

# Problem Set 7

## Real Analysis I

Bennett Rennier  
barennier@gmail.com

January 15, 2018

**Ex 5.1** Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a measurable space,  $f$  is a real-valued function, and  $\{x \mid f(x) > r\} \in \mathcal{A}$  for each rational number  $r$ . Prove that  $f$  is measurable.

*Proof.* For any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , we see that from the denseness of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\{x \in X \mid f(x) > a\} = \bigcap_{q \in (a, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}} \{x \in X \mid f(x) > q\}$$

Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable, the intersection is a countable intersection of elements of the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus, the intersection is in  $\mathcal{A}$ , proving that  $f$  is measurable.  $\square$

**Ex 5.2** Let  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that for every  $x \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $r > 0$  and a Borel measurable function  $g$ , both depending on  $x$ , such that  $f$  and  $g$  agree on  $(x - r, x + r) \cap (0, 1)$ . Prove that  $f$  is Borel measurable.

*Proof.* We see that for any  $n \geq 2$

$$\left[\frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}\right] \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in (0, 1)} (x - r_x, x + r_x)$$

where  $r_x$  is the  $r$  in the question that depends in  $x$ . By compactness, there must be a finite subcovering. Let's denote it by  $\{(x_i - r_i, x_i + r_i) \mid 0 < i \leq m\}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $g_i$  be the Borel measurable set that agrees with  $f$  on the interval  $(x_i - r_i, x_i + r_i)$ . We see then that for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$

$$B_i = \{x \in (x_i - r_i, x_i + r_i) \mid f(x) > a\} = \{x \in (x_i - r_i, x_i + r_i) \mid g_i(x) > a\}$$

is a Borel set. Thus,

$$C_n = \{x \in \left[\frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}\right] \mid f(x) > a\} = \left[\frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}\right] \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i$$

is a Borel set for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Finally, we see that

$$\{x \in (0, 1) \mid f(x) > a\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$$

is a Borel set for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . This proves that  $f$  is Borel measurable.  $\square$

**Ex 5.3** Suppose  $f$  is measurable and  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x$ . Let  $g(x) = 1/f(x)$ . Prove that  $g$  is a measurable function.

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is positive, then it's clear that  $g$  is also positive. Thus, if  $a \leq 0$ , then  $\{x \in X \mid g(x) > a\} = X \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $a > 0$ , we see that

$$\{x \in X \mid g(x) > a\} = \{x \in X \mid f(x) < \frac{1}{a}\}$$

which is in  $\mathcal{A}$ , by Proposition 5.5. This proves that  $g$  is measurable.  $\square$

**Ex 5.4** Suppose  $f_n$  are measurable functions. Prove that a

$$A = \{x \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \text{ exists} \}$$

is a measurable set.

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.8, we know that  $\limsup f_n$  and  $\liminf f_n$  are both measurable functions, if they are finite. Also, if they are both finite, then by Proposition 5.7,  $\limsup f_n - \liminf f_n$  is measurable as well. It follows that

$$A_1 = \{x \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \text{ exists and is finite} \} = \{x \mid \limsup f_n(x) - \liminf f_n(x) = 0\}$$

$$A_2 = \{x \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \infty\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \{x \mid \liminf f_n(x) > i\}$$

$$A_3 = \{x \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = -\infty\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \{x \mid \liminf f_n(x) < -i\}$$

are measurable sets. Thus,  $A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$  is also measurable.  $\square$

**Ex 5.8** Give an example of a collection of measurable non-negative functions  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  such that if  $g$  is defined by  $g(x) = \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha(x)$ , then  $g$  is finite for all  $x$  but  $g$  is non-measurable. ( $A$  can be uncountable.)

*Proof.* Consider  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{A})$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra. Let  $E$  be the Vitali set constructed in a past chapter. For each  $e \in E$ , let  $f_e = \chi_{\{e\}}$ . Then, we see that each  $f_e$  is measurable as sets comprising one point are null sets and hence measurable. It's clear to see that, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$g(x) = \sup_{e \in E} f_e(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in E \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin E \end{cases}$$

and so  $g \in \chi_E$ , which is non-measurable.  $\square$

**Ex 5.9** Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lebesgue measurable and  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. Prove that  $g \circ f$  is Lebesgue measurable. Is this true if  $g$  is Borel measurable instead of continuous? Is this true if  $g$  is Lebesgue measurable instead of continuous?

*Proof.* If  $g$  is continuous, then it is Borel measurable by Proposition 5.6. If  $g$  is Borel measurable and  $f$  is Lebesgue measurable and if  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , we see that  $(g \circ f)^{-1}((a, \infty)) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}((a, \infty)))$ . By Proposition 5.11,  $g^{-1}((a, \infty))$  is a Borel set, and by the same proposition, we see that  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}((a, \infty)))$  is Lebesgue measurable. This answers the first two parts. Now we will give a counterexample to the last question.

Let  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the Cantor-Lebesgue function and let  $\psi(x) = x + \varphi(x)$ . It is clear that  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 2]$ . Since  $\varphi$  is continuous and  $x$  is continuous, this means that  $\psi$  is continuous as well. Since  $\varphi$  is monotonically increasing,  $\psi$  is strictly increasing, which implies injectivity. Since  $\psi$  is continuous and  $\psi(0) = 0$  and  $\psi(1) = 2$ , then  $\psi$  is surjective as well. Finally, the continuity of  $\psi^{-1}$  follows from it is the inverse of a continuous bijection between compact sets.

Now, let  $C$  be the Cantor set in  $[0, 1]$ . Recall that  $\varphi$  is constant on open intervals contained in the complement of the Cantor set. Thus, if  $I$  is such an interval, then  $m(\psi(I)) = m(I + c_I)$ , where  $c_I$  is the constant given by  $\varphi(x) = c_I$  for all  $x \in I$ . Thus,  $m(\psi(I)) = m(I)$ . The monotonicity and continuity of  $\psi$  shows that disjoint open intervals in  $[0, 1]$  are mapped into disjoint open intervals of  $[0, 2]$ . Thus,  $m(\psi([0, 1] \setminus C)) = m([0, 1] \setminus C) = 1$  which means that  $m(\psi(C)) = 2 - m(\psi([0, 1] \setminus C)) = 1$ . Since  $\psi(C)$  is closed and has positive measure, by Question 4.14, we see that there's a non-measurable set  $D \subseteq \psi(C) \subseteq [0, 2]$ .

Let  $E \subseteq [0, 1]$  where  $E = \psi^{-1}(D)$  and let  $g = \chi_E$ . Since  $D \subseteq \psi(C)$ , we see that  $E \subseteq C$ , and thus  $E$  is a null set and therefore measurable. This proves that  $g$  is a measurable function. Let  $f = \psi^{-1}$  and remember that  $f : [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous. We see that since  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , we have that  $g \circ f : [0, 2] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is the composition of a Lebesgue measurable function and a continuous function. However, a

$$(g \circ f)(x) = \chi_E(f(x)) = \chi_{f^{-1}(E)}(x) = \chi_{\psi(E)}(x) = \chi_D(x)$$

which is clearly non-measurable. This shows that even if  $f$  is continuous and  $g$  is Lebesgue measurable, then  $g \circ f$  is not necessarily Lebesgue measurable.  $\square$