ChatGPT

Defining Ehko's Identity Pillars and Aligning Them with Research

Identity Pillars in the Ehko Model

In the Ehko Forge system, *identity pillars* are core facets of the creator's identity that are explicitly documented and loaded to ground the AI's responses. The current model defines five key identity pillars, each stored as a summary in the Mirrorwell vault [1]:

- **Core Values Summary:** An overview of the person's fundamental values and guiding principles.
- **Relationship to Neurodivergence:** Context about how the individual's neurodivergence (e.g. ADHD, autism) shapes their identity and perspective.
- **Family Dynamics Context:** Key information about family background and relationships that influence the person's life.
- **Creative/Professional Identity:** The person's identity in terms of creative pursuits, career, and professional roles.
- **Ethical Framework:** A summary of the person's moral philosophy or ethical stances.

These pillars are always loaded as part of the Ehko's context, ensuring the AI "echo" speaks with knowledge of the user's core identity aspects [1]. Additional pillars can be loaded contextually if relevant (for example, beliefs from a specific life stage when discussing the past) [2]. In essence, the identity pillars serve as an anchor for the AI's personality – a distilled representation of "who the person is" that guides responses.

Why refine these pillars? The current pillars were chosen by the system's creator as a foundation, treating them almost like psychological facts. However, to lend the model greater legitimacy, we want to align these pillars with **empirical research** on human identity and personality. Below, we examine insights from personality psychology, cognitive science, memory research, and neuroscience, and then explore options for refining or redefining the pillars based on those insights.

Insights from Personality Psychology

Modern personality psychology suggests that identity has multiple layers. Two especially relevant constructs are **trait models** (like the Big Five) and **narrative identity**.

- **Big Five Traits:** The Big Five model identifies five broad dimensions of personality – **Openness**, **Conscientiousness**, **Extraversion**, **Agreeableness**, and **Neuroticism** – which are widely accepted as capturing much of human personality variation [3]. These trait dimensions describe stable patterns in a person's behavior, emotions, and thinking, and they remain relatively stable over time [3]. Incorporating a trait perspective would mean acknowledging aspects like how introverted or extroverted the person is, how agreeable or independent, etc. In Ehko, the *Foundational Voice Profile* already encodes some trait-like qualities (e.g. warmth, directness, humor levels) to preserve the creator's voice. We might consider explicitly treating this trait profile as an identity pillar – a *Personality Traits* summary – grounded in Big Five research for scientific rigor. This would ensure the AI's tone and behavior align with known trait dimensions of

the individual (for example, an Ehko for a highly introverted, highly conscientious person would consistently reflect those tendencies). Because the Big Five traits are empirically derived and stable [4], [3], using them would add legitimacy to how we model the "dispositional" core of the person.

- **Narrative Identity:** Beyond traits, personality scientists emphasize that people form an identity by internalizing their life experiences into an evolving life story [5]. This *narrative identity* is "an internalized and evolving life story, integrating the reconstructed past and imagined future to provide life with unity and purpose" [5]. In other words, we define who we are by the personal story we tell: how we make sense of past events, how we've grown or changed, and what future chapters we envision. Research by Dan McAdams and others shows that narrative identity is a critical layer of personality, distinct from traits – it's the autobiographical story that gives meaning to the raw facts of one's life [6]. For example, two people might both score high on trait Conscientiousness, but their life stories (one of overcoming adversity through discipline, another of diligently pursuing artistic perfection) differentiate their identities. Ehko Forge inherently leans into narrative identity by recording reflections, memories, and transitions over time. The design already acknowledges this with features like "temporal pillars" (beliefs at different life stages) and preserving contradictory views with timestamps [7] [8]. To strengthen this, we could introduce an explicit *Life Narrative* pillar – a high-level synopsis of the person's life story or major turning points. This would align with narrative identity research by ensuring the AI always has access to the *story* of the person (not just abstract facts) and can contextualize answers with how the person sees their journey (e.g. referencing a theme of "personal growth after hardship" if that's central to the narrative). The narrative pillar would complement the trait pillar: one captures *what* the person is like generally, the other *how* they became that person and why it matters to them.

