

# Problem Set 3

## Homological Algebra

Bennett Rennie  
bennett@brennier.com

September 22, 2019

**Ex 1.** Prove the exactness of the long exact sequence at  $H_n(C'')$ .

*Proof.* To help us keep track of things, let us recall the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial'_{n+2}} & C'_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial'_{n+1}} & C'_n & \xrightarrow{\partial'_n} & C'_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial'_{n-1}} \dots \\
 & & \downarrow f_{n+1} & & \downarrow f_n & & \downarrow f_{n-1} \\
 \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+2}} & C_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} & C_n & \xrightarrow{\partial_n} & C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \dots \\
 & & \downarrow g_{n+1} & & \downarrow g_n & & \downarrow g_{n-1} \\
 \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial''_{n+2}} & C''_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial''_{n+1}} & C''_n & \xrightarrow{\partial''_n} & C''_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial''_{n-1}} \dots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

which induces the following long exact sequence of relative homology groups:

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_n(C') \xrightarrow{f_*} H_n(C) \xrightarrow{g_*} H_n(C'') \xrightarrow{\delta} H_{n-1}(C') \xrightarrow{f_*} H_{n-1}(C) \xrightarrow{g_*} \dots$$

where  $\delta$  is the connecting homomorphism. Finally, we also recall that  $\delta$  is defined by taking  $c \in H_n(C'')$  on the following path through the chain complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C'_{n+1} & & C'_n & & a \\
 & & & & \uparrow \\
 & & & & \perp \\
 C_{n+1} & & b & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \partial_n b \\
 & & \uparrow & & \\
 C''_{n+1} & & c & & C''_{n-1}
 \end{array}$$

Now, to actually start with the proof, let  $b \in H_n(C) = \ker(\partial_n)/\text{im}(\partial_{n+1})$ . We can use the path of  $\delta$  to see that  $\delta(g_*(b))$  maps  $b$  down to  $c$ , then back up to  $b$ , then to  $\partial b$ , which is 0 as  $b \in \ker(\partial_n)$ ,

then to  $a$ , which must also be 0 as  $f_{n-1}$  is injective. Thus,  $\delta(g_*(b)) = 0$ . Since  $b$  was arbitrary, this proves that  $\text{im}(g_*) \subseteq \ker(\delta)$ .

Now to prove the reverse inclusion, let  $c \in \ker(\delta) \subseteq H_n(C'') = \ker(\partial_n'')/\text{im}(\partial_{n+1}'')$ . We see then that  $\delta(c) = a$  must be the zero element in  $H_{n-1}(C')$ , meaning  $a \in \text{im}(\partial_{n+1}')$ . That means that  $a = \partial_{n+1}'a'$  for some  $a' \in C_n'$ . We see that the element  $b - f_n(a')$  is a cycle as

$$\partial_n(b - f_n(a')) = \partial_n b - \partial_n f_n(a') = \partial_n b - f_{n-1} \partial_n'(a') = \partial_n b - f_{n-1}(a) = \partial_n b - \partial_n b = 0.$$

We also see that

$$g_n(b - f_n(a')) = g_n(b) - g_n(f_n(a')) = g_n(b) = c.$$

Thus,  $g_*$  sends the cycle  $b - f_n(a')$  to  $c$ . This proves that  $\ker(g_*) \subseteq \text{im}(\delta)$ , so we can conclude that the long exact sequence is exact at  $H_n(C'')$ .  $\square$

**Ex 2.** Incomplete.

**Ex 3.** Incomplete.

**Ex 4.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the category of (left)  $R$ -modules and let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  be the contravariant functor  $F = \text{Hom}_R(-, M)$  for some fixed  $M \in \mathcal{C}$ . Prove that  $F$  is left exact, i.e. if  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is exact, then  $0 \rightarrow F(C) \rightarrow F(B) \rightarrow F(A)$  is exact.

