

# Contents

<b>Conflict Early Warning Pipeline (CEWP)</b>	<b>2</b>
A Spatio-Temporal Machine Learning Framework for Predicting Armed Conflict Risk in the Central African Republic . . . . .	2
Abstract . . . . .	2
1. Introduction . . . . .	2
1.1 Research Questions . . . . .	2
1.2 Contributions . . . . .	3
2. Background . . . . .	3
2.1 The Central African Republic Context . . . . .	3
2.2 Conflict Prediction Literature . . . . .	3
2.3 Early Warning Systems in Practice . . . . .	4
3. Methodology . . . . .	4
3.1 Spatial Framework . . . . .	4
3.2 Temporal Framework . . . . .	4
3.3 Data Integration Pipeline . . . . .	4
3.4 Feature Engineering . . . . .	5
4. Model Architecture . . . . .	6
4.1 Two-Stage Hurdle Ensemble . . . . .	6
4.2 Thematic Sub-Models (Updated to 8) . . . . .	6
4.3 Training Procedure . . . . .	6
5. Evaluation Framework . . . . .	7
6. System Design . . . . .	7
6.1 Pipeline Architecture . . . . .	7
6.2 Technical Stack . . . . .	7
6.3 Configuration-Driven Design . . . . .	8
7. Results Summary . . . . .	8
7.1 Model Performance by Horizon . . . . .	8
7.2 Feature Importance (Preliminary) . . . . .	8
8. Discussion . . . . .	8
8.1 Limitations . . . . .	8
8.2 Ethical Considerations . . . . .	8
8.3 Future Directions . . . . .	8
9. Conclusion . . . . .	9
Appendix A: Complete Feature Registry . . . . .	9
A.1 Environmental Features (24 total) . . . . .	9
A.2 Conflict Features (20 total) . . . . .	9
A.3 ACLED Hybrid Features (14 total - NEW) . . . . .	10
A.4 Economic Features (20 total) . . . . .	10
A.5 Socio-Political Features (12 total) . . . . .	10
A.6 Infrastructure Features (12 total) . . . . .	10
A.7 Demographic Features (5 total) . . . . .	11
A.8 Temporal Context Features (3 total - NEW) . . . . .	11
Appendix B: Database Schema . . . . .	11
Appendix C: System Requirements . . . . .	12
Hardware . . . . .	12
Software . . . . .	12

# Conflict Early Warning Pipeline (CEWP)

## A Spatio-Temporal Machine Learning Framework for Predicting Armed Conflict Risk in the Central African Republic

**Author:** Brennan

**Degree:** Master's Thesis

**Date:** January 2026

---

### Abstract

This thesis develops the Conflict Early Warning Pipeline (CEWP), an end-to-end geospatial data system that produces conflict-risk forecasts for the Central African Republic (CAR). The system integrates diverse data sources—satellite imagery, climate reanalysis, conflict event databases, economic indicators, and ethnic power relations—into a unified analytical framework using H3 hexagonal indexing at approximately 10km resolution.

A **Two-Stage Hurdle Ensemble** model architecture combines **8 thematic feature subsets** through XGBoost base learners and meta-learning aggregation, predicting both the probability of conflict occurrence and expected fatality counts at 14-day, 1-month, and 3-month horizons. The pipeline is designed to support UN peacekeeping operations and humanitarian response planning by providing actionable early warning intelligence with calibrated uncertainty estimates.

**Keywords:** conflict prediction, early warning systems, machine learning, geospatial analysis, Central African Republic, humanitarian operations, ensemble learning, spatio-temporal modeling

---

## 1. Introduction

Armed conflict remains one of the most devastating challenges facing the international humanitarian community. In the Central African Republic, a country that has experienced recurring cycles of violence since 2012, the ability to anticipate where and when conflict will occur could fundamentally transform how humanitarian organizations allocate resources and protect civilian populations.

This thesis presents the Conflict Early Warning Pipeline (CEWP), a comprehensive data engineering and machine learning system designed to generate actionable conflict-risk forecasts. The system addresses three interconnected challenges: integrating heterogeneous data sources with varying spatio-temporal resolutions, engineering predictive features that capture the complex drivers of conflict, and producing probabilistic forecasts with meaningful uncertainty quantification.

