

Esketamine for Major Depressive Disorder with Psychotic Features

Documentation for the statistical analysis plan

Breno Souza-Marques Ana Teresa Caliman-Fontes Gustavo C. Leal
Rodrigo P. Mello Flávia Vieira Aline S. Sampaio
Lucas C. Quarantini*

Contents

Justification	2
Study description	2
Modelling strategy	2
Statistical power for LLMs through simulations	3
References	4

*Corresponding Author

Justification

This document should be viewed as a companion to a protocol for a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel clinical trial of sub-anesthetic esketamine for Major Depressive Disorder with psychotic features - ESKETPD, which is published elsewhere (REFERENCE). It is primarily justified by our intent to follow the principles of transparency, openness and reproducibility proposed by the Open Science movement (Crüwell et al., 2019; Kathawalla et al., 2021). Therefore, this document has two main goals: to further explain the analysis plan and sample size of the clinical trial, and to make the underlying code openly available. This .PDF document was generated by a reproducible R Markdown script (Xie et al., 2022), which is built on R (R Core Team, 2020). This script contains the underlying code which can be opened and inspected within R, or at the Open Science Framework repository where it is permanently registered with a digital object identifier (Souza-Marques, 2022).

A brief description of the study design and its relation to our modelling strategy for the statistical analysis plan is presented. Use of simulation and the assumptions of our analysis plan are presented in detail, followed by a step-by-step process of how we conducted such simulations, and finally a brief discussion of their implications to the study design.

Study description

The study aims to detect possible differences in depressive symptoms between patients treated with intravenous esketamine and those treated with midazolam as an active placebo, in a trial with two parallel arms. Further information on the study methods, including participants and instruments, are available on the protocol (REFERENCE). The main outcome for this analysis is the mean Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) score at 24h after the last esketamine infusion. The MADRS is a 10-item scale that ranges from 0 to 60, which is reliably used in clinical trials of antidepressants, including rapid-acting antidepressants such as ketamine (Coyle & Laws, 2015; Khan et al., 2002).

Modelling strategy

We focus on the difference in depressive symptoms between periods (baseline vs post-treatment) and groups (esketamine vs placebo), that is, the change in MADRS scores for those treated with esketamine in comparison to those treated with midazolam. This means that the chosen model should contain the factors of Period, Drug, and their interaction. The “Drug” factor varies between participants, allocated in one of two groups, while “Period” varies within participants, in line with the repeated measuring of depressive symptoms as per study design.

Given these characteristics and the available statistical tools, a Linear Mixed-Effects Model (LMM)

is chosen as a modelling strategy for its ability to accommodate both the dependency (data points at baseline and post-treatment are not independent) and hierarchical (multilevel) structure of the study design - for further information on LMMs, see (Bolker et al., 2009; Magezi, 2015). More specifically, we chose a LMM with a random intercept for participants, as limitations on sample size would not allow for a model with random intercepts and slopes. For the simulations of power and sample size, we assume the dependent variable, MADRS scores, will follow a somewhat symmetric shape, and thus the LMM is run with a Gaussian distribution and an identity link.

The main model for this study features MADRS scores as the dependent variable; Period, Drug and their interaction as fixed effects, and Participants as random effects. A simplified LMM model is as follows: $y = X\beta + Z\mu + e$. In this example, $X\beta$ encodes the fixed effects of Period and Drug, $Z\mu$ the random effects, and e the error term. In R code, this model is classically written as `MADRS ~ Period * Drug + (1 | Subj_ID)` (Bates et al., 2015). Further exploratory analyses on the effects of covariates of interest, such as age and sex, will follow the same structure but are not a part of the sample size calculations.

Statistical power for LLMs through simulations

The need to determine specific parameters that exert influence on the

The sample size for this study was established by means of simulation, which allowed precise determination of the required number of participants given a set of predetermined parameters.

```
summary(cars)
```

```
##      speed      dist
##  Min.   : 4.0    Min.   : 2.00
##  1st Qu.:12.0    1st Qu.: 26.00
##  Median :15.0    Median : 36.00
##  Mean   :15.4    Mean   : 42.98
##  3rd Qu.:19.0    3rd Qu.: 56.00
##  Max.   :25.0    Max.   :120.00
```

References

- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using **Lme4**. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1). <https://doi.org/gcrnkw>
- Bolker, B. M., Brooks, M. E., Clark, C. J., Geange, S. W., Poulsen, J. R., Stevens, M. H. H., & White, J.-S. S. (2009). Generalized linear mixed models: A practical guide for ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 24(3), 127–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.10.008>
- Coyle, C. M., & Laws, K. R. (2015). The use of ketamine as an antidepressant: A systematic review and meta-analysis: Ketamine as an Antidepressant. *Human Psychopharmacology: Clinical and Experimental*, 30(3), 152–163. <https://doi.org/gd7fpf>
- Crüwell, S., van Doorn, J., Etz, A., Makel, M. C., Moshontz, H., Niebaum, J. C., Orben, A., Parsons, S., & Schulte-Mecklenbeck, M. (2019). Seven Easy Steps to Open Science: An Annotated Reading List. *Zeitschrift für Psychologie*, 227(4), 237–248. <https://doi.org/10.1027/2151-2604/a000387>
- Kathawalla, U.-K., Silverstein, P., & Syed, M. (2021). Easing Into Open Science: A Guide for Graduate Students and Their Advisors. *Collabra: Psychology*, 7(1), 18684. <https://doi.org/10.1525/collabra.18684>
- Khan, A., Khan, S. R., Shankles, E. B., & Polissar, N. L. (2002). Relative sensitivity of the Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale, the Hamilton Depression rating scale and the Clinical Global Impressions rating scale in antidepressant clinical trials: *International Clinical Psychopharmacology*, 17(6), 281–285. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004850-200211000-00003>
- Magezi, D. A. (2015). Linear mixed-effects models for within-participant psychology experiments: An introductory tutorial and free, graphical user interface (LMMgui). *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6, 2. <https://doi.org/gjsk65>
- R Core Team. (2020). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Souza-Marques, B. (2022). Open repository - A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial of Esketamine for Major Depressive Disorder with Psychotic Features. *Open Science Framework*. <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/4SCVW>
- Xie, Y., Allaire, J. J., & Grolemond, G. (2022, November 1). *R Markdown: The Definitive Guide*. <https://bookdown.org/yihui/rmarkdown/>