*Proof.* Let the following be an exact sequence

$$A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \longrightarrow 0$$

To prove that  $F$  is left exact, we need to prove that the following is also an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, M) \xrightarrow{\psi_*} \text{Hom}_R(B, M) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} \text{Hom}_R(A, M)$$

To do this, we will first prove that  $\psi_*$  is injective. We recall that for any  $f : C \rightarrow M$ , we have that  $\psi_*(f) = f \circ \psi$ . This means that if  $f, g : C \rightarrow M$  and  $\psi_*(f) = \psi_*(g)$ , then  $f \circ \psi = g \circ \psi$ . As  $\psi$  is surjective, it is an epimorphism, meaning we can cancel to obtain that  $f = g$ . Thus, we see that  $\psi_*$  is injective.

We will now prove exactness at  $\text{Hom}_R(B, M)$ . Since  $F$  is a contravariant functor, we see that  $\phi_* \circ \psi_* = (\psi \circ \phi)_* = 0_* = 0$ . This proves that  $\text{im}(\psi_*) \subseteq \ker(\phi_*)$ . Now to prove the reverse inclusion, let  $f \in \ker(\phi_*)$ . We see then that  $f \circ \phi = \phi_*(f) = 0$ , which means  $\text{im}(\phi) \subseteq \ker(f)$ . We know that  $\text{im}(\phi) = \ker(\psi)$ , which means  $\ker(\psi) \subseteq \ker(f)$ . Thus,  $f$  can be factored through  $\psi$ , i.e. there is some function  $g : C \rightarrow M$  such that  $g \circ \psi = f$ . This means that  $\psi_*(g) = g \circ \psi = f$ . Thus,  $f \in \text{im}(\psi_*)$ . This proves exactness at  $\text{Hom}_R(B, M)$  as we wanted.  $\square$

**Ex 5.** Let  $D$  be an injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Provide  $D^\# = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, D)$  with the structure of a left  $R$ -module as in 1.4.2(b) and show that this  $R$ -module is also injective.

*Proof.* Let  $X, Y$  be  $R$ -modules such that we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & & \downarrow g & & \\ & & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, D) & & \end{array}$$

We see that we can then define a map  $\tilde{g} : X \rightarrow D$  where  $\tilde{g}(x) = g(x)(1)$ . We see that  $\tilde{g}(x + y) = g(x + y)(1) = g(x)(1) + g(y)(1) = \tilde{g}(x) + \tilde{g}(y)$  since  $g$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism. This proves that  $\tilde{g}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism. Thus, if we forget about the  $R$ -module structure of  $X$  and  $Y$ , we can invoke the property of  $D$  being injective to obtain the following diagram of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{g} & \swarrow \tilde{h} & \\ & & D & & \end{array}$$

As  $D$  is injective, this implies there's a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism  $\tilde{h} : Y \rightarrow D$  such that  $\tilde{g} = \tilde{h} \circ f$ . We use this  $\tilde{h}$  to define an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $h : Y \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, D)$  where  $h(y) = \phi_y$  and  $\phi_y(r) = \tilde{h}(ry)$ . We see that

$$h(f(x))(r) = \phi_{f(x)}(r) = \tilde{h}(rf(x)) = \tilde{h}(f(rx)) = \tilde{g}(rx) = g(rx)(1) = g(x)(r).$$

This proves that  $h \circ f = g$ . Thus, we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & & \downarrow g & \swarrow h & \\ & & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, D) & & \end{array}$$

This diagram proves that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, D)$  is injective as an  $R$ -module.  $\square$

**Ex 6.** Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -module, let  $I$  be any nonempty index set and for each  $i \in I$ , let  $B_i$  be an  $R$ -module. Prove that following isomorphisms of abelian groups; when  $R$  is commutative prove that these are  $R$ -module isomorphisms.

- a)  $\text{Hom}_R(\oplus_{i \in I} B_i, A) \simeq \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_R(B_i, A)$
- b)  $\text{Hom}_R(A, \prod_{i \in I} B_i) \simeq \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_R(A, B_i)$ .