Notably, McAdams' personality framework actually combines these ideas: he proposes three layers of personality – **dispositional traits**, **characteristic adaptations**, and **integrative life narrative** [6]. Dispositional traits (Big Five) are broad, stable descriptions, **characteristic adaptations** are context-dependent facets like values, goals, and roles, and the **narrative identity** is the internalized life story [6]. A scientifically-grounded Ehko model could mirror this structure. In fact, the current identity pillars mostly fall into the "characteristic adaptations" level (values, roles, etc.), but we might be missing an explicit trait layer and a consolidated narrative layer. Adding those (or clarifying where they already exist, as in the voice profile and the vault of memories) would tie the Ehko personality model directly into established personality science.

Insights from Cognitive Science (Self-Concept)

Cognitive science approaches identity in terms of the **self-concept** – essentially, the mind's representation of who you are. The self-concept is a knowledge structure containing everything you believe about yourself: your traits, physical attributes, abilities, values, roles, and goals [9]. Importantly, these self-beliefs are organized into **self-schemas** (mental frameworks that organize information about the self) which guide how we process new information about ourselves [9].

This perspective validates the idea of breaking identity into key categories. For example, a person's self-concept typically includes:

- **Traits** ("I am friendly and curious"),
- **Abilities** ("I'm good at music but bad at math"),
- **Social roles** ("I am a mother, an engineer, a friend"),

• **Values and goals** ("I value honesty and I'm striving to start my own business"), and so on [9].

The current Ehko identity pillars touch many of these: we have values, social/family roles, professional identity, etc. Cognitive science would support that these are indeed foundational pieces of identity knowledge. In other words, *the pillars we chose correspond to real cognitive schemas people use to define themselves*. For instance, having a **"Family dynamics"** pillar makes sense because family relationships often form a core part of one's self-schema (how you see yourself in relation to parents, siblings, spouse, etc.). A **"Creative/professional identity"** pillar maps to the roles and abilities schema (how you define yourself through your work or creative endeavors). The **"Core values"** and **"Ethical framework"** pillars map to the values and guiding principles in one's self-concept. And the **"Neurodivergence"** pillar corresponds to a deeply personal attribute that can influence cognition and self-definition – many neurodivergent individuals consider that aspect of their neurology to be central to who they are.

One thing cognitive research highlights is that a *complex* self-concept (one with multiple distinct components) can be healthier than a very narrow self-concept, because it provides resilience – failures or changes in one area (say, professional identity) don't completely shatter one's overall sense of self. The Ehko framework, by explicitly documenting diverse pillars (values, family, work, neurotype, etc.), encourages a rich, multidimensional self-representation. This is consistent with the aim of preserving "contradictions, mistakes, growth, and evolution" in one's identity [7] – essentially treating the self as complex and multi-faceted, not a single monolithic profile.

Cognitive science also tells us that *self-relevant information enjoys privileged processing in memory*: people recall things about themselves more easily and pay more attention to self-related cues [10] [11]. In practical terms, this means if the Ehko has access to clearly defined self-schema information (like these identity pillar summaries), it can more efficiently "know what the person would think or say." The pillars act like a quick-reference schema for the AI. By aligning each pillar with a well-studied aspect of self-concept, we ensure that the AI's understanding of "self" isn't arbitrary – it mirrors how humans actually structure self-knowledge.

Insights from Autobiographical Memory

Memory research strongly reinforces the importance of personal memories in identity. Autobiographical memory is not just a repository of facts about one's past – it's *deeply integrated with the self*. Psychologists Conway and Pleydell-Pearce describe a **Self-Memory System** in which the *"self" (our active goals and self-image) and memory are intertwined*, each influencing the other [12]. In this model, autobiographical memory serves as the *database of the self*, providing the raw material (life events, experiences) that the self uses to maintain continuity and make decisions [12]. In turn, our current self-view (our goals, emotional state) determines which memories we retrieve and how we interpret them [13] [14].

