

# Problem Set 1

## Abstract Algebra I

Bennett Rennie  
barennier@gmail.com

January 11, 2018

### Section 1.1

**Ex 7** Let  $G = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \leq x < 1\}$  and for  $x, y \in G$  let  $x * y$  be the fractional part of  $x + y$ . Prove that  $*$  is a well defined binary operation on  $G$  and that  $G$  is an abelian group under  $*$ .

*Proof.*

- a) (Well-defined) Let  $x, y \in G$ . Then  $x * y = x + y - [x + y]$ , where  $[\cdot]$  is the greatest integer less than  $x + y$ . We see that it must be that  $0 \leq x + y - [x + y] < 1$ , otherwise there'd be an integer between  $[x + y]$  and  $x + y$ , contradiction our definition of  $[\cdot]$ . Thus  $x * y \in G$ .
- b) (Associativity) Let  $\phi(r) = r - [r]$ . Note that the binary operation  $x * y$  is equivalent to  $\phi(x + y)$ . I claim that  $\phi(x + \phi(y)) = \phi(x + y)$ . Here's the proof: let  $\phi(y) = r$ . Then  $y = r + n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, my claim is equivalent to  $\phi(x + r) = \phi(x + r + n)$ , which is true as adding an integer doesn't alter the fractional part. Thus, using this multiple times we see that  $x * (y * z) = \phi(x + \phi(y + z)) = \phi(x + y + z) = \phi(\phi(x + y) + z) = (x * y) * z$ . This proves associativity.
- c) (Commutativity) We see that  $x * y = x + y - [x + y] = y + x - [y + x] = y * x$ .
- d) (Identity) We can see that  $0 \in G$  and that for every  $x \in G$ ,  $[x] = 0$  as  $0 \leq x < 1$ . This means that  $x * 0 = x + 0 - [x + 0] = x - [x] = x$ . Since we've already proven commutativity, we know that  $0 * x = x$  as well.
- e) (Inverses) Let  $0 \neq x \in G$ . Since  $0 < x < 1$ , we have that  $0 < 1 - x < 1$ , which proves that  $1 - x \in G$ . Additionally, we can see that  $x * (1 - x) = x + (1 - x) - [x + (1 - x)] = 1 - [1] = 0$ . Since we've already proved commutativity, this means that for  $x \neq 0$ ,  $1 - x$  is its inverse. If  $x = 0$ , then we can easily see that itself serves as its inverse.  $\square$

**Ex 8** Let  $G = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z^n = 1\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

- a) Prove that  $G$  is a group under multiplication
- b) Prove that  $G$  is not a group under addition

*Proof.*

- a) Since  $G$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  which is a group under multiplication, we need only to check that  $G$  is non-empty and that for  $x, y \in G$  we have that  $xy^{-1} \in G$ . One can see that  $1 \in G$  as  $1^1 = 1$ , so  $G$  is non-empty. Now suppose  $x, y \in G$ . This means that  $x^n = 1$  and  $y^k = 1$  for some  $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Thus, since multiplication over complex numbers is commutative, we have that  $(xy^{-1})^{nk} = (x^n)^k (y^k)^{-n} = 1^k 1^{-n} = 1$ . Thus,  $G$  is a group.
- b) Look at the element  $1 \in G$ . We see that  $1 + 1 = 2$  (Hopefully!). However,  $2^n \neq 1$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Thus,  $G$  is not closed under addition.  $\square$

**Ex 9** Let  $G = \{a + b\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ .

- a) Prove that  $G$  is a group under addition
- b) Prove that the nonzero elements of  $G$  are a group under multiplication

