1 QAP, the problem

QAP, and alternative descriptions, see 1

$$\begin{split} & \min_{X} f(X) = \operatorname{tr}(A^{\top}XBX^{\top}) \\ & = \operatorname{tr}(X^{\top}A^{\top}XB) \qquad x = \operatorname{vec}(X) \\ & = \left\langle \operatorname{vec}(X), \operatorname{vec}(A^{\top}XB) \right\rangle \\ & = \left\langle \operatorname{vec}(X), B^{\top} \otimes A^{\top} \cdot \operatorname{vec}(X) \right\rangle \\ & = x^{\top}(B^{\top} \otimes A^{\top})x \\ & \text{s.t.} \\ & X \in \Pi_n \end{split}$$

is the optimization problem on permutation matrices:

$$\Pi_n = \left\{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid Xe = X^\top e = e, X_{ij} \in \{0,1\}\right\}$$

The convex hull of permutation matrices, the Birkhoff polytope, is defined:

$$D_n = \left\{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid Xe = X^\top e = e, X \geq 0\right\}$$

for the constraints, also equivalently:

$$\operatorname{tr}(XX^{\top}) = \langle x, x \rangle_{\scriptscriptstyle E} = n, X \in D_n$$

1.1 Differentiation

$$\nabla f = A^{\top}XB + AXB^{\top}$$

$$\nabla \mathrm{tr}(XX^{\top}) = 2X$$

2 \mathcal{L}_p regularization

various form of regularized problem:

- \mathcal{L}_0 : $f(X) + \sigma ||X||_0$ is exact to the original problem for efficiently large σ 1, but the problem itself is still NP-hard.
- \mathcal{L}_p : also suggested by 1, good in the sense:
 - strongly concave and the global optimizer must be at vertices

Chuwen Zhang

- local optimizer is a permutation matrix if σ , ϵ satisfies some condition. Also, there is a lower bound for nonzero entries of the KKT points

$$\min_{X \in D_p} F_{\sigma,p,\epsilon}(X) := f(X) + \sigma \|X + \epsilon 1\|_p^p$$

• \mathcal{L}_2 , and is based on the fact that $\Pi_n = D_n \bigcap \{X : \operatorname{tr}(XX^\top) = n\}, 2$

$$\min_X f(X) + \mu_0 \cdot \operatorname{tr} \left(X X^\top \right)$$

- **2.1** L_2
- 2.1.1 naive

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{tr}(A^{\top}XBX^{\top}) + \mu_0 \cdot \operatorname{tr}(XX^{\top}) \\ = & x^{\top}(B^{\top} \otimes A^{\top} + \mu \cdot \mathbf{e}_{n \times n})x \end{aligned}$$

this implies a LD-like method. (but not exactly)

2.1.2 exact penalty

$$\begin{split} L_D &= f + \mu_0 \cdot |\text{tr}(XX^T) - n| \\ &= f + \mu_0 \cdot n - \mu_0 \cdot \text{tr}(XX^T) \end{split}$$

very likely to become a concave function, cannot be solved by conic solver.

Reference

- [1] B. Jiang, Y.-F. Liu, and Z. Wen, "L_p-norm regularization algorithms for optimization over permutation matrices," *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 2284–2313, 2016.
- [2] Y. Xia, "An efficient continuation method for quadratic assignment problems," Computers & Operations Research, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 1027–1032, 2010.

Chuwen Zhang 2