:19 356:9,20 359:14 361:22,23 361:25 362:2,22 362:24 363:7 364:4,13,21 365:4 366:7 370:8,13 371:1,8,13,19 372:13 373:9,15 373:16 375:5,15 375:20 376:8,12 376:19 378:6 379:16 380:1,6 381:1,15 382:1,7 382:15 383:1,6,17 383:23 384:4,8,12 384:16,23 385:4 385:11,14,19 Mark's 93:14 marriage 16:19,24 17:4,10 18:13 married 15:7 16:15 masters 86:17 264:11 match 298:20,24 301:8 314:23 351:10 matched 31:8 material 337:4 355:14 materials 275:9 mathematical 283:4 mathematician 216:16 mathematics 283:10 maths 149:18	matter 4:4 19:15 40:11 45:3 77:21 286:5,7 325:6 340:21 368:8 369:7 376:2 matters 40:10 357:12 Matthews 141:12 maximum 11:22 May/June 168:8 McAdams 2:23 5:3 5:4 174:9,10 359:20 360:1,1 mean 27:14 28:1 55:12 58:14 62:8 62:13 81:4 86:15 86:19 87:23 106:19 117:22 140:21 153:16 163:19 165:12,14 166:5,20 177:16 177:23 178:1,19 179:13 180:25 198:19 204:6 207:10 208:11,19 221:19 223:9 229:10 233:14 235:18 239:17 240:9 252:9 254:13,19 255:2 261:22 283:18 284:25 289:9,14 289:16 295:6 298:2 302:10 303:19 310:18 313:24 321:15 322:11 325:7 328:11,11 336:14 337:1 345:8,21 349:1 378:23 meaning 6:11 56:10,11 means 27:24 104:12 118:12 196:4 254:14,22 255:1,4 264:8	282:20,24 283:2 303:21 304:6,8,12 306:9 310:20 319:21 320:17,17 333:2,3 meant 125:2 225:14 229:17 246:10 303:17 321:21 322:3,8,9 322:12 345:25 355:8 Measures 224:1 media 21:17 55:13 75:16,20,20,21 256:24 262:9,10 287:14 380:22 medication 6:18,19 medieval 260:20 meet 15:15 16:8 17:23 36:1,10,12 36:25 38:7 39:1 40:4,8 42:16,18 45:11 46:20,23,25 47:3,7,11,19 48:11,14 49:10,25 51:9 53:24 54:1,3 54:3,3,4,4,8 109:2 285:6 286:20 327:7 363:9,19 meeting 49:19 340:5,9 341:8 Melbourne 147:20 member 90:15 127:21,24,25 162:10 members 163:22 memorise 304:19 memory 241:2 371:10 mention 340:13,25 mentioned 63:8 245:13 255:23 317:24 340:11 merging 370:24 merits 145:25 199:19 352:19
---	---	---	---	--

363:3,4 366:23 Merkle 282:25 283:4,15 mess 157:17 message 135:5,8,14 213:17 317:16 messages 75:8 379:24 380:4,8,11 Mestre 2:11 met 5:17 15:17 35:24,24,25 37:8 39:20,22 42:9 45:9 49:18 53:11 142:20 167:23 176:1 285:10 323:15 326:2 330:18 339:18 364:8 367:10 372:8 metadata 158:23 Metanet 100:3 metered 215:21,24 215:25 216:2,6 222:4,12,18,20 method 62:19 67:10 125:24 132:7 methods 67:15,16 190:24 205:10 206:1 Mexico 312:14 Miami 2:4,12 mic 41:8 Michael 141:16 143:6 Michie 143:11 Microsoft 36:11,12 36:14,16,16,19 37:9 81:7 165:23 middle 160:13 341:13 357:16,20 Military 357:7 370:19 371:15 372:19 million 216:21,25 217:4,6,7,8,9,22	218:2 227:21 228:11 229:1 243:3 251:7 278:1 278:3 279:15 280:3,17 281:3,13 317:24 344:22 346:25 347:4,5,9 millions 204:19 216:20 217:4 372:16 milliseconds 100:7 mind 164:13 229:14 244:25 252:20 303:15 324:12 mine 32:3 59:11 94:9 98:22 99:20 120:18 121:13 138:15 166:22 176:13 182:8 186:3,17,23 191:9 194:16,19 199:22 200:17,20 201:15 201:17,18 207:15 220:3,5 241:10 242:10,25 243:2 243:19 255:17 300:6 318:5 359:8 360:10 362:10,13 mined 181:24 182:14 184:3,16 184:18 186:2,15 187:11,16 194:9 195:25 196:19 197:9,13 200:4 201:1 202:11 220:6,13 250:3 253:17 276:4,11 293:2 358:24 359:1 360:6 362:14,18,21 363:1 365:17,18 367:1,4 368:5,23 375:20 376:15 miner 165:25 miners 250:1 253:2	265:13 mining 27:16 186:11,21,22,23 186:25 187:19 188:2,13,16 194:15 195:1,16 195:18,19,24 196:4,7,10,11,16 197:19 198:4,18 198:20,25 200:10 200:12,15,24 201:25 202:1,14 202:20 205:13,18 242:15,19,21 255:16 256:10 265:1,11,12,23 271:11,15 276:15 276:16 281:25 282:15 293:4 318:12,15 360:14 362:16 Minus 318:5 minute 330:24 minutes 44:7 376:3 376:4,5,11,17,18 377:12 385:14 miracle 215:1 miscategorising 282:21 mischaracterises 11:16 24:21 75:17 110:16,22 112:8 134:3 153:2 160:18 164:25 171:7 193:19 207:24 235:15 236:19 248:17 249:4 252:18 287:21 304:9 337:11 347:6 350:21 355:4 misrepresentation 28:3 missed 152:1 370:21 missing 272:3	misspelt 105:16 misspoke 308:23 371:5 misspoken 179:19 371:6 misstate 116:24 misstated 263:23 370:21 misstates 241:21 254:6 misstating 281:6 360:22 mistake 321:9,13 321:16,22 mistakes 158:22 misunderstandings 101:15 misunderstood 210:1 mis-cite 175:16 mix 227:21 229:2,4 229:6,8,11 mixed 270:3 351:23 mixing 291:13 Mmm-hmm 98:6 221:16 246:24 247:24 255:11 318:25 mnemonics 133:7 Modelling 224:10 models 96:15 moment 7:24 48:24 106:13 112:3 162:25 221:1 233:14 235:10 245:1,3 253:21 264:13 339:1 373:13 money 62:11,11 65:6 120:10 124:24 134:11 185:16 190:24 216:16 219:2 293:1 316:5 343:6 343:8,9,16 344:3 344:8,16 346:23	347:14,16 monitoring 231:18 month 35:22 51:25 52:3 55:5,8 56:23 142:25 241:20 243:3 251:7 260:12 months 11:22 35:22 51:23 53:4 morning 5:15,16 359:3 mother 49:3 53:3,7 314:23 mother's 70:23 motion 365:7,8,12 366:2,5,9,10,14 366:21 368:15,16 369:15 374:11 motions 374:23 mouth 208:14 move 9:6,16,18 16:9,12 52:6 53:8 71:5 81:6,9 89:14 99:8 107:23,25 109:20 119:7 181:13 191:17 205:24 259:22 296:15,16 320:11 320:13 352:7,20 366:11 375:11 376:8 384:9 moved 8:1,6,17,18 8:20 9:3,20,22,24 10:24 11:9,14 80:25 81:19 119:25 319:14,18 321:1,1 367:23 moving 304:23 349:6 383:19 Mt 251:13,20 multiple 28:19 60:15 84:5,7,9 88:7,11 99:18 100:21 151:14 157:3 207:11 210:6 288:13
--	---	---	--	---

301:22 302:4 319:21 332:20,21 332:22 352:3 378:7 mutual 262:18 mutually 134:1 mysterious 304:4	273:7 277:2 289:19 290:1 303:5,8,12 305:15 307:3,7,9,10,13 309:20 311:20 327:20 378:19 380:25 381:1 named 108:18 188:25 327:18 names 22:2,7,11,16 22:21 23:2,7,15 41:25 42:2 92:20 92:23 93:1,5,13 102:7 103:3 162:12 163:7,14 163:17,22 168:3 177:14 184:23 215:16,16 336:6 naming 160:12 narky 313:25 national 40:14 127:4 233:5 361:10 370:1,6,11 371:25 372:10 373:8 374:5,7,14 natural 35:14 269:19,20,22 nature 56:1,4 95:24 100:2 117:25 118:3 120:7 187:24 220:21 374:1 nChain 6:5 13:9,17 13:22,24,24,25 14:1 92:9 288:15 311:7,7 nearly 321:6 nebulous 165:9 204:6 necessarily 100:25 301:9 necessary 78:6 need 7:10,16,17 12:7,9 26:17,23 26:24 40:9 43:4 44:24 62:9 65:4	66:9 77:25 89:7 89:10 92:8 97:6 101:14 107:12 110:6 112:19 114:5,15 116:3,20 117:16 119:17,25 128:8 133:5 141:23 150:13 154:21 162:20 176:7 180:6 191:2 191:16 193:9 240:5 254:18 259:8 260:15 272:2 279:17 280:24 281:16 288:8 290:13 292:16 297:23 301:7 304:2 305:17 307:14,22 308:3 316:22 322:24 323:2 333:2 340:6 343:20 351:10 353:3 357:22 374:6 375:2 needed 82:15 133:24 227:3 283:12 306:9 307:20 318:6 366:11 377:9 needs 89:10 118:13 238:14 283:22 373:25 negative 336:22 negotiate 268:15 negotiation 268:9 268:14 neither 204:14,15 387:9 networks 231:21 never 54:7 71:24,24 72:1,1,3,3,5 76:22 83:6 88:3,4 113:8 115:17 116:16 120:10,13 137:8 167:19,22 176:1	185:18 187:7,8,8 187:9 195:25 196:10 206:7 217:3 220:24,25 242:24 258:17 282:8 291:5 292:25 293:1,1,2 359:5 362:21 366:5,6,14 367:23 372:8 377:1 new 1:22 10:21,23 10:24 11:1,21,22 44:1 65:6 185:25 258:5 276:5 356:11,11 371:14 Nguyen 213:3,19 214:19 285:3,7,18 286:15 287:16 288:2,17 292:5,12 299:13,17,17 309:16 313:14,21 313:23 314:2,15 327:3 331:19 Nguyen's 300:1 Nicholas 81:1,20 Nicholas's 82:9,14 83:4 Nick 328:5,8,12,16 nickname 129:9 night 138:24 nine 186:13 nodded 7:8 node 165:12 166:12 166:14,19 167:9 169:11 196:3 243:15 nodes 165:16 166:2 199:7 nominally 13:8 non-disclosure 193:13 non-linear 96:5,14 96:24 non-public 124:8 North 14:15,19 312:13	note 174:24,25 175:1 195:9 228:21 264:15 269:1 300:12,24 301:7,8,9 303:18 304:16 385:13 noted 164:14 256:16 257:21 258:17 266:17 275:16 377:21 notes 122:18 275:11 300:20,21 387:4 notice 282:8 298:25 noting 9:10,11 notion 109:13 NSA 317:5 null 123:8 number 4:2,7 10:1 10:15 14:2 35:25 36:23 61:5,11 77:13,17 123:23 124:2 134:8,9 173:19,23 215:19 218:22 221:5,9 225:21 226:4 231:13,15 232:20 251:13,15 283:14 283:25 284:4 286:1 289:7 292:6 295:13,14,23 296:11,12 306:4,5 318:16 323:8 326:5 334:16,17 346:12,20 349:16 368:19 385:21 numbering 189:19 numbers 57:15 68:24 70:25 299:1 NY 2:7
<hr/>				
N				
<hr/>				
O				
<hr/>				
oath 6:9,10,12 16:17,22 17:2,8 17:10,16,17,18,18 260:22 360:23				

363:15,16 368:5 368:11 object 9:7 25:18 34:6 66:8,16 77:25 89:3,4 97:23,23,24 144:13 182:13 227:5 253:20 330:25 335:5 338:18 377:22 objected 78:12 260:2 262:5 320:14 363:17 objecting 25:6 162:18 220:20 objection 8:7 9:10 9:11,25 11:7,16 12:14,18 13:7 15:3,9,16 17:7,24 18:10 19:3 20:17 20:20 21:3 23:12 23:20 24:4,8,21 24:25 25:14,14 26:1,8,15,21 27:3 27:9,12,17,22 28:10,17 29:3,10 29:19 30:12 31:13 31:17,24 32:10 33:1,8 34:9,15,19 35:1,6,12,18 36:6 36:13,18 37:19 38:2,24 39:3,16 40:1,5 41:10,17 42:10 44:10,16 45:14,22 46:6,12 46:18 47:12,22,25 48:7,16,21 49:12 49:15,21 50:2,6 50:13,17 51:5,11 51:16 52:9,13,25 53:8,12,20 54:13 54:20,25 55:20 56:15 57:5,12,20 58:1,19 59:14,25 61:9 63:14,20 64:11 65:9,12	66:5,23 67:2,21 68:4,13,17,22 69:11,14,16,19 70:6,19 71:13,21 73:4,10,14,18,24 74:9,14 75:1,6,17 76:8,15 77:22,24 78:3 79:12,20 80:1,7,12,20 82:1 82:5 85:19 86:5 86:11,14,24 87:6 87:17,24 88:10,20 89:6,8 90:4,8,13 90:24 91:5,10 92:3,12,17,21 93:2,7,18,24 94:10 95:11,19 96:21 97:16 98:14 98:23 99:21 100:1 100:11,22 101:6 101:11 102:9 103:12,16 104:5 104:20 105:7,11 106:8,11,17 108:14,20 109:11 109:24 110:16,22 112:8 113:24 114:10,14,24 115:3,9,21 116:2 116:8,14 117:6,13 118:4,10,18 119:1 119:16 120:15,19 121:15,25 122:6 122:16 123:11 124:10,18 125:7 125:19,25 126:12 128:15 130:20 132:3,20 133:11 134:3,17,24 135:6 135:18 136:9,15 136:21 137:5,10 138:6,21 139:3 140:7,25 143:15 144:3 145:2,7,15 146:9,14,23 147:23 148:6,18	149:1,8 150:1,15 150:22 151:21 152:4,8,15,21 153:2,8,18,24 154:10,16 155:2,9 155:14,25 156:17 156:21 157:1,15 157:21 158:5,10 159:6,17,25 160:8 160:18,24 161:4 161:13 162:14 163:2,11,25 164:10,18,25 166:6,15,23 168:12,22 169:3 169:17 170:10,20 171:1,7,22 172:20 172:25 173:5,9 174:24 175:1,12 175:17 176:3,19 176:23 177:9,15 177:22 178:8,18 179:25 180:9,14 181:6,10,16,21 182:1,17,23 183:4 183:24 184:11 185:3,10 186:4 187:13 188:4,9,18 189:1 190:7,12 191:19 192:4,19 192:25 193:19 196:1,12,21 197:10,16 198:5,9 198:14 199:2,10 199:24 201:6 203:1,9,15 204:4 204:11 205:2,8 206:3,12,18,24 207:19,24 208:25 210:4,10,18 212:5 212:12 213:7 214:11,20 215:7 216:3,22 217:10 217:23 218:3,11 219:17,24 220:8 220:14 221:15,24	222:10,14,22 223:5,10,22 224:4 224:11 228:1,16 229:3,12,18,24 230:4,13,20,25 232:23 233:10 234:16,24 235:7 235:15,24 236:7 236:19 237:2,11 237:19,23 239:10 240:14 241:21 242:11 243:9,25 244:8,14 246:14 247:3 248:7,17 249:4,14,24 250:7 250:12,25 252:4,9 252:18 253:11,21 254:7,17 257:13 259:7,12,13 260:5 260:6 264:1,6 265:15,24 266:12 267:8 268:5,13,21 269:15,24 270:21 271:12 272:11,17 274:5,11,16 275:4 275:19 276:12,24 277:11 278:7 279:16,21 280:5 280:12,18,23 281:4,15 282:3,16 284:21 285:8,20 286:17,22 287:3,7 287:21 288:5,11 288:19 289:5,11 289:15 291:7 292:3,20 293:23 294:3,8,12,17 295:3 299:6,15 300:9 301:3,13,20 302:1,18 303:1,20 304:9,25 306:18 307:2,25 308:7,19 309:3,11 310:19 310:25 311:21 312:4 313:1,11 314:4,9,16 315:18	315:21 318:9 319:24 321:14,23 322:14 324:3,10 324:16,22 325:3 326:11,19,24 327:4 328:7,14 329:4,9,21 330:2 330:8,22 331:6,14 331:21 332:1 335:4,15 336:16 336:20 337:11,23 338:8,9,24 339:7 339:20 340:6,10 340:14 341:19 342:4,15,23 343:22 344:4,10 344:19 345:13,16 346:1 347:6,10 348:5 349:10 350:1,16,21 351:8 352:10,16 355:4 355:19 356:9,20 365:7 378:6 379:16 380:1,6 381:15 382:1,7,15 383:1,6,23 384:4 384:23 objectionable 78:11 objections 35:11 50:20 78:6 162:18 225:16 370:3 obligation 277:25 obsolete 265:13 obstruct 40:9 obtain 97:8 116:22 117:15 147:15 230:11 236:17,24 251:8 274:21 obtained 236:25 276:19 obviously 78:2 174:22 347:13 367:11,17 368:17 374:16 occur 261:16
--	--	---	---	---

275:12 occurred 120:2,13 134:8 178:14 263:5 282:10 October 5:23 8:1 354:9,11 offensive 384:25 offered 166:1 251:10 office 6:4 31:11 32:21 94:14,19,22 94:23 95:2,5,7,13 95:14,17 100:16 101:10,18,23,25 103:24,25 104:1 104:17,23 235:2 235:10 256:16 316:6 319:15 328:1 332:5,6,10 332:14,17 333:7 335:13,24 337:10 337:15,21 338:6 338:22 offices 1:20 4:10,16 14:10,14 official 35:10 officials 35:24 46:20 337:18 offline 206:2 357:11 offshore 319:14,19 off-the-record 373:23 Oh 41:8 252:10,22 327:25 oil 314:22 okay 7:19 9:15 10:14 16:1 40:11 44:25 48:11 70:1 84:22 89:14 98:6 109:20 126:22 127:14 143:8 152:10 171:13 175:6 176:9 183:18 187:20 196:6 228:6 240:2	245:20 261:25 279:2 306:24 313:11 316:24 317:2 318:18 334:1 335:21 342:11 350:4 358:4,12,21 359:7 360:16,25 361:6 361:20 362:20,23 363:6 364:10 365:21 367:25 370:23 371:7,17 372:11 373:14,22 375:19 376:18 377:21 379:6 385:11 old 11:24 12:3 21:6 32:17 82:16 83:18 102:22 132:24 157:5 213:11 217:19 228:23 283:19 older 32:18 314:20 oldest 21:11,14,17 once 5:12 48:20 55:3,5,8 56:23 66:11 117:11 145:21 167:23 204:13 214:23,25 238:18 243:2 306:6 340:3 ones 68:9 84:20 87:11 110:8 170:5 170:6,8,9 205:5 233:2,3 273:8 275:16,17 353:16 one-line 328:20 online 54:3 147:16 147:17,19 176:20 176:22 209:5 231:15 262:10,11 326:13 open 149:20 150:14 168:18 170:25 208:14 223:6 251:23	opened 83:8 185:18 opening 362:5 operating 382:21 operational 115:16 115:18 116:13,17 374:25 operations 231:11 231:12,14 232:3,7 233:15,20 234:2 234:23 235:1,5 244:3 opine 369:9 opinions 225:15 opportunity 365:6 opposed 102:21 optical 99:19 order 5:10 175:4 309:18 364:17 368:18 374:25 377:11 ordered 365:2,4 372:3 org 179:13 organisation 13:3 251:6 organisations 251:14 organised 316:19 origin 188:24 257:22 original 80:5,23,25 81:12,15 148:20 166:11,24 167:1 176:18 304:17 originally 181:3 243:18 283:5 380:13 originals 299:4,9 299:13 originates 227:22 227:23 228:14,22 OST 81:7,19 outcome 387:15 outing 325:12 outside 184:21 197:18 325:7	331:16 overall 344:11 overbroad 237:24 overseas 290:25 319:17 owed 316:5 owned 91:8,12 97:4 106:23 107:4 113:25 114:2,3 115:19 120:9 122:22 123:1,3,7 195:6 219:12,15 237:4,8,14 269:6 289:14,17 293:5,6 293:7 owner 177:20 264:25 ownership 91:3,13 91:17 92:14 93:17 94:4 107:1,9 120:3 123:10 180:8,11 219:10 248:5 293:9 owns 92:1,2,8,8,11 96:24 219:20,22 237:17,22 Oxford 6:5 14:24 52:15 260:12 322:10 o'clock 108:1,2 O'Hagan 188:25 189:15 191:22 193:12,25	266:4,5 267:13,15 277:18,20 284:9 296:12 297:3,14 297:15,16,19 298:3,4,4,5,5,5 298:6,6,9,10,12 298:14,22,23,24 299:1,20 301:24 302:3,6,8 304:3,4 304:18 305:5 310:2 317:14 321:7,8 333:24,25 334:14 348:11 352:24 354:15 357:15,16,19,20 370:18 371:11 pages 52:16 296:22 297:25 298:2 paginations 239:25 paid 185:21 230:17 244:6 249:10 274:1 281:10,11 281:11 312:7 Panama 84:19 85:1 87:12 93:9 246:25 247:1 248:9,11 250:17,23 268:1 269:7,23 272:7,10 272:16 273:18 303:9,9 309:25 319:9 343:14,16 343:17 344:3,9,18 paper 63:22,24 64:1 65:5 138:14 138:17,20,23 139:2,8 140:11 141:8 142:16,19 143:2,6,24 144:2 145:1,12 146:22 147:3,6,8,10,12 147:15,22 148:24 149:7,10 150:14 150:17,19 152:7 152:20 154:8 156:7,8,11 157:14 158:7,14 175:10
---	--	--	--	---