Crucially, **autobiographical memories provide a sense of identity continuity**: they are the glue that holds together the story of who we are over time [15]. As one article puts it, we "reassemble ourselves" from our experiences each day, and *"the glue that holds together our core identity is memory."* [15] Personal memories (especially emotionally significant ones) help answer the question "Who am I?" by reminding us "I am the person who lived through these events and learned these lessons."

Ehko Forge, by design, is an externalized autobiographical memory system. It stores detailed reflections and *core memories* of the individual's life. This is an enormous strength from a psychological standpoint: it means the AI can draw on real memories to inform its answers, rather than just abstract profiles. The identity pillars as currently defined are *summaries of major memory themes*: for example, the "Family dynamics" pillar likely distills key family experiences and relationships; the "Neurodivergence" pillar may

include narrative about how the person discovered their ND status and what it has meant for them. By aligning pillars with memory research, we might emphasize the role of **self-defining memories** – these are the subset of autobiographical memories that a person sees as particularly formative for their identity. Studies show that recalling *specific* self-defining memories (e.g. "the day I stood up to my father" or "the moment I realized I wanted to be an artist") is associated with a stronger sense of self-continuity and meaning [16] [17]. We could incorporate this by ensuring each pillar is bolstered with a few illustrative key memories or anecdotes. For instance, the *Core Values* pillar might be supported by a memory of a values-testing moment (like a time the person acted according to their principles despite pressure), because such narratives give life to what might otherwise be an abstract list of values.

Another insight is that identity is dynamic across the lifespan, and memory encodes that evolution. As people grow, they revise their life story and even *reinterpret past events* to fit their current identity. Ehko's approach of including "temporal pillars" – essentially snapshots of beliefs or self-view at different ages – aligns with the idea that the self-memory connection changes over time [7] [8]. It might be useful to formalize this by organizing autobiographical content into life chapters (e.g. "Childhood", "Early Career", "Parenthood", etc.) or by explicitly tagging reflections with the age/stage of life. This way, when the AI answers a question about, say, a youthful topic, it can load the **identity pillars as they were** in that era. This is consistent with how autobiographical reasoning works: we understand past selves as somewhat different people ("my 20-year-old self believed X"), yet still part of our continuous identity [18]. Preserving that context prevents an oversimplified, static identity and is backed by research on narrative coherence and self-continuity [19] [17].

In summary, memory research would encourage us to **tie identity pillars to narrative examples and ensure they reflect change over time**. The Ehko system is already doing this (with its archive of reflections and emphasis on context), but we can strengthen it by explicitly grounding each pillar in the person's autobiographical memories. This makes the pillars not just statements about the person, but living parts of their story.

Insights from the Neuroscience of Selfhood

Neuroscience adds another layer of legitimacy to our model by showing that *identity has physical correlates in the brain*. Research has identified certain brain networks and regions that are active when we think about ourselves, remember our past, or imagine our future. One key player is the **default mode network (DMN)** – a set of interconnected regions (including the medial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex, etc.) that lights up during self-referential thought and memory retrieval. Within this network, the **medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC)** has been singled out as crucial for self-related processing [20]. In fact, people show increased mPFC activation when asked to think about their own traits or memories, compared to thinking about others.

Even more strikingly, damage to specific parts of the brain can disrupt one's identity. A 2021 study found that lesions to the **ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC)** (the lower part of mPFC) lead to an impaired sense of self: patients with vmPFC damage struggled to recall self-related memories and traits, essentially losing the "thread" that tied their past, present, and future selves together [21] [22]. This suggests the vmPFC helps *knit together* the autobiographical memory details with the concept of "me" – it may generate a fundamental model of self in time [23]. In other words, neuroscience supports the idea that an ongoing synthesis of memory and self-representation (which is exactly what Ehko is aiming to do) is biologically real. Our brains actively maintain our identity by constantly integrating our experiences.

