

Algorithms: Design and Analysis - CS 412

Problem Set 02: Asymptotic Analysis

1. Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be asymptotically non-negative functions. Using the basic definition of Θ -notation, prove that $\max\{f(n), g(n)\} = \Theta(f(n) + g(n))$.

Proof. We can prove the above by showing that there exists constants $c_1, c_2, n_0 > 0$ such that $\forall n \geq n_0, 0 \leq c_1(f(n) + g(n)) \leq \max\{f(n), g(n)\} \leq c_2(f(n) + g(n))$.

As the functions are asymptotically non-negative, we can assume that for some $n_0 > 0$, $f(n) \geq 0$ and $g(n) \geq 0$. Therefore, $n \geq n_0$. Then

$$f(n) + g(n) \geq \max\{f(n), g(n)\}$$

. Also, since $f(n) \leq \max\{f(n), g(n)\}$, and $g(n) \leq \max\{f(n), g(n)\}$,

$$f(n) + g(n) \leq 2\max\{f(n), g(n)\}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(f(n) + g(n)) \leq \max\{f(n), g(n)\}$$

Then combining the above two inequalities, we get

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2}(f(n) + g(n)) \leq \max\{f(n), g(n)\} \leq f(n) + g(n) \text{ for } n \geq n_0$$

which follows from the definition of Θ -notation with $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}, c_2 = 1$.

Therefore, $\max\{f(n), g(n)\} = \Theta(f(n) + g(n))$. □

2. Prove or disprove the statements below.

(a) $(n + 1)^2 = n^2 + O(n)$

Expand. $(n + 1)^2 = n^2 + 2n + 1$.

Then $n^2 + 2n + 1 = n^2 + O(n)$ since $O(n)$ denotes a function which grows linearly with n , and $2n + 1$ is indeed $O(n)$. Hence proved that $(n + 1)^2 = n^2 + O(n)$.

$$(b) (n + O(\sqrt{n}))(n + O(\log(n)))^2 = n^3 + O(\sqrt{n^5})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Expand. } (n + O(\sqrt{n}))(n^2 + O(n \log n) + O(\log^2 n)) \\ &= (n + O(\sqrt{n}))(n^2 + O(n \log n)) \\ &= n^3 + O(n^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{n}) + O(n^2 \log n) + O(n^{\frac{3}{2}} \log n) \\ &= n^3 + O(n^2 \log n) + O(n^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{n}) + O(n^{\frac{3}{2}} \log n) \\ &= n^3 + O(n^{\frac{5}{2}}) + O(n^2 \log n) + O(n^{\frac{3}{2}} \log n) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the dominating terms are n^3 , followed by $O(n^{\frac{5}{2}})$, and $O(n^{\frac{5}{2}})$ reduces to $O(\sqrt{n^5})$.

Then we get: $n^3 + O(\sqrt{n^5})$ as the dominating terms. Hence proved that the statement is true.

$$(c) \exp(O(1)) = O(e^n)$$

The term $\exp(O(1))$ implies the constant e , which simplifies to $O(e)$. Obviously $O(e)$ is not equal to $O(e^n)$, since e^n is an exponential function, and e is a constant. Hence disproved.

$$(d) n^{\log(n)} = O((\log n)^n)$$

$O((\log n)^n) = O(n \log n)$. For large values of n , $n^{\log n}$ grows faster than $n \log n$. Hence disproved.

$$(e) 2^{2n} = O(2^n)$$

Assume that c and n_0 are positive constants satisfying $0 \leq 2^{2n} \leq c2^n$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Then $2^{2n} = 2^n \cdot 2^n \leq c2^n$, which implies that $c \geq 2^n$. The last inequality, however, does not hold regardless of a value we would choose for c , because 2^n becomes arbitrarily large as n gets large. Hence, disproved.

3. Prove that for any two functions $f(n)$ and $g(n)$, we have $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if and only if $f(n) = O(g(n))$ and $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$.

Proof. By the definition of Θ -notation, $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ whenever there exist positive constants c_1 , c_2 , and n_0 such that $0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. This condition can be decomposed into the combination of inequalities $0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq f(n)$ and $0 \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n)$, both holding for all $n \geq n_0$. From the former follows $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ and from the latter follows $f(n) = O(g(n))$.

For the proof of the opposite direction, suppose that $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ and $f(n) = O(g(n))$. The former means that there exist positive constants c_1 and n_1 such that $0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq f(n)$ for all $n \geq n_1$, and the latter means that there exist positive constants c_2 and n_2 such that $0 \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_2$. Then, by letting $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ and merging both conditions, we get that $0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Thus, $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$. \square

4. Prove that for $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sum_{k \in S} \Theta(f(k)) = \Theta\left(\sum_{k \in S} f(k)\right)$$

assuming both sums converge.

Proof. The question asks to prove that the sum of Θ of some function is equal to the Θ of the sum of that function.

Let $g_k = \Theta(f(k))$ for our ease, then by the definition, $\exists c_1, c_2, n_0 \forall n \geq n_0$ such that

$$\forall_{k \in S} g_k = \Theta(f(k)) \iff 0 \leq c_1 f_k \leq g_k \leq c_2 f_k$$

.

Then we need to show that

$$\sum_{k \in S} g_k = \Theta\left(\sum_{k \in S} f(k)\right)$$

Then from the definition of Θ , we sum over k :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in S} a_k f_k &\leq \sum_{k \in S} g_k \leq \sum_{k \in S} b_k f_k \\ \sum_{k \in S} \min\{a_k\} f_k &\leq \sum_{k \in S} g_k \leq \sum_{k \in S} \max\{b_k\} f_k \\ \min\{a_k\} \left(\sum_{k \in S} f_k\right) &\leq \sum_{k \in S} g_k \leq \max\{b_k\} \left(\sum_{k \in S} f_k\right) \quad \forall_{n \geq n_0} \\ \implies \sum_{k \in S} g_k &= \Theta\left(\sum_{k \in S} f(k)\right) \end{aligned}$$

□

5. Is $2^{n+1} = O(2^n)$? Is $2^{2n} = O(2^n)$?

Let's determine positive constants c and n_0 such that $0 \leq 2^{n+1} \leq c2^n$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Since $2^{n+1} = 2 \cdot 2^n$, we can pick $c = 2$ and $n_0 = 1$. So $2^{n+1} = O(2^n)$.

Now let's assume that c and n_0 are positive constants satisfying $0 \leq 2^{2n} \leq c2^n$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Then $2^{2n} = 2^n \cdot 2^n \leq c2^n$, which implies that $c \geq 2^n$. The last inequality, however, does not hold regardless of a value we would choose for c , because 2^n becomes arbitrarily large as n gets large. Hence, $2^{2n} \neq O(2^n)$.