

# Problem Set 4

## Complex Analysis

Bennett Rennie  
bennett@brennier.com

October 10, 2018

**Ex 1** Define  $g : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $g(z) = \frac{z+1}{z-1}$  and let  $f(z) = e^{g(z)}$ .

- a) Prove that  $f$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{D}$ .
- b) Fix  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ . Decide if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t + (1-t)a)$  exists, and if so compute it.
- c) Prove that  $\frac{e^{it}+1}{e^{it}-1} = -i \cot(t/2)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \notin \{2\pi k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  [sic].
- d) Decide if  $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} f(e^{i\theta})$  exists and if so, compute it.
- e) Decide if  $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^-} f(e^{i\theta})$  exists and if so, compute it.

*Proof.*

- a) We note that  $g(z)$  is a Möbius Transform such that

$$\begin{aligned} g(-1) &= \frac{0}{-2} = 0 \\ g(1) &= \frac{2}{0} = \infty \\ g(i) &= \frac{i+1}{i-1} = \frac{-(i+1)^2}{(i-1)(-i-1)} = \frac{-(-1+1+2i)}{2} = -i \\ g(0) &= \frac{1}{-1} = -1, \end{aligned}$$

which means  $g$  maps that unit circle onto the imaginary axis and that it maps the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  onto the half plane defined by  $\{z : \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 0\}$ . This means that for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$

$$|f(z)| = |e^{g(z)}| = |e^{\operatorname{Re}(g(z)) + i \operatorname{Im}(g(z))}| = |e^{\operatorname{Re}(g(z))}| |e^{i \operatorname{Im}(g(z))}| = |e^{\operatorname{Re}(g(z))}| = e^{\operatorname{Re}(g(z))} \leq e^0 = 1.$$

This proves that  $f$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{D}$ ; in fact, it maps  $\mathbb{D}$  back inside  $\mathbb{D}$ .

- b) We note that  $g$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ , which means  $f$  is also continuous on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ . Since  $t + (1-t)a \subseteq \mathbb{D}$  for  $t \in [-\varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon]$  and  $1 \notin \mathbb{D}$ , we have by continuity that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t + (1-t)a) = f\left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t + (1-t)a\right) = f(a) = e^{\frac{a+1}{a-1}}.$$

- c) We recall that  $\cos(t) = \frac{e^{it} + e^{-it}}{2}$  and  $\sin(t) = \frac{e^{it} - e^{-it}}{2i}$ . This means that for  $t \notin \{\pi k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} -i \cot(t) &= -i \frac{\cos(t)}{\sin(t)} = -i \frac{\left(\frac{e^{it} + e^{-it}}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{e^{it} - e^{-it}}{2i}\right)} = -i \left(\frac{e^{it} + e^{-it}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2i}{e^{it} - e^{-it}}\right) = \frac{e^{it} + e^{-it}}{e^{it} - e^{-it}} \\ &= \frac{e^{it} + e^{-it}}{e^{it} - e^{-it}} \frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}} = \frac{e^{2it} + 1}{e^{2it} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\frac{e^{it} + 1}{e^{it} - 1} = -i \cot(t/2)$  for  $t \notin \{2\pi k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  as we wanted.

- d) By the previous part, we know that

$$f(e^{i\theta}) = e^{\frac{e^{i\theta} + 1}{e^{i\theta} - 1}} = e^{-i \cot(t/2)}.$$

Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} -\cot(t/2)$  tends to  $-\infty$ ,  $\cot(\pi/2) = \frac{\cos(\pi/2)}{\sin(\pi/2)} = 0$ , and  $\cot$  is continuous, there exists a monotonically decreasing sequence  $t_n \in (0, \pi]$  such that  $\cot(t_n) = -n \cdot \pi$ . This means that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(e^{it_n}) = e^{-i \cot(t_n)} = e^{in\pi} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ -1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Since  $t_n$  is a sequence approaching 0 from the right and  $f(e^{it_n})$  doesn't converge, it cannot be the case that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(e^{it})$  converges.

- e) Similar to the previous part, so  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} -\cot(t/2)$  tends to  $\infty$ ,  $\cot(-\pi/2) = 0$ , and  $\cot$  is continuous, there exists a monotonically increasing sequence  $t_n \in [-\pi, 0)$  such that  $\cot(t_n) = n \cdot \pi$ . This means that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(e^{it_n}) = e^{-i \cot(t_n)} = e^{-in\pi} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ -1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Since  $t_n$  is a sequence approaching 0 from the left and  $f(e^{it_n})$  doesn't converge, it cannot be the case that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} f(e^{it})$  converges.  $\square$

**Ex 2** Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be analytic.

- Suppose that  $f$  is nonconstant. Suppose that  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $f(z_0) \neq 0$ . Show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a point  $z \in B_\varepsilon(z_0)$  with  $|f(z)| < |f(z_0)|$ .
- Suppose that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = \infty$ . Show that there is a  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  so that  $f(z_0) = 0$ . [Hint: show that there is a point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  at which  $|f(z_0)| = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |f(z)|$ .]
- Explain why the previous parts imply that every nonconstant polynomial with complex coefficients has a root.