191:6 203:14,22 203:23,25 204:2 204:10,15,15,21 205:1,13,14,22,23 223:7 240:10 247:25 270:16,20 328:17,19 papers 28:22 51:24 52:2 149:18 167:20 215:20 219:9 260:11 303:10 355:14 paragraph 189:25 228:5 240:6,11 245:22,22 246:2 247:9,23 264:20 267:18 270:6 334:15 348:17 350:5,8 351:13 352:25 353:17,18 354:2 370:18 371:11 paragraphs 140:22 140:24 244:7 245:13 part 8:7 10:23 16:17,24 19:5,21 25:16 44:23 45:6 74:19 92:23 93:16 114:9 160:4 176:6 195:6 232:1 257:4 257:5 278:15,16 278:17 292:24 293:14 311:4,4 312:16 318:1 352:11 355:23 372:17,17 partial 309:1 particular 21:7 45:18 52:17 63:25 110:1 122:8 123:1 127:7 143:2,2 146:3 163:5 253:14 307:13 344:11 358:9 365:5 367:7	370:15 371:2,3 particularly 379:13 parties 357:8 370:20 371:16 372:19 375:9 387:11,13 partner 71:24 72:3 72:3,4 130:9 partners 262:15,16 303:6 partnership 12:22 33:16,18,22,25 72:1,2,2,5,6 144:19 145:18 182:6 183:15 190:21 367:2,6 369:1 parts 127:16 140:15 167:6,16 171:5 298:6 party 263:21,24,25 264:17 305:8 374:13 passed 104:13 passwords 133:6 patent 51:25 144:9 145:14 223:15 236:10 patenting 143:23 144:1,25 145:3,6 145:8 146:7 patents 86:9 149:18 Paul 38:17 262:19 Paula 1:24 2:15 4:13 42:11 387:3 387:24 pause 70:16 93:12 106:16 141:22 193:8 239:1 253:21 259:24 286:9 pay 62:9 99:12,13 120:11 122:9,19 122:20 242:1,4 244:10 246:9,10 274:3,18 312:2,24	316:3 350:10 paying 62:7,8,12 payment 215:21,24 215:25 216:2,6 222:4,12,18,20 355:11,17,18 PDFs 159:2 penalty 334:10 pending 42:12 43:5 43:8,17,18 236:14 penitentiary 311:14,16 people 28:5 31:8 35:25 36:10,12 37:8 48:25 53:15 53:16 57:7,14 63:11 72:6,7,8,9 88:13 92:23 93:16 93:20,22 107:11 109:2,3,5,13 122:9,10 124:23 126:17 129:17 130:1 136:6 138:23 140:13,16 140:23 142:11,24 149:17,19,20 156:8 157:7 160:12 163:5,20 166:21 167:14 168:2 170:24 171:17 172:14 173:11 185:15,19 208:6,7,10,11,13 213:16 232:17 233:13,23 244:9 246:9,16 247:5,11 248:9,11,13 249:7 249:8,10 250:17 251:16 253:7 261:11,19 262:23 263:1 269:14,17 270:18,23 273:18 276:21,23 280:19 281:9 282:7 300:16,19 319:22 325:7 342:25	346:13,24 347:14 370:15 371:2,23 382:23 384:2 people's 247:16 percent 98:10 perfectly 6:11,17 18:24 43:19 316:12 period 20:9 24:10 24:14 26:14 103:8 105:5,9 116:1 129:25 190:25 201:17 266:22,25 306:7 344:12 360:10 367:4 periods 24:15 perjure 17:17 perjured 335:7 perjury 334:10 Perling 141:13 142:19 326:2,9,17 326:22 327:2,9,12 Permanent 110:12 111:3,22,24 112:4 112:11,15 310:10 310:12,23 permission 43:17 permitted 40:15 69:20 89:16 99:4 199:13 243:23 295:7 373:17 person 35:9 38:17 71:23 102:12 111:7,16 118:13 126:20 127:19,21 128:19 141:13,24 142:21 149:16 150:17 153:14,17 190:16 201:19,23 211:21 212:24 217:6 226:23 269:2 312:15 315:23 316:1 323:13 339:19 371:20,24 374:6 personal 1:6	325:23 337:19 personally 95:23 257:20 317:12 332:7 335:6 persons 174:2 269:19,20,21,23 person's 128:13 petabytes 99:18 petrol 314:23 Philadelphia 2:17 Philip 2:20 4:12 Pholus 82:21 83:3 phone 11:21 54:4 68:23 70:24 178:2 194:16 373:19 374:25 375:11 phoned 104:13 phrase 322:12 physically 46:24 54:3 167:23 186:23 pick 255:3 piece 203:23 204:10,21,25 240:10 283:11 pieces 204:15 291:13 pile 124:12 Pirate 186:10 pizzas 251:25 place 4:9 33:23 130:16 136:12 148:14 196:16 201:2 237:21 341:8 379:12 placed 297:8 places 160:21 231:15 plaintiff 2:22,25 5:2 348:1,2,17 349:8 350:10 351:16,22 354:21 359:22 361:13,16 372:23 373:19 plaintiffs 1:8 2:2 4:19,21 5:18
---	--	---	---	--

369:21 373:18 plaintiff's 78:16,17 79:7 126:8,9 160:6 189:7,17 193:5 227:7,10 239:2,3 259:17 263:13 284:9 296:18 297:7 314:25 315:3 333:15,21 347:19 347:22 367:4 369:1 planet 230:7 planning 15:19 Plastique 226:18 played 287:20,24 playing 194:16 pleadings 19:16 286:5,7 please 4:14 5:5,20 7:2 15:21,23 16:9 16:12 18:7 27:24 30:15 34:6,21 42:11 43:8,23 50:19,25 65:11 67:14 69:13,24 70:12 78:25 79:4 82:23 86:18 91:22 98:4 102:2 105:14 110:5,8 118:20 144:5 147:6 174:1 178:2 182:4 183:9 184:24 186:18 189:3,8,18 194:10 201:22 204:5 208:21 209:12 227:11 239:21 242:13 247:17 254:1 256:15 264:21 267:4,13 269:4 271:1 272:25 275:8 277:16 285:21 286:13 293:18 296:9 309:7 313:8 317:14,20 318:10	331:1 333:24 340:2 342:3 350:5 350:8 351:13 352:24 353:17 354:15 357:3 360:22 383:7 388:3 plenty 146:2 plus 299:18 377:17 point 5:10 6:15 9:13 12:17 19:24 35:25 42:12 64:13 64:20 102:13 107:9,18 115:19 117:18,21 120:4 123:19 158:24 169:16 196:15 205:3,9 225:15 229:21 257:24 258:1,14 261:17 291:16 295:14 301:9 312:12 320:16 340:16 359:22 365:6 366:7 373:9 377:11 pointing 228:24 poker 231:12 232:7 233:6 236:18 237:1 255:22 272:5 poker-related 235:23 Police 141:24 Ponce 2:11 pool 201:19,20,25 pools 186:21,23 195:20,24 197:18 Port 184:21 portion 107:13 portions 143:5 171:13 posed 52:6 71:5 98:3 position 43:7 126:23 193:17,22	258:4 362:3,17 363:15 364:17,21 365:19,19 377:16 possess 245:25 294:23 346:19 possessed 292:8 possession 20:16,25 21:12,15,18 271:22,25 334:19 334:24 336:13,19 336:25 338:21 possibility 50:23,24 possible 8:23 20:5 120:23 162:9 172:19 207:20 217:21 241:6 251:22 258:22,23 332:24 possibly 204:22 205:6 238:1 299:2 302:14 post 135:4,12 169:21,23,25 170:1,8,9 171:5 282:10 293:5 posted 169:2 170:17 171:12 posting 169:16 170:14 324:2 posts 262:14 380:14 potential 162:15 163:23 potentially 297:17 PoW 175:19,19 power 185:21 practical 253:7 practically 266:24 325:21 precluded 369:8 predicate 144:22 220:16 predicate-based 220:22 prefer 160:23 161:2 257:7,8	prepared 43:21 183:19 presence 208:17 present 2:19 4:16 373:18 presentations 35:23 presented 46:20 260:11 374:18 preserve 69:15 81:7,25 preserved 78:3 press 37:5 pressing 37:9 presume 65:18 175:4 189:2 227:17 presuming 314:17 presumption 65:22 pretty 339:24 341:12 384:11 previous 277:13 317:23 previously 174:16 175:11 237:9 primarily 208:13 209:2 231:10 362:4 primary 172:17 208:5,8,23 243:13 354:19 printed 203:23 204:8,9,25 printout 126:13 prior 76:18 141:3 168:19 202:3 277:24 328:11 private 55:15 138:4 177:7,11,17,25 202:24 203:2,7,11 206:7 223:9 294:21,24 privately 169:23 177:13 privilege 18:15,20 19:22 97:20 364:1	364:12 367:17 369:20,22 privileged 18:12,13 31:4 97:18 320:5 320:9 338:11 Pro 2:6 probabilities 173:11 probability 50:25 probable 51:3 probably 35:21 44:7 96:15 177:25 195:5 217:20 243:3 251:7 306:14 322:9 326:13 328:23 365:7,8 369:9 378:21 problem 79:2 225:4 355:23 366:17 problems 61:10 155:11,13,17,21 155:21,23 249:16 283:1,3,4 Procedure 70:5 225:12 Proceed 50:21 proceeding 364:16 proceedings 349:13 349:14,16,18 352:12 proceeding-type 356:13 process 97:2 99:18 136:12 190:22 205:16 227:5 281:8 produce 49:1 produced 302:20 335:12 353:8 355:15 366:20 producing 254:16 product 253:24,25 254:12,15 255:1 255:10 258:6 production 255:6
---	--	---	--	--

365:2,5 383:9 productive 18:17 professional 122:11 Professor 283:1,9 379:2,3 ProfFaustus 378:23 379:1,9 380:12 program 106:6 191:9 235:21 328:17 programed 182:21 programer 106:5 programing 165:5 166:10 328:12 prohibited 225:23 prohibiting 226:9 prohibitive 258:6 project 64:22 168:18 278:13,15 278:16,18,19,20 278:22,24 279:1 351:16,24 354:20 projection 50:23 projects 215:15,17 215:19 218:22 219:1 244:21 255:24 259:20 275:10,14 278:10 371:3 prolific 262:11 proof 153:20,22 154:15,19 263:10 383:21 proper 25:2 368:8 properly 183:19 property 27:21,24 28:16 86:2,3,7,17 87:5,16 88:8,19 99:25 100:10 114:13 117:23 195:6 199:6 215:5 215:13 216:1,5,11 222:3,6,13,17 223:2,17,21,25 224:8 230:3,12,24	231:4,6,23,24,25 232:2,6,9 234:9 234:15,21 235:6 235:14,22 236:6 236:18,25 243:23 243:24 255:24 349:5 350:10,12 372:16,20,23 373:5 proposed 8:11 protect 88:12,15,18 protected 88:16 protocol 55:16 155:24 165:6,11 165:13,15,20,24 166:2,4 175:25 323:18 328:6 342:22 372:7 protocols 165:21 166:21 prove 257:1 259:5 262:3 provide 31:22 126:23 131:18 206:16,23 207:2 212:16 213:24 276:17 277:22 278:1,3 279:14 282:2 361:17 368:18 provided 178:11 211:12 213:21 231:3,7 240:22 280:3 281:3,13 282:14 348:18,22 349:8 355:3 383:21 provider 240:23 241:9,15 243:22 248:5 provides 265:11 providing 240:12 240:19 362:8 368:17 provision 239:13 241:4	pseudonym 167:20 167:25 186:9 208:19,20,24 209:2,9 210:2 pseudonyms 326:5 326:8 Pty 277:23 pub 38:12,13,15 public 55:15 76:18 99:12 125:6 128:24 129:3 132:11 137:21 147:10 151:1,2 155:4 158:4,7 164:1,23,24 165:3 167:4,6,12 168:19 169:10,16,24,25 170:1 177:1,11 179:21 196:8 206:10,16 207:13 207:22 209:10,11 209:21 215:20 223:16,23 224:7 253:9,18 324:2 325:8 339:9 365:10 384:13,18 publications 285:15 publicly 139:25 163:23 164:2 168:20 169:1,21 177:4,8 published 52:3 59:9 215:20 223:7 260:10 323:25 355:14 publishes 167:19 publishing 169:10 pulled 100:25 213:12 purchased 244:11 purchaser 271:8,19 274:21 275:10 purchasing 347:2 pure 119:7 purported 15:18	145:18 purporting 36:23 purports 79:7 purpose 26:16,23 35:16 37:14 63:18 85:24 86:1 87:3 96:13 99:14 111:22,24 113:1,2 113:9,13,15 143:17 218:19 241:4 243:5,13 255:16 345:4 367:12 purposely 263:8 366:3 purposes 112:12 366:12 pursuant 372:21 put 147:16,17,19 149:17 151:1,3,6 151:11,15 154:3 191:18 223:6 226:17 227:13 228:12,17 231:19 237:21 242:9 257:25 260:19 276:22 283:5 287:9 293:1,21 294:1,6,11,15 297:17 301:22 315:25 346:14 347:15 354:13 356:25 364:10 386:8 puts 256:17 putting 50:24 231:17 234:11 316:19 356:24 Python 284:16 P-H-O-L-U-S 82:21 p.m 318:4 P2P 209:3 <hr/> Q <hr/> Quantification	224:9 quarter 256:3 question 7:1,6 8:23 9:2,8 13:15 15:21 15:24 16:2,7,13 17:13 21:10,10 30:6,14,22 36:25 37:8 39:10 41:10 42:12,14,16,17,24 43:5,8,10,11,17 43:18,21,23 52:5 66:6,21 70:15 71:5 78:3,20 82:18 87:8,18 89:18,20,22 91:23 91:25 96:22 98:3 104:3,10 112:6 114:17 116:23 124:7 126:23 135:8,11 138:9 143:16 144:6,8,11 144:11,16,17,21 146:3 147:4,24 156:2 165:8 182:9 183:14 187:2 189:15 190:18 191:10,21 193:8,8 195:13 197:1 217:14 220:15 227:6 236:13,14 236:15 237:23 238:15 242:17 244:23 245:16 251:18 252:8,9 257:9,10,15 259:2 259:18,24,25 262:3,5,6 263:9 267:5 269:22 279:8 286:8 292:14,14,15 295:6 296:15 309:7 316:11 318:19 332:12 338:2,14 340:1,7 340:24 341:25 342:3 344:12
--	--	---	---	--

359:2,8,11 360:4 360:9,12,17 362:9 362:25 363:4 364:5,7 368:7,12 368:13 376:3 questioned 373:6 questioning 8:10 36:24 43:19 77:21 361:18 368:22 questions 3:4 5:8 16:5,5 17:14,15 18:12 19:16,19,19 19:20 20:8 25:2 34:3,4 40:16 43:3 77:24 78:11,12 99:3,4 123:13,15 141:1 179:18 182:13 183:19 195:11 199:12 203:20 205:4 225:2 234:7 238:18 251:11 270:9 277:16 279:8 287:15 349:20 352:20 358:7,14 359:13 360:21 361:2,5,8 361:9,13 362:12 362:14 363:7,9,17 363:22,24 364:5 365:15,16 367:9 367:10,12,16,18 367:19,21 368:21 368:24 369:18 370:11,14 373:7 374:15 386:8 quick 154:1 321:2 374:24 384:11 quicker 52:6 quickly 35:13 144:18 quite 11:20 25:17 61:11 229:19 232:20 251:15 252:21 264:14 284:23 373:11	quote 141:19 quoted 66:17 quoting 117:25 <hr/> R R 2:1,10 153:19,22 154:15,19 175:19 175:19,19 254:3 255:2 388:1,1 raise 78:7 183:13 296:17 358:13 raised 369:25 raising 361:14 rambunctious 136:1 Ramona 17:22,23 19:11 361:3 363:25 random 33:25 179:7 300:12 range 60:1 rarely 319:21 320:17 rate 356:5,8,11 rated 354:22 rates 356:15 rat's 257:22 Ray 105:22 129:10 129:11 reach 122:14 149:6 154:14,21,25 287:6 331:25 reached 83:22 154:19,24 287:1 331:19 371:21 reaching 126:3 149:12 read 41:9 42:14,14 42:21 43:23,24 89:24,25 118:6 191:3 193:7,9,9 212:3 240:7,11 241:8,14 245:2,16 253:25 264:23 271:6 272:25 275:8 277:20	284:14 317:17,20 318:3 319:13 334:1,15 350:7 351:10,15 353:18 354:5,18 356:4 357:5 386:4 reading 65:21 244:25 ready 16:7 real 125:17,23 165:17 167:22 208:1 249:9 312:22 realise 109:1 reality 219:15,20 really 8:9 13:23 103:16 163:6 167:19 178:3,4 180:10 200:22 218:12 230:6 257:19 262:20 274:23 282:7 320:17 339:15 342:25 353:4 375:9,10 reason 78:8 134:10 134:19 174:15 220:12,18 260:21 317:3 331:24 reasons 317:2 326:14 372:1 recall 11:2 14:13 24:19 25:24 26:2 26:6,13,17,19,22 27:2,11 29:17 30:3 35:16 36:8 41:16 52:22 53:2 53:10,18 62:3 67:10 69:7 70:16 71:10,17 72:22,24 72:25 82:9 83:20 83:25 84:2 85:16 93:6 101:22 104:7 105:24 106:1 110:8 111:18 126:3 156:25	162:25 202:13,19 209:13 210:5 212:6,7 216:23 220:17 244:24 295:17 303:12 304:7,14 333:7 339:8 341:9,11 365:2 recalled 130:5 recalls 366:1 receive 68:12 69:8 70:17 71:11,18 83:14 168:6 284:17 359:5 received 71:23 83:12 receiving 359:4 recipe 59:6,8,10,12 recipients 190:3 recognise 79:9,10 126:10,13 190:5 227:11 239:4,5 263:14 297:7,10 300:7 315:5 334:5 334:8 347:24 recollect 48:22 51:24 52:3,3 53:21 61:6,8 64:3 64:19 280:11,16 recollection 48:6 51:13,19,22 53:14 57:2,10,14 66:18 66:22,24 71:8 85:2 99:6 107:8 108:18,25 172:23 198:24 238:17 reconsider 205:8 record 4:2 5:21 7:11 25:14,15 35:10 37:2 40:23 40:25 41:4 42:20 43:20 49:21 56:10 56:11,18 69:7,16 70:9 77:11,12,18 77:23 78:23 79:1 81:9 89:10,13,13	99:12 101:14 102:15,20 105:15 108:5,6,10 110:17 116:25 122:18,19 123:22 124:3 162:21 172:3,6 173:18,24 179:21 191:19 192:4,5 193:25 197:25 201:5,10 202:2,7 209:12 221:4,11 224:22 225:9,22 226:18 240:3 245:6,10,19 264:16,24 265:15 266:19,23,24 267:1,5,10,14 272:25 283:24 284:5,12 296:19 296:21,25 310:4 317:18 323:4,9 333:12,17 343:20 353:20,21,25 356:4 357:5,24 358:3 360:12 361:15 385:13,17 385:18,19,20 386:7 387:6 recorded 6:14 172:5 recording 49:1 272:4 recordings 194:4 records 11:5 15:1 37:21 75:5 82:16 83:2,7 94:6 96:11 97:11,13 102:6 106:24 107:3,6 110:7 111:12,19 111:20 114:21 116:4,21,22 117:17 118:6 120:1 121:22,24 122:17 123:5 124:8 132:10,12 139:20 164:20,24
---	--	--	---	--

