Spotify Playlist Challenge

Project Proposal

Brendan Ryan University of Colorado Boulder Boulder Colorado USA brry3186@colorado.edu Christopher Lescinskas University of Colorado Boulder Boulder Colorado USA chle8754@colorado.edu Matthew Martin University of Colorado Boulder Boulder Colorado USA mama4085@colorado.edu

ABSTRACT

This document outlines the project plan for datamining on the Spotify Million Playlist Dataset (MPD) to determine at what confidence a playlist can be generated/extended from a given prompt. This plan will detail the problem statement/motivation, provide a literature survey or related work, propose work that the team will perform, provide the reference to the dataset, describe the planned evaluation method of the datamining, list any tools that will be used by the team and their purpose, and provide a milestone of activities to be performed.

1 Problem Statement/Motivation

There is a great deal of value in discovering patterns of like users for platforms like Spotify. The ability to provide suggestions to users and auto-generate playlists, with a high rate of user acceptance, provides stickiness and value to the user. The more value that the user experiences from this kind of app, the more likely they are to continue to pay for service and recommend to other users. According to Digital Media Association's Annual Music Report (March 2018)

"54% of music consumers report that playlists are replacing albums for them." [1]

With this level of engagement, providing playlist with high user acceptance is vital to maintaining engagement with users and staying relevant in the digital music delivery space.

In this project, we imagine ourselves in the role of the streaming music provider, seeking to improve engagement by providing a feature to suggest new songs or artists for a user to listen to. Our primary goal is to provide suggested extensions to a playlist prompt. We are also curious about trends that may be discernable within the data, such as whether a song tends to appear at the beginning or end of a playlist, the likelihood for two songs to appear on the same playlist, or the degree to which a playlist has varied albums or artists will also be explored.

2 Literature Survey

Ludwig et al. (2018) describe a method for music recommendation using the MPD that combines multiple analyses into a hybrid model. The team used Item-Based Collaborative Filtering (ITEM-CF), Session-based Nearest Neighbors (S-KNN), and other analyses to generate initial models for recommendation. Models were then combined using techniques such as filling weighting and switching. Results and effectiveness calculations are given for all base and hybrid models.

Kelen et al. (2018) also submitted to the 2018 RecSys challenge using the MPD. Their approach focused on k-nearest-neighbor analysis to recommend additional tracks. The team then used different methods to improve their model such as amplification, weighting by inverse item frequency and weighting by item position.

As both papers are submissions to the 2018 RecSys challenge, they are directly related to our work and demonstrate the work that has been done thus far on the dataset.

3 Proposed Work

Our team will evaluate the dataset provided as a part of the Alcrowd *Spotify Million Playlist Dataset Challenge*^[2] and perform datamining to generate suggested playlists (based off of a small prompt) that have a high correlation to historical user generated playlists. We will also explore relative placement of songs in playlists to determine if there is any meaningful order of song placement within a playlist.

We plan to evaluate the dataset for basic statistics (total unique song count, frequency of songs, average playlist length, etc.). We will use this as a starting point for understanding the data that we have.

We will use 2/3 of the data set in our modeling and split off 1/3 of our data set to use as validation of our model

as a means of classifier/predictor evaluation per *Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques*. [3]

We will explore different pattern evaluation methods to determine a good fit for our data e.g. Market Basket Analysis, Apriori Algorithm, K-nearest neighbor classification, etc. Our current assumption is that songs that frequently appear together in user generated playlists will receive a higher acceptance from users as playlist extensions when given the prompt of one or more of the songs. We will start our evaluation with the assumption that song title is the only parameter to determine correlation. Should this method prove unfruitful, or should we have sufficient time, we will explore if other parameters provided by the dataset to determine if there is a better predictor.

