

# Problem Set 6

## Complex Analysis

Bennett Rennie  
bennett@brennier.com

November 12, 2018

**Ex 1** For the purposes of this problem

$$\limsup_{z \rightarrow iy} u(z) = \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{\substack{z \in \Omega \\ 0 < |z - iy| < \varepsilon}} u(z)$$

for a function  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

- a) Let  $\Omega = \{z : \operatorname{Re}(z) > 0\}$  and suppose that  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is bounded and analytic. Suppose that  $M \in [0, \infty]$  and that  $\limsup_{z \rightarrow iy} |f(z)| \leq M$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $|f| \leq M$ . [Hint: Consider  $f_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{f(z)}{1 + \varepsilon z}$  for small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ].
- b) Prove that  $f(z) = e^z$  has  $\limsup_{z \rightarrow iy} |f(z)| = 1$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , but  $f$  is not bounded on the right-half plane.

*Proof.*

- a) Define  $f_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{f(z)}{1 + \varepsilon z}$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We see that for any  $z \in \Omega$ ,

$$|f_\varepsilon(z)| = \frac{|f(z)|}{|1 + \varepsilon z|} < |f(z)|.$$

This means that

$$\limsup_{z \rightarrow iy} |f_\varepsilon(z)| \leq \limsup_{z \rightarrow iy} |f(z)| \leq M.$$

We also see since  $f(z)$  is bounded,  $z_n \rightarrow \infty$  implies that  $f_\varepsilon(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ . If we take the möbius transformation  $\phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(-1) &= 0 \\ \phi(1) &= \infty \\ \phi(i) &= \frac{1+i}{1-i} = \frac{(1+i)^2}{(1-i)(1+i)} = \frac{2i}{2} = i \\ \phi(0) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\phi$  takes the unit circle to the imaginary axis and the unit disk to the right-half plane. By what we've proved so far, we see that

$$\limsup_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} |f_\varepsilon(\phi(z))| = \begin{cases} \limsup_{z \rightarrow iy} |f_\varepsilon(z)| \text{ for some } y \in \mathbb{R} & \text{if } e^{i\theta} \neq 1 \\ \limsup_{z \rightarrow \infty} |f_\varepsilon(z)| & \text{if } e^{i\theta} = 1 \end{cases} \leq \begin{cases} M & \text{if } e^{i\theta} \neq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e^{i\theta} = 1 \end{cases} \leq M.$$

As  $|f_\varepsilon \circ \phi|$  is bounded on the unit circle by  $M$ , by the Maximum Modulus Principle, we have that  $|f_\varepsilon(\phi(z))| \leq M$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Thus,  $|f_\varepsilon(z)| \leq M$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ . Since  $f_{1/n} \rightarrow f$ , we can conclude that  $|f(z)| \leq M$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ .

b) As  $e^x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a monotonically increasing function, we see that

$$\limsup_{z \rightarrow iy} |e^z| = \limsup_{z \rightarrow iy} e^{\operatorname{Re}(z)} = \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{\substack{z \in \Omega \\ 0 \leq |z - iy| < \varepsilon}} e^{\operatorname{Re}(z)} = \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} e^\varepsilon = e^0 = 1.$$

However  $e^z$  is unbounded on the right-half plane as it's unbounded on the positive real numbers.  $\square$

**Ex 2** Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is entire and that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x + iy)| dx dy < \infty.$$

Prove that  $f$  is zero.

*Proof.* Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . If we integrate  $f$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  using polar coordinates, we get that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty f(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) r dr d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty |f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| r dr d\theta = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f(x + iy)| dx dy < \infty.$$

Now, since the integral over the absolute value of  $f$  is bounded, by Fubini's Theorem, we can interchange the integrals to get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty f(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) r dr d\theta &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) r d\theta dr = \int_0^\infty 2\pi f(z_0) r dr \\ &= 2\pi f(z_0) \int_0^\infty r dr = \pi f(z_0) r^2 \Big|_{r=0}^\infty = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \pi f(z_0) r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since this value is bounded, it must be that  $f(z_0) = 0$ . As  $z_0$  was arbitrary, we have that  $f$  is the zero function.  $\square$

**Ex 3**

- a) Let  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a loop such that  $|\gamma(t) - 1| < 1$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . Prove that  $n(\gamma; 0) = 0$ .
- b) Fix  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\gamma_j : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be two loops such that  $|\gamma_1(t) - \gamma_2(t)| < |\gamma_2(t) - w|$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . Prove that  $n(\gamma_1; w) = n(\gamma_2; w)$ .