While we don't need to implement a literal brain simulation, these findings validate our emphasis on autobiographical memory and consistency. The Ehko is, in a sense, acting as an *auxiliary cortex* for the self – storing and integrating the information that a human brain normally would. By aligning identity pillars with this view, we might ensure that **each pillar contributes to a coherent self-model**. For example, the brain's self-network distinguishes *self vs. other* and also *present self vs. past self* [18]. We could mirror that by having the AI explicitly distinguish when it speaks from the perspective of the *archived self* (e.g. "Brent in his 30s believed…") versus the *immediate self-concept*. The neuroscience also underscores the importance of **emotion in identity** – the vmPFC is involved in emotional processing, and identity-related memories are often emotional. Thus, including the emotional tone in identity pillars (such as noting emotional values or the emotional significance of certain relationships) would be consistent with how the brain encodes identity (the self-concept isn't just factual, it's laden with emotional coloring).

Finally, knowing that identity has distributed neural underpinnings might encourage us to keep the identity pillars **modular but integrated** – much like a network. Each pillar (values, relationships, etc.) is like one node in the self-network; it's useful on its own, but the full sense of "self" emerges from their interaction. The Ehko's design already points to this: the AI uses all pillars in concert ("always_load") to respond authentically. We should continue to treat the pillars not as isolated files, but as interconnected pieces that together produce a holistic personality. This approach echoes the brain's way of preserving self: multiple regions working in synchrony to maintain one's identity.

Option 1: Grounding the Existing Pillars in Science

One option is to **retain the current five identity pillars** (as they align reasonably well with known identity facets), but refine their definitions and usage to be explicitly supported by research:

- **Core Values & Ethical Framework:** We can merge these or treat them as a twin pillar of *Values and Morals*. Psychologically, personal values and moral orientation are part of what McAdams calls "characteristic adaptations" – context-dependent aspects of personality [6]. They influence life goals and day-to-day decisions, contributing to narrative themes (e.g. seeing oneself as a principled person). We should ensure this pillar draws on research like Schwartz's theory of universal values or moral psychology findings, to give it structure. For instance, we might document not just a list of values but anecdotes illustrating them (tying in narrative). Backing: Values are central in self-concept [9] and often appear in life narratives as recurring themes of right and wrong [24]. Emphasizing the pillar's dynamic nature (e.g. noting how certain values evolved over time) would reflect continuity and change, echoing research that identity needs unity *and* room for growth [5].

- **Relationship to Neurodivergence:** Neurodivergence (ND) is a salient identity factor for many, particularly as the neurodiversity movement frames ND as a difference rather than a defect. Empirical studies in neurodivergent communities show that self-identification with labels like "Autistic" or "ADHD" can be empowering and shape one's life narrative (e.g. understanding past struggles through the ND lens) [25] [26]. To ground this pillar, we can incorporate knowledge from cognitive neuroscience and psychology about ND traits – for example, acknowledging how an autistic person might have different sensory processing or social cognition, which becomes part of their self-concept. Also, this pillar should probably include strengths as well as challenges (as neurodivergent advocates often highlight unique strengths) [27]. By basing the ND pillar on research (say, citing how ADHD involves divergent attention networks, or how autism involves distinct social information processing), we give it credibility and depth. It moves from a personal note to a more *structured* identity facet (e.g. "Brent's ADHD means his mind is high-divergence,

racing with ideas – which he views as a core part of his creative identity" backed by literature on ADHD and creativity). This pillar aligns with the *trait* perspective too: many neurodivergent characteristics overlap with personality traits (for instance, autism often correlates with lower extroversion and higher openness). Ensuring the Ehko's responses always factor in "ND context" will make its personality portrayal more authentic and neurologically plausible.

