# Chapter 2

**Evolution of the Major Programming Languages** 



#### Overview


- Zuse's Plankalkül
- Minimal Hardware Programming: Pseudocodes
- The IBM 704 and Fortran
- Functional Programming: Lisp
- The First Step Toward Sophistication: ALGOL 60
- Computerizing Business Records: COBOL
- The Beginnings of Timesharing: Basic
- Everything for Everybody: PL/I
- Two Early Dynamic Languages: APL and SNOBOL
- The Beginnings of Data Abstraction: SIMULA 67
- Orthogonal Design: ALGOL 68
- Some Early Descendants of the ALGOLs
- Programming Based on Logic: Prolog
- History's Largest Design Effort: Ada



# Chapter 2 Topics (continued)

- Object-Oriented Programming: Smalltalk
- Combining Imperative ad Object-Oriented Features: C++
- An Imperative-Based Object-Oriented Language: Java
- Scripting Languages
- The Flagship .NET Language: C#
- Markup/Programming Hybrid Languages





#### Zuse's Plankalkül

- •Designed in 1945, but not published until 1972
- Never implemented
- Advanced data structures
  - •floating point, arrays, records
- Invariants



# Plankalkül Syntax

•An assignment statement to assign the expression A[4] + 1 to A[5]

# Minimal Hardware Programming: Pseudocodes

- •What was wrong with using machine code?
  - Poor readability
  - Poor modifiability
  - Expression coding was tedious
  - Machine deficiencies--no indexing or floating point



#### Pseudocodes: Short Code

- Short Code developed by Mauchly in 1949 for BINAC computers
  - Expressions were coded, left to right
  - •Example of operations:

```
01 - 06 abs value 1n (n+2)nd power

02 ) 07 + 2n (n+2)nd root

03 = 08 pause 4n if <= n

04 / 09 ( 58 print and tab
```



# Pseudocodes: Speedcoding

- Speedcoding developed by Backus in 1954 for IBM 701
  - Pseudo ops for arithmetic and math functions
  - Conditional and unconditional branching
  - Auto-increment registers for array access
  - •Slow!
  - Only 700 words left for user program



## Pseudocodes: Related Systems

- The UNIVAC Compiling System
  - Developed by a team led by Grace Hopper
  - Pseudocode expanded into machine code
- David J. Wheeler (Cambridge University)
  - developed a method of using blocks of re-locatable addresses to solve the problem of absolute addressing



#### IBM 704 and Fortran

- •Fortran 0: 1954 not implemented
- •Fortran I:1957
  - Designed for the new IBM 704, which had index registers and floating point hardware
    - This led to the idea of compiled programming languages, because there was no place to hide the cost of interpretation (no floating-point software)
  - Environment of development
    - Computers were small and unreliable
    - Applications were scientific
    - No programming methodology or tools
    - Machine efficiency was the most important concern



## Design Process of Fortran

- Impact of environment on design of Fortran I
  - No need for dynamic storage
  - Need good array handling and counting loops
  - •No string handling, decimal arithmetic, or powerful input/output (for business software)



#### Fortran I Overview

- First implemented version of Fortran
  - Names could have up to six characters
  - Post-test counting loop (DO)
  - Formatted I/O
  - User-defined subprograms
  - Three-way selection statement (arithmetic IF)
  - No data typing statements



# Fortran I Overview (continued)

- First implemented version of FORTRAN
  - No separate compilation
  - •Compiler released in April 1957, after 18 worker-years of effort
  - Programs larger than 400 lines rarely compiled correctly, mainly due to poor reliability of 704
  - Code was very fast
  - Quickly became widely used



#### Fortran II

- •Distributed in 1958
  - Independent compilation
  - Fixed the bugs



#### Fortran IV

- •Evolved during 1960-62
  - Explicit type declarations
  - Logical selection statement
  - Subprogram names could be parameters
  - •ANSI standard in 1966



#### Fortran 77

- •Became the new standard in 1978
  - Character string handling
  - Logical loop control statement
  - •IF-THEN-ELSE statement



#### Fortran 90

- Most significant changes from Fortran 77
  - Modules
  - Dynamic arrays
  - Pointers
  - Recursion
  - CASE statement
  - Parameter type checking



#### Latest versions of Fortran

- •Fortran 95 relatively minor additions, plus some deletions
- •Fortran 2003 support for OOP, procedure pointers, interoperability with C
- •Fortran 2008 blocks for local scopes, co-arrays, Do Concurrent



