

# Problem Set 8

## Real Analysis I

Bennett Rennier  
barennier@gmail.com

January 15, 2018

**Ex 6.2** Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathcal{A}$  the collection of all subsets of  $X$ . Pick  $y \in X$  and let  $\delta_y$  be the point mass at  $y$ , defined in Example 3.4. Prove that if  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\int f d\delta_y = f(y)$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set of all subsets,  $f$  is trivially measurable. If  $f(y) < 0$ , let  $f$  be  $f^-$ , otherwise, take  $f$  to be  $f^+$  in the following. Let  $s$  be a simple function where  $0 \leq s \leq f$ . Represent  $s$  in the canonical form of

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{E_i}$$

where the  $E_i$ 's are disjoint. Since the  $E_i$ 's are disjoint,  $y$  is in at most one of them. If  $y$  is in none of them then the integral is 0. If  $y$  is in one of them, suppose  $E_j$ , then

$$\int s d\delta_y = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \delta_y(E_i) = a_j = s(y) \leq f(y)$$

Since  $\int f d\delta_y$  is by definition the supremum of such simple functions, this proves that  $\int f d\delta_y \leq f(y)$ . Consider the simple function  $s = f(y)\chi_{\{y\}}$ . We see that  $0 \leq s \leq f$ , and so,  $\int f d\delta_y \geq \int s d\delta_y = f(y)$ . Thus,  $\int f d\delta_y = f(y)$ .  $\square$

**Ex 6.3** Let  $X$  be the positive integers and  $\mathcal{A}$  the collection of all subsets of  $X$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is non-negative and  $\mu$  is counting measure defined in Example 3.2, prove that

$$\int f d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k)$$

This exercise is very useful because it allows one to derive many conclusions about series from analogous results about general measure spaces.

*Proof.* Again, since the  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set of all subsets,  $f$  is trivially measurable. Let  $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n f(k)\chi_{\{k\}}$ . We see that  $s_n$  is simple and that  $s_n \leq f$ . Thus,  $\int s_n d\mu \leq \int f d\mu$ . Also, we see that  $\int s_n d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n f(k)\mu(\{k\}) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(k)$ . This means that  $\sum_{k=1}^n f(k) \leq \int f d\mu$  for all  $n$ , and thus  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty f(k) \leq \int f d\mu$ .

Let  $s = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{E_k}$  be a simple function represented in its canonical form where  $0 \leq s \leq f$ . If  $x \in E_j$ , then, since  $s$  is canonical, it doesn't appear in any other  $E_k$ . This means that  $s(x) = a_j$ , and since  $s \leq f$ , this shows that  $a_j \leq f(x)$  where  $x \in E_j$ . With this, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int s d\mu &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k |E_k| = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{x \in E_k} 1 = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{x \in E_k} a_k \leq \\ &\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{x \in E_k} f(x) \leq \sum_{x \in \cup_k E_k} f(x) \leq \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty f(k) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty f(k)$  is greater than any simple function less than or equal to  $f$ , it's greater than the supremum of all such simple functions, which is, by definition  $\int f d\mu$ . Thus,  $\int f d\mu \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty f(k)$ . This proves that  $\int f d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^\infty f(k)$ .  $\square$

**Ex 6.5** Let  $f$  be a non-negative measurable function. Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int (f \wedge n) = \int f$$

*Proof.* We see that  $f \wedge n \leq f$ , and thus  $\int (f \wedge n) d\mu \leq \int f d\mu$  for any  $n$ . This proves that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int (f \wedge n) d\mu \leq \int f d\mu$ .

Let  $s = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{E_k}$  be a simple function in its canonical form where  $0 \leq s \leq f$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $x$  lies in at most one of these  $E_k$ 's. If it's in none, then  $s(x) = 0$ , if it's in one, then  $s(x) = a_j$  for some  $j$ . Thus, for any  $x \in X$ ,  $s(x) \leq \max\{a_k\}$ . Let  $n$  be an integer greater than this maximum. Since  $s \leq f$  and  $s \leq n$ , this means that  $s \leq f \wedge n$ . Thus,  $\int s d\mu \leq \int (f \wedge n) d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int (f \wedge n) d\mu$ . If one takes the supremum of all such  $s$ , we see that  $\int f d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int (f \wedge n) d\mu$ . This proves the statement.  $\square$

**Ex 6.6** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space and suppose  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Suppose  $f$  is integrable. Prove that given  $\varepsilon$  there exists  $\delta$  such that

$$\int_A |f(x)| \mu(dx) < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $\mu(A) < \delta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We see that since  $|f|\chi_A \leq |f|$  that  $\int |f|\chi_A d\mu \leq \int |f| d\mu < \infty$ . This proves that  $|f|\chi_A$  is integrable. Since it's finite, this means that there's a simple function  $s$  such that  $0 \leq s \leq |f|\chi_A$  and where  $\int |f|\chi_A d\mu - \int s d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Since  $0 \leq s \leq |f|\chi_A$ , we can see that this means that  $s(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in A$ . Thus,  $s = s\chi_A$ . Let  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{E_k}$  be the canonical form of  $s$ . This means that  $s = s\chi_A = \chi_A \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{E_k} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{E_k \cap A}$ . Thus

$$\int s d\mu = \int s\chi_A d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mu(A \cap E_k)$$

If we let  $\mu(A) < \delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sum_{k=1}^n a_k}$ , we see that

$$\int s \, d\mu \leq \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mu(A) = \mu(A) \sum_{k=1}^n a_k < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sum_{k=1}^n a_k} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

This shows that

$$\int_A |f| \, d\mu = \int |f| \chi_A \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \int s \, d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

This proves the statement.  $\square$

**Ex 6.8** If  $f_n$  is a sequence of non-negative integrable functions such that  $f_n(x)$  decreases to  $f(x)$  for every  $x$ , prove that

$$\int f_n \, d\mu \rightarrow \int f \, d\mu$$

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is the limit of decreasing non-negative functions, we see that  $f \geq 0$ . Thus,  $0 \leq f \leq f_n$ . This means that  $\int |f| \, d\mu = \int f \, d\mu \leq \int f_n \, d\mu = \int |f_n| \, d\mu$ . Since  $f_n$  is integrable, this means that  $f$  is integrable.

Let  $g_n = f_1 - f_n$ . Since  $f_n$  was decreasing, then  $g_n$  is increasing. We see that  $g_n = f_1 - f_n \geq 0$ , and also that that  $g_n \uparrow (f_1 - f)$ . Thus, using the Monotone Convergence Theorem and the fact that the Lebesgue integral is linear on integrable functions, we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} \int f_1 \, d\mu - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n \, d\mu &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int f_1 \, d\mu - \int f_n \, d\mu \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int (f_1 - f_n) \, d\mu = \\ &= \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_1 - f_n) \, d\mu = \int (f_1 - f) \, d\mu = \int f_1 \, d\mu - \int f \, d\mu \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_1$  is integrable, its integral is finite. Thus, we can subtract it from both sides and multiply by  $-1$ , which gives that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n \, d\mu = \int f \, d\mu$$

$\square$