165:3 176:17,25 177:1 201:11 219:13,14 266:21 279:18,24 280:7,9 280:10,25 282:19 288:8 297:23 301:7,11,15 305:17,18,20 306:3,4,6 307:14 307:19,20 308:3 311:5 312:20 313:3,4,10 332:22 332:23 337:5,8,10 337:15,19,20 339:10 343:21 rectum 257:22 Reese 283:1,6,7,9,9 283:17 refer 166:4,9 354:14 reference 96:8 154:1 175:10 244:19 296:14 310:9 356:18 referenced 154:3 186:13 244:21 247:9 259:20 295:15,16 344:18 referencing 65:23 150:4 referred 184:7 193:4 224:19 225:5 243:24 275:15 276:16 296:10,11 referring 65:8 66:1 69:23 83:9 101:12 101:12 109:9 115:5 124:8 161:15 189:21 208:17 215:23 239:24 271:15 273:3 278:20 285:4,22 286:2 302:8 320:21 355:18	refers 296:12 reflects 296:21 refresh 66:17,22 refreshed 66:24 refused 193:12 296:3 358:8,14 360:20 361:2,5,10 372:9 373:7 refusing 17:13,15 40:13 66:14 127:1 regard 88:25 104:8 362:9,24 363:7,14 365:14 366:13 regarding 127:15 364:7 365:9 371:14 regards 256:11,18 335:23 register 176:11,14 177:13 179:2,15 179:19 187:25 353:9,11 registered 178:7 179:3,6,9,11 180:5 registering 180:6 registrant 177:21 registration 62:13 176:18 177:7 178:23 179:1 180:4 regular 54:23 55:1 241:1 rejected 166:1 220:24 relate 15:18 27:7 27:16,20 28:16 29:1,5 33:17 87:1 88:25 106:10 145:17 183:8,14 205:7 238:4,19 309:4 318:16 357:12 365:16 370:12 related 27:11,14 33:12 36:24 38:18	38:21 86:22 87:5 99:25 107:16 183:19 292:4,11 300:21 310:14,17 310:20,23 314:3,8 314:13 316:11,12 327:15,24 334:21 370:14,15,16,17 371:3,4 373:4 387:10 relates 33:15 100:10 144:6 146:4,15 205:13 224:12 233:5 236:18 259:16,19 363:21 relating 29:13 87:8 368:16 369:5,18 369:19 relation 146:18 314:3 relationship 71:25 98:13 120:14 186:20 295:1 304:17 312:9,10 312:11,13 316:17 383:9 relative 387:12 release 65:5 175:25 271:18 372:6 released 268:3 329:14,15,17 relevance 15:17 97:16 155:25 186:18 205:6 222:15 339:25 relevant 144:22 189:11,12,15 285:24 315:20 349:18 367:4,6 368:10,25 372:22 religious 366:12 rely 7:6 relying 364:2 remain 88:16 remained 83:8	remaining 276:4 remains 365:19 remember 9:17,23 10:17 11:11 14:15 14:22,23,23 21:24 22:4,8,13,18,23 23:4,9,17 24:9,11 24:13 35:7,23 36:4 37:15,24 39:4 41:25 42:2 45:16,24 47:5 48:4 49:2,3,4 53:2 53:25 54:14,17 55:21 57:6,7,16 57:21 58:24 59:5 60:9,10,13,16,21 60:22,25 61:3,17 61:18,21 62:2 63:7,12,15 67:16 67:18,22,25 68:5 68:9,11,21,23,24 69:2 70:22,23,24 70:25 76:9,12 81:2,17 82:10,13 84:21 85:9,11,14 85:23 90:22,25 92:22 93:1,13,14 96:8,11 98:7,18 99:10 100:19 101:1,21 102:5,14 103:4 105:16 106:25 107:3,7,12 107:15,20 109:6 109:25 110:6,13 111:13 112:1,2,6 112:20 122:7 126:5 133:7,19 140:17,19 141:25 142:6,10,14,20,21 142:23,24 143:1 151:15 156:12,22 157:2 163:4,6 168:3 170:7 176:16 179:22 190:8 192:15,20 192:21 193:1,2	206:22 209:14 211:19 213:11 214:4 218:12 220:9 246:16 269:9,25 277:1,4 277:9 281:9 283:8 285:9,11 290:13 303:2,5,8,16 307:11,12 309:20 322:23 325:10 326:4 343:19 344:21 351:1 365:1 377:7 378:22 remembered 277:13 remembering 49:2 remind 7:12 130:23 202:13 removal 120:8 remove 109:17 260:3 rename 112:13 renamed 112:16 renames 112:18 render 373:21 rendered 265:13 repeat 7:2 30:22 152:1 repeated 225:22 repeatedly 226:1 rephrase 7:3 21:11 332:12 replied 190:4 Reported 1:24 reporter 2:14 4:13 5:6 7:11 34:21 35:9,11 42:15 43:24 89:23,25 94:16 106:15 123:18 142:3 184:24 226:25 245:2 251:19 279:10 283:21 293:18 317:9 331:1 373:20
--	---	--	--	--

387:1,3 reports 247:12 represent 4:15 5:18 representation 28:12 37:5 191:23 representative 1:6 represented 264:9 representing 4:12 4:13 republic-type 356:16 request 4:11 42:13 140:2 141:2 246:7 246:11 366:12 370:4 372:3 373:16 383:8 requested 42:12 43:24 89:25 245:2 246:9 361:11 Requesting 338:12 requests 175:22 337:22 require 70:5 368:6 368:10,20 370:4 374:15 required 125:3 331:17 353:9 363:20 requirement 306:3 306:6 requires 62:11 100:3,5 reread 136:2 research 1:7 64:22 91:19 109:15 175:24 216:18 275:9,10 350:11 350:15 372:6 Research/develo... 265:2 reserve 231:20 248:14,16,21 343:3,7 346:23 377:12,23 385:9 reserved 385:7 Reserves 251:14	reserving 385:4 reset 211:2 resided 75:10 residence 8:19 11:9 resident 312:12 resides 30:11 residing 312:16 resigned 339:1,6 resolve 77:22 respect 296:7 respectfully 364:23 373:22 respond 8:24 9:2 43:7 225:8,18,21 339:7 359:14 368:11,14 369:21 372:4 responded 123:12 368:9 responding 225:19 337:21 response 7:7 175:22 313:7 318:3 363:22 372:2 374:2 responses 7:11 75:14 136:13 386:8 responsible 234:14 234:23 235:1,4,9 374:13 rest 255:5 304:2 313:4 385:9 restarted 195:19 restate 313:25 restroom 77:6 245:5 result 237:24 238:16 319:14 results 275:11 return 282:5 297:4 returned 268:19 282:1,6,20 returning 354:21 reveal 324:14,17 revealed 324:18	revealing 143:12 143:16 review 136:13 150:13 169:19 295:12 297:3 reviewed 150:17,19 reviewing 296:13 reward 196:10 re-edit 157:7 re-point 228:1 Rica 87:12 231:14 231:16 244:4 319:9 Ricardian 323:21 rid 158:23 333:3 382:5 right 16:5 39:19 43:9 71:3 105:16 135:11 150:7 177:3 189:10 190:5 193:6 197:6 210:15 227:2 235:10 244:23 270:11 274:20 276:2 278:12 283:9 296:8 308:10,18 311:8 335:3 341:1 353:16 359:24 rights 113:25 114:2 114:3,4,8,12 115:7,11,13,15,19 115:25 117:11,15 117:17,18,20,21 117:23 118:1,3,5 118:9,22,24 119:4 119:14,23 257:6 291:6,9,12 293:8 319:17 337:17 right-hand 189:19 300:3,24 ripped 185:20 risk 96:16 216:12 222:8 223:3 224:9 275:17 Rivero 2:10,11 4:22	4:22 15:20,23 16:4,12 30:17 31:2 35:8 42:10 42:23 43:1,2,4,12 43:13,14,16 50:21 69:24 70:3,7,11 78:19,25 79:4 98:2 102:19 105:20 106:13,19 116:23 117:2 123:17 141:15 142:2 144:15,21 146:16 153:10 174:1,7,14,24 189:21 195:9 196:23 197:11 201:22 202:2,7 224:17 225:1,10 225:18,21,25 226:8,13,16,19 227:5 238:4,9 243:10 245:3 251:18 252:4,7,18 252:22 253:11,20 254:6,17 259:13 260:5,8 262:1 263:9 277:16 279:9 295:10,20 296:7,9,20,23 297:2,5 320:11 338:8 339:21 346:3 353:19 359:13,18,19 375:5,6,16,22,24 376:6,10,17 377:11,16,20,22 383:12,16 385:3 385:18 road 134:9 185:20 Robert 383:10 Roberts 186:10 Roche 2:5 4:20,20 385:15 role 287:16,19,24 314:3 340:20 roles 19:15 105:13	286:4,6 rolling 200:7 room 258:24 358:20 373:18 rooms 55:14 Ross 339:19,25 340:18 341:18 342:1,12,13,14,21 rough 202:9 round 186:10 route 52:18 routine 52:10,12,15 52:17 routinely 52:8 royal 319:20 rude 287:9 rule 69:22,25 70:3 70:12,13 78:20 136:2 165:24 225:4,10,11,12,12 225:23 226:2,9 296:10 310:25 368:3 369:23 370:4,5 374:5 375:2 385:8 ruled 374:17 rules 20:21 25:8,17 69:21 165:15,20 165:22,25 377:18 ruling 40:17 369:17 370:3 374:10 377:13 383:11 rulings 365:23 run 138:2 185:21 195:20 243:12 339:16 376:1 running 157:4 196:3,7 199:7 243:14 244:3 375:25 runs 207:8 rural 185:17 rushed 349:4 Russia 232:18 233:9 Ryde 14:15,19
---	--	---	---	--

R&D 84:4,8,10,11 84:22 85:8,12,13 85:24 87:14 91:4 91:8 92:11 93:17 94:9,13,18 95:5 96:1 239:12 240:17,19 244:6 245:24 246:4,8,12 246:13,20,22 247:1,8 248:6 263:20 267:25 268:3 269:6,7,23 270:16,17,19,22 272:7,10,16 276:3 277:25 278:3 279:14 280:2,3,16 282:2,14 343:12 343:15 344:3,9,15 344:17,24 R&Ds 84:17 90:2	209:15 210:2 213:12 285:16,19 286:16 323:18 324:2,9,14 325:2 325:24 326:3,5,10 326:17,23 328:13 329:2,12,19,20 330:21 382:25 383:5,22 384:3 satoshi@anonym... 210:14 save 12:6,8,9 68:24 136:25 157:5 328:18 379:23 380:8 saw 39:7,11 134:12 150:4 154:2 200:6 267:21 saying 64:1 121:11 148:10 153:16 159:8 165:8 207:10 208:13,21 213:17 228:8 247:12 250:14 253:12 254:20 255:4 257:3 260:23,25 261:7 261:19 262:12 268:15 274:17 282:21 283:2 300:21 307:15,18 311:10 319:23 322:25 335:18 349:21 352:5 says 19:13 63:16 64:7 126:16 177:25 190:19 227:20 229:6 241:8,11,19,22 245:23 246:2 247:25 249:8 254:12,14 255:1,9 261:22 264:4,16 265:3,22 266:7 271:18 305:8 310:16 315:9	320:17 321:4,8 348:17 355:7 371:13 scale 100:4 243:16 scales 345:10 scaling 243:13 345:5,6,8 schedule 375:1 scheme 293:15 Schiller 1:21 2:3,6 2:22,23 4:10,11 4:16 174:4,10 359:21,25 scholar 264:12 scientist 336:21 scope 88:21 89:19 103:17,21 141:4 152:9,12 156:1 181:11,17,21 183:19 187:14 190:13 199:12 203:17 214:21 216:7 242:12 244:16 278:8 285:21 286:1,1,10 292:4,7,9,10 340:16,19 362:11 362:19 363:18,22 364:9 365:20 383:24 384:5,12 screamy 248:25 screen 4:8 65:21 167:18 SDK 351:17,18,25 se 2:4 14:7 186:12 sealed 83:8,12,14 seances 382:23 search 129:10,12 131:19,22,24 154:1 311:25 searches 163:18 311:22 second 2:4 10:4 17:21,22 40:20 98:2 126:11 184:10 189:9	197:3 207:13 224:17 225:17 240:6 244:22 360:4 362:24 369:14 372:2 secondly 249:19 seconds 385:14 secret 159:16 293:15 326:15 secretarial 107:7 107:12 111:20 122:9,10 secrets 86:13,16,19 section 259:19 Secured 93:9,25 94:16 248:13,15 248:20 303:7 309:21 310:1 311:13,23,24 346:21 security 40:15 127:3,4,10 216:12 218:23 222:8 223:3 233:5 285:13 317:5 355:9,21 357:7 361:10 370:1,6,11 372:1,10 373:8 374:5,7,14 see 40:20 65:19 66:3 122:18 133:5 136:19,23 151:19 178:10 189:14 190:18 205:6,9 224:18 227:25 243:16 244:24 252:10 256:2,5,6 256:11 279:12 286:8 305:8 310:9 315:9 319:2 321:9 334:14 338:17 343:20 348:19,20 367:14 seeing 227:17 seeking 18:14 88:18 149:14	218:24 seemingly 129:3 sell 346:12 selling 251:14 send 80:5,10 100:6 135:5,8,14 136:3 136:14,20 139:1 140:24 151:20 152:3 161:19 178:25 192:8,11 192:17,23 206:6 206:10 213:16 227:15 246:3,4 250:2 256:15 343:6,9,16 344:3 344:9 sends 257:19 sense 288:20 sent 79:11,15,19 80:13,17 81:13,15 83:16 136:6,8 137:2 140:22 147:10 148:20 151:5,5 161:11,18 190:1 229:16,19 250:1 253:1,9 321:18 343:8 346:13 sentence 204:6 228:24 284:14 319:13 349:7 separate 109:15 112:5 297:16 298:25 300:17 318:16 373:18 series 225:2 319:7 seriously 234:5 serve 113:9,15 served 113:11 server 79:17,22 147:20 161:20,21 161:21,24 162:7 178:11,12 319:16 321:4 servers 8:14 162:1 162:4 191:5
---	---	--	--	---

S

S 2:1,22 266:7
378:12,13,21,25
380:8,19 386:20
safe 49:14 50:11
375:13
sake 247:20
Sakura 273:4,11,14
273:22
Salinger 256:10
SANS 285:15
satisfied 262:7
Satoshi 132:17,18
133:10,17 134:22
135:5,8,12,14,23
137:24 138:5
144:20 167:2,4,9
168:10,14,16,20
169:2,12,15,16
170:18 171:14,21
182:5 184:2 186:2
186:3,5,11 188:24
190:1,21 191:5
208:5,8,12,14,16
208:18 209:9,15

198:22 service 113:4 services 2:16,21 4:12,14 21:20,23 22:3,24 23:11,16 23:18 26:7 27:7 28:15 29:1,15,18 29:23 30:1,10 42:5,7 62:10 159:8 239:13 251:16 348:18,21 349:8 350:11 serving 113:13 session 174:6 sessions 174:11 193:25 194:1 set 31:7 62:1,6,9 92:7 93:20,22 94:3 109:15,16 123:1,4 165:15,20 243:3 244:3 270:2 272:13,16,20 281:7 293:12 300:13 305:2 315:14 319:6,7,11 320:22 356:5 366:1,3 373:7 381:4,7 sets 165:17,24 356:7 setting 356:14 settle 160:7 settled 165:18 settlement 16:18,24 363:11,14 setup 319:1 set-up 133:19 185:9 185:16 293:10 Seychelles 84:19,23 85:4,25 91:9 305:9 306:5,7,10 306:21 308:6 377:3 SGI 240:21,23 346:13 shake 109:4	Shamir's 293:15,17 shapes 345:22 share 91:15 134:16 139:7,12 140:11 141:7 142:18 143:5 192:6 202:24 203:2,7,11 shared 186:22 shareholding 97:7 97:8,10 120:6 122:24 339:14 shares 109:14 293:6 316:1 sharing 205:22 293:15 sharper 283:18 Shehadie 141:16 143:6,11,13 shit 157:5 160:11 213:18 341:22 short 41:2 77:15 108:8 173:21 215:15 221:7 245:8 284:2 323:6 333:14 353:23 358:1 shorter 259:1 Shortly 148:15 short-circuit 9:1 shot 119:10 203:18 show 65:10,10,13 65:14,23 66:19 67:3 69:22,24 70:1,7,12,13 259:15 261:5,6 333:8 showed 142:15 showing 66:24 116:25 shown 6:16 142:17 shredder 306:9 shut 83:15 sic 265:2 370:17 371:4 sick 47:14 120:13 side 243:17 300:3	300:24 301:1 sighing 151:19 sign 193:12 256:17 257:24 258:12,15 260:18 261:23 signature 239:16 256:5,8,9,12,13 256:13,18,20,22 256:22 257:2,9,12 260:14 261:9,10 261:14 266:8 299:22,23,25 300:1 357:16,17 357:20,20 signatures 260:11 260:17,22 signed 165:18 239:19 254:25 259:6,11 260:1,14 265:6 269:3,4,7,8 386:19 387:23 significant 198:12 signing 258:19 260:13,20 265:21 silicon 345:23 Silk 134:9 silly 322:21 326:5 similar 366:13 simple 121:1 252:12 260:15 328:20 373:11 simply 25:3 56:25 284:23 293:14 349:5 364:1 368:12 simultaneously 35:12 157:3 Singapore 84:20 376:22,25 377:1 single 11:21 17:13 21:7 77:24 78:3 78:11,12 88:4 91:14,16 106:19 142:7 158:2 sir 19:3 41:7 sister 314:19,20	sister's 71:2 sit 49:1 159:12 341:21 site 154:2 282:9 sites 151:4 232:20 232:22 sitting 30:7,9 253:16 280:1,15 281:2 282:13 288:9 298:8,9,11 298:21 304:7,23 306:20,22 339:12 six 35:22 51:23 53:3 156:13,15 157:13 158:9 216:20 217:4,7,9 297:25 298:2,3 size 165:17 233:15 sizes 100:7 skill 387:7 skip 224:23 Skype 266:18 slices 289:7 slipped 366:16 Slow 34:21 small 47:8 77:20 184:21 smelts 345:22 smoke 279:12 Sneezing 198:10 social 262:10 287:13 software 165:12 166:12,14,20 167:9 169:11 170:25 171:2,6 173:13 194:15 201:19,20 207:15 215:18 216:11,14 222:7 223:2,18 224:1 227:24 228:15 231:19 243:16 244:2 254:2,4,10,19 255:2,22,22 258:3 265:1,3 272:4,4,5	275:17 277:23 283:3,10,20 284:15,16 351:19 351:21 357:6 370:19 371:15 372:18 solely 88:4 solution 240:20 solutions 282:25 283:16 somebody 220:13 someone's 82:12 son 126:16 371:22 son's 70:23 soon 365:9 sorry 6:7 10:12 30:23 32:15 34:23 39:19 41:7 62:21 62:22 69:7 78:19 105:17 116:15 117:2 129:5,11 133:12 139:15 141:22,23 147:5 156:14 168:23 170:22 179:7 182:19 186:8 201:25 209:23 213:15 214:24 239:22 240:9 245:14 251:1 252:7,16 254:9 258:18 264:11 265:5 269:2 286:20 291:11 308:23 309:5 316:21 320:3 331:2 334:16 335:5 340:23 349:13,25 360:8 370:2,9 381:5,19 sort 40:20 81:9 99:4 109:5 144:4 145:23 159:12 160:12 217:19 231:15 251:24 255:5 287:12
--	---	---	---	---