#### Fortran Evaluation

- Highly optimizing compilers (all versions before 90)
  - Types and storage of all variables are fixed before run time
- Dramatically changed forever the way computers are used




## Functional Programming: Lisp

- LISt Processing language
  - Designed at MIT by McCarthy
- Al research needed a language to
  - Process data in lists (rather than arrays)
  - Symbolic computation (rather than numeric)
- Only two data types: atoms and lists
- Syntax is based on lambda calculus



# Representation of Two Lisp Lists





## Lisp Evaluation

- Pioneered functional programming
  - No need for variables or assignment
  - Control via recursion and conditional expressions
- Still the dominant language for Al
- Common Lisp and Scheme are contemporary dialects of Lisp
- •ML, Haskell, and F# are also functional programming languages, but use very different syntax



#### Scheme

- Developed at MIT in mid 1970s
- •Small
- Extensive use of static scoping
- Functions as first-class entities
- Simple syntax (and small size) make it ideal for educational applications



### Common Lisp

- •An effort to combine features of several dialects of Lisp into a single language
- Large, complex, used in industry for some large applications



## The First Step Toward Sophistication: ALGOL 60

- Environment of development
  - FORTRAN had (barely) arrived for IBM 70x
  - Many other languages were being developed, all for specific machines
  - •No portable language; all were machine- dependent
  - No universal language for communicating algorithms
- ALGOL 60 was the result of efforts to design a universal language



# Early Design Process

- •ACM and GAMM met for four days for design (May 27 to June 1, 1958)
- Goals of the language
  - Close to mathematical notation
  - Good for describing algorithms
  - Must be translatable to machine code



#### ALGOL 58

- Concept of type was formalized
- Names could be any length
- Arrays could have any number of subscripts
- Parameters were separated by mode (in & out)
- Subscripts were placed in brackets
- Compound statements (begin ... end)
- Semicolon as a statement separator
- •Assignment operator was :=
- •if had an else-if clause
- •No I/O "would make it machine dependent"



## ALGOL 58 Implementation

- •Not meant to be implemented, but variations of it were (MAD, JOVIAL)
- Although IBM was initially enthusiastic, all support was dropped by mid 1959



#### ALGOL 60 Overview

- Modified ALGOL 58 at 6-day meeting in Paris
- New features
  - Block structure (local scope)
  - Two parameter passing methods
  - Subprogram recursion
  - Stack-dynamic arrays
  - Still no I/O and no string handling



#### ALGOL 60 Evaluation

#### Successes

- It was the standard way to publish algorithms for over 20 years
- All subsequent imperative languages are based on it
- First machine-independent language
- First language whose syntax was formally defined (BNF)

#### Failure

- Never widely used, especially in U.S.
- Reasons
  - Lack of I/O and the character set made programs non-portable
  - Too flexible--hard to implement
  - Entrenchment of Fortran
  - Formal syntax description
  - Lack of support from IBM



# Computerizing Business Records: COBOL

- Environment of development
  - UNIVAC was beginning to use FLOW-MATIC
  - USAF was beginning to use AIMACO
  - •IBM was developing COMTRAN



## COBOL Historical Background

- Based on FLOW-MATIC
- •FLOW-MATIC features
  - Names up to 12 characters, with embedded hyphens
  - English names for arithmetic operators (no arithmetic expressions)
  - Data and code were completely separate
  - •The first word in every statement was a verb



### COBOL Design Process

- •First Design Meeting (Pentagon) May 1959
- Design goals
  - Must look like simple English
  - Must be easy to use, even if that means it will be less powerful
  - Must broaden the base of computer users
  - Must not be biased by current compiler problems
- Design committee members were all from computer manufacturers and DoD branches
- •Design Problems: arithmetic expressions? subscripts? Fights among manufacturers



### **COBOL** Evaluation

- Contributions
  - First macro facility in a high-level language
  - Hierarchical data structures (records)
  - Nested selection statements
  - •Long names (up to 30 characters), with hyphens
  - Separate data division



#### COBOL: DoD Influence

- First language required by DoD
  - would have failed without DoD
- •Still the most widely used business applications language



