

# Problem Set 2

## Homological Algebra

Bennett Rennier  
bennett@brennier.com

February 25, 2019

**Ex 1** Let  $I$  be a directed set and  $(D_i, (f_{ij}))$  be a compatible system of (left)  $R$ -modules. Let  $D'$  be the disjoint union of the  $D_i$  and define a (symmetric) equivalence relation on  $D'$  as follows: For  $d_i \in D_i$  and  $d_j \in D_j$ ,  $d_i \sim d_j$  if and only if there exists a  $k \in I$  with  $i \leq k$ ,  $j \leq k$  such that  $f_{ik}(d_i) = f_{jk}(d_j)$ . We denote by  $[d_i]$  the equivalence class of  $d_i$  and we set  $D'_i := \{[d_i] : d_i \in D_i\}$  and  $D := D' / \sim = \cup_i D'_i$ . We also denote  $f_i : D_i \rightarrow D$  the map which sends  $d_i$  to  $[d_i]$ .

- a) Show that for any  $x, y \in D$ , there exists a  $k \in I$  such that  $x, y \in D'_k$ .
- b) For  $x, y \in D$  and  $r \in R$ , define  $x + y = [a + b]$  and  $rx = [ra]$  whenever  $x, y \in D'_k$  and  $x = [a]$ ,  $y = [b]$ , and  $a, b \in D_k$ . Show that these operations are well-defined and turn  $D$  into an  $R$ -module. Note that  $f_i$  then becomes an  $R$ -module homomorphism.
- c) Prove that the system  $(D, (f_i))$  is the direct limit of the  $D_i$ .
- d) If  $d_i \in D_i$  and  $f_i(d_i) = 0$ , show that there exists a  $j \in I$  with  $i \leq j$  and  $f_{ij}(d_i) = 0$ .

*Proof.*

- a) Let  $x = [a]$  and  $y = [b]$  be elements of  $D$  where  $a \in D_i$  and  $b \in D_j$ . Since  $I$  is a directed set, there is some  $k \in I$  such that  $k \geq i, j$ . This means that  $f_{ik}(a)$  and  $f_{jk}(b)$  are elements of  $D_k$ . Since  $f_{ik}(a) \sim a$  and  $f_{jk}(b) \sim b$ , we have that  $x = [a] = [f_{ik}(a)]$  and  $y = [b] = [f_{jk}(b)]$  are elements of  $D'_k$ .
- b) Let  $x = [a] = [a']$  and  $y = [b] = [b']$  be elements of  $D$ , where  $a, b \in D_i$  and  $a', b' \in D_j$ . Since  $[a] = [a']$  there is some  $k \geq i, j$  such that  $f_{ik}(a) = f_{jk}(a')$ . Similarly, as  $[b] = [b']$ , there is some  $\ell \geq i, j$  such that  $f_{i\ell}(b) = f_{j\ell}(b')$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $k \geq \ell$ . This means that  $f_{\ell k}(f_{i\ell}(b)) = f_{\ell k}(f_{j\ell}(b'))$ , which means that  $f_{ik}(b) = f_{jk}(b')$ . This proves that

$$[a + b] = [f_{ik}(a + b)] = [f_{ik}(a) + f_{ik}(b)] = [f_{jk}(a') + f_{jk}(b')] = [f_{jk}(a' + b')] = [a' + b'].$$

Additionally, if  $x = [a] = [a']$  where  $a \in D_i$  and  $a' \in D_j$ , then there is some  $k \geq i, j$  such that  $f_{ik}(a) = f_{jk}(a')$ . This means that

$$[ra] = [f_{ik}(ra)] = [rf_{ik}(a)] = [rf_{jk}(a')] = [f_{jk}(ra')] = [ra'].$$

This proves that the operations are well-defined, turning  $D$  into an  $R$ -module.

- c) Let  $(E, (e_i))$  be an object  $E$  with compatible morphisms  $e_i : D_i \rightarrow E$ . Now, if we ignore the compatibility relations, then by the universal property of coproducts, there is some map

$\phi : \sqcup_i D_i \rightarrow E$  such that  $\phi \circ f_i = e_i$ . Let  $d_i$  and  $d_j$  be elements such that there exists a  $k \geq i, j$  where  $f_{ik}(d_i) = f_{jk}(d_j)$ . If we apply  $f_k$  to both sides, we get that  $f_i(d_i) = f_j(d_j)$ . If we then compose with  $\phi$  to both sides, we obtain that  $e_i(d_i) = e_j(d_j)$ . This means that  $d_i$  and  $d_j$  are identified in  $E$ . By the universal property of quotients, the map  $\phi : \sqcup_i D_i \rightarrow E$  must factor through  $D$ . This means that  $(D, (f_i)) \rightarrow (E, (e_i))$ , proving that  $(D, (f_i))$  is universal.