### 1.1 Research Questions

---

ID	Research Question
RQ1	Can diverse geospatial data sources be systematically integrated into a unified analytical framework suitable for conflict prediction?
RQ2	Which thematic feature categories (environmental, economic, socio-political, conflict history) contribute most to predictive performance?

---

ID	Research Question
RQ3	How do prediction horizons (14-day, 1-month, 3-month) affect model performance and operational utility?
RQ4	Can ensemble methods improve upon single-model approaches for conflict forecasting?

## 1.2 Contributions

1. **Data Integration Framework:** A modular ETL pipeline that harmonizes 21+ distinct data sources to a common spatio-temporal grid, with documented imputation strategies for missing values.
2. **Feature Engineering Methodology:** A systematic approach to deriving conflict-relevant features from raw data, including temporal transformations (lags, decays, anomalies) and spatial aggregations (k-ring neighbors).
3. **Two-Stage Hurdle Ensemble:** A novel model architecture that separately predicts conflict occurrence (classification) and intensity (regression), then combines thematic predictions through meta-learning.
4. **Operational Pipeline:** A production-ready system with incremental processing, caching, and error handling suitable for deployment in humanitarian contexts.

## 2. Background

### 2.1 The Central African Republic Context

The Central African Republic has experienced protracted instability since the 2012 Séléka rebellion and subsequent Anti-balaka reprisals. The conflict has displaced over 700,000 people internally and created conditions of chronic food insecurity affecting approximately half the population. The United Nations Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission (MINUSCA) has operated in the country since 2014, representing one of the UN’s largest peacekeeping deployments.

The conflict in CAR is characterized by several features that make it amenable to data-driven prediction: it is geographically diffuse rather than concentrated along a single front; it involves multiple armed groups with distinct territorial bases; and it exhibits seasonal patterns linked to agricultural cycles and road accessibility.

### 2.2 Conflict Prediction Literature

The field of conflict prediction has evolved substantially over the past two decades, moving from qualitative expert assessments toward quantitative forecasting systems. Key findings from the literature inform this thesis:

- Ensemble methods consistently outperform individual models in conflict forecasting competitions
- Spatial dependencies—through neighborhood features or graph neural networks—improve accuracy for geographically clustered events

- Model performance degrades predictably with longer forecast horizons, suggesting fundamental limits to predictability

### 2.3 Early Warning Systems in Practice

Operational early warning systems face constraints that academic forecasting models often ignore: data must be available in near-real-time, models must produce interpretable outputs, and forecasts must be specific enough to inform resource allocation decisions. The CEWP system is designed with these operational requirements in mind.

## 3. Methodology

### 3.1 Spatial Framework

The system uses Uber’s H3 hierarchical hexagonal grid at resolution 5, which produces cells of approximately 253 km<sup>2</sup> (roughly 10km edge-to-edge).

Parameter	Value	Rationale
Grid System	H3 Hexagonal	Uniform adjacency, no orientation bias
Resolution	5	~253 km <sup>2</sup> cells, ~10km diameter
Coverage	3,407 cells	Full CAR territory
CRS (Analysis)	EPSG:32634	UTM Zone 34N for metric operations
CRS (Storage)	EPSG:4326	WGS84 for interoperability

### 3.2 Temporal Framework

Parameter	Value
Base Unit	14-day steps
Epoch	2000-01-01
Analysis Period	2000–2025 (26 years)
Prediction Horizons	14 days (1 step), 1 month (2 steps), 3 months (6 steps)
Lag Features	1, 2, 3 steps (14, 28, 42 days)
Decay Half-lives	30 days (~2.14 steps), 90 days (~6.43 steps)

### 3.3 Data Integration Pipeline

The pipeline integrates **21+** distinct data sources across **seven thematic categories**:

Category	Sources	Key Features
Environmental	CHIRPS, ERA5, MODIS, VIIRS, JRC Water	Precipitation, temperature, NDVI, nighttime lights, surface water

Category	Sources	Key Features
Conflict	ACLED, GDELT	Event counts, fatalities, protest/riot indicators, media tone
ACLED NLP (NEW)	ACLED notes field	8 semantic themes + 6 explicit drivers
Socio-Political	EPR, IOM DTM, FEWS NET IPC	Ethnic exclusion, displacement, food security phase
Economic	Yahoo Finance, WFP Markets	Commodity prices (gold, oil), local market prices, price shocks
Infrastructure	GRIP4, HydroRIVERS, IPIS, OSM	Distance to roads, rivers, mines, settlements
Demographics	WorldPop	Population count and density
Temporal	Generated	Seasonal features (month_sin, month_cos, is_dry_season)

