## Problem Set 6 Abstract Algebra II

## Bennett Rennier barennier@gmail.com

January 15, 2018

## Section 10.4

**Ex 2** Show that the element " $2 \otimes 1$ " is 0 in  $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  but is nonzero in  $2\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* In  $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , we get that  $2 \otimes 1 = (1 \cdot 2) \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes (2 \cdot 1) = 1 \otimes 0 = 0$ , since 2 = 0 in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

To prove that it's nonzero in  $2\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . We construct the bilinear set map  $f: 2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  by f(x,y) = x. We see that this induces a module homomorphism  $\tilde{f}$  from  $2\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$  which agrees with f. Thus,  $\tilde{f}(2 \otimes 1) = f(2,1) = 2$ . Since every homomorphism sends 0 to 0, this proves that  $2 \otimes 1 \neq 0$ .

- **Ex 3** Show that  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$  are both left  $\mathbb{R}$ -modules, but are not isomorphic as  $\mathbb{R}$ -modules.
- **Ex 4** Show that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}$  are isomorphic left  $\mathbb{Q}$ -modules. [Show they are both 1-dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .]
- **Ex 5** Let A be a finite abelian group of order n and let  $p^k$  be the largest power of the prime p dividing n. Prove that  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$  is isomorphic to the Sylow p-subgroup of A.
- **Ex 6** If R is any integral domain with quotient field Q, prove that  $(Q/R) \otimes_R (Q/R) = 0$ .
- **Ex 11** Let  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  be a basis of  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Show that the element  $e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1$  in  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  cannot be written as a simple tensor  $v \otimes w$  for any  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .
- **Ex 13** Prove that the usual dot product of vectors defined by letting  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \cdot (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$  be  $a_1b_1 + \cdots + a_nb_n$  is a bilinear map from  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- **Ex** 14 Let I be an arbitrary nonempty index set and for each  $i \in I$  let  $N_i$  be a left R-module. Let M be a right R-module. Prove the group isomorphism:  $M \otimes (\bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i) \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in I} (M \otimes N_i)$ .
- **Ex 24** Prove that the extension of scalars from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to the Gaussian integers  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  of the ring  $\mathbb{R}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$  as a ring:  $\mathbb{Z}[i] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ .
- **Ex 25** Let R be a subring of the commutative ring S and let x be an indeterminate over S. Prove that S[x] and  $S \otimes_R R[x]$  are isomorphic as S-algebras.

## **Additional Problems**

**Ex A** Let M be a fixed right R-module. Let R-mod denote the category of left R-modules and AbGroups denote the category of Abelian groups. Define T: R-mod  $\to$  AbGroups on objects by  $T(N) = M \otimes_R N$ . Define T on morphisms and show that it is a functor. You don't have to do it, but notice that we can also use the tensor product to define a functor from right R-modules to AbGroups.

**Ex B** Now assume that M is a fixed (S, R)-bimodule. Show that  $M \otimes_R N$  is a left S-module and that T from part A defines a functor to the category of left S-modules. Even more generally, please verify in this case that we can use T to define a functor from (R, T)-bimodules to (S, T)-bimodules.

**Ex** C Let R be a commutative ring and let M and N be left R-modules viewed as bimodules in the standard way. Show that  $M \otimes_R N$  is an R-module and use the universal property to show that  $M \otimes_R N \simeq N \otimes_R M$ .

**Ex D** Let M be a right R-module, N a (R, S)-bimodule, and U a left S-module. Use the universal property to show that

$$(M \otimes_R N) \otimes_S U \simeq M \otimes_R (N \otimes_S U)$$

as abelian groups. You don't have to write it up, but do notive that if M is a left module for some ring and/or U is a right module for some ring, then this isomorphism preserves these structures.