

# Problem Set 2

## Abstract Algebra II

Bennett Rennier  
barennier@gmail.com

January 15, 2018

### Section 7.5

**Ex 2** Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $D$  be a nonempty subset of  $R$  that is closed under multiplication. Prove that the ring of fractions  $D^{-1}R$  is isomorphic to a subring of the quotient field of  $R$  (hence is also an integral domain).

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be the field of fractions of  $R$ , and let  $\varphi : D^{-1}R \rightarrow F$ , where  $\varphi(\frac{r}{d}) = \frac{r}{d}$ . To prove that this is well-defined, suppose that  $\frac{r}{d} = \frac{s}{f}$  in  $D^{-1}R$ . Then we know that  $rf = sd$  in  $R$ , which means that  $\frac{r}{d} = \frac{s}{f}$  in  $F$  as well. This proves that  $\varphi$  is well-defined. We see that  $\varphi(\frac{r}{d} + \frac{s}{f}) = \frac{r}{d} + \frac{s}{f} = \varphi(\frac{r}{d}) + \varphi(\frac{s}{f})$  and that  $\varphi(\frac{r}{d} \cdot \frac{s}{f}) = \varphi(\frac{rs}{df}) = \frac{rs}{df} = \frac{r}{d} \cdot \frac{s}{f} = \varphi(\frac{r}{d})\varphi(\frac{s}{f})$ , which prove that  $\varphi$  is a ring homomorphism.

Let  $\varphi(\frac{r}{d}) = \varphi(\frac{s}{f})$ . This means that  $\frac{r}{d} = \frac{s}{f}$  in  $F$ , which means that  $rf = sd$  in  $R$ , and finally that  $\frac{r}{d} = \frac{s}{f}$  in  $D^{-1}R$ . This proves that  $\varphi$  is an injective homomorphism, meaning that  $D^{-1}R$  is isomorphic to a subring of  $F$ . Since  $F$  is an integral domain, so must  $D^{-1}R$ .  $\square$

**Ex 3** Let  $F$  be a field. Prove that  $F$  contains a unique smallest subfield  $F_0$  and that  $F_0$  is isomorphic to either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  for some prime  $p$ .

*Proof.* Every field must contain at least 0 and 1. Since a field is closed under addition, this smallest subfield must contain the additive subgroup generated by 1. This means the smallest field contains either  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  for some prime  $p$  (it must be prime as  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  has zero divisors). If it contains  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then it must contain all the inverses of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and thus must be  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If it contains  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , then we were done, as  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is already a field. This proves the statement.  $\square$

**Ex 5** If  $F$  is a field, prove that the field of fractions of  $F[[x]]$  (the ring of formal power series in the indeterminate  $x$  with coefficients in  $F$ ) is the ring  $F((x))$  of Laurent series. Show the field of fractions of the power series ring  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  is properly contained in the field of Laurent series  $\mathbb{Q}((x))$ .

*Proof.* [Incomplete. I was very sick over the weekend.]  $\square$

**Ex 6** Prove that the real numbers,  $\mathbb{R}$ , contain a subring  $A$  with  $1 \in A$  and  $A$  maximal under inclusion with respect to the property that  $\frac{1}{2} \notin A$ . [Use Zorn's Lemma]

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be the set of all subrings of  $\mathbb{R}$  which contain 1 but do not contain  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a ring which contains 1 but does not contain  $\frac{1}{2}$ , we see that  $S$  is nonempty. Let  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_3 \subseteq \dots$  be a chain in  $S$ , and let  $A = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$ . We have proved previously that  $A$  is a subring of  $R$ . Note that  $1 \in A$ , as  $1 \in A_1$ . If  $\frac{1}{2} \in A$ , then that means that  $\frac{1}{2} \in A_i$  for some  $i$ . This is a contradiction, so  $\frac{1}{2} \notin A$ . This proves that  $A \in S$ , and we see that  $A$  is an upper bound for this given chain. By Zorn's Lemma,  $S$  contains a maximal element, which completes the proof.  $\square$

## Section 7.6

**Ex 1** An element  $e \in R$  is called an idempotent if  $e^2 = e$ . Assume  $e$  is an idempotent in  $R$  and  $er = re$  for all  $r \in R$ . Prove that  $Re$  and  $R(1 - e)$  are two-sided ideals of  $R$  and that  $R \simeq Re \times R(1 - e)$ . Show that  $e$  and  $1 - e$  are identities for the subrings  $Re$  and  $R(1 - e)$  respectively.

