First order condition of the pareto problem gives

$$\lambda \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial \tau_i} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\partial G_j}{\partial \tau_i} = f(\tau_i, \lambda) = 0$$

and analogously for  $\tau_i$ . IFT implies there exists a function  $\tau_i(\lambda)$  with

$$\tau_i'(\lambda) = -\frac{\frac{\partial f(\tau_i, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda}}{\frac{\partial f(\tau_i, \lambda)}{\partial \tau_i}} = -\frac{\frac{\partial G_i}{\partial \tau_i} - \frac{\partial G_j}{\partial \tau_i}}{\lambda \frac{\partial^2 G_i}{\partial \tau_i^2} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\partial^2 G_j}{\partial \tau_j^2}}$$

and

$$\tau_j'(\lambda) = -\frac{\frac{\partial f(\tau_j, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda}}{\frac{\partial f(\tau_j, \lambda)}{\partial \tau_j}} = -\frac{\frac{\partial G_i}{\partial \tau_j} - \frac{\partial G_j}{\partial \tau_j}}{\lambda \frac{\partial^2 G_i}{\partial \tau_j^2} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\partial^2 G_j}{\partial \tau_j^2}}$$

The numerators are positive and negative respectively. The denominators are not unambiguously signed. Concavity of the objective requires

$$\lambda \frac{\partial^2 G_i}{\partial \tau_i^2} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\partial^2 G_j}{\partial \tau_j^2} + \lambda \frac{\partial^2 G_i}{\partial \tau_j^2} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\partial^2 G_j}{\partial \tau_j^2} < 0$$

So  $\lambda \frac{\partial^2 G_i}{\partial \tau_i^2} + (1-\lambda) \frac{\partial^2 G_j}{\partial \tau_j^2} > 0 \implies \lambda \frac{\partial^2 G_i}{\partial \tau_j^2} + (1-\lambda) \frac{\partial^2 G_j}{\partial \tau_j^2} < 0$ . This yields three possibilities. If both denominators are negative, then  $\tau_i(\lambda) > 0$  and  $\tau_j(\lambda) < 0$  (as desired). If the top denominator is positive then  $\tau_i(\lambda) < 0$  and  $\tau_j(\lambda) < 0$ . If the bottom denominator is positive then  $\tau_i(\lambda) > 0$  and  $\tau_j(\lambda) > 0$ .

Conjecture: Either denominator positive implies corner solution  $\tau_i^* = 1$  or  $\tau_i^* = 1$ .