*Proof.*

- a) Since  $G$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  is a group under addition, we need only to check that  $G$  is non-empty and that for  $x, y \in G$ , we have that  $x - y \in G$ . We easily see that  $0 + 0\sqrt{2} = 0 \in G$ , so  $G$  is non-empty. Suppose now that  $x, y \in G$ . This means that  $x = a + b\sqrt{2}$  and that  $y = c + d\sqrt{2}$  for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$ . This means that  $x - y = a + b\sqrt{2} - c - d\sqrt{2} = (a - c) + (b - d)\sqrt{2} \in G$ . This proves that  $G$  is a group under addition.
- b) Similar to the first part, we first see that  $1 + 0\sqrt{2} = 1 \in G^\times$ , which proves that  $G^\times$  is non-empty. Now we suppose that  $x, y \in G$ . This means that  $x = a + b\sqrt{2}$  and  $y = c + d\sqrt{2}$  for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $g \neq 0 \neq h$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot y^{-1} &= \frac{a + b\sqrt{2}}{c + d\sqrt{2}} = \frac{a + b\sqrt{2}}{c + d\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{c - d\sqrt{2}}{c - d\sqrt{2}} = \frac{(a + b\sqrt{2})(c - d\sqrt{2})}{c^2 - 2d^2} \\ &= \frac{(ac - 2bd) + (bc - ad)\sqrt{2}}{c^2 - 2d^2} = \frac{ac - 2bd}{c^2 - 2d^2} + \frac{bc - ad}{c^2 - 2d^2}\sqrt{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that since  $x, y \in G^\times \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\times$ , it cannot be that  $xy^{-1} = 0$ . Now we need only to prove that  $c^2 - 2d^2 \neq 0$ . By way of contradiction, assume that  $c^2 = 2d^2$ . This would mean that  $\frac{c}{d} = \sqrt{2}$ , which is impossible as  $c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Thus,  $xy^{-1}$  is well-defined, non-zero, and has rational coefficients, which proves that  $xy^{-1} \in G^\times$  as required.  $\square$

**Ex 20** For  $x$  an element in  $G$  show that  $x$  and  $x^{-1}$  have the same order.

*Proof.* Assume that the order of  $x$  is  $n$ , that the order of  $x^{-1}$  is  $k$ , and that  $n \neq k$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $0 < n < k$ . We see that

$$(g^{-1})^n = (g^n)^{-1} = 1^{-1} = 1$$

which is a contradiction as  $k$  was assumed to be the smallest natural number such that  $(g^{-1})^k = 1$ . Thus, it must be that  $n = k$ .  $\square$

**Ex 22** If  $x$  and  $g$  are elements of the group  $G$ , prove that  $|x| = |g^{-1}xg|$ . Deduce that  $|ab| = |ba|$  for all  $a, b \in G$ .

*Proof.* We first note that

$$(g^{-1}xg)^k = g^{-1}xg \cdot g^{-1}xg \cdots g^{-1}xg = g^{-1}x^k g$$

for any natural number  $k$ . Suppose that the order of  $x$  is  $n$  and that the order of  $g^{-1}xg$  is  $m$ . We see then that  $(g^{-1}xg)^n = g^{-1}x^n g = g^{-1}g = 1$ , which proves that  $k \leq n$ . Since  $(g^{-1}xg)^m = g^{-1}x^m g = 1$ , then if we multiply on the right by  $g$  and on the left by  $g^{-1}$ , we obtain that  $x^m = gg^{-1} = 1$ . This proves that  $n \leq k$  and thus that  $n = k$ . If we let  $x = ab$  and  $g = a$ , then we have that  $|ab| = |a^{-1}aba| = |ba|$  as desired.  $\square$

**Ex 27** Prove that if  $x$  is an element of the group  $G$  then  $H = \{x^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a subgroup.

*Proof.* We first note that  $H$  is a subset of  $G$  and that  $G$  is a group. We see that  $H$  is non-empty as  $x = x^1 \in H$ . Suppose now that  $a, b \in H$ . Then we have that  $a = x^n$  and that  $b = x^m$  for some  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, we have that  $ab^{-1} = x^n x^{-m} = x^{n-m} \in H$ . This proves that  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Ex 32** If  $x$  is an element of finite order  $n$  in  $G$ , prove that the elements  $1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}$  are all distinct. Deduce that  $|x| \leq |G|$

