

# Homework 7 Solutions

via Gradescope

- Failure to submit homework correctly will result in zeroes.
- Handwritten homework is OK. You do not have to type up your work.
- Problems assigned from the textbook are from the 5<sup>th</sup> edition.
- No late homework accepted. Lateness due to technical issues will not be excused.

1. (9 points) Section 5.4 #6, 13, 20.

**Solution:**

#6.

*Proof.*

**Basis Step:**

$$f_0 = 5 = 3 + 2 = 3(1) + 2(1) = 3(2^0) + 2(5^0)$$

$$f_1 = 16 = 6 + 10 = 3(2) + 2(5) = 3(2^1) + 2(5^1)$$

**Inductive Step:** Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k \geq 1$ .

Suppose  $f_i = 3(2^i) + 2(5^i)$  for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{k+1} &= 7f_{k-1} - 10f_{k-2} \\
 &= 7(3(2^k) + 2(5^k)) - 10(3(2^{k-1}) + 2(5^{k-1})) \quad (\text{via induction hypothesis}) \\
 &= (21)(2^k) + (14)(5^k) - 5(3)(2^k) - (2^2)(5^k) \\
 &= (21 - 15)(2^k) + (14 - 4)(5^k) \\
 &= 6(2^k) + 10(5^k) = 3(2^{k+1}) + 2(5^{k+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

□

#13.

*Proof.*

**Basis Step:**

2 is prime so, by generalization, 2 is prime or a product of primes.

**Inductive Step:**

Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k \geq 2$ . Suppose  $i$  is prime or a product of primes for all  $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ .

Since  $k \geq 2$ ,  $k + 1 \geq 3$  is prime or not prime.

**Case 1:** Suppose  $k + 1$  is prime.  $k + 1$  is prime or a product of primes via generalization.

**Case 2:** Suppose  $k + 1$  is not prime, so  $k + 1$  is composite. There exist  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $k + 1 = rs$  and  $1 < r < k + 1$  and  $1 < s < k + 1$ . Since  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $2 \leq r \leq k$  and  $2 \leq s \leq k$ . So  $r, s$  are prime or products of primes via induction hypothesis. Since  $k + 1 = rs$ ,  $k + 1$  is a product of primes. Thus  $k + 1$  is prime or a product of primes via generalization.  $\square$

*Remark.* Notice that for all integers  $k \geq 2$ , the least prime  $k + 1$  is 3. The least composite  $k + 1$  is  $4 = 2(2)$  and  $1 < 2 < 4$  so  $2 \leq 2 \leq 3 = k$ , compatible with the induction hypothesis.

#20.

**Theorem.** Let  $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $b_1 = 0$ ,  $b_2 = 3$ , and, for all integers  $k \geq 3$ ,  $b_k = 5b_{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} + 6$ . Then  $3 \mid b_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

*Proof.*

**Basis Step:**

$0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $b_1 = 0 = 3(0)$ , so  $3 \mid b_1$ .

$1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $b_2 = 3 = 3(1)$ , so  $3 \mid b_2$ .

**Inductive Step:**

Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k \geq 2$ . Suppose  $3 \mid b_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .

$k + 1 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $k + 1 \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Case 1:** Suppose  $k + 1 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ . There exists  $l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $k + 1 = 2l_1$ , so  $\frac{k+1}{2} = l_1$ .

$$\left\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right\rfloor = \lfloor l_1 \rfloor = l_1$$

since  $l_1 \leq l_1 = \frac{k+1}{2} < l_1 + 1$ . Since  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} k &\geq 2 \\ 2k &= k + k > k + 1 \geq 3 \\ k &> \frac{k+1}{2} = l_1 \geq \frac{3}{2} > 1 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k+1} &= 5b_{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} + 6 = 5b_{l_1} + 6 \\ &= 5(3m_1) + 6 = 3(5m_1 + 2) \quad (\text{via induction hypothesis}) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $5m_1 + 2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is closed under products and sums. Hence  $3 \mid b_{k+1}$ .

**Case 2:** Suppose  $k + 1 \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$ . There exists  $l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $k + 1 = 2l_2 + 1$ , so  $\frac{k+1}{2} = l_2 + \frac{1}{2}$ .

$$\left\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor l_2 + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor = l_2$$

since  $l_2 \leq l_2 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{k+1}{2} < l_2 + 1$ . Since  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$k + 1 = 2l_2 + 1$$

$$k = 2l_2 \geq 2$$

$$k \geq \frac{k}{2} = l_2 \geq 1$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k+1} &= 5b_{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} + 6 = 5b_{l_2} + 6 \\ &= 5(3m_2) + 6 = 3(5m_2 + 2) \quad (\text{via induction hypothesis}) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $5m_2 + 2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is closed under products and sums. Hence  $3 \mid b_{k+1}$ .  $\square$

2. (3 points) Let  $\{f_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  be the Fibonacci sequence  $f_0 = f_1 = 1$  and  $f_k = f_{k-1} + f_{k-2}$  for all integers  $k \geq 2$ . Use the Principle of Strong Mathematical Induction to prove that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ ,

$$f_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{n+1} - \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{n+1} \right).$$

**Solution:**

*Proof.*

**Basis Step:**

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 = 1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\sqrt{5}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) - \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{0+1} - \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{0+1} \right) \\ f_1 = 1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\sqrt{5}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{5}{4} - \frac{5}{4} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{5}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} - \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{5}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{1+1} - \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{1+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

**Inductive Step:**

Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Suppose

$$f_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{i+1} - \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{i+1} \right)$$

for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k+1} &= f_k + f_{k-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{k+1} - \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{k+1} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k - \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k - \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k - \left( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{5}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k - \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{5}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k - \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{k+2} - \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{k+2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

3. (6 points) Section 5.4 #25, 32.