288:25 338:25 356:23,25 363:25 364:15 374:11 sorts 46:21 119:5 sought 139:14 sound 283:18 287:8 sounds 210:15 source 149:20 150:14 168:18 170:25 223:6 231:8 271:18,19 271:21,22,24 275:3,5 284:16,24 284:25 South 10:21,23,24 11:1 185:25 258:5 356:11,11 371:14 Southern 1:1 4:6 333:6 space 119:10 Sparkling 30:17,18 speak 35:13 49:22 52:7 56:22 57:18 57:24 58:4,7,10 58:13 60:4 63:1,2 63:5 95:13,16 109:4 128:24 129:2 138:19 146:7,13 228:8 265:16 270:18,23 330:20 357:13 373:17 speaking 62:3 192:2 225:16 227:1 speaks 63:16 228:7 268:6 special 240:25 specific 20:9 118:20 121:9 146:1 148:8 165:7 269:5 350:3 363:17 specifically 36:4 90:20 225:3,13 238:6,11 274:22	296:2,5 363:8 specified 240:22 specify 234:17 speculate 95:24 107:10 122:25 304:3 speculation 50:18 119:2 172:21 173:11 speculative 119:6,8 Speech 211:7 213:25 speed 99:19 spell 18:7 82:12 105:14,15,18 141:17 184:23 213:1 273:5,12 293:17 317:8 spells 18:20 spend 86:17 spent 185:16 305:24 347:2,5,9 347:16 split 293:15 303:4,6 spoke 51:3 52:23 55:9,9,10 56:13 56:19,24 57:3,10 59:6,23 60:2 61:3 61:5,10 62:5 64:9 64:16 129:24 131:8 142:11 153:5 200:25 309:18 342:12 spoken 53:3 61:7 138:22 299:17 342:1 Sportsbooks 231:17 234:10 235:21 spots 224:19 spousal 364:6,10,11 367:17 spring 273:9 Square 1:22 6:6 staff 24:16 81:1 108:15 109:4	157:4,9 162:10,12 163:21,22 227:14 229:9,14 233:13 stage 139:5 194:15 201:19 202:1 204:18 212:25 214:13 stages 175:24 372:6 stake 276:5 stand 139:17 261:2 346:9 standing 77:23 89:8 start 28:24 49:24 77:2 117:24 163:18 165:5,10 167:9 195:21 245:19 262:8 279:22 349:6 363:23 370:12 380:12 started 5:11 54:10 64:22 76:13,14 194:25 207:11 217:19 326:1 346:20 379:4,8 380:13 starting 134:10 218:19 279:12 starts 190:1 298:4 state 4:15 5:20 37:2 154:11 164:13 192:4 209:12 225:10 228:6 229:13 253:21 324:11,11 349:5 stated 115:17 201:12 210:19 216:5 225:13 226:9 230:5 231:2 248:22 255:18 262:11 270:1 276:13 311:6 313:22 350:17 373:10 statement 115:20	115:23 225:25 252:11 333:20 334:6,9 347:25 349:25 352:2,14 354:7 357:1 371:14 372:12,14 372:17 statements 225:22 states 1:1 2:18 4:5 32:24 33:4,6,19 34:1,8,13,18,25 35:4 36:5,9 37:1 37:12,17 39:14,20 39:22,25 45:13,21 46:11,16 65:4 240:18 241:4 242:1,3 243:22 334:11 355:5 374:9 stating 155:22 356:21 statistician 56:19 stay 56:17 247:15 stayed 17:5 326:15 Ste 2:12 Stefan 141:12 Stenograph 387:4 stenographer 6:14 step 98:2 stepped 214:8 Steven 1:17 3:3 5:7 5:22 348:2,18 350:15 386:3 Stick 33:12 stipulations 118:6 stole 162:10,13 stop 7:18,25 8:20 18:21 73:17,19 77:9 89:17,17 103:11 123:20 133:21 134:8,21 137:4 144:23 191:8,20 195:1,16 214:5,9 219:9 224:17 314:12 319:23	stopped 7:22 73:15 133:20 134:12 137:13 180:19 195:2 210:20 316:3 360:14 stopping 123:19 storage 11:13 21:20 22:2,24 24:20 25:25 26:10 28:15 30:8,10 41:16 42:3,5 99:16 100:3 storages 21:25 22:5 22:7,9,12,14,17 22:19,22 23:3,5,8 28:25 29:4,6 store 23:21,24 41:15 stored 24:3,14,20 25:25 26:19 27:6 29:14 202:10 storing 26:16,23 story 108:4 193:14 straightforward 368:13 street 1:22 2:4,7,16 10:2 104:14 stretch 7:18 strict 183:11,16 strike 6:21 30:9 39:10 42:24 43:10 45:12 49:23 53:8 60:22 62:19 75:24 85:13 87:4 103:24 111:24 118:23 134:21 135:11 138:13 162:8 168:19 195:15 204:8 209:25 212:17,17 215:16 235:22 236:11,24 242:9 253:16 276:16 288:23 298:9,10 308:23 313:12 320:11,13 324:8 325:4
--	---	---	---	---

329:18 344:16 striking 43:11 strip 158:25 struck 236:14 structure 91:13 122:25 237:22 291:20 311:7 366:21 structures 93:20,23 94:4 165:21 270:2 stuff 107:11 109:5 127:13 143:21 154:11 163:19 195:7 227:20 229:6,10 233:6 255:23 356:25 370:7 stupid 136:5 160:14 stupidly 243:1 sub 99:5 subject 19:15 45:3 65:2 94:21 195:11 286:5,6 340:21 368:8 375:22,23 subjects 205:5 submission 139:21 submitted 333:20 subsequent 238:10 374:3 substantiate 357:9 subtopics 189:4 Success 110:12 111:3,22,25 112:4 112:11,15 310:10 310:12,24 successful 125:12 125:14 succinctly 117:24 sued 18:23 274:14 372:14 suffices 121:2 suggest 151:18 183:9 suggesting 206:5 suggestion 183:7	suit 284:15 Suite 2:4 supercomputer 381:9,12,14,18,23 supercomputers 382:6,18 supersecret 159:11 supervise 218:1 supervised 217:21 supply 374:13 supporting 374:12 supposed 62:6 148:9 242:1 350:25 353:4 359:4 sure 8:9 15:4 19:17 40:22 56:8 77:7 78:21 94:16 102:14 124:25 131:2 163:15 170:21 173:17 174:3,19 178:24 198:1 202:2,8 213:9 238:16,21 240:3 250:18 286:15 323:3 333:10 358:17 359:17 surrounding 144:19 190:20 suspended 378:15 379:8,9,20 suspicion 321:5 322:22 SWAMP 215:18 275:17 swapped 251:25 swathe 261:16 swear 5:6 71:1 switch 77:2 switched 121:10 swore 333:5 334:9 336:12 sworn 5:7 333:20 334:5,23 system 11:14 88:4	124:25 125:1 187:25 215:21,24 215:25 216:2 220:22 222:4,18 222:21 224:2,9 272:13,20 systems 163:6 166:2 216:6,12 222:8 223:4 241:5 241:9 242:2 system's 222:13 Szabo 328:5,8,12 328:16 S-A-K-U-R-A 273:13 S-H-A-M-I-R-S 293:19 S-H-E-H-A-D-I-E 141:18 <hr/> T T 388:1 tablet 143:4 take 6:19 7:12,17 15:24 16:6 20:5 20:10,12 25:19 34:5 35:11,13,14 40:24 41:10 42:13 43:11 66:10 76:19 77:5 87:22 99:7 106:15 123:19 128:24 130:16 148:14 173:15 189:18 197:2 198:8,12 201:2 221:2 225:14 236:4 239:22 245:4,14 250:2 251:16 253:2 256:9 263:24 264:20 279:2 281:20 283:23 309:15,19 311:4 316:5 321:3 323:1 333:10 341:8 354:9 357:11	379:7,15 taken 1:20 4:4 6:9 21:5,7 30:4 34:1 36:5 282:6 283:19 300:17 332:20 343:25 354:12 372:20 373:11 387:12 takes 198:10 talk 49:8 62:23 129:12 262:19 266:17 285:2 287:17 300:13 312:19 316:23 319:22 324:8 325:8 358:10 360:24 361:12 374:22 talked 48:18 49:4 51:20,23 56:8 64:19 83:24 131:6 140:15 155:4 160:16 220:24,25 244:2 320:1 324:5 325:5,6 326:1 talking 24:16 28:19 28:20 33:9 54:10 57:6 64:12 67:12 77:2 84:24 101:13 110:1 112:4,21 120:24 121:10 130:2 158:21 161:23 166:11 167:2 169:9 189:3 197:20 201:16 209:5 219:8 237:3 237:25 258:2 271:19 287:14 288:25 300:12 308:1 316:3 318:14,15 320:6 320:23,25 325:8 325:11 328:3 348:12 349:11 356:10,13 372:13 talks 57:7 340:20	targeted 259:2 362:4 368:9 task 172:17 311:24 tattoo 277:11 tax 32:21 94:14,19 94:22,23 95:2,4,7 95:12,13,16 100:16 101:10,18 101:23,24 103:23 103:25 104:1,16 104:23 316:3,6 319:15 328:1 332:5,6,10,13,17 333:7 335:12,24 337:10,15,21 338:6,21 349:13 taxes 355:24 technical 176:25 253:6 technological 190:24 technologies 233:4 technology 28:6 44:15 64:10,18 67:8 69:4,10 70:18 71:12,19 75:25 76:19,22 83:23 235:23 265:13 teleconference 374:3 telephone 2:22,24 2:25 4:17 5:1 58:16 174:3,9,12 358:4 359:20,23 359:25 360:1,2 telephonically 58:14 tell 5:24 6:3,10,23 6:25 11:6 45:3 64:3 67:14 69:5 72:19 93:5 95:17 105:17 112:19 118:2 133:3 182:12 188:16 201:25 220:6
--	---	--	--	---

224:12 226:6	207:25 217:5	260:16,16 261:8	378:22 385:7	three-letter 35:25
229:6 232:14	235:16 236:20	261:11 278:23	think 26:24 35:12	throw 178:2
233:8 239:8	248:18 249:5	290:5 298:3	48:23 53:14,15	Thursday 1:18
240:11 249:7,8	252:19 287:22	303:14 304:16	57:14,15,15 63:16	tie 159:3 278:7,20
250:15 253:13	300:23 304:10	311:23 356:13	78:4 81:24 105:15	318:9 383:6
254:25 256:14	320:12,19 337:12	367:18 375:17	116:23 123:18	tight 286:11
262:2 270:8,12,15	347:7 350:22	385:3	141:2 142:23	till 108:2 242:16
271:1 276:1 277:6	358:25 360:13	things 8:15 23:25	144:3 160:14	time 4:8,9 7:16,22
285:21 289:3	362:20 369:8,10	24:11,16,17 28:19	165:9 174:18	8:5 9:3,20 10:22
295:10,11 303:17	369:18	41:15,22,23 46:21	179:13 189:10	12:25 13:5,11
307:19 308:14	testing 206:25	48:23 49:1,5	197:5 202:4	16:6 18:18 26:14
311:9 317:11	207:4	54:11 55:22 56:8	204:19 209:17	39:7,11,21 41:1,4
324:20,23 329:23	testnet 196:7 207:5	61:6,25 68:18	212:24 257:11	43:6,22 45:8,13
335:23 342:11	207:6,7,13,17,22	88:1,3 100:24	263:23 265:3	47:8 54:19 58:17
356:7 360:5	208:1	101:1 107:10	273:4,13 282:21	63:7 64:8,16 66:4
362:25 376:14	tests 243:13	118:14 119:5	283:8,21 300:11	66:20 67:18 70:9
telling 25:13 37:8	Texas 236:8	122:12 125:3	303:15 317:11	70:11 74:18,20,20
377:7	text 79:25	126:21 130:7	329:17 344:22	74:22 76:14 77:8
tells 374:14	thank 4:17 5:9 6:17	134:8 136:5,23	345:25 353:19	77:13,19 78:23
ten 33:13 47:24	7:16,20 16:20,25	140:16,23 148:11	364:5,7 366:15	79:17 83:22 84:24
48:5,9 50:5,12	30:18 41:4 65:25	158:18,21 160:14	369:24 371:18	85:3 86:18 88:12
146:1	77:19 108:10	165:16,21 166:4	374:6,17,22	97:4 102:3 103:8
tens 372:16	124:4 129:22	171:23 201:12	375:10,17,25	103:9,10 105:5,9
terabyte 100:7	173:25 174:7	209:4,5 224:15	377:14,18,22	106:16 107:9
term 28:1,9 117:23	200:3 221:11	227:23 229:19	380:13	108:7,10 109:2
terms 118:8 205:22	245:10 263:5,6	231:17 232:13	thinking 10:13	111:21 115:19
267:7 352:19	284:6 310:5	234:10 235:9	62:22 93:12	116:1 117:8 122:8
363:18 364:14	323:10 333:17	241:17 243:14	141:22	123:23 124:4
370:1	358:3 360:3	244:1 248:23,24	third 126:20	126:6 129:12,24
terribly 58:12	361:25 367:25	249:1,7 250:15,17	127:19,21 128:13	130:15 131:8,11
Tesla 119:11	368:3 375:6,14,15	250:18 255:20	128:19 209:20,22	132:22 133:19
test 133:24 207:9	375:16 385:10,11	262:17 272:1	209:23,24,24,25	134:14 137:3
207:15 345:5	thanks 197:23	277:15 280:20,20	210:2 360:17	139:19 141:23
testified 301:21	375:8	281:10 283:15	370:16 371:4,24	142:22 144:13
360:18 363:10	theoretically	299:12 300:12,17	thought 62:23	150:10 158:2
testifies 367:2	218:21	301:6 303:23	109:8 134:14	161:22,23 165:19
testify 280:13 346:2	theory 369:1	306:2 308:9 311:4	155:10,23 160:9	169:1 173:19,25
testimonial 364:12	thereof 320:10	313:10 316:6,7	193:14 237:6	174:5 180:7
testimony 6:15	387:5	317:6 321:1,1,3	315:24 366:5	188:23 191:8
11:17 24:18,22,24	Theymos 181:3,9	322:21 323:21,24	thoughts 317:22	192:2,3 196:15
25:23 26:5 75:18	181:15	323:25 333:1	threatened 157:10	198:8,10,13 200:9
110:23 112:9	thing 57:22,22	337:4 339:13,16	378:15 379:17	201:21 205:9
134:4 153:3	72:21 87:19 97:18	339:16 349:3	three 126:17	210:20 211:3,12
160:19 165:1	158:22 160:12	352:6 353:10	228:12 297:17	216:19 217:19
171:8 175:15	167:21 169:9	355:9,13,25	299:18 313:23	221:5,11 224:22
193:20 195:11,23	177:10 255:4	356:14,22 366:23	371:8,23	225:6 226:6 227:1

238:22,23 241:6 241:16 243:4,6 245:7,10 251:24 258:20,23 259:22 262:21 263:8 269:6,8 283:25 284:6 295:18 316:2,13 319:20 319:25 321:6 323:5,10 325:9 329:18 332:24 333:13,17 344:11 344:12 353:22,25 357:25 358:3,25 360:9 365:6 366:9 366:13 369:14,15 369:23 374:22,22 376:1 377:13,17 377:17,19,23 383:14 384:14 385:5,7,10,12,21 timechain 28:4,8 28:16 38:22 44:15 62:16,24 63:6 64:10,17 67:7,14 69:4,9 70:18 71:12,19 75:25 83:23 87:23 96:20 181:25 182:15,22 timechain-related 87:15 timeframe 130:22 141:1 360:9 367:11 times 15:7 45:17,18 47:3,10,19,24 48:3,5 49:3,4,9,25 50:5,9,12,16 51:4 51:7,9,15 52:23 53:3,10,18,22 56:18,24,25 61:11 84:16 85:14 104:15 130:17 136:2 150:3,11 158:19 212:10 229:14 302:15,20	332:20,21,22 344:2,15,15 353:9 timing 325:10 tirade 277:14 title 150:5 223:14 223:20 224:6 372:20 373:11 today 6:9,14,18,20 6:22 7:16 15:19 20:16 24:18 25:23 26:5 30:7,9 71:1 94:7 137:19 175:15 195:23 253:16 280:1,15 281:2 282:13 288:9,15 296:10 298:8,10,11,21 300:23 304:7,23 306:20,22 320:19 339:12 351:4 358:5 368:7,21 374:16,24 Today's 4:7 told 31:8 41:14 109:8 121:7 170:24 198:1 201:1 202:19 220:12,15 225:11 247:5,6 249:9 250:16 265:10,18 265:19 282:1 321:25 322:4 332:24 359:3 365:18 368:23 369:2 371:24 373:3 377:9 top 11:4 72:19 85:17 87:13 112:20 116:5 119:18 139:18 142:6,14 209:15 239:17,18,25 240:1 247:20,22 253:23 256:3 266:5 267:15 277:20 281:8	284:11 302:9 305:6 306:24 310:3,5 334:2 341:16 343:19 348:13,14,15 354:16 topic 19:13 28:22 36:22 123:14 129:1 145:25 189:2,3,5 224:18 224:19 238:4 285:21 295:11,16 349:10 367:21 370:22 topics 8:8,10 15:18 19:4,5,9 20:7,8,9 33:11,12,13 44:18 59:5 60:2 61:5,21 64:13 88:21 142:13 144:4,6,16 145:24 146:2,4 182:4 191:11 199:18,19 205:7,8 224:12 225:3 242:13 259:16 278:8 295:4 318:10,16 349:20 350:3 352:21 367:24 373:24 383:7 385:1,2 Tor 231:18 234:11 Toshi 326:6,6 total 71:8 251:4 344:16 totally 243:1 touch 17:5 157:10 164:15 361:8 375:13 touched 77:3 187:9 Touché 198:12 town 184:21 tracing 359:2 track 157:13 228:8 280:7 tracked 285:10 trade 86:13,16,19	251:17 Trading 107:5 291:2,3 trail 134:12 transaction 100:6 165:18 206:6 220:23 250:1,2 253:1,2,3 344:18 transactions 90:11 90:14,17 190:2 transcribed 202:4 387:6 transcript 5:12 295:12 296:22,23 320:16 383:12 386:7 387:5 388:4 transcripts 295:11 296:12,13 transfer 180:17 181:19 186:13 246:8 271:7 273:1 275:9,12 280:22 282:1 344:17,25 transferred 120:5 180:8 252:15,17 270:13 280:17 347:1 354:20 transfers 116:4 120:1 trash 11:21 trashed 12:2 travel 33:6 34:7,12 34:17 35:5,16,21 37:11,17,20,23 38:1 39:14,24 45:15,16,20 46:1 46:3,10,14,16,19 travelled 34:24 38:6 39:21 45:13 45:23 46:4,7 travelling 36:9 Tread 340:1 tree 282:25 283:4 trees 283:15 tried 19:1 25:3 132:21 133:8	211:4 274:9 384:2 trip 36:5 39:12,20 375:13 trips 32:24 33:3,19 33:25 35:20,23 36:10 37:1 troll 150:10 trouble 218:25 true 220:22,23 226:11 334:11 335:2 386:7 387:6 trust 91:16 119:10 159:15 214:19 249:7 269:12 288:23,25 289:3,6 289:19,20,21 290:1,2,2,3,4,7,20 290:24 291:15,17 291:18,18,21 292:1,6,11,13,19 292:22,25,25 293:1,2,3,5,6,7,8 293:9,9,10,11,21 293:24 294:1,6,11 294:16,20 295:2 295:15 296:6 304:22,24 305:2,9 305:11,13,14 306:7,10,21 307:5 308:6,13,16,20 310:14 312:9,17 312:18,18,19 314:2 315:10,13 315:14 316:14,18 317:25 319:1,6 320:22 327:10,13 327:15,24 330:5 330:10 331:9 348:6,8 370:16 371:3 373:4,6 376:21,24 377:1,2 trusted 93:22 94:3 214:15 trustee 288:17,22 288:23 289:24 292:5 314:7,12
---	---	--	--	--