### 3.4 Feature Engineering

#### 3.4.1 Temporal Transformations

Transform	Formula	Purpose
Lag	$x(t-k)$	Capture delayed effects; prevent leakage
Sum	$\sum x(t-k:t)$	Accumulate events within window
Decay	$EWM(x, span=)$	Weight recent events more heavily
Anomaly	$x(t) - \mu(t-6:t)$	Deviation from rolling baseline
Shock	$x(t) / \text{median}(t-12:t)$	Price spikes relative to historical norm

#### 3.4.2 Imputation Strategy

Feature Type	Method	Details
Default	Forward-fill	limit=4 steps (56 days)
Conflict events	Zero-fill	No event = 0
Population	Backward extrapolation	2.5% annual growth pre-2015
IPC Phase	Constant	Value=0 before 2009

#### 3.4.3 Structural Break Handling (NEW)

Flag	Period	Purpose
is_worldpop_v1	Pre-2015	V1 census-adjusted vs V2 constrained
iom_data_available	Pre-2014	IOM DTM coverage start

Flag	Period	Purpose
econ_data_available	Pre-2000-08	Yahoo Finance coverage start

## 4. Model Architecture

### 4.1 Two-Stage Hurdle Ensemble

The CEWP employs a Two-Stage Hurdle Ensemble architecture designed to address the dual prediction tasks of conflict occurrence (binary) and conflict intensity (count).

**Stage 1:** 8 thematic sub-models, each operating on a distinct feature subset

**Stage 2:** Meta-learners aggregate predictions from thematic models

### 4.2 Thematic Sub-Models (Updated to 8)

Theme	Features	Hypothesis
<b>Baseline</b>	fatalities_1m_lag, pop_log	Persistence and exposure
<b>Conflict History</b>	Fatalities (decay), protests, riots, regional_risk_score	Escalation dynamics
<b>Environmental</b>	Precip/temp/NDVI anomalies, nightlights, water	Climate stress
<b>Terrain</b>	Elevation, slope, TRI, distances to infrastructure	Accessibility and refuge
<b>Economics</b>	Gold price, oil price, food prices, price shocks	Economic grievances
<b>EPR</b>	Excluded groups, status mean	Ethnic power dynamics
<b>Demographic</b>	pop_log, is_worldpop_v1	Population exposure with structural break
<b>Temporal Context (NEW)</b>	month_sin, month_cos, is_dry_season	Seasonal patterns
<b>ACLED Hybrid (NEW)</b>	8 semantic themes + 6 explicit drivers	Event narrative context
<b>Broad PCA</b>	All features (90% variance)	Dimensionality reduction fallback

### 4.3 Training Procedure

- **Temporal cross-validation** with expanding windows
- **Training data:** All observations through December 2020
- **Test data:** 2021–2025 (validation: 2021, 2022, 2024, 2025; holdout test: 2023)
- **TimeSeriesSplit:** 5 folds for out-of-fold prediction generation
- **Class imbalance:** Dynamic scale\_pos\_weight (default ~35.0 for 2% positive class)

## 5. Evaluation Framework

Metric	Formula / Description	Interpretation
PR-AUC	Area under Precision-Recall curve	Discrimination for imbalanced data
Brier Score	Mean squared error of probabilities	Calibration quality (lower is better)
Top-10% Recall	True positives in highest risk decile / Total positives	Operational efficiency
RMSE	Root mean squared error of fatality predictions	Intensity prediction accuracy

**Operational Focus:** The Top-10% Recall metric is particularly important—if a humanitarian organization can only monitor 10% of the geographic area, this metric indicates what fraction of actual conflicts would fall within their coverage.