*Proof.*

- Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $z_0 = 0$  (we can simply translate  $f$  if need be). Since  $f$  is analytic, we have that  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ . We know that  $0 \neq f(0) = a_0$ . If all the other  $a_n$ 's are zero, then  $f$  is constant which is a contradiction. Thus, there is a least positive integer  $j$  such that  $a_j \neq 0$ . This means that

$$f(z) = a_0 + a_j z^j + \sum_{n>j} a_n z^n.$$

Choose  $r$  small enough so that  $r \sum_{n>j} |a_n| < |a_j|$ . If we let  $\tilde{f}(z) = a_0 + a_j z^j$ , we see that

$$\tilde{f}(B_r(0)) = a_0 + a_j B_r(0)^j = a_0 + a_j B_{r^j}(0) = a_0 + B_{|a_j|r^j}(0) = B_{|a_j|r^j}(a_0).$$

We want an element in this image which is as close to the origin as possible. We see that within the ball  $B_{|a_j|r^j}(a_0)$ , we can get arbitrarily close to an element with modulus  $|a_0| - |a_j|r^j$ . More precisely, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $z_0 \in B_r(0)$  such that  $|\tilde{f}(z_0)| < |a_0| - |a_j|r^j + \varepsilon$ . Using this, we see that for  $z_0 \in B_r(0)$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z_0)| &= \left| \tilde{f}(z_0) + \sum_{n>j} a_n z_0^n \right| = |\tilde{f}(z_0)| + \sum_{n>j} |a_n| |z_0|^n < |a_0| - |a_j|r^j + \varepsilon + \sum_{n>j} |a_n| r^n \\ &\leq |a_0| - |a_j|r^j + \varepsilon + r^{j+1} \sum_{n>j} |a_n| = |a_0| + r^j \left( r \sum_{n>j} |a_n| - |a_j| \right) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We note that we chose  $r$  so that  $r \sum_{n>j} |a_n| - |a_j| < 0$ . Thus, we can choose  $\varepsilon$  small enough so that  $|f(z_0)| < |a_0| = |f(0)|$ . We note that the claim still holds for any  $r'$  such that  $0 < r' \leq r$ . This means that for any ball around 0, there will always be a smaller one of radius  $r'$  such that there's an element  $z \in B_{r'}(0)$  where  $|f(z)| < |f(z_0)|$ .

- b) Consider the compact sets  $C_n = \overline{B_n(0)}$ . Since  $C_n$  is compact and  $|f|$  is continuous, we see that  $|f|$  achieves its infimum on this set, meaning there's some  $t_n \in C_n$  such that  $|f(t_n)| = \inf\{|f(t)| : t \in C_n\}$ . Since  $C_n \subseteq C_{n+1}$ , we know that either  $t_{n+1} \in C_{n+1} \setminus C_n$  or that  $t_{n+1} = t_n$ . This means if we consider the sequence  $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , either the sequence goes to infinity or is eventually constant. Suppose that the sequence goes to infinity. Then since  $|f(t_{n+1})| \leq |f(t_n)|$ , we have that  $|f(t_n)| < |f(t_1)|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This would make  $(|f(t_n)|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a bounded sequence, meaning  $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  goes to infinity, but  $(|f(t_n)|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not, a contradiction to our assumption. Thus, it must be that  $t_n$  is eventually constant. Let  $z_0$  be this constant. Since by definition  $|f(z_0)| = \inf\{|f(t)| : t \in C_n\}$  for all  $C_n$ , we have that  $|f(z_0)| = \inf\{|f(t)| : t \in \mathbb{C}\}$ . By part (a), if  $f(z_0) \neq 0$ , there's some ball  $B_\varepsilon(z_0)$  and a  $z \in B_\varepsilon(z_0)$  such that  $|f(z)| < |f(z_0)|$ . This contradicts  $|f(z_0)|$  being the infimum of  $f$ . Thus, it must be that  $|f(z_0)| = 0$ .
- c) Let  $p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$  be a nonconstant polynomial with complex roots. This is a finite power series, so  $p$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$ . We see that by the Triangular inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} |p(z)| &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \right| \geq \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} |a_n z^n| - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a_k z^k| = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| |z|^n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a_k| |z|^k \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| |z| - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a_k| |z|^{k-n+1} = \left( \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| |z| \right) - \left( \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a_k| |z|^{k-n+1} \right) \\ &= \infty - |a_{n-1}| = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This means we can apply part (b) to show that there exists an  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $p(z_0) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Ex 3** Let  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and evaluate