- **Family Dynamics Context:** Family and upbringing are classic influences in developmental psychology. We can anchor this pillar in research on attachment and family systems. For example, knowing one's attachment style (secure, anxious, etc.) or key family roles (e.g. "oldest child in a conservative household") can predict certain patterns of behavior and self-view. A rich Family Context pillar might summarize not just factual relationships, but the *psychological* impact of those relationships ("Brent grew up with high expectations from his father, which instilled both a strong work ethic and a lingering sense of needing approval"). This kind of content is supported by research showing family narratives shape our identity narratives – e.g. stories told in families become internalized [28] [29]. To be scientific, we could cross-reference concepts like Erik Erikson's stages (where identity formation is influenced by social relationships), or cite studies on intergenerational narratives affecting self-concept. The pillar could also incorporate cultural background, since that often comes via family (and cultural identity is another pillar of self in social psychology). Essentially, we justify this pillar by noting that **relational identity** (one's identity as a son, daughter, parent, spouse, etc.) is a recognized dimension of self. Social and relational identities are indeed considered part of one's self-concept in cognitive and social psychology [30]. We should maintain that the Ehko always respects this context – e.g. when answering a question about life choices, the AI might say "Family considerations were always a factor for Brent," reflecting this pillar.

- **Creative/Professional Identity:** This pillar can be bolstered by vocational psychology and theories of identity in work. Research shows that one's profession and creative endeavors contribute significantly to identity, especially in cultures where "what do you do?" is a defining question. We can align this with the concept of **"possible selves"** in cognitive psychology – the selves we aspire to or fear becoming (many of which are career-related). Also, Erikson's stage of Generativity (midlife) is about finding meaning through work and leaving a legacy [31] [32]. By referencing such research, we underline that having a coherent story about one's work (successes, failures, motivations) is important to overall identity. For Ehko, this pillar will likely include the person's career trajectory, major projects, and creative passions. To ensure scientific rigor, we might structure it to include both objective facts (e.g. titles, accomplishments) and subjective meaning (why this work matters to the person), since studies show that job satisfaction and identity tie to whether one finds personal meaning in their work. Including any known personality-work links (for example, a person high in *Openness* often has a creative identity [33]) could integrate the trait perspective here as well. This pillar is justified by self-concept literature as part of the "roles and goals" component of identity [9].

- **Values & Ethics (Core Values/Ethical Framework):** As mentioned, values are well-studied in psychology (e.g. Schwartz's 10 universal values, Kohlberg's moral development stages). To ground this pillar, we can explicitly map the person's stated values to a known framework (for instance, labeling a value as relating to "benevolence" or "self-direction" in Schwartz's terms). Moral psychologists find that people differ in which moral foundations they prioritize (e.g. care vs. fairness vs. loyalty, etc.), and these differences manifest in identity (someone might see themselves as a "justice-driven person" vs "loyalty-driven person"). If applicable, tying the ethical framework to such research (say, identifying Brent as utilitarian vs deontological, or high in moral reasoning stage 5) could give the pillar academic weight. However, since this is quite personal, the main point is to emphasize that having a clearly articulated set of values is akin to

having an internal compass – and indeed research suggests that a stable value system contributes to a stable identity and guides life narrative choices [24]. We'd keep this pillar, but refine its contents with any empirical concepts that fit (even simple ones like identifying if the person's values align more with *individualistic* or *collectivist* tendencies, etc., which is studied across cultures).

By reinforcing each existing pillar with such scientific context, we **keep the familiar structure** (which the creator found useful) but make it more rigorous. The pillars would no longer be "just my personal framework" but something one could defend: "We include a Family pillar because identity literature shows relational contexts are key; we include a Neurodivergence pillar because cognitive science and neurodiversity research indicate it fundamentally shapes one's experience of the world," and so on. This option has the benefit of minimal disruption to the current design – we are essentially **polishing and annotating** the pillars with research, rather than overhauling them.