327:12,15,24 trustees 290:11 305:11 trusts 109:16 291:20 296:2 310:17,21,23 314:7,13 319:7 331:12,16 381:4,5 381:7 trust's 305:15 truth 6:4,10,23,25 190:11,19 truthful 361:17 try 7:12,13 8:25 11:11 14:22 24:11 48:23 52:5 60:16 61:6 101:1 133:5 182:10 209:17 216:15 261:11 273:12 281:9 316:7,11,13 322:25 342:25 trying 8:12 19:23 28:18 32:18 91:17 109:13 120:2 144:23 163:4 171:10 187:4 205:19 250:22 254:23 261:13 268:9 283:18 285:23 287:8 291:20 292:8,23 316:5,5 322:23 335:21 349:4,22 352:8 353:4 TTA 354:22 Tulip 107:5 290:2,7 290:20,24 291:2,3 291:15 292:19,22 294:20 295:2,15 327:10,13 330:5 331:9 381:22 turn 166:13,16 217:9 296:4 333:24 365:22 turned 216:20	217:3 373:25 374:1 turning 251:7 Twice 15:8 55:5 twist 25:4 Twitter 262:14 377:25 378:3,7,10 378:14,18 379:7,9 379:17,20 380:15 two 112:18 126:17 159:5 163:5,22 164:6,9 186:17 216:20 217:3,8 226:4 240:21 249:16 251:25 252:2 260:11 265:6,21 297:10 297:12,17 300:12 300:17 301:6 318:16 363:24 370:14,24 371:3 371:23 381:22 type 55:18 68:18 86:3,6 113:18 148:9 256:11 311:24 316:21 328:19 345:2 378:22 typed 126:19 148:1 256:19 types 24:2 typical 300:15 <hr/> U UK 6:1 10:6,9,11 10:24 18:13 110:9 110:10 111:7,16 116:21 120:24,24 121:7,10,11 123:3 123:9 258:22 304:22,24 305:2 Ulbricht 339:19,25 341:18 342:2,12 342:13,14,22 Ulbricht's 340:18 ultimately 161:9	unable 76:19 80:2 91:11 228:7 unawarded 255:24 unclear 43:20 101:13 237:24 344:12 understand 6:8,11 6:13,17 7:2,6 18:22,24 19:1 21:9 52:12 63:3 100:13 147:24 162:24 166:5,20 196:9 197:23 208:16 236:12 252:8,8 268:9,12 304:11 316:10 322:7 328:21 335:21 344:20 347:11 349:22 353:4 364:15 366:21 367:13 372:21 understanding 28:5 81:11 127:2 329:1,2 understood 99:5 197:24 345:25 346:2 363:2 377:21 undertaken 332:6 332:9 unduly 368:25 unfortunately 9:1 149:19 282:10 355:10 United 1:1 2:18 4:5 31:12 32:24 33:3 33:6,19 34:1,7,12 34:17,24 35:4 36:5,9 37:1,11,17 39:14,20,22,24 45:13,20 46:10,16 334:11 374:9 unnatural 269:21 unrelated 300:25 update 157:5	updated 258:21 updates 178:14 updating 250:3 upheld 256:12 uploaded 150:20 upload.ae 147:20 150:20,24 upper 189:19 upset 249:1 URL 148:8 USA 127:8,11 258:4 311:15 312:11 usable 283:13 use 21:20,25 22:5,9 22:14,19,24 23:5 23:10,18 28:4,9 32:12 52:17 58:16 60:8 62:19 68:2 96:14 97:15,19 98:3 101:9,17,24 132:7 134:1 159:24 166:3,19 166:22 204:2 206:1 210:13 214:2 235:23 241:6,9 243:17,23 245:5 276:15 318:6 319:20 320:23 325:23 343:3 376:5 Usenet 151:4 user 72:14,15 129:14 132:15 usually 268:18 300:19 US\$5 344:22 Utz 303:3 Uyen 212:25 213:3 213:5,10,19 214:19 285:3 289:21 327:3 331:19 <hr/> V v 1:9 226:18 256:10	vague 54:20 100:22 168:22,24 210:18 236:7,22 259:4 291:7 valid 134:14 165:19 220:23 validate 355:2 valuable 223:4 230:3,11,23 value 82:7 204:17 204:20 216:1 223:9 229:23 231:22 valued 372:16 valueless 207:14 valuer 223:12 231:24 variety 23:25 84:15 271:21 various 35:19 77:3 296:1 Vaughn 141:13 142:19 326:2,9,17 326:22 327:2,9,12 Vel 4:18 5:17,19 19:3 140:25 234:5 280:12 VELVEL 2:3 vendor 264:17 vendors 283:2 vendor's 276:4 venture 276:5,5 277:24 verbally 7:10 version 156:16,20 156:25 157:6 158:1,3,4 161:9 166:24 167:1 190:9 207:7,9,13 207:14 354:7 versions 156:13,15 157:3,14,19 207:11 243:15 versus 4:4 56:20 177:11 vest 316:1
--	--	--	--	--

vet 216:14 218:20 218:20 veteran 355:22,22 Vice 2:6 vice-versa 208:2 video 4:2,3,8,9 43:25 44:3,6,8,11 44:14,17 46:25 47:4,7,11,20 48:11,15 49:7,10 49:19 50:1 51:10 51:21 52:7,23 53:11,19 54:4 55:9 56:2,4 77:13 77:14,17,17 123:23,24 124:2,2 173:19,20,23,23 221:5,6,9,9 256:20,25 258:20 283:25 284:1,4,4 323:8,8 374:2 385:22 videographer 2:20 4:1,11 5:5 6:15 40:25 41:3,7 77:12,16 108:6,9 123:22 124:1 173:18,22 221:4,8 227:3 245:6,9 283:24 284:3 323:4,7 333:12,16 353:21,24 357:24 358:2 385:17,20 Videotape 1:16 view 138:4,8 violations 378:16 Vistomail 178:10 179:24 209:11 210:3 211:7 212:21 213:5 273:1,2,19,23 274:2,10 volume 4:2 52:14 77:13,17 123:23 124:2 173:19,23 221:5,9 283:25	284:4 322:10 323:8 385:22,22 volumes 100:6 <hr/> W <hr/> W 2:5 waffly 177:24 wait 8:23 262:1 waiving 370:2 Wales 10:21,23,24 11:1 185:25 258:5 356:11,11 371:14 walk 6:4 157:10 208:14 wall 50:24 wallet 113:3,4,17 203:14,22,25 204:2 205:13,22 205:23 247:25 248:6,16,21 249:20 253:9 267:21 268:10 270:16,20 306:15 wallets 204:15 205:14 249:3,13 249:22 250:6,8 294:21 300:4,13 300:14,14,16 301:5 303:18,19 303:21,22 304:23 359:4 wanker 153:14 want 8:25 13:13 16:6,8 40:24 41:13 42:21 43:6 49:8 63:2 65:9,13 65:17 72:6 78:20 78:25 82:24 92:1 94:15,25 108:2 116:24 133:8 137:18 145:25 158:23 159:12 160:5 162:21 173:12 193:7 195:9 211:3 224:22 225:1	233:21 240:2 255:3 261:5,6 264:13 274:17,18 274:25 291:19 307:17 312:19 313:25 322:13 332:4 346:7 353:13 357:12 366:22 369:15,20 374:12 379:13 wanted 62:1 63:21 113:3 124:23,25 148:21 285:13 waste 70:9 78:23 224:22 226:5 238:22 384:13 wasted 385:7 wasting 16:6 70:11 191:8 225:5 263:8 water 30:15 314:22 323:2 Watts 18:2,3,5,9,19 19:11 20:2 164:8 164:17 361:3 367:16 way 17:10 19:8 25:12 34:3 45:2 57:14 71:25 77:22 85:18 87:9 88:14 88:18 94:6 101:20 104:13 107:17 119:8 123:2 125:4 145:18 158:2,19 163:14,16 166:9 174:21 177:13,19 186:6,23 205:1,20 206:5,5 208:8,23 216:18 221:22 226:3,19 242:21 247:16 255:17 256:3 260:13 264:11 269:10 285:11 287:9 306:8 310:14 311:12,19 322:12 340:13,24 345:10	346:9 359:13 362:15 ways 149:13,14 208:5 259:10 260:1,13 262:2 282:6 wearing 123:18 web 88:13 WebMoney 231:19 website 317:4,6 websites 134:9 week 14:25 47:10 48:20 55:3,5 weeks 48:24 Wei 129:3,22 131:14 151:5 167:16,17 168:1 welcome 124:6 174:8 went 35:19 37:16 120:9 187:8 246:12 253:13 268:10 274:22 353:9 355:11 363:4 364:6 379:11 wet 328:17,19 whereabouts 19:15 286:4 313:21 white 64:1 138:14 138:17,20,23 139:1,8 140:11 141:8 142:16,19 143:5,23 144:1 145:1,12 146:22 147:2,5,7,10,12 147:15,21 148:24 149:7,10 150:13 150:17,19 152:7 152:20 154:8 156:7,8,10 157:14 158:7,14 175:10 191:6 Whois 177:5,7,8,11 178:6,12,13 179:21	wide 23:25 86:16 87:7 129:1 147:4 254:20 261:16 wider 165:8 wife 15:11 16:3,9 16:19,22 17:2,9 17:21,22 18:12,12 32:3,12 49:4 51:23 72:4 164:12 203:12 325:5 341:20 361:2 363:14,15,25 367:23 369:19,23 wife's 18:19 32:7 164:13,13 WikiLeaks 134:9 Wilson 103:5,9 105:3 Wimbledon 8:4,5,6 9:3,4,6 10:8 win 377:23 window 178:3 winter 341:13 wipe 74:17 75:21 wiped 12:5 74:15 74:18,22,24 75:4 75:15,21 wise 82:22 wish 15:25 67:3 86:18 wished 316:1 Withdraw 252:10 withdrawing 43:18 withdrawn 148:7 154:18 313:11 witness 5:6 7:8 8:17 10:1 11:8,18 13:8 15:4 17:8 18:11 20:22 21:4 23:13 23:21 24:5,9 25:3 25:7,10,11 26:2,9 26:16,22 27:4,13 27:18,23 28:11,18 29:4,11,20 30:13 30:18 31:7,14,18 31:25 33:10 34:4
---	---	---	---	--

34:10,20 35:2,7 35:19 36:14,19,21 37:20 38:3 39:4 39:17 40:2,6 41:18 42:17 43:25 44:11,17 45:15,23 46:7,13,19 47:13 48:1,8,17,22 49:16 50:3,7,14 50:22 51:6,12,17 52:10,14 53:1,13 53:21 54:14,21 55:1,21 57:6,13 57:21 58:2,20 59:15 60:1 61:10 63:15,21 64:12 65:19,24 67:3,22 68:5,14,18,23 70:22 71:15,22 73:5,11,15,19,25 74:15 75:2,7,20 76:9,16 78:1,9 79:13,21 80:2,8 80:13,21 82:2,6 85:20 86:6,15 87:7,18,25 88:11 89:18 90:5,9,14 90:25 91:6,11 92:4,6,13,18,22 93:3,8,19,25 94:11 95:12,20 96:22 97:17,23 98:6,15,24 99:22 100:2,12,23 101:7 102:10,23 103:13 103:21 105:12,17 106:12,18,21 108:15,21 109:12 109:25 110:18,24 113:25 114:15,25 115:4,10,22 116:3 116:9,16 117:7 118:5,11,19 119:4 119:17 120:16,20 121:16 122:1,7,17 124:11,19 125:9	125:20 126:1,13 126:21 127:7 128:4,9,16 132:5 132:21 133:13 134:6 135:1,7,19 136:10,16,22 137:6,11 138:7,22 139:4 140:8 141:5 141:16,18 142:5 143:20 145:3,8,16 146:5,11,18,25 147:25 148:20 149:3,9 150:2,8 150:16,21 151:22 152:5,12,17,22 153:5,9,12,19,25 154:12 155:10,17 156:18,22 157:2 157:16,22 158:6 158:11,19,20 159:7,19 160:1,9 160:20,25 161:5 161:14 162:20 163:4,12 164:1,11 164:19 166:7,16 166:24 168:13,23 169:5,18 170:11 170:21 171:2,23 173:1,6,10 174:20 175:13,18 176:5,8 176:20,24 177:10 177:16,23 178:9 178:19 180:1,10 180:15 181:7 182:8,16,24 183:5 184:5,12,25 185:4 185:12 186:5,21 187:24 188:5,10 188:21 189:16 190:8 191:13,24 192:15,21 193:2 193:10,11 196:2 196:13 197:17 198:6,10,15 199:3 199:11 201:7,23 203:2,11,22 204:5	204:12 205:3,23 206:4,13,19,25 207:20 208:1 209:1,14 210:6,11 210:19 212:7,13 213:9 214:12 215:9 216:4,24 217:11,24 218:5 218:12 219:25 220:10,21 221:16 222:15,16,24 223:6,12,23 224:3 224:24 225:15,17 226:23 228:17 229:4,13,19,25 230:5,14,21 231:2 232:24 233:12 234:17,25 235:8 235:18 236:1,8,21 237:3 238:3 239:11,19 240:15 241:23 242:19 243:11 244:1,9,15 246:15 247:5 248:8 249:6,16,25 250:8,14 251:1,20 252:11 253:12 254:9,18 257:14 258:12,15,24 259:8,15,21 260:10 262:2,8 263:10 264:2,7 265:18 266:14 267:9,16 268:6,14 268:22 269:16,25 270:22 271:13 272:13,18 273:7 274:12,17 275:5 275:21 276:13 277:1 278:17,23 279:12,17,22 280:6,19,24 281:5 281:16 282:4,18 284:23 285:9,24 286:12,18,24 287:4,8 288:12,20	289:6,12,16 291:8 292:21 293:19,24 294:4,9,13,18 295:5,9 299:7,16 300:11 301:4,14 302:3,10,19 303:3 303:21 304:11 305:2 307:3 308:1 308:8,20 309:4,12 310:7,20 311:3,22 312:5 313:3 314:5 314:10,17 315:23 317:12 319:25 320:3,6 321:15,25 322:16 324:5,11 324:17,23 325:4 326:12,20,25 327:5 328:8,16 329:5,22 330:3,23 331:2,7,15,22 332:2 335:5,16 336:9 337:24 338:1,10,25 339:9 340:3,11,15 341:11,20 342:5,9 342:19,24 343:24 344:6,21 347:12 348:6 349:24 350:17,23 351:9 352:11,17 355:7 355:20 356:10,21 358:8,14,22 360:6 360:7 367:15 378:7 379:17 381:16 382:2,8,16 383:2,25 384:18 386:1 witnessed 257:20 257:21,21 258:14 witnesses 19:11,14 162:15 163:1 187:15 189:11,13 286:3 339:23 362:7 363:19 witnessing 258:20 wizard 217:12	WL 226:22 woman 108:12,18 won 337:7 word 28:4 52:10,12 52:19 96:15 166:3 166:19 320:23 321:12 322:1,5,13 322:24 323:20 words 25:4 190:21 190:23,24 191:1 208:15 239:8 work 6:2,3 14:7,24 48:23,23,24,25 52:4 53:14 82:17 82:19 88:1 103:5 105:5,9,22 108:13 125:15 142:12 143:8 149:17 153:20,22 154:1,4 154:15,19 155:11 155:13 159:12 193:11 215:9,12 217:1 219:3 221:18,19,21 222:1,2 227:22 228:13,14,21 230:17 242:10 248:14 273:15 328:11 worked 12:22 13:16 14:4,6 74:19 82:20 102:25 105:23 130:14,22 167:21 215:6 283:6 309:21 312:10 374:19 working 13:15,21 13:23 14:8 65:6 74:20 103:11 108:19 124:19 125:5 130:17 154:5 218:22 366:18 381:20 works 72:21 78:5 109:10 151:18
---	--	--	---	--

328:22	269:23 270:15,17	135:4 138:13	W&K's 230:23	\$
work-product	270:19,22 271:1	300:20,20	234:23	\$100 204:19,20
296:5	272:7,10,16 276:3	writing 28:21	X	228:11 229:1
world 35:20 109:2	277:25 278:3	165:10 167:9	X 3:1 260:19	\$12,000 243:4
159:7 207:9	279:14 280:2,2,16	219:9 256:22	356:23	\$20 251:7
312:16 326:18,23	282:2,14 284:1,5	300:18,21	Xeon 240:20	\$30 278:1,3 279:14
329:19	284:8 291:1	writings 323:22	XE310 240:23	280:3,17 281:13
worth 52:16 217:1	293:11 295:10	written 84:1 173:13	XE310-512 240:21	\$30,000,000 277:22
227:22 228:12	297:7 307:18	256:6 283:7	Xs 260:20	\$4 243:3
229:1 243:4 251:8	308:16 309:7	304:16	Y	\$80,000 251:8
275:1	312:25 313:15,19	wrong 81:12 99:7	Y 356:23	0
Wotty 321:9,11,22	315:2 316:10	127:3 153:14,17	year 11:20,20,21	0s 24:5
322:1,6,13,24	317:2,20 320:20	155:20,22 179:14	35:22 54:2,6,17	0.1 172:14,15
Wright 1:11,17 3:3	322:11 323:9,12	182:25 226:1,10	62:22 65:5 85:16	01 354:22
4:3,5,23,25 5:7,15	333:5,19 334:10	307:17 340:23	109:6 241:18	1
5:22 8:13,22	334:23 335:11	350:23 351:6	306:6,7 324:24	1 3:11 4:2,2 77:13
15:12 17:23 18:17	338:4,15,19	354:7	341:15	77:13,17 78:16,17
18:22 20:14 25:23	339:18 341:25	wrote 51:24 52:2	years 54:15 67:23	79:7 108:1,2
30:20 31:2 35:8	343:12,15 344:3,9	152:23 153:19	84:25 130:2 131:7	123:24 124:2
41:6 68:1 69:6	344:14,17,24	321:22 323:21	141:3 143:1	150:6 156:16
71:4 77:14,18	345:24 347:21	372:4	186:24 209:16	160:6 161:16,17
78:15 82:23 84:3	348:2,18 350:15	W&K 1:7 90:7,12	217:1,19 227:23	161:18 173:19,23
84:8,10,11,17,22	352:20 358:18,19	90:15,18,19,21	228:14,22,23	221:6,9 225:21
85:8,12,13,24	358:21,23 360:18	98:9,13 107:16	246:16 257:24	284:1,4 286:1
87:14 90:2 91:4,8	360:19,21 361:1,9	120:14 123:7,16	261:3,16 265:7,21	297:15 298:4
92:11 93:17 94:9	361:16,21 362:10	218:7,15,19 219:4	288:14 299:18	315:10 317:24
94:13,18 95:5	363:8,10,12,25	219:7,10,12,13,15	306:4,5 308:13	323:8 340:24
96:1 97:22 98:3	364:15 365:17	219:22 220:3,6,13	311:10 313:23	348:17 352:25
106:13 107:13	367:1,9 368:4	221:18,19,21	314:21	385:22,22
123:24 124:3,6	369:6,13 371:21	222:1,2,16 224:13	yelly 248:25	1MSU 246:3,5
126:22 132:2	372:3,4,14 373:2	224:15,18,18	York 44:1	252:17
145:19 173:20,24	373:3,6,17,24	227:24 228:14,22	Young 102:4 104:3	1s 24:5
175:9,23 176:11	374:4,8 375:18	229:23,25 230:2	Z	1st 318:4
188:23 190:2	376:14,21 377:7	230:12,19 231:3,3	Z 356:23	1% 172:19,24
217:15 221:6,10	377:25 378:12,13	231:6 234:20	Zaharah 2:10 4:24	173:1
221:13 227:18	378:21,25 380:9	235:5,13 236:25	65:11,15,25 66:8	1,000 172:13
239:12 240:17,19	380:19,23 381:9	238:20,24 239:12	66:15 67:1 69:17	1,024 240:19
244:6 245:12,23	382:24 385:23	242:1,4,8,9,15	88:22 89:2 144:12	1.5 52:16
245:24 246:4,8,12	386:3,20	254:16 263:19,22	162:16 187:18	10 3:15 51:7,15
246:13,20,22	Wrightson 102:17	264:2,4,18 287:16	191:2,16 192:1	130:2 143:1
247:1,8,18 248:6	105:1	287:17,18,20,25	361:22	227:23 228:14,22
256:19,21 258:25	Wright's 19:12	318:12 350:15	zero 123:7,7	228:22 244:17,18
262:5 263:7,20	37:5 238:7 277:12	351:24 352:6		244:21 259:19,20
264:21 265:6	295:8 296:1	356:19 360:20		278:10,11 295:13
266:8 267:4,25	360:13	362:15,17 372:14		
268:3 269:6,7,22	write 89:11 126:18	372:21		