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{\bar{s}}{s - z} ds.$$

*Proof.* We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\bar{s}}{s-z} ds &= \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{s}{s} \cdot \frac{\bar{s}}{s-z} ds = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{|s|^2}{s(s-z)} ds = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{s(s-z)} ds = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{-1/z}{s} + \frac{1/z}{s-z} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \left( \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{s-z} ds - \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{s} ds \right).\end{aligned}$$

We know that for a function  $f$  holomorphic on  $\overline{B_r(z_0)}$  we have for all  $z \in B_r(z_0)$ ,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_r(z_0)} \frac{f(s)}{s-z} ds.$$

In this case, if we take  $f$  to be the holomorphic constant function 1, then since 0 and  $z$  are in  $B_1(0) = \mathbb{D}$  we get that

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{s-z} ds = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{s} ds = 2\pi i.$$

This proves that

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\bar{s}}{s-z} ds = \frac{1}{z} \left( \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{s-z} ds - \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{s} ds \right) = \frac{1}{z} (2\pi i - 2\pi i) = 0. \quad \square$$

**Ex 4** Let  $U, V$  be open sets,  $u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  harmonic [sic],  $f : U \rightarrow V$  holomorphic such that  $f''$  exists and is continuous. Show that  $u \circ f$  is harmonic.

*Proof.* Let  $z_0 \in U$ . Since  $V$  is open, there is some  $r > 0$ , such that  $B_r(f(z_0)) \subseteq V$ . Since  $B_r(f(z_0))$  is convex and  $u$  is harmonic on this ball, we know that  $u$  has some harmonic conjugate, meaning there exists some holomorphic function  $g : B_r(f(z_0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $g(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$ .

As  $f$  is continuous,  $f^{-1}(B_r(f(z_0)))$  is open, so we can define the holomorphic restriction  $\tilde{f} = f|_{f^{-1}(B_r(f(z_0)))}$ . Since the composition of holomorphic functions is holomorphic we know that  $g \circ \tilde{f}$  is holomorphic and that  $\operatorname{Re}(g \circ \tilde{f}) = \operatorname{Re}((u \circ \tilde{f}) + i(v \circ \tilde{f})) = u \circ \tilde{f}$ . Thus,  $u \circ \tilde{f}$  is the real part of some holomorphic function, proving that  $u \circ \tilde{f}$  is harmonic. Since this is the restriction of  $u \circ f$  onto an open neighborhood of  $z_0$  and being harmonic is a local property, this means that  $u \circ f$  is harmonic at  $z_0$ . Since  $z_0$  arbitrary, we have that  $u \circ f$  is harmonic.  $\square$

**Ex 5** Let  $\Omega$  be open and suppose that there is a conformal map  $\phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ . Prove that every harmonic  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has a harmonic conjugate.

*Proof.* By Ex 4, we know that the composition  $u \circ \phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is harmonic. Since  $\mathbb{D}$  is a convex set, this means that  $u \circ \phi$  has a harmonic conjugate, that is there is a holomorphic function  $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $g = (u \circ \phi) + iv$ . Since  $\phi$  is conformal, we know  $\phi^{-1}$  is well-defined and also holomorphic. Thus,

$$g \circ \phi^{-1} = (u \circ \phi \circ \phi^{-1}) + i(v \circ \phi^{-1}) = u + i(v \circ \phi^{-1})$$

is a holomorphic function. As  $u$  is the real part of this holomorphic function, we have proven that  $u$  has a harmonic conjugate.  $\square$

**Ex 6** Define  $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $f(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + \frac{1}{z})$ .

- a) Let  $C_r$  denote the circle of radius  $r$  centered at the origin. Show that if  $r \neq 1$  then  $f(C_r)$  is an ellipse. Find the center and equation of the ellipse. Show that  $f(C_1) = [-1, 1]$ .

- b) Show that  $f|_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}}$  is injective and that  $f(\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$ .
- c) Use  $f$  to find a conformal map from  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$  to  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ .
- d) Fix  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $f(\{re^{i\theta} : r > 0\})$  is a hyperbola.