- d) If  $f_i(d_i) = [d_i] = 0$  in  $D$ , then this means that there exists a  $k \geq i, j$  such that  $f_{ik}(d_i) = f_{jk}(0) = 0$ . This relation  $f_{ik}(d_i) = 0$  is exactly what we want.  $\square$

**Ex 2** [Continue from Ex 1] Assume that  $(E_i, (g_{ij}))$  is a second compatible system with corresponding direct limit  $(E, (g_i))$ . Also assume there are homomorphisms  $h_i : D_i \rightarrow E_i$  satisfying  $g_{ij}h_i = h_jf_{ij}$  for all  $i < j$ . Reprove, in the current setup, the unique existence of a homomorphism  $h : D \rightarrow E$  satisfying  $g_ih_i = hf_i$  and describe  $h$  explicitly.

*Proof.* Since we need  $h$  to satisfy  $g_ih_i = hf_i$ , we see for  $x = [d_i] \in D$  where  $d_i \in D_i$ , we must have that

$$g_i(h_i(d_i)) = h(f_i(d_i)) = h([d_i]).$$

Thus, we have no choice for how to define  $h$ . All that we must show is that such an  $h$  is well-defined. To do so, suppose that  $[d_i] = [d_j]$  in  $D$ , where  $d_i \in D_i$  and  $d_j \in D_j$ . This means that there exists a  $k \geq i, j$  such that  $f_{ik}(d_i) = f_{jk}(d_j)$ . If we apply  $h_k$  to both sides we get  $h_k(f_{ik}(d_i)) = h_k(f_{jk}(d_j))$ . By our assumptions on  $h_i$ , this means that  $g_{jk}(h_i(d_i)) = g_{jk}(h_j(d_j))$ . If we then apply  $g_k$  to both sides, we obtain that  $g_i(h_i(d_i)) = g_j(h_j(d_j))$ . Thus,  $h([d_i]) = h([d_j])$ , proving that  $h$  is well-defined.  $\square$

**Ex 3** A sequence of direct systems and homomorphisms

$$M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P$$

is *exact* if the corresponding sequence of modules and module homomorphisms is exact for each  $i \in I$ . Show that the sequence  $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P$  of direct limits is then exact.

*Proof.* Let  $(M_i, \mu_{ij})$ ,  $(N_i, \nu_{ij})$ , and  $(P_i, p_{ij})$  be direct systems with direct limits  $(M, \mu_i)$ ,  $(N, \nu_i)$ , and  $(P, p_i)$  respectively. Additionally, let  $\phi_i : M_i \rightarrow N_i$  and  $\psi_i : N_i \rightarrow P_i$  be maps which induce the homomorphisms of direct systems  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  and  $\psi : N \rightarrow P$ . We note that this means the following diagram commutes for all  $i \in I$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_i & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & N_i & \xrightarrow{\psi_i} & P_i \\ \downarrow \mu_i & & \downarrow \nu_i & & \downarrow p_i \\ M & \xrightarrow{\phi} & N & \xrightarrow{\psi} & P \end{array}$$

Now to prove that  $\text{Im}(\phi) \subseteq \ker(\psi)$ , we need only to prove that  $\psi \circ \phi = 0$ . To do this, let  $x \in M$ . By problem 2.15 (done on the previous homework), we know that for some  $i \in I$  and some  $x_i \in M_i$ ,  $x = \mu_i(x_i)$ . Using the diagram above and the fact that  $\psi_i \circ \phi_i = 0$  (since the sequence is exact), we see that

$$(\phi \circ \psi)(x) = (\phi \circ \psi \circ \mu_i)(x_i) = (p_i \circ \psi_i \circ \phi_i)(x_i) = (p_i \circ 0)(x_i) = p_i(0) = 0.$$

This proves that  $\psi \circ \phi = 0$  and so that  $\text{Im}(\phi) \subseteq \ker(\psi)$ .

Proving that  $\ker(\psi) \subseteq \text{Im}(\phi)$  can be a little complicated, so here is a commutative diagram and a diagram tracking a specific element to help understand the proof:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& N_i & \xrightarrow{\psi_i} P_i \\
& \downarrow \nu_{ij} & \downarrow p_{ij} \\
M_j & \xrightarrow{\phi_j} N_j & \xrightarrow{\psi_j} P_j \\
\downarrow \mu_j & \downarrow \nu_j & \downarrow p_j \\
M & \xrightarrow{\phi} N & \xrightarrow{\psi} P
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\left. \begin{array}{c} \text{ } \\ \text{ } \\ \text{ } \end{array} \right\} \nu_i \quad \left. \begin{array}{c} \text{ } \\ \text{ } \\ \text{ } \end{array} \right\} p_i
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & y_i & \xrightarrow{\psi_i} & \psi_i(y_i) \\
& & \downarrow \nu_{ij} & & \downarrow p_{ij} \\
x_j & \xrightarrow{\phi_j} & \nu_{ij}(y_i) & \xrightarrow{\psi_j} & 0 \\
\downarrow \mu_j & & \downarrow \nu_j & & \downarrow p_j \\
x & \xrightarrow{\phi} & y & \xrightarrow{\psi} & 0
\end{array}$$