*Proof.*

- a) We note that we have canonical injections  $\iota_i : B_i \rightarrow \oplus_i B_i$ . Now, we can define a maps  $\phi_i : \text{Hom}_R(\oplus_i B_i, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(B_i, A)$ , where  $\phi_i(f) = f \circ \iota_i$ . By the universal property of direct products, this gives a homomorphism  $\Phi : \text{Hom}_R(\oplus_i B_i, A) \rightarrow \prod_i \text{Hom}_R(B_i, A)$  such that  $\pi_i \circ \Phi = \phi_i$ , where  $\pi_i$  is the canonical projection from  $\prod_i \text{Hom}_R(B_i, A)$  to  $\text{Hom}_R(B_i, A)$ . Now, we wish to prove that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism.

We will first prove that  $\Phi$  is injective. Let  $f : \oplus_i B_i \rightarrow A$  be in  $\ker(\Phi)$ . This means that

$$f \circ \iota_i = \phi_i(f) = (\pi_i \circ \Phi)(f) = \pi_i(\Phi(f)) = \pi_i(0) = 0.$$

Since  $f$  is the zero map on every component of  $\oplus_i B_i$ , it must be that  $f = 0$ . Thus,  $\ker(\Phi)$  is trivial, proving that  $\Phi$  is injective.

Now we will prove that  $\Phi$  is surjective. Let  $g = (g_i) \in \prod_i \text{Hom}_R(B_i, A)$ . We define  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(\oplus_i B_i, A)$  as  $f(\oplus_i b_i) = \sum_i g_i(b_i)$ . We see that if we let  $b_i \in B_i$ , then

$$(\pi_i \circ \Phi(f))(b_i) = \phi_i(f)(b_i) = (f \circ \iota_i)(b_i) = f(\iota_i(b_i)) = g_i(b_i) = (\pi_i \circ g)(b_i)$$

Thus,  $\Phi(f)$  and  $g$  are equal on every component. This proves that  $\Phi(f) = g$ , meaning  $\Phi$  is surjective.

If  $R$  is commutative, then  $\text{Hom}_R(\oplus_i B_i, A)$  and  $\prod_i \text{Hom}_R(B_i, A)$  are left  $R$ -modules. We see that for any  $r \in R$  and any  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(\oplus_i B_i, A)$ ,

$$\Phi(rf) = ((rf) \circ \iota_i)_{i \in I} = (r(f \circ \iota_i))_{i \in I} = r(f \circ \iota_i)_{i \in I} = r\Phi(f).$$

Thus, we can say that  $\Phi$  is an  $R$ -module isomorphism.

- b) We note that we have canonical projections  $\pi_i : \prod_i B_i \rightarrow B_i$ . Now, we can define a maps  $\phi_i : \text{Hom}_R(A, \prod_i B_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, B_i)$  where  $\phi_i(f) = \pi_i \circ f$ . Using the universal property of direct products, this gives us a unique homomorphism  $\Phi : \text{Hom}_R(A, \prod_i B_i) \rightarrow \prod_i \text{Hom}_R(A, B_i)$  such that  $\pi_i \circ \Phi = \phi_i$ . Now, we wish to prove that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism.

We will first prove that  $\Phi$  is injective. Let  $f : A \rightarrow \prod_i B_i$  be in  $\ker(\Phi)$ . This means that

$$\pi_i \circ f = \phi_i(f) = (\pi_i \circ \Phi)(f) = \pi_i(\Phi(f)) = \pi_i(0) = 0.$$

Since the projection of  $f$  onto each  $B_i$  is zero, it must be that  $f$  is the zero map. Thus,  $\ker(\Phi)$  is trivial, proving that  $\Phi$  is injective.

Now we will prove that  $\Phi$  is surjective. Let  $g = (g_i) \in \prod_i \text{Hom}_R(A, B_i)$ . We define  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(A, \prod_i B_i)$  as  $f(a) = \prod_i g_i(a)$ . We see then that

$$\pi_i(\Phi(f)(a)) = (\pi_i \circ \Phi)(f)(a) = \phi_i(f)(a) = (\pi_i \circ f)(a) = g_i(a).$$

Thus,  $\Phi(f)$  and  $g$  agree on every projection, meaning  $\Phi(f) = g$ . This proves that  $\Phi$  is surjective and thus,  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism.