295:14,16 298:5,5 298:5,6,6,6,9,10 298:12,14,24,25 299:20 305:5 310:2 347:19,22 354:15 370:18 371:11 10.37 4:8 100 2:4 35:20 48:24 172:11 237:12,14 237:25 100,000 251:10 1000 2:12 10504 2:7 10667524 226:22 108 48:24 11 266:4 370:17 371:4 11.12 41:1 11.23 41:4 11.57 77:13 12h 252:16,25 12th 65:2 79:8 80:5 80:11,19 83:21 192:8,18,24 12,000 241:20 12.11 77:19 12.45 108:7 12.46 108:10 126 3:11 13 247:17,20 357:3 357:15 370:18 371:12 13.02 123:23 14 253:23 14.07 124:4 146 348:9 15 54:15 239:15,17 239:18 244:21 255:25 259:20 278:10 370:18 371:11 15.04 173:19 15.20 173:25 16th 52:17 16-19 383:15	16.14 221:5 16.29 221:11 16.58 245:7 1635 2:16 165,000 251:23 165,140 246:3,4 251:4 252:2,17 17.09 245:10 17.55 283:25 18 11:22 52:2 334:15,17,18 18(a) 247:23 249:18 18.08 284:6 18.50 323:5 189 3:12 19 334:16 385:14 19.05 323:10 19.15 333:13 19.31 333:17 19.53 353:22 19.55 353:25 19.59 357:25 19103 2:17 1933 248:6 267:21 270:16 1933ph 248:1 1970 5:23 1998 64:23 85:2 124:20 128:23 129:2 1998-2008 130:3 <hr/> 2 2 3:11 77:17 99:5 123:23 126:8,9,11 156:20 267:18 297:15 298:4 305:5 317:14 2nd 317:17 2% 173:8 20 50:9,12,16 51:4 84:25 322:10 20-year 129:25 165:19 20.09 358:3	20.42 385:21 2000 13:21 2002 138:18 139:2 139:8 145:4 156:18 167:11 2002-2007 140:12 2004 283:17 2005 12:25 130:24 2005-2008 130:25 2006 8:2,2 9:3,16 10:11,13,22 12:20 12:20 13:19 20:15 21:21,21 23:10 24:19 28:15 32:23 33:7 34:7 46:5 54:23 55:8 56:7 58:25 60:7 62:16 62:20,21,23 141:3 141:7 2006-2013 25:24 26:6,14,20 2006-2014 33:20 2006-2015 12:11 2007 20:25 21:25 34:12 56:12,23 57:3,11 59:2,6,7,9 63:1,5,9,10 139:2 139:8 141:3 145:9 2008 13:1,2,20 14:3 21:2 22:5 34:17 34:24 35:17 36:5 36:9 37:1 38:1 53:10,18 57:19 59:18,21,24 60:2 63:10 64:4,6 65:2 79:8 80:6,11,19 83:21 105:13 116:13,25 125:9 125:11 128:23 129:2 130:19 145:6,9,13 146:25 147:18 148:25 152:5 156:5 160:6 167:7,13 168:8 354:9,11 2009 22:9 37:11,16	37:23 38:6 52:22 52:24 57:22 60:5 60:7 67:6 69:10 70:18 71:12 76:5 76:6,14,17 167:5 169:6 187:12,18 188:3,14,17 192:8 192:18,24 194:8 194:25 196:16,20 197:9 198:3 207:3 226:21 242:16 291:1 293:12 318:13 330:14 350:9 358:24 359:8 360:12 362:10,18 363:1 367:1 2009/10 39:17 2009/2010 39:20 368:5 2010 22:14 37:24 38:7 39:15 51:9 51:21 52:7 57:25 60:17,23 61:4 71:19 76:11 133:20,22 137:3 195:5,15,16,24 196:10,17 197:9 198:3 293:5 341:16 358:25 359:9 360:13 362:11,18 363:1 367:2 2011 22:19 39:24 40:4 42:9,17 45:8 45:12 49:7 50:1 51:4 58:5 60:19 61:1,4 85:6 180:22 194:8 202:17 239:7 246:4 251:22 256:12 265:10 267:22,24 268:10 285:12 287:2 290:5,8,20,24 291:5,16 292:2	293:22 294:2 319:16 349:7 380:14 2012 22:25 45:11 45:20 46:1 48:11 48:15 49:20 58:8 61:13,14,19,22 62:4,23 103:10 110:14,15,19,21 110:25 111:1 285:12 287:2 294:7 337:8 381:19 2013 23:5,10 24:19 28:15 46:5,10,17 46:23 47:1,3,7,11 47:16,18,19 49:18 49:20 58:11 61:16 71:20 85:6 103:10 117:5 233:22,24 234:2 242:16 291:16 292:2 306:14 318:13 330:15 349:8 354:19 365:11 380:16 381:19,20 2014 32:23 126:4 317:17 318:4,24 381:20 2015 8:1 10:25 14:2 14:4 32:18 73:15 73:17 74:7,17 75:4,15 105:13 314:14 380:22 381:20 382:14 2016 10:13 131:10 195:22,24 196:10 197:18,21 360:14 380:14 382:24 2018 116:15,19,24 2019 1:18 4:8 386:9 386:24 21 5:25 52:14 215,140 251:5 22 385:14 22nd 239:7
--	---	--	---	--

227 3:12	319:2,4	56 296:22	333:15,22 352:24
23 202:3	31st 365:11		9% 356:12
23rd 5:23	314 3:14	<hr/> 6 <hr/>	9:18-cv-80176-B...
239 3:13	32 189:18,21	6 3:13 259:17	1:6 4:7
250,500 270:12	323,000 276:4,8,11	263:14 277:18,20	90s 16:17 84:13
250,5000 270:8	331134 2:12	284:4,9,10,11	167:24 324:6
2525 2:11	33131 2:4	292:6 297:15	96 189:22
259 3:13	333 2:7 3:15	298:5 340:23	97 348:9
27th 354:9,11	347 3:15	354:15,18,23	
28th 318:23	384 348:10	357:19	
2800 2:4	385 3:4	60 234:4 347:4	
29 377:12	<hr/> 4 <hr/>	64 51:24	
29:46 376:19	4 3:12 4:7 173:23	650,000 308:17,25	
296 3:14	189:7,10,10 205:5	309:2,9	
<hr/> 3 <hr/>	205:11,13,13	<hr/> 7 <hr/>	
3 3:12 36:23 124:2	221:5 227:7,10,11	7 3:14 10:1 296:18	
156:25 173:19	227:19 228:3,4,5	297:8,15,15,15,15	
189:3,5,10,10,17	239:22,24 242:14	297:15,15,16,16	
193:5,11 205:5	275:10 297:15	297:19,19 298:6	
239:21 243:21	298:5 318:11,17	304:4,4 310:2	
249:3 252:6	321:7 333:24,25	323:8 333:25	
297:15 298:5	334:14	349:16 351:13	
315:7 318:4	4th 1:18 386:8	356:12 385:21	
348:11 353:17	4(b) 275:24	700,000 318:1	
354:3	4(d) 277:20	78 3:11	
3AZ 10:1	4,000 217:1,18	<hr/> 8 <hr/>	
3BF 1:22	481 348:9	8 3:14 298:6 314:25	
3(a) 270:6,9	49.5 276:4	315:3 350:9 356:2	
3(b) 270:15	<hr/> 5 <hr/>	8% 356:5,8,12	
3(c) 271:4 274:20	5 1:22 3:4,13 221:9	8(e) 281:20	
276:17	239:2,4 244:21	8(f) 282:24	
3(d) 271:18	259:20 267:13,15	8(g) 284:10	
3(e) 272:23	278:10,11 283:25	80 172:12	
3(f) 275:8	297:15 298:5	80s 180:6	
30 225:11 226:14	315:7 317:14	83-1 189:24	
281:3 376:1,3,4,5	346:25 347:5,9	83-10 240:5	
376:11,17,18	350:5,8	83-23 126:10	
30th 246:3	5% 173:4	86 383:15	
30-something	50 199:22 200:5	88 383:9	
72:25	234:1	<hr/> 9 <hr/>	
30-45 44:7	50,000 185:19	9 3:15 298:9,10,12	
30-50 35:21	247:9 251:4,25	298:14 299:20	
30.1 225:12 226:13	252:2	301:24 302:3,7,8	
300,000 242:2,4,8	55 296:22		
317:25 318:7			

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

IRA KLEIMAN, as personal representative of
the estate of David Kleiman, and W&K INFO
DEFENSE RESEARCH, LLC

plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 9:18-cv-80176 (BB/BR)

CRAIG WRIGHT,

defendant.

_____ /

**DR. CRAIG WRIGHT'S OBJECTION
TO MAGISTRATE ORDER "DEEMING" CERTAIN FACTS
ESTABLISHED AND "STRIKING" CERTAIN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

INTRODUCTION

A two-day evidentiary hearing yielded uncontroverted testimony and other evidence establishing that (1) it was impossible for Dr. Craig Wright to produce a complete list of all bitcoin that he mined nearly a decade ago, and (2) even though producing such a list was impossible, Dr. Wright had taken extraordinary steps to create a list of the most probable Bitcoin addressees that he had mined. In those two days, plaintiffs failed to rebut either of these facts, or demonstrate that a list of Dr. Wright's mined Bitcoin addresses would have any connection to any allegation in their complaint. Instead, plaintiffs focused on purported bad acts they allege Dr. Wright committed, none of which had anything to do with the discovery issue that was the subject of the evidentiary hearing.

Despite this, the Order [D.E. 277] (the "Order") rejected uncontroverted evidence of the impossibility of producing a list of all bitcoin that Dr. Wright mined nearly a decade ago. Compounding this plain error, the Order obliterated the boundaries of the limited discovery issue before the Magistrate, by making four findings of fact and purporting to strike eight of Dr. Wright's affirmative defenses, despite confirming that it had no jurisdiction to do so. *See* Order at n.1 (stating that "magistrate judges have jurisdiction to enter sanctions orders for discovery failures which ***do not*** strike claims, completely preclude defenses or generate litigation-ending consequences.") (citing *Wandner v. Am. Airlines*, 79 F. Supp. 3d 1285, 1295 (S.D. Fla. 2015) (emphasis added)).

The Order's findings would deprive Dr. Wright of his fundamental rights to procedural due process, and to have a jury resolve factual disputes in this case. Moreover, the Order would operate to turn inconceivable "facts" that are wholly unrelated to the discovery issue, into

indisputable “facts” that are diametrically opposed to the actual evidence. The Order’s resolution of a narrow discovery issue may not establish “facts” that otherwise never would exist.

As more fully demonstrated below, the Order was (1) wholly without evidentiary support, (2) improperly exceeded the scope of the matters before the Magistrate and the Magistrate’s jurisdiction, and (3) would improperly deprive Dr. Wright of fundamental due process rights and a fair trial. It should be vacated to prevent a miscarriage of justice.

DISCUSSION

A. There Is Absolutely No Basis for Imposing Any Sanctions on Dr. Wright, Because the Uncontroverted Evidence Shows that He is Unable to Access a Listing of the Bitcoin that He Mined

There was only *one narrow discovery issue* before the Magistrate: Dr. Wright’s stated inability to comply with the Court’s discovery order to “produce a complete list of all bitcoin that he mined prior to December 31, 2013.” D.E. 217 at 5. On that single issue, Dr. Wright unequivocally testified in detail as to why he was unable to comply. The algorithm necessary to generate a complete list of his Bitcoin public addresses is in an encrypted file that is protected by a Shamir encryption scheme.¹ June 28, 2019, Hr. Tr. 11:13-12:20. To unlock the encrypted file, Dr. Wright would need at least 8 of the 15 key slices, but he has only 7. *Id.* 107:20-108:4; 122:4-17; 126:16-17. His best friend, Dave Kleiman, set up a system whereby Dr. Wright would, at the

¹ A Shamir encryption scheme is an additional layer of encryption that can be used to protect sensitive information, such as cryptographic keys. The scheme works by generating a pre-determined number of “key slices,” and defining the number of slices required to reveal the original secret, known as the threshold. Without enough key slices to meet the threshold, the original secret will not be divulged. In practice, one would first encrypt a file with a cryptographic key. That encryption key would then be further encrypted using the Shamir encryption scheme, resulting in multiple key slices. To decrypt the file, one would need to combine enough key slices to meet the threshold and reveal the original encryption key. The revealed key can then be used to decrypt the file. *See* Adi Shamir, How to Share a Secret, Communications of the ACM, Vol 22, No. 11, Nov. 1979, at 612.

earliest, start to receive the remaining key slices in January of 2020 by bonded courier, at which point, Dr. Wright would be able to comply with the Court's order. *Id.* at 23:23-24:7. Dr. Wright is unable to circumvent this system to obtain the eighth key slice at an earlier date because he was not involved in setting up the courier system and because Dave Kleiman is no longer alive. *Id.* at 125:20-126:3.

Dr. Wright also testified as to his substantial good faith efforts to comply with the Court's order. The bitcoin that Dr. Wright mined can be identified by six select criteria, such as the node values and date mined. Aug. 5, 2019, Hr. Tr. 16:3-20:2. At Dr. Wright's direction, Steve Shadders, the Chief Technology Officer of nChain, created a computer script to analyze the blockchain for bitcoin that met those six criteria, identifying approximately 27,000 matching Bitcoin addresses.² June 28, 2019, Hr. Tr. 172:10-173:9; Aug. 5, 2019, Hr. Tr. 13:17-25:11. Dr. Wright produced a list of those bitcoin to plaintiffs in June of 2019. Dr. Wright also provided plaintiffs a list of all bitcoin that he definitively knows he mined, which includes the first 3,500 bitcoin mined and bitcoin that he sent to Mike Hearn. D.E. 211 at 3; June 25, 2019 email from Z. Markoe to V. Freedman, attached as Exhibit A. Dr. Wright also produced all non-privileged documents (more than 2,500) that contained the word "Tulip" or "Seychelles" and were related to trusts or bitcoin.³ D.E. 211 at 3; June 3, 2019 email from Z. Kass to V. Freedman, attached as Exhibit B.

² The list is overinclusive because there are a number of bitcoin that may match the six characteristics but were not mined by Dr. Wright. What is certain, however, is that all bitcoin Dr. Wright mined are on the list he produced, excluding a few he mined on his laptop. Aug. 5, 2019, Hr. Tr. 25:15-22.

³ The documents produced included documents plaintiff used in the evidentiary hearing to try and show that certain other documents were altered. If Dr. Wright had been trying to deceive this Court, as the Order suggests, one would have expected him to withhold the supposedly incriminating documents. Dr. Wright did not.

It is well-established in the 11th Circuit that there is no basis for sanctioning a party unable to comply with a court order. *Chairs v. Burgess*, 143 F.3d 1432, 1436 (11th Cir. 1998) (citing *Citronelle–Mobile Gathering, Inc. v. Watkins*, 943 F.2d 1297, 1301 (11th Cir.1991); *United States v. Roberts*, 858 F.2d 698, 701 (11th Cir.1988)). A party shows that it is unable to comply by demonstrating that it has “made ‘in good faith all reasonable efforts to comply.’” *Id.* (quoting *Watkins*, 943 F.2d at 1301). Specifically, that demonstration can be made through the party’s testimony. *United States v. Rizzo*, 539 F.2d 458, 466 (5th Cir. 1976) (There was no basis for contempt sanctions after the noncompliant party testified that he searched for, and was unable to locate, patient forms that the court ordered produced.); *United States v. Spilotro*, 1985 WL 384, at *4 (N.D. Ill. 1985) (“Respondent has satisfied his burden of production with regard to his defense that he is unable to comply with the production order,” by testifying that the records were destroyed by the “fire and flood that struck his home.”); *United States v. Barnette*, 902 F. Supp. 1522, 1538 (M.D. Fla. 1995) (“[T]he Court finds that [the non-compliant party] has satisfied his burden of production by introducing evidence supporting his claim of inability to comply with the court order,” namely his own testimony as to his “attempts to obtain the stock” that the court ordered produced.); *United States Commodity Futures Trading Comm’n v. Capital Blu Mgmt., LLC*, 2010 WL 11508136, at *2 (M.D. Fla. 2010) (The court “cannot find, on this record, that these Defendants are likely in contempt for failing” to produce the computers ordered by the court when the noncompliant party has “testified that all of the computers from the Melbourne office . . . were turned over to the United States Attorney’s Office.”); *In re Coastal Land Dev. Corp.*, 2009 WL 2985700, at *3–4 (Bankr. S.D. Miss. 2009); *Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Aventura Eng’g & Constr. Corp.*, 2009 WL 10697338, at *3-4. (S.D. Fla. 2009).

Dr. Wright unequivocally testified that he was unable to comply with the Court's order, explained in detail why compliance was not possible, and testified about his good faith efforts to comply. *That testimony stands un rebutted by plaintiffs*. While plaintiffs challenge the veracity of certain documents related to the formation of the Tulip Trusts, they have not introduced *any evidence* challenging Dr. Wright's testimony regarding his inability to produce a complete list of all bitcoin that he mined prior to December 31, 2013, *which was the only issue before the Magistrate*. Plaintiffs have neither introduced any evidence showing that Dr. Wright can access a complete list of bitcoin that he mined, nor have they introduced any evidence that he didn't make good-faith reasonable efforts to comply with the Court's order by providing an overinclusive list of Bitcoin addresses, providing his best, a partial list of the bitcoin that he mined, and producing the documents related to the Tulip trusts. For these reasons, there simply was no basis to sanction Dr. Wright, and the sanctions imposed by the Order are contrary to law.

This conclusion is unchanged by the supposedly "inconceivable" nature of Dr. Wright's testimony, which supposedly defies "real-life experience." *See* Order at 19 (Making a "finding" that no one would set up a system where they could potentially lose "billions" of dollars "if [a] bonded courier does not appear."). Moreover, as a factual matter, Dr. Wright's testimony is not inconceivable.

The Order failed to consider five important facts. First, it failed to consider that the bitcoin were worth around a million dollars—not billions—when Dr. Wright started the process of putting them in the trust.⁴ Second, the Order failed to consider the redundant nature of the Shamir

⁴ In the end of April 2011, the value of one bitcoin ranged from \$1.18 to \$2.03. <https://99bitcoins.com/bitcoin/historical-price/> (last visited Nov. 21, 2019). Thus, the 821,050 bitcoin in the Tulip Trust were worth between \$968,839 and \$1.6 million dollars when the trust was created, as opposed to the approximately 8 billion dollars they were worth when the Order

Scheme. Dr. Wright testified that there were 15 available key slices, of which, only a threshold number of 8 were necessary to decrypt the files. June 28, 2019, Hr. Tr. 106:17-108:4. As such, *even if 7 keys were permanently lost*, Dr. Wright still would be able to decrypt the file—so long as he received the other 8 keys. Third, the Order failed to consider that when Dr. Wright asked Dave Kleiman to set up the courier system, he had no way of knowing that Dave would die only a few years later, which would make Dr. Wright totally reliant on the system Dave set up. Fourth, the Order fails to consider that the Bitcoin blockchain contains 1.3 million bitcoin that share the six unique characteristics to which Mr. Shadders testified, and that those unique characteristics make it probable that one person mined a vast majority of those bitcoin—yet those bitcoin have not been spent in almost ten years. Those bitcoin *must belong to somebody*, and that somebody likely has a good reason for having not spent them. Dr. Wright’s testimony as to his inability to access his bitcoin and their addresses fits perfectly with this provable (and proven) reality. Fifth, as to what’s conceivable or not, the Order fails to consider that the Magistrate’s life experience and, frankly, most people’s life experience, may not correspond to that of the inventor of Bitcoin.

It is beyond dispute that the inventor of Bitcoin is not a typical person. Bitcoin is a pseudonymous, revolutionary digital currency that is not reliant on a central institution to control it. Instead, it is secured by powerful encryption comprised of public and private key pairs and maintained by miners. In other words, the Bitcoin system was set up by a security-conscious contrarian. Against that backdrop, it is not at all inconceivable that Dr. Wright (the professed inventor of Bitcoin), would use powerful and complex encryption to protect his bitcoin—even if a typical person might not. In fact, the idea of using a Shamir scheme to protect bitcoin has recently

was issued, when one bitcoin was worth approximately \$10,000. Further, when the trust was created, the very concept of cryptocurrency was extremely novel, and it was far from certain that the bitcoin would even maintain their value—let alone appreciate nearly ten thousand-fold.

gone mainstream in the Bitcoin community. *See* Trezor Blog, *Shamir Backup—Our Newest Security Standard*, <https://blog.trezor.io/shamir-backup-the-revolution-of-private-keys-backup-is-here-858687ed7fe7> (last visited Oct. 10, 2019).

B. The Draconian Sanctions the Order Purported to Impose Would Violate Dr. Wright’s Due Process Rights Because They Improperly Went Far Beyond the Single, Narrow, Discovery Issue Before the Magistrate and Amounted to a Determination of Liability Without an Opportunity to Defend on the Merits

There was only *one, narrow discovery issue* before the Magistrate: Dr. Wright’s asserted inability to “produce a complete list of all bitcoin that he mined prior to December 31, 2013.” D.E. 217 at 5. Nonetheless, citing Rule 37, the Order imposed draconian sanctions in the form of deemed facts (the “Deemed Facts”)⁵ that went *far beyond* the one, narrow discovery issue before the Magistrate, made extensive purported findings on the merits of the case, and purported to strike eight affirmative defenses for being “inconsistent” with the Deemed Facts. *See* Order at 29. The Deemed Facts would establish that: 1) a partnership existed between Dr. Wright and Dave; 2) plaintiffs have an ownership interest in the bitcoin that Dr. Wright mined prior to Dave’s death; and 3) plaintiffs have an ownership interest in Dr. Wright’s bitcoin-related intellectual property developed prior to Dave’s death. *See* Order at 28-29.

⁵ The Magistrate “deemed” the following facts established:

- (1) Dr. Wright and David Kleiman entered into a 50/50 partnership to develop Bitcoin intellectual property and to mine bitcoin;
- (2) any Bitcoin-related intellectual property developed by Dr. Wright prior to David Kleiman’s death was property of the partnership,
- (3) all bitcoin mined by Dr. Wright prior to David Kleiman’s death (“the partnership’s bitcoin”) was property of the partnership when mined; and,
- (4) Plaintiffs presently retain an ownership interest in the partnership’s bitcoin, and any assets traceable to them.