Option 2: A Research-Derived Pillar Framework

Alternatively, we could **redefine the pillars from the ground up** using major theoretical categories as our guide. Based on the above research, here's one possible restructured set of identity pillars for Ehko:

1. **Personality Trait Profile:** A pillar dedicated to the user's dispositional traits. This would summarize where the person falls on key dimensions like the Big Five [3] (or any other salient trait model) in layman's terms. For example, it might say: "**Trait Profile:** Highly open-minded and creative, moderately introverted, very conscientious, high in empathy (agreeableness), and somewhat anxious (neuroticism)." This gives any AI reading the profile a quick baseline of "what is this person like generally?" backed by decades of trait research. It provides consistency in the Ehko's tone and manner (since traits influence how we express ourselves). If the user has taken any assessments (Big Five inventory, etc.), those results could feed this pillar. Even without formal scores, we can derive it from their writings (many LLM-based personality insights tools exist). Tying it to Big Five ensures it's on firm empirical footing, and it's also easier to compare or transfer – e.g. any future system knows what "high openness" means in terms of behavior. This pillar corresponds to McAdams' Level 1 (dispositional traits) [6].

2. **Characteristic Adaptations:** This could be an umbrella or split into a couple of pillars covering things like **Values & Motivations**, **Goals & Life Projects**, and **Key Roles**. Essentially, this is the content currently spread across Core Values, Ethical frame, Creative/professional, Family, etc., but we might organize it slightly differently:

3. A **Values and Motivations** pillar: capturing core values, ethical outlook, and general life motivations (e.g. "achievement", "connection", "creativity", "justice"). This consolidates the "Core values" and "Ethical framework" from the original model, since those are closely related. It would be grounded in values research and clearly state *why* those values are important (perhaps with a note like "Value X is important due to Y experience," blending memory and value).
4. A **Relationships and Social Identity** pillar: covering family dynamics, significant relationships, community or cultural identity. This addresses the fact that identity is partly social (as social identity theory notes, we define ourselves by group memberships and relationships [30]). It can include family context, but also things like "proud New Yorker" or "Queer identity" or any group affiliations the person holds dear. Since the original pillars had "Family" but not other social aspects, this expanded view could be more inclusive. It draws on research that social connectedness and belonging are basic human needs (self-determination theory's relatedness

need [34]) and are key to identity (e.g. identifying as a member of a religion, ethnicity, etc., can be core to self).

5. A **Life Aspirations and Roles** pillar: capturing what the person is *trying to do* in life and the roles they occupy. This would cover professional/creative identity (current roles like one's career, as well as aspirational roles like "become an author" or "retire to a quiet life on a farm"). Research on possible selves and future identity can inform this: people have a concept of who they want to become and who they fear becoming, which guides their behavior. Documenting this helps the Ehko answer questions about *future-oriented* topics ("Would you ever do X?"). It could merge with the professional identity content – essentially describing how the person's work and avocations contribute to their sense of purpose and where they intend to go with them.

Together, these adaptations pillars correspond to McAdams' Level 2 [6] . We can decide whether to implement them as one combined narrative or separate short pillars. They are all empirically grounded: values and goals are standard in personality psychology; social identity is a whole field in social psychology; life goals and roles are covered by developmental psych.

