Crisis Diplomacy Politics and Peace Agreements April 16, 2015

Recap

Fortna on making peace last:

- Changing incentives
- Reducing uncertainty
- Managing accidents

What's Missing

Political issues determine whether war breaks out in the first place.

Don't they also play a role in the resumption of war?

Recap: Bargaining Model of War

- Puzzle of war
 - War is inefficient
 - Mutually preferable settlements exist
- -Why war occurs
 - Uncertainty → don't know what others are willing to accept
 - Incentives to misrepresent

Expectations

Convergent: Similar idea of likely war outcomes.

<u>Divergent:</u> Different ideas of likely outcomes—each side overly optimistic.

Werner and Yuen: Premises

- 1. War occurs because states:
 - Have a conflict of interest
 - Have divergent expectations about the outcome of war
- 2. Battle outcomes shape states' expectations.

Werner and Yuen: Conclusions

- Consistent battle outcomes → Longer peace
- "Interrupted" war → Shorter peace

Empirical Analysis

- Unit of analysis: ceasefire (same as Fortna)
- Dependent variable: duration of peace
- Independent variables:
 - Battle consistency: portion of conflict one side consistently won
 - Interruption: ceasefire due to third-party pressure

Findings

- Consistent battle outcomes → Longer peace
- "Interrupted" war → Shorter peace
- Mitigated effect of Fortna's "agreement strength"

Implications

- Political aspects of post-war agreements matter
- -...but so do the trust-building aspects
- Ambiguous role of third parties
- Making peace is hard