*Proof.* By way of contradiction, suppose that these elements are not distinct. Without loss of generality, this means that  $x^\ell = x^k$  for some  $0 \leq \ell < k \leq n-1$ . We see that if multiply both sides by  $x^{-\ell}$  we have that  $1 = x^{\ell-\ell} = x^{k-\ell}$ . However,  $0 < k-\ell < n$  and  $n$  was assumed to be the smallest natural number such that  $x^n = 1$ . This is a contradiction, which proves that these elements must be distinct. If one lets  $A$  be the set of these elements, then we see that  $|A| = |x| = n$ . Since  $A \subseteq G$ , we have that  $|x| = |A| \leq |G|$  as desired.  $\square$

**Ex 36** Assume  $G = \{1, a, b, c\}$  is a group of order 4 with identity 1. Assume also that  $G$  has no elements of order 4. Use the cancellation laws to show that there is a unique group table for  $G$ . Deduce that  $G$  is abelian.

*Proof.* By Lagrange's Theorem, the order of each element must divide the order of the group, which in this case is 4. Since no element has order 4 by assumption and the only element of order 1 is the identity, we can deduce that  $a, b, c$  all have order 2. Now we look at the element  $ab$ . We see that if  $ab = a$  or  $ab = b$  we could use the cancellation laws to prove that  $b = 1$  or  $a = 1$  respectively, which is a contradiction. If  $ab = 1$ , then  $a$  and  $b$  would be inverses of each other. This is also a contradiction as since  $a$  and  $b$  each have order 2, their unique inverses are themselves. Thus, it must be that  $ab = c$ . By using a similar argument, we can deduce that  $ab = ba = c$ ,  $ac = ca = b$ , and that  $bc = cb = a$ . This means that the group table of  $G$  is uniquely defined and that  $G$  is abelian.  $\square$

## Section 1.6

**Ex 1** Let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism.

- a) Prove that  $\varphi(x^n) = \varphi(x)^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
- b) Prove that  $\varphi(x^{-1}) = \varphi(x)^{-1}$  and extend the result of part (a) to all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

*Proof.*

- a) We will prove this via induction. If  $n = 1$ , then we have that  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x)$ , which is trivially true. Now let's look at  $n + 1$ . Using the induction hypothesis, we see that

$$\varphi(x^{n+1}) = \varphi(x^n x) = \varphi(x^n) \varphi(x) = \varphi(x)^n \varphi(x) = \varphi(x)^{n+1}$$

which proves the statement.

- b) This time we will prove the statement for all negative integers via induction. As our base case, we see that  $\varphi(x^{-1}) \varphi(x) = \varphi(x^{-1}x) = \varphi(1_G) = 1_H$ , which proves that  $\varphi(x^{-1}) = \varphi(x)^{-1}$  as desired. Now let's look at  $-(n+1)$ . Using the inductive hypothesis and our base case, we see that

$$\varphi(x^{-(n+1)}) = \varphi(x^{-n} x^{-1}) = \varphi(x^{-n}) \varphi(x^{-1}) = \varphi(x)^{-n} \varphi(x)^{-1} = \varphi(x)^{-(n+1)}$$

which proves the statement for all negative integers. If we combine this with part (a) and with the fact that  $\varphi(x^0) = \varphi(1_G) = 1_H = \varphi(x)^0$ , we have the statement for all integers.  $\square$

**Ex 2** If  $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$  is an isomorphism, prove that  $|\varphi(x)| = |x|$  for all  $x \in G$ . Deduce that any two isomorphic groups have the same number of elements of order  $n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Is the result true if  $\phi$  is only assumed to be a homomorphism?

*Proof.* Suppose that the order of  $x$  is  $n$ . We see then that  $\varphi(x)^n = \varphi(x^n) = \varphi(1_G) = 1_H$ , which proves that  $|\varphi(x)| \leq n$ . By contradiction, assume that that  $0 < |\varphi(x)| = k < n$ . This would mean that  $\varphi(x)^k = \varphi(x^k) = 1_H$ . Since  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism, its kernel is  $\{1_G\}$ . Thus,  $x^k = 1_G$ , which is a contradiction as the order of  $x$  is  $n$  and  $0 < k < n$ . This proves that  $|x|$  and  $|\varphi(x)|$  must have the same order.