Now assume that  $y \in \ker(\psi)$ . Using problem 2.15 again, there is some  $i \in I$  and  $y_i \in N_i$  such that  $\nu_i(y_i) = y$ . Now since  $(\psi \circ \nu_i)(y_i) = \psi(y) = 0$ , we have that  $(p_i \circ \psi_i)(y_i) = p_i(\psi_i(y_i)) = 0$ . By Ex 1 (d), there exists a  $j \in I$  with  $i \leq j$  where  $p_{ij}(\psi_i(y_i)) = \psi_j(\nu_{ij}(y_i)) = 0$ . This proves that  $\nu_{ij}(y_i) \in \ker(\psi_j)$ . Since the sequence  $M_j \rightarrow N_j \rightarrow P_j$  is exact, there is some  $x_j \in M_j$  such that  $\phi_j(x_j) = \nu_{ij}(y_i)$ . Let  $x = \mu_j(x_j)$ . We see then that

$$\phi(x) = \phi(\mu_j(x_j)) = \nu_j(\phi_j(x_j)) = \nu_j(\nu_{ij}(y_i)) = \nu_i(y_i) = y.$$

This proves that  $y \in \text{Im}(\phi)$ , meaning  $\ker(\psi) \subseteq \text{Im}(\phi)$ . Thus, the sequence  $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P$  is exact.  $\square$

#### Ex 4

- a) If  $(S, s : S \rightarrow D)$  is the equalizer of two morphisms  $f, g : D \rightarrow E$ , then  $s$  is a monomorphism.
- b) If  $(S, s : E \rightarrow S)$  is the coequalizer of two morphisms  $f, g : D \rightarrow E$ , then  $s$  is an epimorphism.

*Proof.*

- a) Let  $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow S$  such that  $s \circ \phi = s \circ \psi$ . This gives use the commutative diagram

$$A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\psi} \\ \xrightarrow{\phi} \end{array} S \xrightarrow{s} D \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} E.$$

Since  $s \circ \phi : A \rightarrow D$  is a homomorphism such that  $f \circ (s \circ \phi) = g \circ (s \circ \phi)$ , by the universal property of the equalizer, there exists a unique homomorphism  $h$  from  $A$  to  $S$  that makes the above diagram commute. Since this homomorphism is unique, it must be that  $\phi = \psi$ . This proves that  $s$  is a monomorphism.

- b) Let  $\phi, \psi : S \rightarrow A$  be morphisms such that  $\phi \circ s = \psi \circ s$ . This gives use the following commutative diagram:

$$D \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} E \xrightarrow{s} S \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\psi} \\ \xrightarrow{\phi} \end{array} A.$$

Since  $\phi \circ s : E \rightarrow A$  satisfies the equation  $(\phi \circ s) \circ f = (\phi \circ s) \circ g$ , we have by the universal property of the co-equalizer that there exists a unique homomorphism from  $S$  to  $A$  that makes the above diagram commute. Since this homomorphism is unique, it follows that  $\phi = \psi$ . This proves that  $s$  is an epimorphism.  $\square$

**Ex 5** Dually to the push out in 1.3.15(a), construct the pull back in 1.3.15(b), provided that the category  $\mathcal{D}$  has products and equalizers.

*Proof.* Let  $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  are morphisms in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  has products, we let  $P$  with the morphisms  $p_1 : P \rightarrow X$  and  $p_2 : P \rightarrow Y$  be the product of  $X$  and  $Y$ . Now, this gives us maps  $gp_2$  and  $fp_1$  from  $P$  to  $Z$ . Since we know  $\mathcal{D}$  also has equalizers, there exists some  $E$  with a morphism  $e : E \rightarrow P$  such that the following diagram commutes

$$E \xrightarrow{e} P \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{gp_2} \\ \xrightarrow{fp_1} \end{array} Z$$

However, we see that this means that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{p_2e} & Y \\ \downarrow p_1e & & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \end{array}$$

I claim that this  $E$  with the morphisms  $p_1e$  and  $p_2e$  is the desired pushout. All we need to do is prove that it satisfies the universal property. As such, suppose  $Q$  is in  $\mathcal{D}$  with morphisms  $q_1 : Q \rightarrow X$  and  $q_2 : Q \rightarrow Y$  such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{q_2} & Y \\ \downarrow q_1 & & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \end{array}$$

