

Robot-augmented safety in machine shops

Aaron Fineman

Robotics Engineering

Worcester Polytechnic Institute

Worcester, Massachusetts 01609

aaron@wpi.edu

Prof. Sonia Chernova

Computer Science Department

Robotics Engineering Department

Worcester Polytechnic Institute

Worcester, Massachusetts 01609

soniac@wpi.edu

2 March 2011

Prepared in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the
special topics course on Human-Robot Interaction

Abstract

A significant number of people are injured or permanently maimed due to accidents in machine shops. While tools have gotten safer, they have reached a plateau and we believe an external source is required to further safen machine shops. This project makes use of a video camera and an industrial arm to intercept a operator's arm if it is about to collide with a tool. However, there is still alot of work to be done in both computer vision and robotic manipulation before this is truly viable.

1 Introduction

A significant number of people are injured or maimed due to accidents in machine shops, and many deaths still occur. Brookhaven National Laboratory reports 18,000 annual amputations, lacerations, crushing injuries, abrasions, and over 800 deaths[1]. Common causes of injury in machine shops are, but not limited too, coming into contact with the machine, or being trapped between material and the machine, becoming entangled in the machine, being struck by ejected machinery parts, or being struck by ejected material[2].

There is very little work being done on external methods to prevent injury in machine shops, most research is focused on building more advanced safety features into the machines themselves.

The most popular method is capacitive sensors to detect flesh, and stop the blade as soon as possible (potentially destructively.) SawStop is a leading company in this area. They market a system that can stop a saw blade from rotating at 4,000 RPM in less than 5ms (destructive to the blade only), which they claim will only cause a nick on ones finger[3]. However 4,000 RPM is only sufficient for cutting wood, metals are cut at a higher speed, which may result in a longer braking time.

In addition to brakes, some machines implement guards[2]. Most guards are reactive, to prevent further injury, such as limbs being pulled into the machine, however, there are some proactive guards, such as two-handed control devices, which only function if both hands are on the controls.

But with all the technology available, a lot of the safety implemented is sociological. In many shops, including the WPI ones, there are simple rules covering proper eye protection, and clothing restrictions to avoid becoming caught or pulled into machines[4].

2 Approach

We decided to experiment with an external safety system that would augment the existing ones. Our vision was a video camera attached to each machine aimed at the workspace and tool. Next to the machine would be a mounted industrial arm, whose workspace coincided with that of the tool, and included unrestricted access to the operator's limbs. If the operator was in danger of intersecting with the tool, the industrial arm would be able to shield the tool, or in worse case, grab the operator's arm and remove it from harm.

For the industrial arm, a FANUC LR Mate 200iB six-axis arm was used due to availability[5]. Software was developed under a VMware Fusion 3.1.2 image of Xubuntu 10.10 utilizing OpenCV 2.1.0. The video camera used was the built in camera on a Macbook (640x480 output). The code was written in python, and is available freely under the BSD license[6].

For object (hand) detection, the incoming images were converted to grayscale and passed through a haar classifier cascade. Due to available time, an in-house haar classifier cascade was not trained, but a freely available, open-source one was made use of[7].

3 Results

4 Discussion

5 Conclusion

References

- [1] Brookhaven National Laboratory, *Machine Shop Safety*, 2010.
- [2] Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, *Machinery Guarding, Shop Floor Safety and Robotics Safety*, 2010.
- [3] SawStop, “Sawstop,” 2004.
- [4] WPI, *Manufacturing Laboratories Safety & Policies*, 2010.
- [5] FANUC, “Fanuc robotics,” 2011.
- [6] A. Fineman, “Code repository.” <http://code.google.com/p/wpi-rbe595-2011-machineshop/>.
- [7] O. Jones, “I need a hand.” <http://hands.slashingedge.co.uk/>.