*Proof.*

- a) We see that in polar coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} f(re^{i\theta}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( re^{i\theta} + \frac{1}{re^{i\theta}} \right) = \frac{r}{2} (\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)) + \frac{1}{2r} (\cos(\theta) - i \sin(\theta)) \\ &= \left( \frac{r + r^{-1}}{2} \right) \cos(\theta) + i \left( \frac{r - r^{-1}}{2} \right) \sin(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

This means for a fixed  $r \in (0, 1)$ ,  $f(C_r(0))$  is simply an ellipse centered at the origin. Furthermore, when  $r = 1$ , we have that  $\frac{r-r^{-1}}{2} = \frac{1-1}{2} = 0$  and  $\frac{r+r^{-1}}{2} = \frac{1+1}{2} = 1$ , so we get that  $f(e^{i\theta}) = \cos(\theta)$ , which means  $f(C_1) = [-1, 1]$ .

- b) Suppose  $re^{i\theta} \neq se^{i\phi}$  where  $r, s > 1$ . If these two numbers have different radii. Then without loss of generality, we may assume that  $r > s > 1$ . This would mean that

$$r - \frac{1}{r} > s - \frac{1}{r} > s - \frac{1}{s}$$

and that

$$r - s > \frac{r - s}{sr} \implies r - s > \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{r} \implies r + \frac{1}{r} > s + \frac{1}{s}.$$

Thus,  $f(re^{i\theta})$  lies on an ellipse with strictly larger axes than  $f(se^{i\phi})$ . Thus proves that  $f(re^{i\theta}) \neq f(se^{i\phi})$ . On the other hand, if  $r = s$ , then it must be that  $\theta \neq \phi + 2\pi k$ . This means that  $f(re^{i\theta})$  and  $f(se^{i\phi})$  lie on the same ellipse, but with different angles. Once again, this proves that  $f(re^{i\theta}) \neq f(se^{i\phi})$ . Thus,  $f$  is injective on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ .

To prove that  $f(\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$  we will see that  $f \circ g$  is the identity where  $g(z) = z - \sqrt{z^2 - 1} = z - e^{1/2 \log z^2 - 1}$  where the branch cut of  $\log$  is the nonpositive real numbers and  $\log(1) = 0$ . We note that  $g$  is defined for all  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$  under this branch cut. Since we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ g)(z) &= f(z - \sqrt{z^2 - 1}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( z - \sqrt{z^2 - 1} + \frac{1}{z - \sqrt{z^2 - 1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( z - \sqrt{z^2 - 1} + \frac{z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1}}{z^2 - (z^2 - 1)} \right) = z. \end{aligned}$$

- c) Since  $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$  is surjective and injective,  $f$  is a bijection and has an inverse. As the  $g$  in the previous part is holomorphic and the right inverse of  $f$ , by uniqueness of inverses,  $g$  is a holomorphic inverse of  $f$ . This proves that  $f$  and  $g$  are conformal. We see that the Möbius Transformation  $\phi(z) = \frac{1}{z}$  inverts elements across the unit circle as  $|\phi(z)| = |1/z| = 1/|z|$ . This means that  $\phi$  is a conformal map from  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  to  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus,  $\phi \circ g$  is a conformal map from  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$  to  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ .

d) We see that

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(re^{i\theta}))^2 = \left( \frac{r+r^{-1}}{2} \cos(\theta) \right)^2 = \frac{r^2 + r^{-2} + 2}{4} \cos^2(\theta)$$

and that

$$\operatorname{Im}(f(re^{i\theta}))^2 = \left( \frac{r-r^{-1}}{2} \sin(\theta) \right)^2 = \frac{r^2 + r^{-2} - 2}{4} \sin^2(\theta).$$

This means that for a fixed  $\theta$ , we have the equation

$$\frac{\operatorname{Re}(f(re^{i\theta}))^2}{\cos(\theta)^2} - \frac{\operatorname{Im}(f(re^{i\theta}))^2}{\sin(\theta)^2} = \frac{r^2 + r^{-2} + 2}{4} - \frac{r^2 + r^{-2} - 2}{4} = 4/4 = 1$$

which proves that  $f(\{re^{i\theta} : r > 0\})$  is a hyperbola for a fixed  $\theta$ .  $\square$

**Ex 7** Let  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be  $C^1$  and  $f : \gamma([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous. By completing the following outline, show that  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) |dz| = \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) |\gamma'(t)| dt$ .

a) Let  $s_1 < s_2$  be elements of  $[a, b]$  and set  $v = \sup_{x, y \in [s_1, s_2]} |\gamma'(x) - \gamma'(y)|$ . Prove that

$$\left| |\gamma(s_2) - \gamma(s_1)| - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} |\gamma'(t)| dt \right| \leq 2v(s_2 - s_1).$$

b) Use (a) and a modification of our proof that  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt$  to show that  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) |dz| = \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) |\gamma'(t)| dt$ .