Order at 28-29.

In imposing sanctions that far exceeded the scope of the single, narrow discovery issue before the Magistrate, the Order violated Dr. Wright’s due process rights. More than sixty years ago, the Supreme Court made clear that the “provisions of Rule 37 . . . must be read in light of the provisions of the Fifth Amendment that ***no person shall be deprived of property without due process of law . . .***” *Societe Internationale Pour Participations Industrielles Et Commerciales, S. A. v. Rogers*, 357 U.S. 197, 209 (1958) (emphasis added). “[T]here are constitutional limitations upon the power of courts, even in aid of their own valid processes, to dismiss an action without affording a party the opportunity for a hearing on the merits of his cause.” *Id.* Under Rule 37(b)(2), “the sanction must be specifically related to the particular ‘claim’ which was at issue in the order to provide discovery.” *Ins. Corp. of Ireland v. Compagnie des Bauxites de Guinee*, 456 U.S. 694, 708 (1982). In other words, there must be a “nexus between the information [] not produced” and the sanction imposed. *C & M Oil Co. v. CITGO Petroleum Corp.*, 2007 WL 9751801, at *5 (S.D. Fla. 2007); *Preferred Care Partners Holding Corp. v. Humana, Inc.*, 2009 WL 982460, at *4 (S.D. Fla. 2009).

There is no nexus between the single, narrow discovery issue before the Magistrate and the broad sanctions the Order purported to impose through the Deemed Facts. Starting with the Deemed Facts regarding ownership of Dr. Wright’s intellectual property and a purported partnership between Dr. Wright and Dave, there is absolutely ***no evidence*** that a listing of the bitcoin ***that Dr. Wright mined*** would have aided plaintiffs in establishing that Dave had any ownership interest in any of Dr. Wright’s intellectual property or that there was any partnership between them to do anything. In fact, there is no logical connection between the two subjects.

As for the Deemed Facts granting plaintiffs an ownership interest in Dr. Wright’s bitcoin, there is no record evidence showing that a listing of bitcoin ***that Dr. Wright mined*** would have

helped plaintiffs prove that Dave had an ownership interest in those bitcoin, let alone that Dave mined them. Bitcoin is a pseudonymous currency, which does not contain the names of the bitcoin miners or owners.⁶ Aug. 5, 2019, Hr. Tr. 26:14-27:2. At most, the discovery ordered would have shown that bitcoin Dr. Wright had mined were moved from one pseudonymous public address to another pseudonymous public address.⁷ Even if plaintiffs knew the identity of Dave’s public addresses (they have failed to introduce *any* such evidence) and were able to strip away the pseudonymity, showing that bitcoin *Dr. Wright mined* were later transferred into Dave’s public addresses⁸ would not show that Dr. Wright stole bitcoin from Dave. If anything, *it would show that Dave stole bitcoin from Dr. Wright.*

C. The Draconian Sanctions the Order Purported to Impose Also are Improper Because There is No Record Evidence of Any Prejudice to Plaintiffs, which is an Essential Requirement for Imposing Sanctions under Rule 37(b)

As shown above, the Order’s draconian sanctions would violate Dr. Wright’s due process rights, because there is no nexus between the discovery ordered and the sanctions imposed for being unable to provide it. Those sanctions also are improper because there is no evidence of any prejudice to plaintiffs—and this is evident from the face of the Order.

“The severe sanctions permitted by Rule 37(b) are usually only imposed” when “the party seeking sanctions was prejudiced by the violation.” *Dude v. Cong. Plaza, LLC*, 2018 WL

⁶ Further, there are no “accounts” on the Bitcoin network. Bitcoin are cryptographically locked on the blockchain and can be identified by their public addresses, which is a template used to represent the unlocking script for the bitcoin.

⁷ That is assuming that the bitcoin were in fact moved. Dr. Wright testified that they were not, and plaintiffs have not introduced *any* evidence to the contrary.

⁸ As stated in the Bitcoin white paper, Bitcoin public addresses are designed to be only used one time. *See* D.E. 238-1 at 6 (“a new key pair should be used for each transaction to keep them from being linked to a common owner”). As such, it is highly unlikely that Dave would have reused his Bitcoin addresses, which would make plaintiffs hypothetical tracing impossible—even if they knew some of his Bitcoin addresses.

4203888, at *5 (S.D. Fla. 2018), *report and recommendation adopted*, *Dude v. Cong. Plaza. LLC*, 2018 WL 4203886 (S.D. Fla. 2018) (citations omitted); *Wouters v. Martin Cty., Fla.*, 9 F.3d 924, 934 (11th Cir. 1993) (The district court abused its discretion in dismissing 14 plaintiffs because “plaintiffs’ noncompliance with the discovery order did not prejudice defendant.”).

The 29-page Order dedicates *just one conclusory line* to discussing plaintiffs’ purported prejudice, stating that “plaintiffs have also been prejudiced by not being able to try and trace the bitcoin that was mined.” Order at 28. The lack of any detail is telling.

At the evidentiary hearing, plaintiffs failed to introduce any evidence as to 1) how their purported bitcoin tracing would work, 2) how it would help them prove any of their claims, or 3) how they would be prejudiced in its absence. Like the Order, plaintiffs merely assumed that this purported tracing was important, without providing any logical, much less factual, basis for that assumption.

As the parties and the Court are aware, Bitcoin is a pseudonymous currency, which does not contain the names of the bitcoin miners or owners. There is nothing in the record that even hints at how tracing⁹ bitcoin that Dr. Wright mined, from one pseudonymous public address to another pseudonymous public address, would aid plaintiffs in establishing that Dr. Wright stole any bitcoin from Dave, let alone that they mined any bitcoin together.

In dispositive contrast, Dr. Wright ***did submit evidence*** at the evidentiary hearing which showed that bitcoin tracing would be useless to plaintiffs because of the pseudonymous nature of Bitcoin. Steve Shadders testified that the Bitcoin public addresses would not provide the identity of the owner or miner of a bitcoin. Aug. 5, 2019, Hr. Tr. 26:14-27:2. That testimony stands

⁹ Again, plaintiffs’ “tracing” theory assumes that the bitcoin was moved from one public address to another public address and could thus be traced. But Dr. Wright testified that the bitcoin never was moved. That testimony has not been contradicted.

unrebutted, and demonstrates that the Order's conclusory statement about purported prejudice to plaintiffs is contradicted by the *only evidence* on this issue.

Further, even if there were a way to somehow trace Dr. Wright's bitcoin to Dave (there isn't), and also assuming that tracing would somehow help plaintiffs establish their claims (it wouldn't), plaintiffs could simply perform that analysis on the overinclusive list of Bitcoin addresses that Dr. Wright provided. As noted above, in a good faith effort to comply with the Magistrate's order, Dr. Wright had the Chief Technology Officer of nChain, Steve Shadders, prepare a list of Bitcoin addresses using select criteria that Dr. Wright provided. *See* Aug. 5, 2019 Hr. Tr. 11:6-28:16. Mr. Shadders was able to compile a list of 1,263,650 bitcoin,¹⁰ which includes all of the 821,050 bitcoin that Dr. Wright mined. Aug. 5, 2019, Hr. Tr. 25:15-22. There is no reason why plaintiffs could not conduct their "tracing" on that list of 1,263,650 bitcoin, nor is there any reason why they could not conduct "tracing" on the Bitcoin addresses Dr. Wright already had provided.¹¹ In fact, plaintiffs failed to introduce *any evidence* at the hearing that they *even tried* to conduct any tracing—despite having had the overinclusive list of bitcoin for more than a month.

¹⁰ The list of bitcoin that was introduced as defendants Exhibit 2 contained 27,973 Bitcoin addresses. D.E. 266-1. Mr. Shadders testified that he was able to further reduce that list by 2,700 addresses. Aug. 5, 2019 Hr. Tr. 23:8-24:19. Thus, the total amount of Bitcoin addresses identified by Mr. Shadders was 25,273 (27,973-2,700=25,273). Each of the Bitcoin addresses contained 50 bitcoin, which resulted in a total of 1,263,650 bitcoin (25,273x50=1,263,650).

¹¹ The possibility that the Magistrate might not have believed that the overinclusive list contained Dr. Wright's Bitcoin address (despite the fact that the criteria matched the Tulip Trust documents), begged the question whether the Magistrate would have believed that any list of Bitcoin addresses were Dr. Wright's. It well may be that the Magistrate only would have been satisfied if Dr. Wright had produced a list of Bitcoin addresses that showed a large number of bitcoin transfers between April 2013 (Dave's death) and December 31, 2013 (the cut-off date ordered by the Magistrate). Of course, that would be putting the cart before the horse, as it would assume such transfers demonstrated a theft.

Finally, Dr. Wright testified that he should be receiving, at the earliest, the missing key slices that would enable him to generate a list of his bitcoin holdings in January of 2020. June 28, 2019, Hr. Tr. 23:23-24:7. At a minimum, Dr. Wright should have been afforded the opportunity to wait until that date to see if he receives the key slices to generate the list of his bitcoin holdings, which he could then provide to plaintiffs. At that point, even plaintiffs would have to concede that Dr. Wright's inability to do the impossible and comply with the discovery order caused them absolutely no prejudice.

D. The Order's Attack on Dr. Wright's Character Has no Bearing on Whether he Could Produce the List of Bitcoin Addresses, and is Without Record Support

It is undisputed that there was only *one, narrow discovery issue* before the Magistrate: Dr. Wright's stated inability to "produce a complete list of all bitcoin that he mined prior to December 31, 2013." D.E. 217 at 5. Moreover, it also is undisputed that Dr. Wright's testimony regarding his inability to provide a list of his bitcoin holdings is uncontroverted and unchallenged by any record evidence. Yet, the vast majority of the Order focuses on supposed "bad acts" by Dr. Wright *that had absolutely nothing to do with whether he could access a list of his bitcoin holdings*. For that reason, those purported "bad acts" are simply irrelevant. Yet, despite their irrelevance, Dr. Wright is compelled to address those purported "bad acts" due to the extreme nature of the Order's findings and the extreme prejudice those findings would cause him.

According to the Order, Dr. Wright is a conniving and uncooperative defendant who "changed his story," provided the Court with "inconsistent," "intentionally misleading," and "perjurious" statements, and who "intentionally submitted fraudulent documents to the Court," as "part of a sustained and concerted effort to impede discovery into his bitcoin holdings." Order at 17-28. Yet, in reaching those "conclusions," the Order overlooked critical evidence and misstated

the record. Specifically, it cited six instances of purported “bad conduct” by Dr. Wright. They are as follows:

- i) “Inconsistencies” as to the identities of the Tulip Trust trustees [Order at 22-23];
- ii) “Inconsistencies” in the manner that Dr. Wright described the transfer of the bitcoin holdings into the Tulip Trust [Order at 23];
- iii) The “lack” of record support for the encrypted file containing Dr. Wright’s Bitcoin addresses [Order at 21];
- iv) Dr. Wright’s “intentional submission” of fraudulent documents [Order at 20-21, 26-28];
- v) Dr. Wright’s failure to tell the Court prior to the evidentiary hearing that a list of public addresses would not aid plaintiffs’ case [Order at 25-26]; and,
- vi) Dr. Wright’s failure to tell the Court in March that a list of his bitcoin holdings was inaccessible [Order at 21-22].

We address each of these purposed instances of “bad conduct” below.

i. The Purported “Inconsistencies” as to the Identities of the Tulip Trust Trustees

The Order found that Dr. Wright made “irreconcilable statements about the Tulip Trust,” stating that “[t]he April 18 Motion stated it was a blind trust and he was not a trustee. His sworn declaration three weeks later stated that he is one of the trustees of the Tulip Trust.” Order at 22. In making this finding, the Order failed to consider that there are two Tulip Trusts. *See* D.E. 237-22; 237-9. As shown by the trust documents, Dr. Wright is the trustee of one of the Tulip Trusts but is not a trustee of the other.

ii. Purported “Inconsistencies” in the Manner that Dr. Wright Described the Transfer of Bitcoin Holdings to the Tulip Trust

The Order found that Dr. Wright “changed his story” as to what assets were transferred into the trusts, and that he provided an “intentionally false” representation on that issue. Order at 23. According to the Magistrate, Dr. Wright “unequivocal[y]” stated in his April 18th motion and May 8th declaration that the “trust held bitcoin,” but “testified at his deposition and the evidentiary hearing that “the trust only holds keys,” not actual bitcoin. *Id.*

Having purportedly “caught” Dr. Wright in this inconsistency, the Order then speculated as to Dr. Wright’s purported motivation. It speculated that Dr. Wright “belatedly realized that any transactions(s) transferring bitcoin into the alleged Tulip Trust would be reflected on the Bitcoin master blockchain . . . so he changed his story to say only the keys had been transferred.” Order at 23-24.

This finding reflects a misunderstanding of Bitcoin technology and is unsupported by the record. First, it is impossible to hold or store bitcoin. The bitcoin *always remains on the Bitcoin blockchain*. In common parlance, when someone states that their bitcoin is stored on a hard drive, what they mean is that the hard drive contains the private keys that can be used to transact with corresponding bitcoin on the Bitcoin blockchain. Thus, there is no basis for attempting to distinguish between a trust holding bitcoin or Bitcoin keys. *They are the same thing*.

For that reason, there also is no difference between bitcoin transferred on the blockchain into a new set of public addresses controlled by a trust, and bitcoin transferred off the blockchain by transferring the Bitcoin private keys. In both instances, *the only thing held by the trust would be private keys*.

Second, even assuming arguendo that there were a difference between holding actual bitcoin and holding Bitcoin private keys (there is not), the Order’s conclusion that Dr. Wright made inconsistent statements as to the trust holdings is unsupported by the record. The Order reached its conclusion by cherry-picking sentences from two documents, Dr. Wright’s May 8th Declaration and his April 18th motion, but those very same documents contain other sentences that undermine the Order’s conclusion.

In Dr. Wright’s May 8, 2019 declaration (produced long before the evidentiary hearing where Dr. Wright supposedly “changed his story”), Dr. Wright stated that “I later transferred the

encrypted files that control access to these bitcoin in 2011 . . . ” and that “access to the encrypted file that contains the *public addresses and their associated private keys to the bitcoin that I mined*, requires myself and a combination of trustees referenced in Tulip Trust 1 to unlock based on a Shamir scheme.” D.E. 222 at 1, 2, 4 (emphasis added). Dr. Wright’s declaration was unequivocal. The trust contained the *private keys* associated with the *bitcoin that he mined*—not the “actual” bitcoin (which have no physical existence in the usual sense).¹² Additionally, Dr. Wright’s April 18th motion states that he “transferred *ownership* of all his Bitcoin into a blind trust.” D.E. at 184 at 2 (emphasis added). Transferring “ownership” of bitcoin is accomplished by transferring the private keys.

Further, there are additional documents that undermine with the Order’s speculation that Dr. Wright “changed his story” because he “belatedly realized that any transactions(s) transferring bitcoin into the alleged Tulip Trust would be reflected on the Bitcoin master blockchain.” Order at 23, 24. The trust documents (also produced long before the evidentiary hearing) make it clear that the bitcoin never moved (*i.e.*, were not transferred on the blockchain). One document describes the trust assets as follows: 1) “the settlor has had paid the trustee the sum of XBT 821,050” and 2) “the XBT (bitcoin) have *never been spent or moved*.” D.E. 237-22 at 2 (emphasis added). And if the bitcoin “never moved,” the only other method of transfer could have been an off-blockchain transfer of the private keys—to which Dr. Wright testified.

¹² We emphasize that Dr. Wright stated in the declaration that he transferred the private keys to the *bitcoin that he mined*, not the private keys to the *bitcoin that were currently in the trust*. If Dr. Wright had meant to state that he’d transferred the bitcoin on the blockchain into the trust, as the Order incorrectly concluded [Order at 23, 24], then the correct terminology would have been the latter, not the former. This is so, because transferring the bitcoin on the blockchain would have required *a new set of public addresses and corresponding private keys*.

iii. The Purported “Lack” of Record Support for the Encrypted File Containing Dr. Wright’s Bitcoin Addresses

The Order found that “notably absent” from the trust documents “is any encrypted file, software, public or private keys,” and that there was no “other credible evidence” that the file existed. Order at 21, 24. Thus, the Order concluded that the “file does not exist.” Order at 24.

The Order’s conclusion is unsupported and contradicted by the record evidence. First, one of the trust documents refers to an encrypted file that can be accessed by private keys. *See* 237-9. This document states that the trust is “DAC formed using a split key cryptographic process,” which is controlled by the distribution of keys. *Id.* at 2. That high-level description fits perfectly with a split-key Shamir-Scheme encryption of the software necessary to generate Dr. Wright’s Bitcoin addresses.

Second, numerous documents that were produced early on in discovery and introduced during the evidentiary hearing make reference to the Shamir Scheme for protecting the trust assets. For example, a document that appears to be a Bitmessage exchange between Dr. Wright and Dave states “[t]he keys are divided using the SSSS (Shamir’s secret sharing scheme) scheme you had us work on.” D.E. 237-16 at 8.¹³

Third, plaintiffs’ counsel is currently in possession of the encrypted file that Dr. Wright stated contains the software necessary to generate his public Bitcoin addresses.¹⁴ Dr. Wright’s

¹³ Plaintiffs claim that the received date on the Bitmessage pre-dates the public release of the Bitmessage software, however, Jonathan Warren, the creator of Bitmessage, testified that the software was stored on an unprotected server in his parents’ home and that it may have been released on the dark web prior to the public release. D.E. 261-1 at 89:24-90:2; 104:22-107:19.

¹⁴ Dr. Wright’s discovery vendors produced the file to plaintiffs on August 27, 2019, the same day the Court issued its Order. Dr. Wright had not previously produced the file to plaintiffs because his discovery vendors had not been able to decrypt it, making it of little use to anyone, including plaintiffs. Upon receiving the Magistrate’s order that doubted the existence of the encrypted file, Dr. Wright promptly produced that file to plaintiffs.

discovery vendor also is in possession of that file and has been working on decrypting the file (so far without any success).

iv. Dr. Wright's Purported "Intentional Submission" of Fraudulent Documents

The Order repeatedly found that Dr. Wright "intentionally submitted fraudulent documents to the Court." Order at 28, 27, 26, 21, and 20. This conclusion appears to be based on the testimony of plaintiffs' expert, Matthew Edman, who testified as to inconsistencies with several documents. However, Edman *also testified* that he was unable to conclude who had created these inconsistencies, he conceded that many of them could have innocent explanations, and he failed to analyze the original ESI emails.¹⁵

Notably, the Order addresses only one of the supposedly "fraudulent documents," a document dated October 23, 2012 establishing one of the Tulip Trusts. D.E. 237-9. According to the Order, "computer forensic analysis indicated that the Deed of Trust presented to the Court was backdated." Order at 21. The Order appears to be relying on the testimony of Edman, who testified that the font files embedded in the document were copyrighted in 2015, implying that the document really was drafted in 2015. Aug. 5, 2019, Hr. Tr. 181:11-184:20. However, Edman conceded that the document could have been drafted in 2012, but simply OCR'd in 2015, which could have embedded the 2015 fonts into the document. *Id.* at 239:16-240:16. As such, Edman's testimony is not conclusive of anything.

¹⁵ The timing inconsistencies in the PGP signatures could have been caused by a poorly configured computer clock. The supposed issues with the PGP version histories could have been caused by an Alpha or Beta version of the software. The supposed timing issues with the Bitmessages could be due to a pre-release version of the Bitmessage software. The supposed changes to the Tulip Trust email could have been caused by forwarding the original native email and then PDFing it. Each of these plausible explanations contradicts the "Fraudulent documents" accusation. None of them even were considered by the Order.

Further, even if there were no innocent explanations for the purportedly “fraudulent” documents (there are), the mere fact that a document may be altered—does not make that document “fraudulent.” “Fraud” is a legal term of art that requires four elements to be met. “The elements of fraud are: (1) a false statement of material fact; (2) defendant's knowledge that the statement is false; (3) defendant's intent that the statement induce the plaintiff to act; and (4) plaintiffs’ reliance on the statement.” *JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Hayhurst Mortg., Inc.*, 2010 WL 2949573, at *3 (S.D. Fla., 2010) (citing *Parham v. Fla. Health Scis. Ctr., Inc.*, 35 So.3d 920, 928 (Fla. 2d DCA 2010)).