Now suppose that  $G$  and  $H$  had a differing number of elements of order  $n$ . Without loss of generality, let  $H$  be the group with the fewer number of elements of order  $n$ . This would mean that if we let  $S = \{g \in G : |g| = n\}$ , then  $|\varphi(S)| < |S|$ , as every element has to be mapped to an element of the same order and there are fewer such elements in  $H$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\varphi$  is injective. Thus, two isomorphic groups must have the same number of elements of order  $n$ .

The statement is not true if  $\varphi$  is only assumed to be a homomorphism. To see this, take the homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  where  $\varphi$  is the parity function. In the group  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  there are only two elements, one of order 1 and another of order 2. However in the group  $\mathbb{Z}$ , all elements except the identity have infinite order, as the only solution to  $g^n = ng = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is when  $g = 0$ . Thus, the result does not extend to homomorphisms.  $\square$

**Ex 4** Prove that the multiplicative groups  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  are not isomorphic.

*Proof.* By Ex 2, we know that if the two groups were isomorphic, then they should have the same number of elements of order 4. However,  $\mathbb{R}$  has zero elements of order 4 and  $\mathbb{C}$  has at least one, namely  $i$ . Thus, these groups are not isomorphic.  $\square$

**Ex 9** Prove that  $D_{24}$  and  $S_4$  are not isomorphic.

*Proof.* First, we will prove that any element of  $S_4$  has order at most 4. To do this, suppose that  $\sigma$  is a cycle in  $S_4$ . Since  $S_4$  is the set of permutations on a 4-element set, we can deduce that  $\sigma$  is at most a 4-cycle. This proves that  $\sigma$  has at most order 4. Now suppose that  $\sigma$  is not a cycle in  $S_4$ . This means that  $\sigma$  is either the identity or the product of two disjoint 2-cycles. The identity element always has order 1 and the product of two disjoint 2-cycles has order 2. This proves that the order of any element of  $S_4$  is at most 4. Furthermore, we note that the element  $r \in D_{2n}$  has order  $n$ , which means that  $r$  has order 12 in  $D_{24}$ . If there were an isomorphism  $\varphi : D_{24} \rightarrow S_4$ , then this would mean that  $|\varphi(r)|$  would have order 12 as well. This is impossible, as we proved that there exists no such element in  $S_4$ . Thus,  $D_{24}$  and  $S_4$  cannot be isomorphic.  $\square$

**Ex 10** Let  $\theta : \Delta \rightarrow \Omega$  be a bijection. Define  $\varphi : S_\Delta \rightarrow S_\Omega$  by  $\varphi(\sigma) = \theta \circ \sigma \circ \theta^{-1}$  for all  $\sigma \in S_\Delta$  and prove the following:

- a)  $\varphi$  is well-defined, that is, if  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $\Delta$  then  $\theta \circ \sigma \circ \theta^{-1}$  is a permutation of  $\Omega$
- b)  $\varphi$  is a bijection from  $S_\Delta$  onto  $S_\Omega$
- c)  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism, that is,  $\varphi(\sigma \circ \tau) = \varphi(\sigma) \circ \varphi(\tau)$

*Proof.*

- a) Suppose that  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $\Delta$ , that is,  $\sigma$  is a bijection from  $\Delta$  to  $\Delta$ . Since we know that  $\theta$  is a bijection from  $\Delta$  to  $\Omega$ , we see that  $\theta \circ \sigma \circ \theta^{-1}$  is a bijection from  $\Omega \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow \Omega$ . Since this is a bijection from  $\Omega$  to  $\Omega$ , we can deduce that  $\theta \circ \sigma \circ \theta^{-1}$  is a permutation of  $\Omega$ .
- b) We define  $\psi : S_\Omega \rightarrow S_\Delta$  by  $\psi(\omega) = \theta^{-1} \circ \omega \circ \theta$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\varphi(\sigma)) &= \theta^{-1} \circ (\theta \circ \sigma \circ \theta^{-1}) \circ \theta = 1 \circ \sigma \circ 1 = \sigma \\ \varphi(\psi(\omega)) &= \theta \circ (\theta^{-1} \circ \omega \circ \theta) \circ \theta^{-1} = 1 \circ \omega \circ 1 = \omega\end{aligned}$$

which means that  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  are inverses. Thus,  $\varphi$  is a bijection.