*Proof.*

a) We note that for a fixed  $w \in [s_1, s_2]$ , we have that

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} |\gamma'(w)| dt = |\gamma'(w)| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} dt = |\gamma'(w)|(s_2 - s_1) = |\gamma'(w)(s_2 - s_1)| = \left| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \gamma'(w) dt \right|.$$

We also recall the following facts about integrals, absolute values, and the fundamental theorem of calculus:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(s_2) - \gamma(s_1) &= \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \gamma'(t) dt \\ ||u| - |w|| &\leq |u - w| \\ \left| \int f(t) dt \right| &\leq \int |f(t)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using these tricks (some multiple times), we have that for an arbitrary fixed  $w \in [s_1, s_2]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| |\gamma(s_2) - \gamma(s_1)| - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} |\gamma'(t)| dt \right| = \left| \left| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \gamma'(t) dt \right| - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} |\gamma'(t)| dt \right| \\
&= \left| \left| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \gamma'(t) dt \right| - \left| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \gamma'(w) dt \right| + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} |\gamma'(w)| dt - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} |\gamma'(t)| dt \right| \\
&\leq \left| \left| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \gamma'(t) dt \right| - \left| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \gamma'(w) dt \right| \right| + \left| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} |\gamma'(w)| dt - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} |\gamma'(t)| dt \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \gamma'(t) dt - \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \gamma'(w) dt \right| + \left| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} |\gamma'(w)| - |\gamma'(t)| dt \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} (\gamma'(t) - \gamma'(w)) dt \right| + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} ||\gamma'(w)| - |\gamma'(t)|| dt \\
&\leq \int_{s_1}^{s_2} |\gamma'(t) - \gamma'(w)| dt + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} |\gamma'(w) - \gamma'(t)| dt \\
&\leq \int_{s_1}^{s_2} v dt + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} v dt = 2v(s_2 - s_1)
\end{aligned}$$

as we wanted.

- b) Let  $M_1 = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |\gamma'(t)|$ ,  $M_2 = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |f(\gamma(t))|$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the continuity of  $f \circ \gamma$  and of  $\gamma'$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|t_1 - t_2| < \delta \implies |f(\gamma(t_1)) - f(\gamma(t_2))| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$|t_1 - t_2| < \delta \implies |\gamma'(t_1) - \gamma'(t_2)| < \varepsilon.$$

Now fix a partition  $p = \{s_k\}_{0 \leq k \leq n}$  such that  $|s_k - s_{k-1}| < \delta$ . We note that any refinement of  $P$  also has the property that its step sizes are less than  $\delta$ . We also let  $v_k = \sup_{x, y \in [s_{k-1}, s_k]} |\gamma'(x) - \gamma'(y)|$  and note that  $v_k < \varepsilon$  by our choice of partition. With all this, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) |\gamma'(t)| dt - \sum_{k=1}^n f(\gamma(\tau_k)) |\gamma(s_k) - \gamma(s_{k-1})| \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} f(\gamma(t)) |\gamma'(t)| dt - f(\gamma(\tau_k)) |\gamma(s_k) - \gamma(s_{k-1})| \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} f(\gamma(t)) |\gamma'(t)| dt - f(\gamma(\tau_k)) \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} |\gamma'(t)| dt \right| \\
&\quad + \left| f(\gamma(\tau_k)) \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} |\gamma'(t)| dt - f(\gamma(\tau_k)) |\gamma(s_k) - \gamma(s_{k-1})| \right| \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} (f(\gamma(t)) - f(\gamma(\tau_k))) |\gamma'(t)| dt \right| + \left| f(\gamma(\tau_k)) \left( \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} |\gamma'(t)| dt - |\gamma(s_k) - \gamma(s_{k-1})| \right) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} |f(\gamma(t)) - f(\gamma(\tau_k))| |\gamma'(t)| dt + |f(\gamma(\tau_k))| 2v_k(s_k - s_{k-1}) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} \varepsilon M_1 dt + 2M_2 \varepsilon (s_k - s_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n (M_1 + 2M_2)(s_k - s_{k-1}) \varepsilon = (M_1 + 2M_2)(b - a) \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Since this same reasoning works for any refinement of  $P$ , it must be that

$$\left| \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) |\gamma'(t)| dt - \int_{\gamma} f(z) |dz| \right| < (M_1 + 2M_2)(b-a)\varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, we have that

$$\int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) |\gamma'(t)| dt = \int_{\gamma} f(z) |dz|$$

as desired. □