*Proof.*

- a) By Cauchy's Integral formula, we have that

$$n(\gamma; 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{1}{s - 0} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{1}{s} ds$$

However, we note that  $|\gamma(t) - 1| < 1$  implies that  $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma)$  lies within  $B_1(1)$ , the open ball of radius 1 around 1. Since  $1/z$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , it is holomorphic on the simply-connected set  $B_1(1)$ . Thus, by Cauchy's Integral Theorem we have that the integral  $\int_\gamma \frac{1}{s} ds$  is zero. This proves that  $n(\gamma; 0) = 0$ .

b) Let  $\gamma(t) = \frac{\gamma_1(t)-w}{\gamma_2(t)-w}$ . We see then that

$$|\gamma - 1| = \left| \frac{\gamma_1 - w}{\gamma_2 - w} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{\gamma_1 - w - \gamma_2 + w}{\gamma_2 - w} \right| = \frac{|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2|}{|\gamma_2 - w|} < \frac{|\gamma_2 - w|}{|\gamma_2 - w|} = 1.$$

By part (a), this means that  $n(\gamma; 0) = 0$ . From this we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = n(\gamma; 0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{s-0} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \frac{1}{\gamma(s)} \cdot \gamma'(s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \frac{\gamma_2(s) - w}{\gamma_1(s) - w} \cdot \frac{\gamma_1'(s)(\gamma_2(s) - w) - (\gamma_1(s) - w)\gamma_2'(s)}{(\gamma_2(s) - w)^2} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \frac{\gamma_1'(s)(\gamma_2(s) - w) - (\gamma_1(s) - w)\gamma_2'(s)}{(\gamma_1(s) - w)(\gamma_2(s) - w)} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \frac{\gamma_1'(s)}{\gamma_1(s) - w} - \frac{\gamma_2'(s)}{\gamma_2(s) - w} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \frac{\gamma_1'(s)}{\gamma_1(s) - w} ds - \int_a^b \frac{\gamma_2'(s)}{\gamma_2(s) - w} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_1} \frac{1}{s-w} ds - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{1}{s-w} ds = n(\gamma_1; w) - n(\gamma_2; w). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $n(\gamma_1; w) = n(\gamma_2; w)$ . □

**Ex 4** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open and let  $f_n : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a sequence of analytic functions. Suppose that  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $U$ .

- a) Prove that  $f$  is analytic.
- b) Prove that  $f'_n \rightarrow f'$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $U$ .
- c) Suppose that  $z_0 \in U$  and that  $r > 0$  is so that  $B_r(z_0) \subseteq U$ . Let  $f_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k,n}(z - z_0)^k$ ,  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(z - z_0)^k$ . Prove that  $a_{k,n} \rightarrow a_k$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.*

- a) Let  $T$  be a triangle (with its interior) in  $U$ , we note that  $T$  itself is compact, so we have that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $T$ . By Ex 7(a) on the second homework, we proved that  $f$  is continuous. Since we see that

$$\int_{\partial T} f dz = \int_{\partial T} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n dz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial T} f_n dz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0,$$

$f$  is analytic by Morera's Theorem.

- b) Let  $K \subseteq U$  be compact set and let  $\delta = \frac{d(K, \partial U)}{2}$ . We see then that  $K' = \{z \in U : z \in K \text{ or } d(z, K) \leq \delta\}$  is also closed and bounded and thus compact. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on compact subsets, we have that there exists an  $N$  such that  $|\underline{f_n(z)} - f(z)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$  and all  $z \in K'$ . By construction for each  $z \in K$ , we have that  $\overline{B_\delta(z)} \subseteq K'$ . This

means for  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|f'_n(z) - f'(z)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\delta(z)} \frac{f_n(s)}{(s-z)^2} ds - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\delta(z)} \frac{f(s)}{(s-z)^2} ds \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\delta(z)} \frac{f_n(s) - f(s)}{(s-z)^2} ds \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_\delta(z)} \left| \frac{f_n(s) - f(s)}{(s-z)^2} \right| |ds| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_\delta(z)} \frac{|f_n(s) - f(s)|}{|s-z|^2} |ds| \\
&< \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_\delta(z)} \frac{\varepsilon}{|s-z|^2} |ds| = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi\delta^2} \int_{C_\delta(z)} |ds| = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi\delta^2} 2\pi\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\delta$  is a fixed constant, we have that  $f'_n \rightarrow f'$  converges uniformly on  $K$ . As  $K$  was an arbitrary compact set, we have that  $f'_n \rightarrow f'$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $U$ .

- c) We note that by part (b),  $f'_n \rightarrow f'$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $U$ . We can then apply part (b) again to obtain that  $f''_n \rightarrow f''$  uniformly on compact subsets. Repeating this process (using induction), we get that  $f_n^{(k)} \rightarrow f^{(k)}$  uniformly on compact subsets for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We see then that

$$|a_{k,n} - a_k| = \left| \frac{f_n^{(k)}(z_0)}{k!} - \frac{f^{(k)}(z_0)}{k!} \right| = \frac{|f_n^{(k)}(z_0) - f^{(k)}(z_0)|}{k!}$$

Since  $f_n^{(k)} \rightarrow f^{(k)}$  uniformly, then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N$  such that  $|a_{k,n} - a_k| = \frac{|f_n^{(k)}(z_0) - f^{(k)}(z_0)|}{k!} < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ . This proves that  $a_{k,n} \rightarrow a_k$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  as desired.  $\square$