*Proof.* We see that  $Re + Re = (R + R)e = Re$ , that  $R \cdot Re = RRe = Re$ , and that  $Re \cdot R = ReR = RRe = Re$ , which proves that  $Re$  is a two-sided ideal. Similarly,  $R(1 - e) + R(1 - e) = (R + R)(1 - e) = R(1 - e)$ ,  $R \cdot R(1 - e) = RR(1 - e) = R(1 - e)$ , and  $R(1 - e) \cdot R = R(1 - e)R = R(R - eR) = R(R - Re) = RR(1 - e) = R(1 - e)$ , which proves that  $R(1 - e)$  is a two-sided ideal.

Suppose  $x \in Re \cap R(1 - e)$ . This means that  $r_1e = r_2(1 - e)$  for some  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ . This would mean that  $r_1e = r_2 - r_2e$ . Multiplying on the right by  $e$ , gets us that  $r_1e^2 = r_2e - r_2e^2$ , which means that  $r_1e = r_2e - r_2e = 0$ , and thus that  $x = 0$ . This shows that  $Re \cap R(1 - e)$  is trivial. If we let  $r \in R$ , then we see that  $re + r(1 - e) = re + r - re = r$ , and thus that  $Re + R(1 - e) = R$ . This proves using the recognition theorems for internal direct products that  $\varphi : Re \times R(1 - e) \rightarrow R$  where  $\varphi(a, b) = a + b$  is a group isomorphism over the additive part of the rings.

Now let  $(r_1e, r_2(1 - e))$  and  $(r_3e, r_4(1 - e))$  be elements of  $Re \times R(1 - e)$ . We see that  $\varphi((r_1e, r_2(1 - e))(r_3e, r_4(1 - e))) = \varphi((r_1r_3e, r_2r_4(1 - e))) = r_1r_3e + r_2r_4(1 - e) = r_1r_3e^2 + r_2r_4(1 - e)^2 = r_1er_3e + r_1r_4(e - e^2) + r_3r_2(e - e^2) + r_2(1 - e)r_4(1 - e) = (r_1e + r_2(1 - e))(r_3e + r_4(1 - e)) = \varphi((r_1e, r_2(1 - e)))\varphi((r_3e, r_4(1 - e)))$ , which shows that  $\varphi$  respects the multiplicative structure of the rings as well, and thus that  $\varphi$  is a ring isomorphism.

We see that for all  $re \in Re$  that  $ree = re^2 = re$  and that  $ere = ree = re^2 = re$ , which proves that  $e$  is the identity in  $Re$ . We also see that for all  $r(1 - e) \in R(1 - e)$  that  $r(1 - e)(1 - e) = r(1 - e)^2 = r(1 - 2e + e^2) = r(1 - 2e + e) = r(1 - e)$  and similarly for the other side. This proves that  $1 - e$  is the identity for  $R(1 - e)$ .  $\square$

**Ex 2** Let  $R$  be a finite Boolean Ring with identity  $1 \neq 0$ . Prove that  $R \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* This will be a proof by induction. If  $|R| = 2$ , then  $R \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  trivially (as it's the only ring with two elements). Now let  $|R| = n + 1$  and assume that every boolean ring with cardinality between 2 and  $n$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k$  for some  $k$ . Now, since

$|R| > 2$  then there exists an element  $e$  not equal to 0 or 1 where  $e^2 = e$ , by definition of being a Boolean Ring. By the previous exercise, this means that  $R \simeq Re \times R(1 - e)$ . We see that  $Re$  and  $R(1 - e)$  are not zero ideals, as that would mean that  $e = 0$  or  $e = 1$  respectively. Thus, the cardinality of  $Re$  and  $R(1 - e)$  is less than  $n + 1$ . By the induction hypothesis, this means that  $Re \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k$  and  $R(1 - e) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m$  for some  $m$  and  $k$ . Thus,  $R \simeq Re \times R(1 - e) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{k+m}$ . This proves the statement.  $\square$

**Ex 5** Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  be integers which are relatively prime in pairs:  $\gcd(n_i, n_j) = 1$  for all  $i \neq j$ .