4 Data Set

Our dataset can be found here: https://www.aicrowd.com/challenges/spotify-million-playlist-dataset-challenge, and has the following statistics:

Metric	Value
Number of Playlists	1,000,000
Number of Tracks	66,346,428
Number of Unique Tracks	2,262,292
Number of Unique Albums	734,684
Number Of Unique Artists	295,860
Number Of Unique Titles	92,944
Number Of Playlists with Descriptions	18,760
Number Of Unique Normalized Titles	17,381
Avg Playlist Length	66.346

Attribute Name	Attribute Type	Value
pid	Ordinal	Integer
name	Nominal	String
description	Nominal	String
num_artists	Numeric – Ratio-scaled	Integer
num_albums	Numeric – Ratio-scaled	Integer
num_tracks	Numeric – Ratio-scaled	Integer
duration_ms	Numeric – Ratio-scaled	Integer
collaborative	Binary	Boolean
track_name	Nominal	String
album_name	Nominal	String
artist_name	Nominal	String
pos	Ordinal	Integer (zero-based)

The dataset, built by researchers at Spotify, is sampled from more than 4 billion public playlists on Spotify, created between January 2010 and November 2017. Unfortunately, some "fictitious tracks" have been introduced to playlists by the authors of the dataset, as well as some "cherry picking" to filter out "offensive" content, so no real-world conclusions can be drawn from the dataset. Regardless, the dataset is still amenable to data mining techniques and will provide a sound platform to explore the methods that we have been exposed to in this course.

5 Evaluation Methods

1/3 of the original data set will be segregated to use for evaluations. We will assume that a suggested playlist extension should have a high correlation to a user generated playlist. We will evaluate matches of our suggested algorithm to the segregated data through methods like support-confidence framework. We would expect a relatively high correlation between our suggested playlist and a user generated playlist that has the same prompt song(s). One of the measures we will use to determine this will be lift.

We will also explore other means for visualizing and evaluating the results of our suggested playlist extension such as its fit in K-nearest neighbors. This may be a secondary evaluation method to understand if there are natural clustering of songs. If this behavior is found to exist, it could make suggested playlist extensions a function of finding the K-nearest neighbors from the prompt song(s).

To determine if there is a common positional placement of a song in a playlist, we will start by exploring basic statistics on the dataset. Presumably if there is no bias towards the beginning or the end of a playlist for any given song, then they should fall within some normal distribution around the mean length of a playlist. If, however, we find that certain songs fall significantly outside of normal variation of mean, then it would be an indication that there is a strong preference for that song to either be at the front or end of a playlist.

6 Tools

GitHub – Will be the primary storage and version management system for this project. It will house the dataset, code used to evaluate the dataset, and versioning of reports and visualizations.

Jupyter/Python3 – Will be the working environment for building and testing code related to dataset evaluation.

Tableau – Will be used to build data visualizations as needed to support findings.

MPD – Check.py to confirm that the dataset is correct/uncorrupted. Print.py and Show.py to print and show a subset of the data respectively. Stats.py and Deeper_stats.py to iterate through MPD and show summary information.

SQLite/MySQL - To query data as needed.

7 Milestones

Below is the proposed milestone schedule for this project.

Data set basic statistics

(practice accessing data and get basic statistics of data set)	31-Oct-22
Apriori Algorithm (1st cut)	11-Nov-22
Data Analysis Complete and Tuned	18-Nov-22
Project Final Report Draft	18-Nov-22
Progress Report	28-Nov-22
Project Final Report	8-Dec-22
Project Code and Descriptions	8-Dec-22
Project Presentation	8-Dec-22
Peer Evaluation	8-Dec-22

Figure 1: Proposed Milestone Schedule

REFERENCES

- DiMA. Digital Medial Association: Annual Music Report; A MIDIA Research Report. (March 2018). Retrieved October 16, 2022 from https://dima.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/DiMA-Streaming-Forward-Report.pdf
- Alcrowd. Spotify Million Playlist Dataset Challenge: A dataset and open-ended challenge for music recommendation research. Retrieved October 16, 2022 from https://www.aicrowd.com/challenges/spotify-million-playlist-dataset-challenge
- [3] Jiawei Han, Micheline Kamber, Jian Pei "Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques", 3rd Edition, Morgan Kaufmann, 2011.
- [4] Ludewig, M., Kamehkhosh, I., Landia, N., & Jannach, D. (2018). Effective nearest-neighbor music recommendations. In *Proceedings of the ACM Recommender Systems Challenge 2018* (pp. 1-6).]
- [5] Kelen, D. M., Berecz, D., Béres, F., & Benczúr, A. A. (2018). Efficient K-NN for playlist continuation. In *Proceedings of the ACM Recommender Systems Challenge 2018* (pp. 1-4).