# The Beginning of Timesharing: Basic

- Designed by Kemeny & Kurtz at Dartmouth
- Design Goals:
  - Easy to learn and use for non-science students
  - Must be "pleasant and friendly"
  - Fast turnaround for homework
  - Free and private access
  - User time is more important than computer time
- Current popular dialect: Visual Basic
- First widely used language with time sharing



# 2.8 Everything for Everybody: PL/I

- Designed by IBM and SHARE
- Computing situation in 1964 (IBM's point of view)
  - Scientific computing
    - IBM 1620 and 7090 computers
    - FORTRAN
    - SHARE user group
  - Business computing
    - IBM 1401, 7080 computers
    - COBOL
    - GUIDE user group



# PL/I: Background

- •By 1963
  - •Scientific users began to need more elaborate I/O, like COBOL had; business users began to need floating point and arrays for MIS
  - •It looked like many shops would begin to need two kinds of computers, languages, and support staff--too costly
- The obvious solution
  - •Build a new computer to do both kinds of applications
  - Design a new language to do both kinds of applications



# PL/I: Design Process

- Designed in five months by the 3 X 3 Committee
  - •Three members from IBM, three members from SHARE
- Initial concept
  - An extension of Fortran IV
- Initially called NPL (New Programming Language)
- •Name changed to PL/I in 1965



### PL/I: Evaluation

- •PL/I contributions
  - First unit-level concurrency
  - First exception handling
  - Switch-selectable recursion
  - First pointer data type
  - First array cross sections
- Concerns
  - Many new features were poorly designed
  - Too large and too complex



# Two Early Dynamic Languages: APL and SNOBOL

- Characterized by dynamic typing and dynamic storage allocation
- Variables are untyped
  - A variable acquires a type when it is assigned a value
- •Storage is allocated to a variable when it is assigned a value



### APL: A Programming Language

- Designed as a hardware description language at IBM by Ken Iverson around 1960
  - Highly expressive (many operators, for both scalars and arrays of various dimensions)
  - Programs are very difficult to read
- Still in use; minimal changes



#### **SNOBOL**

- Designed as a string manipulation language at Bell Labs by Farber,
   Griswold, and Polensky in 1964
- Powerful operators for string pattern matching
- •Slower than alternative languages (and thus no longer used for writing editors)
- Still used for certain text processing tasks



# The Beginning of Data Abstraction: SIMULA 67

- Designed primarily for system simulation in Norway by Nygaard and Dahl
- Based on ALGOL 60 and SIMULA I
- Primary Contributions
  - Coroutines a kind of subprogram
  - Classes, objects, and inheritance



# Orthogonal Design: ALGOL 68

- From the continued development of ALGOL 60 but not a superset of that language
- Source of several new ideas (even though the language itself never achieved widespread use)
- Design is based on the concept of orthogonality
  - A few basic concepts, plus a few combining mechanisms



#### ALGOL 68 Evaluation

- Contributions
  - User-defined data structures
  - Reference types
  - Dynamic arrays (called flex arrays)
- Comments
  - Less usage than ALGOL 60
  - Had strong influence on subsequent languages, especially Pascal, C, and Ada

#### Pascal - 1971

- Developed by Wirth (a former member of the ALGOL 68 committee)
- Designed for teaching structured programming
- •Small, simple, nothing really new
- Largest impact was on teaching programming
  - •From mid-1970s until the late 1990s, it was the most widely used language for teaching programming



#### C - 1972

- Designed for systems programming (at Bell Labs by Dennis Richie)
- •Evolved primarily from BCLP and B, but also ALGOL 68
- Powerful set of operators, but poor type checking
- Initially spread through UNIX
- Though designed as a systems language, it has been used in many application areas



# Programming Based on Logic: Prolog

- Developed, by Comerauer and Roussel (University of Aix-Marseille),
   with help from Kowalski (University of Edinburgh)
- Based on formal logic
- Non-procedural
- •Can be summarized as being an intelligent database system that uses an inferencing process to infer the truth of given queries
- Comparatively inefficient
- Few application areas



# History's Largest Design Effort: Ada

- Huge design effort, involving hundreds of people, much money, and about eight years
- Sequence of requirements (1975-1978)
  - •(Strawman, Woodman, Tinman, Ironman, Steelman)
- Named Ada after Augusta Ada Byron, the first programmer



#### Ada Evaluation

#### Contributions

- Packages support for data abstraction
- Exception handling elaborate
- Generic program units
- Concurrency through the tasking model

