

Project Title

Author Name

Abstract—An abstract is meant to be a summary of all of the relevant points in your presented work. It is designed to present a high-level overview of the report, providing just enough detail to convey the necessary information. The abstract may often mention a one-sentence summary of the results. While the type of voice chosen for the paper (active or passive) may be up for debate, you should avoid the use of "I" and "me" in the report. It usually is kept to a length of 150 - 200 words. Example: You should not write, "I built two different robot models for performance evaluation". Instead, you should try to say, "Two different robot models are considered for performance evaluation".

Index Terms—Robot, IEEEtran, Udacity, L^AT_EX, Localization.

1 INTRODUCTION

THE introduction should provide some material regarding the history of the problem, why it is important and what is intended to be achieved. If there exists any previous attempts to solve this problem, this is a great place to note these while conveying the differences in your approach (if any). The intent is to provide enough information for the reader to understand why this problem is interesting and setting up the conversation for the solution you have provided. Use this space to introduce your localization task and how you wish to accomplish it; save the details about the robot construction for later (simulation is a good point for this information). If you have any papers / sites / repositories you have referenced for your robot, please make sure to cite them.

Fig. 1. Robot Revolution.

1.1 Subsection Heading Here

Subsection text here.

1.1.1 Subsubsection Heading Here

Subsubsection text here.

TABLE 1
Table

One	Two
Three	Four

2 BACKGROUND

At this stage, you should begin diving into the technical details of your approach by explaining to the reader what are the characteristics of the filters, what localization method was chosen, and the reason that it was selected (i.e. particle filters). This should be factual and authoritative, meaning you should not use language such as "I think this will work"

or "Maybe Monte Carlo Localization with these parameters is better...". Instead, focus on items similar to, "Adaptive Monte Carlo Localization was chosen because..." Provide a sufficient background into the scope of the problem technologically while also identifying some of the current challenges in robot localization and why the problem domain is an important piece of robotics. [?]

2.1 Kalman Filters

Briefly describe Kalman filters. Explain how they work and why they are used for localization. Additionally, discuss the drawbacks of linear Kalman filters and how Extended Kalman Filters (EKFs) help resolve some of these issues.

2.2 Particle Filters

Briefly explain what a particle filter is, how it is used, and why it is useful.

2.3 Comparison / Contrast

Explain the benefits and disadvantages of using a Kalman Filter / Particle Filter. Why would you use one over the other? Also inform the reader that the work presented here will be using only particle filters.

- example 1
- example 2
- 1) example 1
- 2) example 2

3 SIMULATIONS

This section should discuss the performance of robots in simulation. Items to include are the robot model design, packages used, and the parameters chosen for the robot to properly localize itself. The information provided here is critical if anyone would like to replicate your results. After all, the intent of reports such as these are to convey information and build upon ideas so you want to ensure others can validate your process. You should have at least two images here: one that shows your standard robot used in the first part of the project, and a second robot that you modified / built that is different from the first robot. Remember to watermark all of your images as well.

3.1 Achievements

You should describe what you achieved for localization in the project with the benchmark model and your own model. Includes charts and graphs show how parameters affect your performance.

3.2 Benchmark Model

3.2.1 Model design

The Robot's design considerations should include: the size of the robot, the layout of sensors. This information can be shown in the form of a chart / table.

3.2.2 Packages Used

The packages used in the project should be specified as well as the topics received and published; the services it used and provided should also be addressed.

3.2.3 Parameters

Localization parameters in the AMCL node should be described, as well as move_base parameters in the configuration file. You should be able to clearly demonstrate your understanding of the impact of these parameters.

3.3 Personal Model

3.3.1 Model design

3.3.2 Packages Used

3.3.3 Parameters

4 RESULTS

Present an unbiased view of your robot's performance and justify your stance with facts. Do the localization results look reasonable? What is the duration for the particle filters to converge? How long does it take for the robot to reach the goal? Does it follow a smooth path to the goal? Does it have unexpected behavior in the process?

For demonstrating your results, it is incredibly useful to have some watermarked charts, tables, and/or graphs for the reader to review. This makes ingesting the information quicker and easier.

4.1 Localization Results

4.1.1 Benchmark

4.1.2 Student

4.2 Technical Comparison

Discuss the difference of the layout, parameters, performance etc. between the benchmark robot and your robot. It is acceptable for your custom robot to perform worse than the provided robot. The focus is on learning and understanding, not performance.

5 DISCUSSION

This is the only section of the report where you may include your opinion. However, make sure your opinion is based on facts. If your robot performed poorly, make mention of what may be the underlying issues. If the robot runs well, which aspects contribute to that? Again, avoid writing in the first person (i.e. Do not use words like "I" or "me"). If you really find yourself struggling to avoid the word "I" or "me"; sometimes, this can be avoid with the use of the word one. As an example: instead of : "I think the robot cannot localize itself because the sensor does not provide enough information for localization" try: "one may believe the localization performance is poor because the sensor layout is not able to provide enough information for localization". They say the same thing, but the second avoids the first person.

5.1 Topics

- Which robot performed better?
- Why it performed better? (opinion)
- How would you approach the 'Kidnapped Robot' problem?
- What types of scenario could localization be performed?
- Where would you use MCL/AMCL in an industry domain?

6 CONCLUSION / FUTURE WORK

This section is intended to summarize your report. Your summary should include a recap of the results, did this project achieve what you attempted, how would you deploy it on hardware and how could this project be applied to commercial products? For Future Work, address areas of work that you may not have addressed in your report as possible next steps. This could be due to time constraints, lack of currently developed methods / technology, and areas of application outside of your current implementation. Again, avoid the use of the first-person.

6.1 Modifications for Improvement

Examples:

- Base Dimension
- Sensor Location
- Sensor Layout
- Sensor Amount

6.2 Hardware Deployment

- 1) What would need to be done?
- 2) Computation time/resource considerations?