If  $R$  is commutative, then  $\text{Hom}_R(A, \prod_i B_i)$  and  $\prod_i \text{Hom}_R(A, B_i)$  are (left)  $R$ -modules. We see that for any  $r \in R$  and any  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(A, \prod_i B_i)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_i \circ \Phi)(rf)(a) &= \phi_i(rf)(a) = (\pi_i \circ rf)(a) = \pi_i(rf(a)) = r\pi_i(f(a)) = r(\pi_i \circ f)(a) \\ &= r\phi_i(f)(a) = r(\pi_i \circ \Phi)(f)(a) = r\pi_i(\Phi(f))(a) = \pi_i(r\Phi(f))(a) = (\pi_i \circ r\Phi)(f)(a) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Phi(rf)$  and  $r\Phi(f)$  agree on every projection, we have that  $\Phi(rf) = r\Phi(f)$  as desired. Thus, we can say that  $\Phi$  is an  $R$ -module isomorphism.  $\square$

**Ex 7.** [Continuation of Exercise 6] If  $S$  is the the direct sum of the  $B_i$ , show that there is always a (canonical) embedding of the direct sum of the  $\text{Hom}_R(A, B_i)$  into  $\text{Hom}_R(A, S)$ , but that this embedding needn't be surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $\iota_i : B_i \rightarrow \oplus_i B_i$  and  $j_i : \text{Hom}_R(A, B_i) \rightarrow \oplus_i \text{Hom}_R(A, B_i)$  be the canonical injections. We see that we can then define a map  $\phi_i : \text{Hom}_R(A, B_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, \oplus_i B_i)$  where  $\phi_i(f) = \iota_i \circ f$ . By the universal property of the direct sum, this means there's a unique map  $\Phi : \oplus_i \text{Hom}_R(A, B_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, \oplus_i B_i)$  where  $\Phi \circ j_i = \phi_i$ .

Now we would like to show that  $\Phi$  is injective. Let  $f \in \oplus_i \text{Hom}_R(A, B_i)$  be in  $\ker(\Phi)$ . This means that  $f = \sum_i j_i(f_i)$  where  $f_i \in \text{Hom}_R(A, B_i)$  and all but finitely many terms are nonzero. We see then that

$$0 = \Phi(f) = \Phi\left(\sum_i j_i(f_i)\right) = \sum_i \Phi(j_i(f_i)) = \sum_i \phi_i(f_i) = \sum_i (\iota_i \circ f_i)$$

Now, if we evaluate this at any  $a \in A$ , we get  $0 = \sum_i (\iota_i \circ f_i)(a)$  which is an element of  $\oplus_i B_i$ . Since this is a direct sum, it must be that  $(\iota_i \circ f_i)(a) = 0$  for all  $a \in A$ . Thus,  $\iota_i \circ f_i = 0$  for all  $i \in I$ . Since  $\iota_i$  are inclusion maps, they must be injective, proving that each  $f_i$  must be the zero map. Thus,  $f = \sum_i j_i(0) = \sum_i 0 = 0$ , so we can conclude that  $\Phi$  is injective.

However,  $\Phi$  need not be surjective. Consider the example of  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces where  $A = V$  is an infinite dimensional vector space with countable basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ , and  $B_i = \mathbb{R}x_i$ . Then we can define a linear transformation  $f : V \rightarrow \oplus_i \mathbb{R}x_i$  by letting  $f(e_i) = x_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . However,  $f$  cannot be written as a finite sum of  $f_i : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}x_i$ . This is because  $\text{rank}(f) = \infty$ , but  $\text{rank}(\sum_{i \leq n} f_i) \leq \sum_{i \leq n} \text{rank}(f_i) \leq \sum_{i \leq n} 1 = n < \infty$ . Thus, this is an example where the map  $\Phi : \oplus_i \text{Hom}_R(A, B_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, \oplus_i B_i)$  is not surjective.  $\square$