- c) This is shown by the following:

$$\varphi(\sigma \circ \tau) = \theta \circ (\sigma \circ \tau) \circ \theta^{-1} = \theta \circ \sigma \circ \theta^{-1} \circ \theta \circ \tau \circ \theta^{-1} = \varphi(\sigma) \circ \varphi(\tau). \quad \square$$

**Ex 14** Let  $G$  and  $H$  be groups and let  $\phi : G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism. Define the kernel of  $\phi$  to be  $\{g \in G \mid \phi(g) = 1_H\}$ . Prove that the kernel of  $\phi$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Prove that  $\phi$  is injective if and only if the kernel of  $\phi$  is the identity subgroup of  $G$ .

*Proof.* We note that the kernel is a subset of  $G$  which is a group. We also see that the kernel is always non-empty as  $\varphi(1_G) = 1_H$ . Suppose that  $x, y \in \ker(\varphi)$ . This means that  $\varphi(x) = 1_H = \varphi(y)$ , which we can use to show that

$$\varphi(xy^{-1}) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y^{-1}) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)^{-1} = 1_H 1_H^{-1} = 1_H.$$

This proves that  $xy^{-1} \in \ker(\varphi)$ , which means that  $\ker(\varphi)$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

To prove the second part of the exercise, suppose that  $\varphi$  is injective and that  $g \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Since  $\varphi(1_G) = 1_H = \varphi(g)$  and  $\varphi$  is injective, this proves that  $g = 1_G$ . Thus,  $\ker(\varphi) = \{1_G\}$ , the identity subgroup. Now conversely, suppose that  $\ker(\varphi) = \{1_G\}$  and that  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$  for some  $x, y \in G$ . As  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism, this means that  $\varphi(x)\varphi(y)^{-1} = \varphi(xy^{-1}) = 1_H$ . However,  $1_G$  is the only element in  $\ker(\varphi)$ , which means that  $xy^{-1}$  must be  $1_G$ . From this we can easily see that  $x = y$ , which proves that  $\varphi$  is injective.  $\square$

**Ex 17** Let  $G$  be any group. Prove that the map from  $G$  to itself defined by  $g \mapsto g^{-1}$  is a homomorphism if and only if  $G$  is abelian.

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi$  be such a map. If  $G$  is abelian, then

$$\varphi(xy) = (xy)^{-1} = y^{-1}x^{-1} = x^{-1}y^{-1} = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$$

which proves that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism. Now conversely assume that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism. This means that

$$(xy)^{-1} = \varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y) = x^{-1}y^{-1} = (yx)^{-1}.$$

If we take the inverse of both sides, then we obtain that  $xy = yx$  as desired.  $\square$

## Additional Problems

**Ex A** Let  $\phi : (G, \cdot) \rightarrow (H, *)$  be a group homomorphism. Prove that  $\phi(e_G) = e_H$ .

*Proof.* We see that

$$\varphi(e_G) = \varphi(e_G \cdot e_G) = \varphi(e_G) * \varphi(e_G).$$

Using cancellation, this means that  $e_H = \varphi(e_G)$  as desired.  $\square$

**Ex B** Let  $\pi : (G, \cdot) \rightarrow (G, \cdot)$  be given by  $\pi(g) = g^{-1}$ . Prove that  $\pi$  is an anti-homomorphism. Also prove that  $\pi$  is a bijection.

*Proof.* We see that

$$\pi(gh) = (gh)^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1} = \pi(h)\pi(g)$$

which proves that  $\pi$  is an anti-homomorphism. If we let  $\pi(g) = \pi(h)$ , then we have that  $g^{-1} = h^{-1}$ . By multiplying on the right by  $g$  and on the left by  $h$ , we have that  $h = g$ , which proves that  $\pi$  is injective. We also see that for all  $g \in G$ , we have that  $\pi(g^{-1}) = (g^{-1})^{-1} = g$ . This proves that  $\pi$  is surjective, which means that  $\pi$  is a bijection.  $\square$