Here, the only evidence introduced at the evidentiary hearing was that certain documents purportedly were altered. There was absolutely no evidence as to any of the other elements of fraud.¹⁶ The Order fails to even address the elements of fraud, let alone analyze whether the purportedly altered documents satisfied any of those elements.

v. Dr. Wright’s Purported Failure to Tell the Court Prior to the Evidentiary Hearing that a List of Public Addresses Would Not Aid Plaintiffs’ Case

The Order chastises Dr. Wright for “changing his story” at the evidentiary hearing regarding the utility of public addresses, where he purportedly “argued for the first time that a list of public addresses was meaningless.” Order at 25. The Order found this “particularly disturbing because it was Dr. Wright who first injected the idea of public addresses into this discovery matter,” and “the Court did not order production of a list of public addresses.” *Id.* at 25, 5, 10.

¹⁶ In fact, the Magistrate ruled at the evidentiary hearing that he would not permit plaintiffs’ expert to testify that a document was purportedly “fraudulent” because the expert could not know Dr. Wright’s “state of mind, which is what is necessary for testimony that it was fraudulent.” Aug. 5, 2019, Hr. Tr. 112:22-113:19. Further, while many of the documents were produced by Dr. Wright in this case as part of his discovery obligations, his document production included documents from computers and servers that were used and maintained by others in Dr. Wright’s various companies. Thus, even if one were able to ascertain a “state of mind,” there is no basis to concluded that it was ***Dr. Wright’s state of mind***.

The Order stated that the Court had ordered Dr. Wright to produce “a listing of [his] bitcoin holdings.” *Id.* at 7 (internal quotations omitted). That statement is contradicted by the record, misconstrues Dr. Wright’s arguments, and reflects a lack of understanding as to the workings of Bitcoin.

First, it was plaintiffs—not Dr. Wright—who injected the idea of public addresses into this discovery matter. In January 2019, Plaintiffs asked Dr. Wright to “identify the public keys and *public addresses* for any cryptocurrency that (i) you possess the private keys to” D.E. 91-2 at 8 (emphasis added). It was *this very discovery request* that formed the basis of plaintiffs’ motion to compel, and which then led to the evidentiary hearing that resulted in the Order. *See* D.E. 210 at 2.

Second, in adjudicating plaintiffs’ motion to compel, the Magistrate *did in fact* order Dr. Wright to produce a list of Bitcoin addresses:

“So back in March -- March 14th, I think it was -- I ordered Dr. Wright to produce a listing of the **public addresses** of his Bitcoin holdings as of December 31, 2013.”

“I will give Dr. Wright until June 17, 2019 to produce a complete list of the **public addresses** of all Bitcoin that he mined prior to December 31, 2013.”

June 11, 2019 Hearing Tr. at 5:1-4, 31:13-15 (emphasis added).¹⁷

Third, Dr. Wright did not wait until the hearing to argue “for the first time” that the list of public addresses was irrelevant. Order at 25. Dr. Wright raised that objection in *February 2019*, in responding to Plaintiffs’ interrogatory asking for a listing of public addresses. *See* D.E. 91-2 at 15. Dr. Wright’s counsel further articulated his relevancy objection at the March 14, 2019,

¹⁷ Further, the Order again reveals that the central issue was the public addresses of Dr. Wright’s bitcoin, and not his bitcoin holdings. On page 20, the Order speculates about his “powerful motive not to identify his bitcoin,” for “as long as the **relevant addresses** remain secret, he can transfer the bitcoin without the Plaintiffs being able to find them.” Order at 20 (emphasis added).

hearing. *See* March 14, 2019, Hearing Tr. at 20:11-22:8. But the Magistrate overruled those objections, stating “I think it’s relevant,” and ordered Dr. Wright to produce the listing of his bitcoin or to file a motion arguing undue burden. *Id.* at 22:10-23:7 (emphasis added). Dr. Wright filed that motion on April 18, 2019. D.E. 155. In light of the Magistrate’s ruling, Dr. Wright reasonably concluded that it would be inappropriate to again raise relevancy objections in his motion.

Dr. Wright did, however, again raise relevancy objections at the next appropriate moment. On June 11, 2019, plaintiffs filed their motion to compel, arguing—for the first time—that the list of Bitcoin addresses went to “the heart of Plaintiffs’ case,” and that not having the list of Bitcoin addresses was “frustrating Plaintiffs’ ability to prove their case,” two statements plaintiffs never supported with evidence (because there isn’t any). *See* D.E. 210 at 2, 4. In responding to that motion, Dr. Wright argued that plaintiffs had neither demonstrated any harm, nor “how they will use those addresses to determine which particular bitcoin were purportedly mined by Dave or belonged to Dave.” D.E. 211 at 6. In other words, plaintiffs’ claim of “harm” was unfounded because they had not demonstrated how the Bitcoin addresses even were relevant.

Dr. Wright’s counsel further elaborated at the June 11th hearing before the Magistrate:

Let's assume we had the addresses that contained the Bitcoin that was mined during the relevant time period. What information would the plaintiffs be able to discern? Specifically, would they be able to discern the information they're claiming they desperately need and we are stonewalling to give. That is to say, **evidence that would show the Bitcoin in those public addresses was mined in partnership.**

Without wanting to raise an objection, so I'll say it myself, **counsel has been able to confirm unequivocally that having that public address is not going to give plaintiffs that information,** and we've actually asked to do the following study of our experts. All Bitcoin mined during the relevant time period that did not move.

June 11, 2019, Hr. Tr. 20:8-20 (emphasis added). Nonetheless, the Magistrate ruled that he wasn't "going to resolve that issue today," and summarily ordered Dr. Wright to produce a list of his Bitcoin addresses for bitcoin he mined prior to December 31, 2013. *Id.* at 30: 9-10, 31:13-15.

Dr. Wright again raised the relevancy issue at the evidentiary hearing that resulted in the Order, when Steve Shadders testified that the Bitcoin public addresses would not provide the identity of the owner or miner of a bitcoin. Aug 5, 2019, Hr. Tr. 26:14-27:2. Dr. Wright's counsel raised it yet again in closing arguments. Aug 26, 2019, Hr. Tr. 11:2-12:1.

Fourth, putting aside the fact that 1) it was *plaintiffs* that initially asked for a list of public addresses, 2) the Magistrate *did order* Dr. Wright to produce a list of Bitcoin addresses, and 3) Dr. Wright objected *long before* the evidentiary hearing on the basis of relevancy and lack of prejudice to plaintiffs, the Order's attempt to split hairs and distinguish between ordering a list of Bitcoin addresses and a list of bitcoin holdings not only reflects a lack of understanding of how Bitcoin works, but is a distinction without a difference.

There are only four ways to identify a list of Bitcoin holdings: 1) a list of public addresses; 2) a list of public keys; 3) a list containing bitcoin block height and transaction number; or 4) a transaction ID. Even if it were true (it isn't) that the Magistrate ordered only a listing of Dr. Wright's bitcoin holdings, the only way Dr. Wright could have complied with that order would have been by providing one of these four datapoints. And regardless of which datapoint he chose, that information still would be meaningless (and useless) to plaintiffs—because none of those datapoints contain personally identifying information.¹⁸ Moreover, none of them would show who mined or owns the bitcoin.

¹⁸ See Exhibit C, showing what a Bitcoin transaction looks like. Regardless of which datapoint one chooses, the fact remains that nowhere does it contain any personally identifying information.

vi. *Dr. Wright's Purported Failure to Tell the Court in March 2019 that a List of His Bitcoin Holdings was Inaccessible*

The Order claims that Dr. Wright failed to promptly inform the Court that he was unable to provide plaintiffs with a list of his bitcoin holdings. Order at 21-22. Specifically, the Order cites the one-month period between the March 14th hearing¹⁹ and the April 18th motion when Dr. Wright informed the Court that the bitcoin was held in trust and that he did not have access to their addresses.²⁰

Dr. Wright acknowledges that a sooner response would have aided the Court and apologizes for the delay. He notes, however, that the one-month delay occurred during an extremely busy discovery period. His counsel was preparing him for his deposition, which occurred on April 4, 2019 in London. Moreover, after the deposition, the Court ordered Dr. Wright to file multiple motions on issues related to that deposition. *See* D.E. 137.

E. The Magistrate Improperly Relied on Inadmissible Hearsay Evidence in Issuing the Sanctions

As noted above, the Order repeatedly labels documents that Dr. Wright produced as “fraudulent” based on metadata that plaintiffs’ expert claims to have extracted from documents produced by Dr. Wright.²¹ Those documents, and the metadata that Edman extracted, are inadmissible hearsay. Dr. Wright made that very objection during the evidentiary hearing, but the Magistrate overruled it, finding the documents and metadata to be business records. Aug. 5, 2019, Hr. Tr. 155:5-15. But that ruling was contrary to law. “Business records are only admissible if the

¹⁹ The Order states “May 14th”, but that hearing actually occurred on March 14th.

²⁰ As for the time period between December 2018 and March 14th, 2019, the Order gave Dr. Wright the benefit of the doubt, because “Plaintiffs were seeking information that went beyond a list of his bitcoin holdings on December 31, 2013.” Order at 22.

²¹ Those Exhibits are: 3, 4, 7, 8, 27, 28, 29, 31, 33, 34, 35, 37, 39; 40 and 43, which can be found at D.E. 237-3; 237-3; 237-7, 237-8; 268-4; 268-5; 268-6; 268-8; 268-10; 268-11; 268-12; 268-14; 268-16; 268-17; 268-20.

record (1) was made at or about the time of the event it describes; (2) was made by a person with knowledge of that event; (3) was made and kept in the course of a regularly conducted business activity; and (4) has an indicia of trustworthiness.” *Acciard v. Whitney*, 2011 WL 13294620, at *2 (M.D. Fla. 2011) (citing Fed. R. Evid. 803(6); *United States v. Fernandez*, 392 Fed. Appx. 743, 746 (11th Cir. 2010)) (emphasis added). “A party lays the proper foundation for the trustworthiness of computer generated business records and the records are admissible, in the following circumstances: ‘(1) The records must be kept pursuant to some routine procedure designed to assure their accuracy, (2) they must be created for motives that would tend to assure accuracy (preparation for litigation, for example, is not such a motive), and (3) they must not themselves be mere accumulations of hearsay or uninformed opinion.’” *Fernandez*, 392 F. App’x at 745–46 (citing *United States v. Glasser*, 773 F.2d 1553, 1559 (11th Cir.1985)).

Plaintiffs did not establish that the computer-generated documents were trustworthy, nor did they establish the remaining elements of the business record exception. *First*, plaintiffs introduced no evidence as to how the documents were stored or the motivation for creating the documents. More importantly, plaintiffs affirmatively argued that the electronically stored documents and their associated metadata **were not trustworthy**. In fact, Plaintiffs’ *own expert testified that the metadata was altered and manipulated*. For example, Edman testified that the metadata he extracted from Exhibit 2 and introduced as Exhibit 3 was altered in the following method: “a significant portion of the mail transport header had been truncated or removed,” and the “data in the mail transport header . . . contain[ed] the date Thursday, June 24th, 2011,” when that date really was a Friday. Aug. 5, 2019, Hr. Tr. 148:18-149:11. After having “established” that the documents contain altered metadata, there simply is no way that plaintiffs could simultaneously establish that the metadata was trustworthy.

Second, plaintiffs failed to introduce evidence as to the remaining elements of the business record exception, nor could they. Plaintiffs contend that the documents were backdated, so they clearly couldn't ever establish that this document was "made at or about the time of the event it describes." *Acciard*, 2011 WL 13294620, at *2. They also contend that the communications never occurred, so the document couldn't have been "made by a person with knowledge of that event." *Id.* They further contend that the documents were intentionally altered, and there is no evidence that altering records was a "regularly conducted business activity." *Id.*

Of course, plaintiffs introduced these documents and metadata for *the truth of the matter asserted*, and thus they are inadmissible absent a hearsay exception. Continuing with the example of the metadata extracted from Exhibit 2, plaintiffs relied on the metadata to suggest that the email was modified in 2014 by Dr. Wright. According to Edman, "the modified date and metadata dates on lines 12 and 14 indicate that the document was modified October 22nd, 2014" and the email return path "contain[s] the e-mail address craig@panopticopt.com." Aug. 5, 2019, Hr. Tr. 148:3-5; 149:24-150:2.

Finally, the Magistrate also erred when he permitted plaintiffs to introduce as exhibits hearsay documents that plaintiffs' expert found online,²² on the basis that the documents fell under Rule 803(18)'s exception for learned treatises, periodicals, or pamphlets. Aug. 5, 2019, Hr. Tr. 135:1-138:15. First, that exception only permits statements to be read into evidence. It does not permit a document to be introduced as an exhibit—as the Magistrate permitted here. Second, it is highly unlikely that most of those documents, consisting of website printouts, are reliable authorities.

²² The Exhibits are: 25, 26, 41, and 44, which can be found at D.E. 268-2; 268-3; 268-18; 268-21.

F. The Court Should Reverse and Vacate the Order Because It Exceeded the Magistrate’s Jurisdiction and Authority by Issuing Case-Ending Dispositive Sanctions in a Discovery Order

Magistrate judges have limited authority, pursuant to Rule 72 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. As to non-dispositive matters, a magistrate may issue a ruling in an order. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a). As to dispositive matters, a magistrate may issue only a recommended disposition, usually termed a Report and Recommendation, and only after an assignment of those dispositive matters by a district judge. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

Thus, magistrates exceed their authority by issuing dispositive sanctions in discovery orders. This is so, *even if* the magistrate has the authority to hear the underlying discovery dispute. *Burns v. Imagine Films Entm’t, Inc.*, 164 F.R.D. 594, 599–600 (W.D.N.Y. 1996) (“Discovery is clearly a pretrial matter, and magistrate judges have general authority to order discovery sanctions, however, a magistrate judge cannot issue sanctions which fall within the eight so-called dispositive motions²³. . . .”); *Ocelot Oil Corp. v. Sparrow Indus.*, 847 F.2d 1458, 1462 (10th Cir. 1988) (“Discovery is clearly a pretrial matter, and magistrates thus have general authority to order discovery sanctions. They may not do so, however, if those sanctions fall within the eight dispositive motions”); *Bennett v. Gen. Caster Serv. of N. Gordon Co.*, 976 F.2d 995, 998 (6th Cir. 1992).

²³ The eight dispositive motions are set out in 28 U.S.C.A. § 636. They are motions for injunctive relief, for judgment on the pleadings, for summary judgment, to dismiss or quash an indictment or information made by the defendant, to suppress evidence in a criminal case, to dismiss or to permit maintenance of a class action, to dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, and to involuntarily dismiss an action. Many courts also have included in the list of “dispositive motions,” any motion that would have the same effect as those eight dispositive motions. *E.g.*, *Ocelot Oil Corp. v. Sparrow Indus.*, 847 F.2d 1458, 1462–63 (10th Cir. 1988) (Striking pleadings with prejudice has the same effect as dismissing an action and thus “constitutes an involuntary dismissal”).

The Order exceeded the Magistrate’s authority because it imposed dispositive sanctions. Starting with the most obvious, the Magistrate purported to strike eight of Dr. Wright’s affirmative defenses. *See* Order at 29. It is well-settled that “striking an affirmative defense is clearly ‘dispositive of a ... defense of a party.’” *Specialty Minerals, Inc. v. Pluess-Staufer AG*, 395 F. Supp. 2d 109, 111 (S.D.N.Y. 2005) (citing *United States v. Davis*, 794 F. Supp. 67, 68 (D.R.I.1992)); *Jeeper’s of Auburn, Inc. v. KWJB Enter., L.L.C.*, 2011 WL 1899195, at *1 (E.D. Mich. 2011), *report and recommendation adopted*, 2011 WL 1899531 (E.D. Mich. 2011) (collecting cases)).

Further, even if the Order hadn’t exceeded the Magistrate’s authority by striking eight of Dr. Wright’s affirmative defenses, the Deemed Facts would have the same effect as striking the affirmative defenses. This is so, because if those facts were to be deemed established without trial (or even a summary judgment motion), it would be futile for Dr. Wright to put on evidence in support of those defenses, which renders them effectively stricken.²⁴ In fact, these improper “findings of fact” were the very basis for the Magistrate’s *ultra vires* decision to “strike” eight of Dr. Wright’s affirmative defenses: “these affirmative defenses are inconsistent with the facts *as I have deemed them, so they are stricken.*” *Id.*; August 26, 2019, Hr. Tr. 89:4-8 (emphasis added); Order at 16 (“To confirm to these established facts, the Court strikes . . .”).

Finally, if the Court were to ignore this reversible error, the standard of review would be *de novo*. Rule 72(a) provides that orders on non-dispositive matters (e.g., discovery disputes) are reviewed for clear error or for being contrary to law, while Rule 72(b) subjects reports and

²⁴ For example, one of Dr. Wright’s affirmative defenses is accord and satisfaction, based on the plaintiffs’ having received corporate shares from Dr. Wright as compensation for rights of David Kleiman. D.E. 87 at 33. This defense plainly is inconsistent with the Magistrate’s Deemed Facts that half of Dr. Wright’s bitcoin and intellectual property (as of a certain date) belong to plaintiffs. The affirmative defenses that would be vitiated by the Deemed Facts are on page 29 of the Order.

recommendations on dispositive matters to de novo review. The Order doesn't cleanly fit into either rule, because it exceeded the Magistrate's jurisdiction and authority, and purported to issue dispositive sanctions in a discovery order (a legal impossibility). However, because the Magistrate attempted to impose dispositive sanctions, the proper reference would be to Rule 72(b), which requires de novo review. *Ocelot Oil Corp. v. Sparrow Indus.*, 847 F.2d 1458, 1463 (10th Cir. 1988); *Boxer F2, L.P. v. Bronchick*, 722 F. App'x 791, 796 (10th Cir. 2018).

CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, the Court should reverse and vacate the Order, which amounted to a judgment of liability without trial in the guise of a discovery order. There was no basis for the Order's sanctions of Dr. Wright, which far exceeded the scope of the single, limited discovery issue before the Magistrate and would violate Dr. Wright's due process rights.

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH CONFERENCE

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(a)(3), I certify that counsel for the movant has conferred with all parties who may be affected by the relief sought in this motion. Plaintiffs' counsel does not agree with the relief sought.

Attorneys for Dr. Craig Wright

RIVERO MESTRE LLP
2525 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, Suite 1000
Miami, Florida 33134
Telephone: (305) 445-2500
Fax: (305) 445-2505
Email: arivero@riveromestre.com
Email: arolnick@riveromestre.com
Email: amcgovern@riveromestre.com
Email: zkass@riveromestre.com
Email: receptionist@riveromestre.com

By: s/ Andres Rivero
ANDRES RIVERO

Florida Bar No. 613819
JORGE MESTRE
Florida Bar No. _____
AMANDA MCGOVERN
Florida Bar No. 964263
ALAN ROLNICK
Florida Bar No. 715085
SCHNEUR KASS
Florida Bar No. 100554

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 25, 2019, I electronically filed this document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that this document is being served today on all counsel of record by transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or by U.S. Mail.

/s/ Andres Rivero
ANDRES RIVERO
Florida Bar No. 613819

From: Velvel (Devin) Freedman <fee2cb505725469781410cff456cf459-vfreedman@bsfll@d3---bsf>
Sent: 05 April 2019 19:33
To: 'andrewohagan@me.com'
Cc: Kyle Roche
Subject: RE: Kleiman v. Wright -- Request?

Mr. O'Hagan,

I just wanted to follow up on the note below. I'd be most appreciative if we could speak for a few minutes.

Many thanks,
-Vel

Velvel (Devin) Freedman
Counsel

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

100 SE 2ND Street Suite 2800
Miami, FL 33131
(t) +1 305 357 8438
(m) +1 305 753 3675
vfreedman@bsfllp.com
www.bsfllp.com

From: Velvel (Devin) Freedman
Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 7:08 PM
To: 'andrewohagan@me.com'
Cc: Kyle Roche
Subject: Kleiman v. Wright -- Request?

Dear Mr. O'Hagan:

I received your email from Gavin Andresen and apologize for the cold email.

If you'll excuse the informality of the request, I represent the estate of David Kleiman and W&K Information Defense Research LLC in litigation against Craig Wright pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. The lawsuit (attached) alleges that Dr. Wright stole billions of dollars' worth of bitcoins and intellectual property from Dave and W&K. The allegations of the lawsuit rely heavily on Dr. Wright's own statements and while Dr. Wright moved to dismiss the claim, his motion was denied and the Court has allowed the litigation to proceed.

I was hoping you might have a few minutes to speak with me this week? I'll do my best to accommodate your schedule?

Many thanks,
-Vel

Velvel (Devin) Freedman
Counsel

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

100 SE 2ND Street Suite 2800
Miami, FL 33131
(t) +1 305 357 8438
(m) +1 305 753 3675
vfreedman@bsfllp.com
www.bsfllp.com