#### Comments

- Competitive design
- Included all that was then known about software engineering and language design
- First compilers were very difficult; the first really usable compiler came nearly five years after the language design was completed



#### Ada 95

- •Ada 95 (began in 1988)
  - Support for OOP through type derivation
  - Better control mechanisms for shared data
  - New concurrency features
  - More flexible libraries
- •Ada 2005
  - Interfaces and synchronizing interfaces
- Popularity suffered because the DoD no longer requires its use but also because of popularity of C++



# Object-Oriented Programming: Smalltalk

- Developed at Xerox PARC, initially by Alan Kay, later by Adele Goldberg
- •First full implementation of an object-oriented language (data abstraction, inheritance, and dynamic binding)
- Pioneered the graphical user interface design
- Promoted OOP



# Combining Imperative and Object-Oriented Programming: C++

- Developed at Bell Labs by Stroustrup in 1980
- Evolved from C and SIMULA 67
- •Facilities for object-oriented programming, taken partially from SIMULA 67
- A large and complex language, in part because it supports both procedural and OO programming
- Rapidly grew in popularity, along with OOP
- ANSI standard approved in November 1997
- Microsoft's version: MC++
  - Properties, delegates, interfaces, no multiple inheritance



### A Related OOP Language

- •Objective-C (designed by Brad Cox early 1980s)
  - •C plus support for OOP based on Smalltalk
  - Uses Smalltalk's method calling syntax
  - Used by Apple for systems programs



An Imperative-Based Object-Oriented Language:

#### Java

- Developed at Sun in the early 1990s
  - •C and C++ were not satisfactory for embedded electronic devices
- •Based on C++
  - Significantly simplified (does not include struct, union, enum, pointer arithmetic, and half of the assignment coercions of C++)
  - Supports only OOP
  - Has references, but not pointers
  - Includes support for applets and a form of concurrency

#### Java Evaluation

- Eliminated many unsafe features of C++
- Supports concurrency
- Libraries for applets, GUIs, database access
- Portable: Java Virtual Machine concept, JIT compilers
- Widely used for Web programming
- Use increased faster than any previous language
- Most recent version, 8, released in 2014



#### Perl

- Designed by Larry Wall—first released in 1987
- Variables are statically typed but implicitly declared
- •Three distinctive namespaces, denoted by the first character of a
- variable's name
- Powerful, but somewhat dangerous
- Gained widespread use for CGI programming on the Web
- Also used for a replacement for UNIX system administration language



#### JavaScript

- Began at Netscape, but later became a joint venture of Netscape and Sun Microsystems
- A client-side HTML-embedded scripting language, often used to create dynamic HTML documents
- Purely interpreted
- Related to Java only through similar syntax

#### •PHP

- •PHP: Hypertext Preprocessor, designed by Rasmus Lerdorf
- •A server-side HTML-embedded scripting language, often used for form processing and database access through the Web
- Purely interpreted



#### Python

- An OO interpreted scripting language
- Type checked but dynamically typed
- Used for CGI programming and form processing
- Dynamically typed, but type checked
- Supports lists, tuples, and hashes

#### Ruby

- Designed in Japan by Yukihiro Matsumoto (a.k.a, "Matz")
- Began as a replacement for Perl and Python
- A pure object-oriented scripting language
- All data are objects
- Most operators are implemented as methods, which can be redefined by user code
- Purely interpreted



#### •Lua

- An OO interpreted scripting language
- Type checked but dynamically typed
- Used for CGI programming and form processing
- Dynamically typed, but type checked
- •Supports lists, tuples, and hashes, all with its single data structure,
- the table
- Easily extendable



# The Flagship .NET Language: C#

- Part of the .NET development platform (2000)
- Based on C++ , Java, and Delphi
- •Includes pointers, delegates, properties, enumeration types, a limited kind of dynamic typing, and anonymous types
- Is evolving rapidly



# Markup/Programming Hybrid Languages

#### XSLT

- •eXtensible Markup Language (XML): a metamarkup language
- eXtensible Stylesheet Language Transformation (XSTL) transforms XML documents for display
- Programming constructs (e.g., looping)

#### •JSP

- Java Server Pages: a collection of technologies to support dynamic Web documents
- •JSTL, a JSP library, includes programming constructs in the form of HTML elements



### Summary

- Development, development environment, and evaluation of a number of important programming languages
- Perspective into current issues in language design