**Solution:** #25. With only one base case and  $k \geq 0$ , if  $k = 0$ , then  $k - 1 = -1$ .

#32. No.  $P(4)$  and  $P(5)$  are not necessarily true. For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $3k \neq 4$  and  $3k \neq 5$ .

4. (12 points) Suppose you wish to show that  $P(n)$  is true for all integers  $n \geq a$ . You begin by defining the set

$$S = \{n \geq a : n \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge P(n) \equiv \perp\}.$$

Your goal is to show that  $S = \emptyset$ . You have trouble showing  $S = \emptyset$  so you try contradiction.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $S \neq \emptyset$ .

- (a) Explain why  $S$  has a smallest element in your contradiction proof.

**Solution:** Since  $S$  is a non-empty set of integers that is bounded from below (namely by  $a$ ), it follows from the Well-Ordering Principle.

- (b) If you know that  $P(a)$  is  $\top$ , then explain why the smallest element of  $S$ , let's denote it by  $x$ , satisfies  $x > a$  in your contradiction proof.

**Solution:** Every element in  $y \in S$  satisfies  $a \leq y$ . Since  $a \notin S$  it follows that the smallest element in  $S$  is greater than  $a$ .

- (c) Explain why  $P(x)$  is  $\perp$  and  $P(x-1)$  is  $\top$  in your contradiction proof.

**Solution:** Since  $x > a$ , then  $x-1 \geq a$ . Since  $x-1 \notin S$  (otherwise  $x$  is not the least element) we must have  $P(x-1)$  is  $\top$ .

- (d) Suppose you don't know that  $P(a)$  is  $\top$ . Explain why you cannot say  $P(x-1)$  is  $\top$  in your contradiction proof.

**Solution:** That is because  $x$  could be  $a$ . This means that  $x-1$  is  $a-1$  and  $P$  is defined for  $n \geq a$ .

5. (6 points) Section 5.4 #26, 27.

**Solution:** #26.

*Proof.* Define

$$S := \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ - \{1\} : p \nmid n \text{ for any prime } p\}.$$

- (1)  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is a set of integers by definition.
- (2)  $S$  is bounded below, since  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 \leq x$  for any  $x \in S$ .
- (3) Suppose  $S \neq \emptyset$ .  $S$  has a least element, denoted  $x \in S$ , via Well-Ordering Principle. Since  $x > 1$ ,  $x$  is prime or not prime.

**Case 1:** Suppose  $x$  is prime.  $1, x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x = x(1)$  so  $x \mid x$  but this contradicts that  $x \in S$ .

**Case 2:** Suppose  $x$  is not prime, so  $x$  is composite.  $x = mn$  and  $1 < m < x$  and  $1 < n < x$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .  $n \notin S$  since  $x \in S$  is the least element of  $S$ , i.e.  $x \leq k$  for all  $k \in S$  and  $2 \leq n \leq x-1 < x$ . So there exists a prime  $p > 1$  such that  $p \mid n$ . There exists  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $n = pl$  since  $p \mid n$ .  $x = mn = m(pl) = (lm)p$  and  $lm \in \mathbb{Z}$  since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is closed under products. Hence there is a prime  $p$  such that  $p \mid x$  but this contradicts that  $x \in S$ .

Therefore  $S = \emptyset$ .

Thus, for any integer  $n > 1$ , there exists a prime  $p > 1$  such that  $p \mid n$ . □

#27.

*Proof.* Define

$$S := \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ - \{1\} : n \text{ has no prime factorization}\}.$$

- (1)  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is a set of integers by definition.
- (2)  $S$  is bounded below, since  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 \leq x$  for any  $x \in S$ .
- (3) Suppose  $S \neq \emptyset$ .  $S$  has a least element, denoted  $l \in S$ , via Well-Ordering Principle. Since  $l > 1$ ,  $l$  is prime or not prime.

**Case 1:** Suppose  $l$  is prime. Then  $l$  has a prime factorization,  $l = l^1$  but this contradicts that  $l \in S$ .

**Case 2:** Suppose  $l$  is not prime, so  $l$  is composite.  $l = mn$  and  $1 < m < l$  and  $1 < n < l$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .  $m, n \notin S$  since  $l \in S$  is the least element of  $S$ , i.e.  $l \leq x$  for all  $x \in S$  and  $m < l$  and  $n < l$ . So  $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_{k_1}^{\alpha_{k_1}}$  and  $n = q_1^{\beta_1} q_2^{\beta_2} \cdots q_{k_2}^{\beta_{k_2}}$  for some primes  $p_1, \dots, p_{k_1}, q_1, \dots, q_{k_2}$ ;  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k_1}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k_2} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ; and  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . However

$$l = mn = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_{k_1}^{\alpha_{k_1}} q_1^{\beta_1} q_2^{\beta_2} \cdots q_{k_2}^{\beta_{k_2}}$$

is a prime factorization of  $l$  but this contradicts that  $l \in S$ .

Thus  $S = \emptyset$ .

Therefore any integer  $n > 1$  has a prime factorization. □