If we just ignore  $Z, f$ , and  $g$  for now, we see that by the universal property of products, there exists some unique  $q : Q \rightarrow P$  such that  $q_1 = p_1q$  and  $q_2 = p_2q$ . If we apply  $f$  to the first equation and  $g$  to the second we get  $f q_1 = f p_1 q$  and  $g q_2 = g p_2 q$ . Since the above diagram shows that  $f q_1 = g q_2$ , we have that  $f p_1 q = g p_2 q$ . But this means that  $Q$  with the morphism  $q$  equalize the maps  $fp_1$  and  $gp_2$ . By the universal property of equalizers, we finally obtain a unique morphism  $q' : Q \rightarrow E$  such that  $gp_2eq' = fp_1eq'$ . But this exactly means that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Q & & & & \\ & \searrow q' & & \searrow q_2 & \\ & & E & \xrightarrow{p_2e} & Y \\ & \searrow q_1 & \downarrow p_1e & & \downarrow g \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \end{array}$$

Since  $q'$  is unique, this exactly proves that  $E$  satisfies the universal property of a pullback. Thus, the category  $\mathcal{D}$  has pullbacks.  $\square$

**Ex 6** Show that the transformation  $t = (t_{MA})$  introduced in Example 1.4.2(b) is natural.

*Proof.* Recall that  $t_{MA} : \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M_{\text{grp}}, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, A^{\#})$  such that  $t_{MA}(\phi) = \phi'$ , where  $\phi'(m)(r) = \phi(r.m)$ . We want to prove that this is a natural transformation between the functors  $F : {}_R\mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  and  $G : \mathbf{Ab} \rightarrow {}_R\mathbf{Mod}$ , where  $F(M) = M_{\text{grp}}$  (that is, we forget the  $R$ -module structure) and  $G(A) = A^{\#} = \text{Hom}_R(R, A)$ . To prove this, we need to show that for any  $R$ -module homomorphism  $f : N \rightarrow M$  and any abelian group homomorphism  $g : A \rightarrow B$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M_{\mathrm{grp}}, A) & \xrightarrow{t_{MA}} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, A^{\#}) \\
\downarrow (F(f), g) & & \downarrow (f, G(g)) \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N_{\mathrm{grp}}, B) & \xrightarrow{t_{NB}} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, B^{\#})
\end{array}$$

where  $(F(f), g)(\phi) = g \circ \phi \circ F(f)$  and  $(f, G(g))(\phi) = G(g) \circ \phi \circ f$ . We note that  $F(f)$  is  $f$  considered as a group homomorphism and  $G(g) : A^{\#} \rightarrow B^{\#}$  is defined by  $G(g)(\phi)(r) = g(\phi(r))$ . This means we need only to show that  $(f, G(g)) \circ t_{MA} = t_{NB} \circ (F(f), g)$ . To do this, let  $\phi : M_{\mathrm{grp}} \rightarrow A$  be some group homomorphism. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
((f, G(g)) \circ t_{MA})(\phi)(n)(r) &= (f, G(g))(t_{MA}(\phi))(n)(r) = (f, G(g))(\phi')(n)(r) = (G(g)(\phi'(f(n))))(r) \\
&= g(\phi'(f(n))(r)) = g(\phi(r.f(n))) = g(\phi(f(r.n)))
\end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
(t_{NB} \circ (F(f), g))(\phi)(n)(r) &= t_{NB}((F(f), g)(\phi))(n)(r) = t_{NB}(g \circ \phi \circ F(f))(n)(r) = (g \circ \phi \circ F(f))(r.n) \\
&= g(\phi(F(f)(r.n))) = g(\phi(f(r.n))),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the statement.  $\square$

**Ex 7** Show that the maps  $t_{NA}$  and  $u_{NA}$  introduced in the proof of Proposition 1.4.3 are inverse to each other.

*Proof.* Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{NA} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N \otimes M, A) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, A)); \\
u_{NA} : \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, A)) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N \otimes M, A)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $t_{NA}(\phi)(n)(m) = \phi(n \otimes m)$  and  $u_{NA}(\psi)(n \otimes m) = \psi(n)(m)$ . We see then that for any  $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N \otimes M, A)$ ,

$$u_{NA}(t_{NA}(\phi))(n \otimes m) = t_{NA}(\phi)(n)(m) = \phi(n \otimes m)$$

and that for any  $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, A))$  we have that

$$t_{NA}(u_{NA}(\psi))(n)(m) = u_{NA}(\psi)(n \otimes m) = \psi(n)(m).$$

This shows that  $u_{NA}(t_{NA}(\phi)) = \phi$  and that  $t_{NA}(u_{NA}(\psi)) = \psi$ , proving that  $t_{NA}$  and  $u_{NA}$  are inverses to each other.  $\square$