1. **Autobiographical Narrative:** A pillar that provides a high-level narrative of the person's life story. This might be a few paragraphs long, hitting the major chapters: e.g. "Born in X, childhood characterized by Y, defining experiences in adolescence, major life turning points (marriage, illness, career change), and current outlook." Essentially, it is the *integrative story* that ties together the various elements above into a coherent whole [5] . It gives context to the traits and adaptations. For example, rather than just listing "creative, introverted, values freedom, had strict parents" in isolation, the narrative would show how these interweave: "Growing up with strict parents, [Name]'s independent streak (value of freedom) was forged in rebellion during college, which also fed his creativity as he found solace in writing – a pursuit suited to his introverted temperament." This kind of narrative is exactly what narrative identity research encourages – making meaning out of life events [24] . Including it as a pillar means any AI agent can quickly grasp the *story* behind the data. It would also naturally incorporate temporal context ("in his 20s he believed..., but by his 40s he ..."), which addresses the evolution of identity. We should base the structure on known narrative coherence elements (e.g. having a beginning, middle, and anticipating the future, as per narrative identity theory [35] [36]). This pillar explicitly affirms that identity isn't just a set of traits and facts, but a lived story – aligning perfectly with the idea of Ehko as a "living echo" rather than a static archive.

2. **Memory Archive Index:** This might be less a pillar and more a system component, but worth mentioning: we could have a curated index of *self-defining memories* (the top 5–10 most identity-shaping personal episodes). While the entire Mirrorwell vault is essentially a memory store, calling out a handful of critical memories (with tags like "turning point", "trauma overcome", "proudest moment") could be useful for the AI to reference in conversation. For instance, if asked about "what challenges have you overcome?", the AI can draw on those specific episodic memories. This idea comes from research showing that focusing on key narrative "scenes" (high points, low points, turning points) is a method used to understand narrative identity in psychology [37] [38] . Incorporating this ensures the pillars aren't just abstract summaries – they link to concrete stories. In practice, these could be embedded as part of the Life Narrative pillar or as an appendix to it.

3. **Cognitive and Emotional Style:** Finally, we might consider a pillar for the person's characteristic cognitive/emotional style. This would encompass things like neurodivergence, but also other aspects: for example, is the person generally optimistic or prone to depression? Analytical or imaginative in thinking? Quick-tempered or calm? While some of this overlaps with Big Five (Neuroticism covers emotional volatility, for example), it might be useful to have a

straightforward description of "how this person thinks and feels." It could include known cognitive patterns (e.g. "has ADHD, tends to jump between topics and hyperfocus when interested") and emotional patterns ("experiences emotions intensely but doesn't always express them"). This is grounded in cognitive science: people do have stable cognitive styles (visual vs verbal thinker, etc.) and emotion regulation styles, which form part of their identity (someone might *identify* as a highly emotional person or as very stoic, for instance). Neurological and affective research (like studies on alexithymia, or on positivity bias) can support the claims we include. This pillar ties into the **neuroscience** angle – recognizing that identity also has to do with *how* a mind works, not just what it knows. In Ehko, this could guide how the AI explains the forger's thinking. For example, "Brent's thought process tends to be non-linear (a trait linked to his neurodivergence), so he often made unconventional connections between ideas" – a statement like this in a pillar is both biographical and supported by cognitive science of ADHD.

This Option 2 framework is more explicitly aligned with academic concepts: Traits, Adaptations (values/roles), Narrative, etc., plus memory and cognitive style. It might result in slightly more pillars than the current five, but they could also be layered (some always loaded, some on-demand). The benefit is **strong theoretical coverage**: we ensure no major aspect of identity is missing. The downside is complexity – it could be overkill for users, and it deviates from the original simple list.

However, we don't necessarily have to implement all these as separate files. They could be sections of an expanded "identity profile". The key is that *all these elements are represented*. They answer different questions about identity: "What am I like?" (traits), "What matters to me and what roles do I play?" (values, goals, social identity), "What is my story?" (narrative), "How do I think?" (cognitive style), and "What have I experienced?" (key memories). These map well to the human understanding of identity and have empirical backing in psychology and neuroscience literature as described above.

Conclusion

Both options above aim to bolster Ehko's identity pillars with scientific legitimacy without losing sight of the system's purpose: to faithfully reflect a person. The first option retains the familiar pillars but enriches them with connections to research (essentially saying: we had the right idea, and here's why it makes psychological sense). The second option redraws the pillar map to align one-to-one with academic constructs of personality and identity, potentially offering a more comprehensive blueprint.