- a) Show that the Chinese Remainder Theorem implies that for any  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is a solution  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  to the simultaneous congruences

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{n_1}, \quad x \equiv a_2 \pmod{n_2}, \quad \dots, \quad x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k}$$

and that the solution  $x$  is unique mod  $n = n_1 n_2 \dots n_k$ .

- b) Let  $n'_i = n/n_i$  be the quotient of  $n$  by  $n_i$ , which is relatively prime to  $n_i$  by assumption. Let  $t_i$  be the inverse of  $n'_i \pmod{n_i}$ . Prove that the solution  $x$  in (a) is given by

$$x \equiv a_1 t_1 n'_1 + a_2 t_2 n'_2 + \dots + a_k t_k n'_k \pmod{n}$$

Note that the elements  $t_i$  can be quickly found by the Euclidean Algorithm as described in Section 2 of the Preliminaries chapter (writing  $an_i + bn'_i = \gcd(n_i, n'_i) = 1$  give  $t_i = b$ ) and that these then quickly give the solutions to the system of congruences above for any choice of  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$ .

- c) Solve the simultaneous system of congruences

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \quad x \equiv 2 \pmod{25}, \quad x \equiv 3 \pmod{81}$$

and the simultaneous system

$$y \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \quad y \equiv 12 \pmod{25}, \quad y \equiv 47 \pmod{81}$$

*Proof.* a) Since the  $n_i$  are pairwise coprime, this means that the  $(n_i)$  are pairwise comaximal. Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we get a surjective map  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \prod \mathbb{Z}/(n_i)$  which has  $(\prod n_i)$  for its kernel. Let  $(a_i) \in \prod \mathbb{Z}/(n_i)$ . Since  $\varphi$  is surjective, then there exists an element  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\varphi(x) = (a_i)$ . Using the First Isomorphism Theorem, we see that this  $x$  is unique up to mod  $\prod n_i$ .

- b) We see that  $\varphi(x) = (\sum a_i t_i n'_i)$ . We see that the  $j$ th coordinate of  $\varphi(x)$  is  $\sum a_i t_i n'_i \pmod{n_j}$ . By the definition of  $n'_i$ , we see that  $n_j$  divides  $n'_i$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Thus, the  $j$ th coordinate of  $\varphi(x) = a_j t_j n'_j = a_j \pmod{n_j}$ , as  $t_j$  was defined as the inverse of  $n'_j \pmod{n_j}$ . This proves that  $\varphi(x) = (a_i)$ , which proves the statement.

- c) We see that  $n_1 = 8$ ,  $n_2 = 25$ , and  $n_3 = 81$  are definitely pairwise coprime. Let  $n'_1 = 25 \cdot 81$ ,  $n'_2 = 8 \cdot 81$ , and  $n'_3 = 8 \cdot 25$ . Since  $n'_1 = 1 \pmod{8}$ ,  $n'_2 = 23 = -2 \pmod{25}$ , and  $n'_3 = 38 \pmod{81}$ , this means that  $t_1 = 1$ ,  $t_2 = 12$ , and  $t_3 = 32$  as  $38 \cdot 32 - 15 \cdot 81 = 1$ . This means that  $x = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 25 \cdot 81 + 2 \cdot 12 \cdot 8 \cdot 81 + 3 \cdot 32 \cdot 8 \cdot 25 = 4377 \pmod{8 \cdot 25 \cdot 81}$ .

Using the same constants, we see that  $y = 5 \cdot 1 \cdot 25 \cdot 81 + 12 \cdot 12 \cdot 8 \cdot 81 + 47 \cdot 32 \cdot 8 \cdot 25 = 15437 \pmod{8 \cdot 25 \cdot 81}$ .