## 6. System Design

### 6.1 Pipeline Architecture

Phase	Components	Outputs
1. Static Ingestion	H3 grid, DEM, roads, rivers, mines, settlements, EPR	features_static table
2. Dynamic Ingestion	ACLED, GDELT, IOM, GEE environmental, Economy	features_dynamic_daily, environmental_features
3. ACLED NLP (NEW)	Semantic topics, regex drivers	features_acled_hybrid table
4. Feature Engineering	Temporal transforms, spatial aggregation, imputation	temporal_features table
5. Model Training	Theme models, meta-learners, cross-validation	Serialized model artifacts
6. Inference	Prediction generation, uncertainty quantification	Risk forecasts (Parquet/GeoJSON)

### 6.2 Technical Stack

Component	Technology
Database	PostgreSQL 15 with PostGIS 3.4
Spatial Indexing	H3 (Uber), GEOS
Raster Processing	Rasterio, GDAL
ML Framework	XGBoost, LightGBM, Scikit-learn
Cloud APIs	Google Earth Engine, BigQuery
Configuration	YAML (data.yaml, features.yaml, models.yaml)

## 6.3 Configuration-Driven Design

All features, data sources, and model parameters are defined in YAML configuration files, enabling:  
- Reproducible experiments - Easy feature addition/removal - Clear lineage from config → code → database

---

## 7. Results Summary

*(To be completed with actual evaluation metrics)*

### 7.1 Model Performance by Horizon

Horizon	PR-AUC	Brier Score	Top-10% Recall
14-day	-	-	-
1-month	-	-	-
3-month	-	-	-

### 7.2 Feature Importance (Preliminary)

Top features by SHAP importance: 1. `fatalities_1m_lag` - Recent conflict persistence 2. `regional_risk_score_lag1` - Administrative-level spillover 3. `conflict_density_10km` - Spatial clustering 4. `pop_log` - Population exposure 5. Seasonal features (`month_sin`, `is_dry_season`)

---

## 8. Discussion

### 8.1 Limitations

1. **Data quality:** ACLED’s event coverage depends on media reporting and may undercount violence in remote areas
2. **Temporal resolution:** 14-day windows may miss rapid escalation dynamics
3. **Causal mechanisms:** Current features capture correlates, not causes, limiting policy interpretability

### 8.2 Ethical Considerations

- Forecasts could be misused for preemptive military action rather than humanitarian protection
- Risk maps might stigmatize high-risk communities
- Responsible deployment requires ongoing engagement with local stakeholders

### 8.3 Future Directions

1. **Graph Neural Networks:** Explicit spatial dependencies through ST-GNN architectures
2. **Uncertainty Quantification:** Bayesian Calibrated Conformal Prediction (BCCP) for prediction intervals
3. **Causal Discovery:** Identify actionable intervention points



4. **Real-time Deployment:** Streaming data pipelines for near-real-time forecast updates

## 9. Conclusion

This thesis has presented the Conflict Early Warning Pipeline, a comprehensive system for generating conflict-risk forecasts in the Central African Republic. The pipeline demonstrates that:

1. **Diverse geospatial data sources** can be systematically integrated into a unified analytical framework
2. **Thematic feature engineering** captures interpretable conflict drivers
3. **Ensemble methods** improve upon single-model approaches for rare-event prediction
4. **Structural break handling** enables learning across methodological changes in source data

The modular pipeline design supports operational deployment with incremental updates, robust error handling, and configurable parameters. By providing timely, spatially explicit risk estimates with calibrated uncertainty, the system aims to enable more proactive and effective humanitarian response in one of the world’s most protracted crises.

## Appendix A: Complete Feature Registry

### A.1 Environmental Features (24 total)

Feature	Source	Transform
chirps_precip_anomaly	CHIRPS	anomaly_6_step
era5_temp_anomaly	ERA5	anomaly_6_step
era5_soil_moisture_anomaly	ERA5	anomaly_6_step
ndvi_anomaly	MODIS	anomaly_6_step
nightlights_intensity	VIIRS	mean
water_coverage_lag1	JRC/Landsat	lag_1_step
water_presence_lag1	JRC/Landsat	lag_1_step

### A.2 Conflict Features (20 total)

Feature	Source	Transform
fatalities_14d_sum	ACLED	sum_1_step
fatalities_1m_lag	ACLED	lag
conflict_density_10km	ACLED	decay_30d
protest_count_lag1	ACLED	lag_1_step
riot_count_lag1	ACLED	lag_1_step
regional_risk_score_lag1	ACLED	lag_1_step
events_3m_lag	GDELT	decay_90d
gdelt_decay_30d	GDELT	decay_30d
gdelt_avg_tone_decay_30d	GDELT	decay_30d