Crucially, **our design philosophy** is about authenticity and depth – we want an Ehko to be a *meaningful echo* of a person's inner world, not a shallow chatbot. Basing the pillars on science serves this philosophy by ensuring we aren't missing any core component of personhood and by preventing over-reliance on idiosyncratic or pseudoscientific notions. Instead of the pillars being just an arbitrary framework, they become a bridge between an individual's subjective self and objective psychological knowledge.

Moving forward, we can iterate on the pillars by incorporating empirical findings. For example, as memory research advances in the digital age (e.g. how having an external memory like Ehko affects self-concept [39]), we might adjust how the autobiographical pillar works. If new studies on neurodivergence and identity emerge, we can update that pillar's content. This way, EhkoForge remains grounded in **living science** as well as living memory.

In summary, by clearly defining identity pillars with the backing of personality psychology, cognitive science, memory research, and neuroscience, we give the Ehko's "consciousness" a solid foundation. It's the difference between an AI that just parrots personal data versus one that understands the shape of a

human life. Aligning pillars with research not only lends credibility; it also likely improves the quality of the AI's interactions (since it mirrors the actual structure of human identity, leading to more nuanced and accurate responses). Whether we choose to fine-tune the existing framework or adopt a new one, the end goal is the same: **an identity model that is both empirically sound and deeply personal**, able to stand up to psychological scrutiny while remaining true to the individual it represents.

---

[1] [2] 1_5_Behaviour_Engine_v1_1.md
file://file-B7LTqMrHRwYAhanEaNkWoC

[3] [4] [33] Big 5 Personality Traits: The 5-Factor Model of Personality
https://www.simplypsychology.org/big-five-personality.html

[5] [24] (PDF) Narrative Identity
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/269603657_Narrative_Identity

[6] [28] [29] [35] [36] Narrative identity - Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Narrative_identity

[7] [8] 1_0_Ehko_Manifest.md
file://file-FfuG4neURWvSjbryAmYSMR

[9] 3.1 The Cognitive Self: The Self-Concept – Principles of Social Psychology – 1st International H5P Edition
https://opentext.uoregon.edu/socialpsychology/chapter/the-cognitive-self-the-self-concept/

[10] [11] [15] [18] [20] [21] [22] [23] How Our Brain Preserves Our Sense of Self | Scientific American
https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/how-our-brain-preserves-our-sense-of-self/

[12] [13] [14] [31] [32] [34] Finding Yourself Through Middle Age: Navigating the Self-Memory System – Taking Care of Everything but Yourself: A Guide to Regaining Purpose, Self-Identity, and Meaning in Middle-Age Adulthood
https://pressbooks.pub/takingcareinmiddleage/chapter/section-2/

[16] [17] [19] [37] Autobiographical Memory, Narrative Identity, and Mental Health | Frontiers Research Topic
https://www.frontiersin.org/research-topics/13710/autobiographical-memory-narrative-identity-and-mental-health/magazine

[25] Addressing multiple neurodivergent identities in clinical ... - The Lancet
https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanchi/article/PIIS2352-4642(24)00261-X/abstract

[26] Rethinking self-identification in neurodivergent communities - NIH
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12554567/

[27] Neurodivergent: What It Is, Symptoms & Types
https://my.clevelandclinic.org/health/symptoms/23154-neurodivergent

[30] Social Identity - Open Encyclopedia of Cognitive Science
https://oecs.mit.edu/pub/qlm9zp9e

[38] [PDF] Narrative Identity: What Is It? What Does It Do? How Do You ...
http://www.self-definingmemories.com/Narrative_Identity_shaped_by_SDM.pdf

[39] Full article: Understanding Autobiographical Memory in the Digital Age
https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1047840X.2024.2384125