□

**Ex 7** Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $n$  dividing  $m$ . Prove that the natural surjective ring projection  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is also surjective on the units:  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .

*Proof.* [Incomplete]

□

## Additional Problems

**Ex A** A commutative ring  $R$  with 1 is said to be Noetherian if it has the property that every ascending chain of ideals

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots$$

eventually stabilizes. That is, if there is a  $N > 0$  such that  $I_k = I_N$  for all  $k \geq N$ . Prove that every PID is Noetherian.

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a PID, and let  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots$  be an ascending chain of ideals. Then  $I = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} I_i$  is also an ideal. Since  $R$  is a PID, this means that  $I = (\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in R$ . Since  $\alpha \in I = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} I_i$  then there is an  $N$  such that  $\alpha \in I_N$ . Let  $k \geq N$ . Then, this means that  $\alpha \in I_k$ , which proves that  $I \subseteq I_k$ . Since  $I_k \subseteq I$  by the definition of  $I$ , this proves that  $I_k = I = I_N$  for all  $k \geq N$ . □

**Ex B** Prove that a commutative ring  $R$  with 1 is Noetherian if and only if every nonempty set of ideals in  $R$  has a maximal element (where as usual the partial ordering is given by inclusion).

*Proof.* Suppose  $R$  is a commutative ring with 1 where every nonempty set of ideals has a maximal element. Let  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$  be a chain of ideals. This means that there must be a maximal element among  $\{I_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , say  $I_N$ . Since  $I_N$  is maximal, for all  $k \geq N$ , we see that  $I_k \subseteq I_N$  and since  $\{I_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a chain, we also get that  $I_N \subseteq I_k$ . This proves that  $I_N = I_k$  for all  $k \geq N$ , and thus that  $R$  is Noetherian.

Now suppose that  $R$  is a commutative Noetherian Ring with 1, and let  $S = \{I_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be a nonempty set of ideals. Let  $\{I_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a chain under inclusion in  $S$ . Since  $R$  is Noetherian, there is an  $N$  such that  $I_N = I_k$  for all  $k \geq N$ . Thus,  $I_N$  is an upper bound of this chain. By Zorn's Lemma, this proves that there is a maximal element in  $S$ , and thus that every nonempty set of ideals in  $R$  has a maximal element. □

**Ex C** Prove that a commutative Ring  $R$  with 1 is Noetherian if and only if every ideal is finitely generated.

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a commutative Ring with 1 where every ideal is finitely generated, and let  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$  be a chain of ideals in  $R$ . Let  $I = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} I_i$ . We've already proven before that  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ . Since every ideal in  $R$  is finitely generated, this means that  $I = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This means that there are ideals  $I_{n_i}$  such that  $\alpha_i \in I_{n_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Since all these ideals fall on a chain, the union of all of them is one of the elements themselves. Let  $I_N$  be this element. Since  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in I_N$ , this means that  $I \subseteq I_N$  and thus that  $I = I_N$ . The same is true for all  $I_k$  where  $k \geq N$ . This proves that  $I_k = I = I_N$  for all  $k \geq N$ , and thus that  $R$  is Noetherian.

Let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian ring with 1 and let  $I \leq R$  be an ideal with no finite generating set. Let  $a_1 \in I$ . Since  $I$  has no finite generating set, this means that  $I \setminus (a_i)$  is nonempty. Let  $a_2 \in I \setminus (a_i)$ . Similarly, let  $a_3 \in I \setminus (a_1, a_2)$ , and so on. We see that

$$(a_1) \subseteq (a_1, a_2) \subseteq (a_1, a_2, a_3) \subseteq \dots$$

is a an ascending chain of ideals. Since  $R$  is Noetherian, this means that for some  $N$ ,  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$  for all  $k \geq N$ . However, we specifically picked  $a_k$  for all  $k \geq N$  to not be in  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N)$ . This is a contradiction. Thus,  $I$  must be finitely generated.  $\square$