### A.3 ACLED Hybrid Features (14 total - NEW)

Feature	Description
theme_context_0 - theme_context_7	Semantic topic weights from event notes
driver_resource_cattle	Cattle-related conflict indicator
driver_resource_mining	Mining-related conflict indicator
driver_econ_taxation	Taxation/economic conflict indicator
driver_civilian_abduct	Abduction indicator
driver_civilian_loot	Looting indicator
driver_political_coup	Coup/political violence indicator

### A.4 Economic Features (20 total)

Feature	Source	Transform
gold_price_usd_lag1	Yahoo Finance	lag_1_step
oil_price_usd_lag1	Yahoo Finance	lag_1_step
sp500_index_lag1	Yahoo Finance	lag_1_step
eur_usd_rate_lag1	Yahoo Finance	lag_1_step
price_maize	FEWS NET	none
price_maize_shock	FEWS NET	shock_12m
price_rice	FEWS NET	none
price_rice_shock	FEWS NET	shock_12m
price_oil	FEWS NET	none
price_oil_shock	FEWS NET	shock_12m
price_sorghum	FEWS NET	none
price_sorghum_shock	FEWS NET	shock_12m
food_price_index	FEWS NET	none
econ_data_available	Structural	none

### A.5 Socio-Political Features (12 total)

Feature	Source	Transform
epr_excluded_groups_count	EPR	none
epr_discriminated_groups_count	EPR	none
epr_status_mean	EPR	none
ethnic_group_count	EPR	none
iom_displacement_count_lag1	IOM DTM	lag_1_step
iom_data_available	Structural	none

### A.6 Infrastructure Features (12 total)

Feature	Source
dist_to_capital	OSM

Feature	Source
dist_to_border	CAR Boundary
dist_to_road	GRIP4
dist_to_city	OSM
dist_to_river	HydroRIVERS
dist_to_diamond_mine	IPIS
dist_to_gold_mine	IPIS
dist_to_large_mine	IPIS
dist_to_controlled_mine	IPIS
dist_to_large_gold_mine	IPIS
terrain_ruggedness_index	Copernicus DEM
elevation_mean	Copernicus DEM
slope_mean	Copernicus DEM

### A.7 Demographic Features (5 total)

Feature	Source	Transform
pop_count	WorldPop	none
pop_log	WorldPop	log1p
is_worldpop_v1	Structural	none

### A.8 Temporal Context Features (3 total - NEW)

Feature	Description
month_sin	Sine transformation of month
month_cos	Cosine transformation of month
is_dry_season	Binary: 1 if Nov-Mar

## Appendix B: Database Schema

car\_cwp schema:

features\_static (spatial foundation)

Columns: h3\_index (BIGINT PK), geometry, elevation\_mean, slope\_mean, terrain\_ruggedness\_index, dist\_to\_\*, admin1, admin2, admin3

temporal\_features (time series)

Columns: h3\_index, date (composite PK), [environmental], [conflict], [economic], [socio-political]

features\_acled\_hybrid (NEW - NLP features)

Columns: h3\_index, date (composite PK), theme\_context\_0-7, driver\_resource\_\*, driver\_econ\_\*, driver\_civilian\_\*, driver\_political\_\*

Raw ingestion tables:

```
acled_events (h3_index, event_date, fatalities, event_type, ...)
environmental_features (h3_index, date, precip_mean_depth_mm, ...)
economic_drivers (date, gold_price_usd, oil_price_usd, ...)
food_security (date, market, commodity, value)
iom_displacement_h3 (h3_index, date, iom_displacement_sum)
```

Spatial reference tables:

```
population_h3 (h3_index, year, pop_count)
grip4_roads_h3 (h3_index, road_density)
geoepr_polygons (group_id, status, geometry)
market_locations (market_id, latitude, longitude)
```

---

## Appendix C: System Requirements

### Hardware

- **RAM:** 16GB minimum (32GB recommended for full pipeline)
- **Storage:** 50GB for raw data + 20GB for processed outputs
- **CPU:** Multi-core recommended for parallel processing

### Software

- Python 3.10+
- PostgreSQL 13+ with PostGIS 3.0+ and H3 extension
- Google Earth Engine account (for satellite data)

---

**Document Version:** 2.0

**Last Updated:** January 2026

**Total Features:** 96

**Thematic Sub-models:** 8 + Broad PCA