

## Light-Based Game Mechanics

Real-world optics can inspire rich gameplay. For example, different materials reflect, transmit, or absorb varying wavelengths of light <sup>1</sup>. A game might simulate the **Beer-Lambert law** by having light dim exponentially as it passes through a medium (like water or a filter), forcing players to account for beam attenuation. **Lasers and prisms** are natural puzzle elements: many indie titles let players redirect, split, and color-filter laser beams. For instance, *Laser Grid* is a 3D puzzle where players “power devices by redirecting, combining and splitting different colored lasers” using cubes labeled “glass,” “diffraction,” “laser,” and “Fresnel” <sup>2</sup>. Diffraction or prism cubes can split beams into rainbows or multiple paths, while polarizing filters (or even Faraday rotators) can block or rotate specific polarizations. The *Virtual Lab* puzzle sim and sandbox by Quantum Flytrap provides configurable optical components – mirrors, polarizing/non-polarizing beam splitters, Faraday rotators, and variable “absorption rate” elements – letting players drag-and-drop photon sources and filters to explore classical and quantum optics <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup>. In this setup, parameters like phase shifts, polarization rotation, and absorption rate are adjustable, effectively implementing real optics in gameplay <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup>.

Games have also used **photochemistry and photoluminescence** as mechanics. The puzzle game *Photochemistry* (2024) tasks players with building conveyor mechanisms to synthesize molecules “using conveyors and light” <sup>5</sup> <sup>6</sup>. Players “design and construct elaborate mechanisms” to trigger reactions under light, mirroring how photons drive chemical synthesis. Photoluminescent mechanics could similarly involve materials that store energy and glow after exposure, creating puzzles where players first illuminate an area (say, with UV), and later use the afterglow to reveal hidden paths or activate light-sensitive devices. More generally, any physics of light – **reflection/refraction** (as in Portal-style mirror puzzles), **interference or diffraction**, or **spectral filtering** – can become gameplay rules. For example, beam-splitting mirror puzzles (as in many puzzle-platformers) simulate multi-path interference, while color filters can force additive/subtractive color mixing puzzles. Educational platforms (e.g. Legends of Learning) stress that different wavelengths carry different energy and are absorbed differently <sup>1</sup>, so a satisfying design is to have wavelength-specific interactions: perhaps only infrared lasers activate certain machinery, or only ultraviolet light triggers a plant’s growth.

In summary, puzzles or actions can be built directly from optics laws: e.g., glass blocks might reduce intensity per Beer-Lambert, prisms break light into constituent colors, polarizers only pass aligned light, and photochemical substances change state under the correct wavelength. As one developer noted, a “shader-based global illumination” can mirror real reflection and diffraction physics – though care must be taken so these visuals enhance rather than hinder play <sup>3</sup> <sup>2</sup>.

## Controls and UI in Science Simulations

Science-themed simulations demand **responsive, intuitive controls**. Good “game feel” arises when input maps clearly to outcome, making the controlled element feel like an extension of the player’s body <sup>7</sup>. Controls should translate intent to action with *near-perfect* fidelity: “Intuitive controls mean near-perfect translation of intent into game reality... control over the avatar feels like an extension of your own body” <sup>7</sup>. In practice this means minimal input lag and smooth motion. Guidelines suggest maintaining

~20–30 frames per second for smooth motion and keeping input-to-display latency under ~50–100ms <sup>8</sup>. With <50ms latency, feedback feels instantaneous, whereas >100ms delay is perceptible and “feels sluggish” <sup>8</sup>. Games should support a **continuous feedback loop**: every player action (e.g. changing a wavelength slider) must produce immediate visual/auditory feedback so the player can adjust on-the-fly <sup>8</sup> <sup>9</sup>. For example, if the player rotates a polarizing filter, the changes in light intensity or beam color should update instantaneously on-screen. As Game Feel experts note, the game “provides a continuous, unbroken flow of input and instant response, enabling ongoing correction cycles” <sup>9</sup>.

**Visual feedback and UI clarity** are equally crucial. A science game’s HUD should present data (light intensity, spectrum graph, energy meters, etc.) in a clear hierarchy. Following UI best practices, emphasize key information with size, color, and contrast while avoiding clutter <sup>10</sup>. For example, always show active wavelength ranges or power levels prominently, and use intuitive icons (e.g. prism icon for diffraction) to reinforce meaning. Legends-of-Learning-style games highlight that different colors and wavelengths have meaning <sup>1</sup>, so the UI might use color-coded bars or overlays. Tooltips or dynamic labels can explain the physics when players hover. In general, a clean, minimalist interface – using consistent color palettes and familiar controls – helps players focus on experimentation rather than fighting the UI <sup>10</sup>. Animations and sound can enhance feedback (e.g. a click or flash when a reaction threshold is reached), but should be subtle to avoid distraction. Altogether, controls should feel **fluid and intuitive**, with visual cues that immediately reflect every optical interaction, just as good action games minimize control ambiguity and prioritize player intent <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup>.

## Progression Systems

Progression in science-puzzle games can mirror learning new concepts or upgrading tools. Broadly, designers use **horizontal progression** (unlocking new options) and **vertical progression** (boosting capabilities) <sup>11</sup> <sup>12</sup>. Horizontally, players might gain new optical tools or interaction modes: for example, unlocking a UV laser, a set of polarizing filters, or a new prism. As UniversityXP notes, horizontal progression systems “present options and choices” – akin to gaining new spells or weapons <sup>11</sup>. A game could start with only visible light and later unlock infrared/UV “wavelength ranges” as new abilities. Each new wavelength effectively becomes a new “spell” that reveals hidden clues (e.g. UV reveals invisible ink) or triggers novel photochemistry.

Vertically, players could **upgrade parameters** like beam intensity or energy output. This is akin to leveling up: bigger lasers reach farther, stronger flashes charge up chemical reactions faster, or thicker dye concentrations increase absorption. UniversityXP describes vertical progression as “stat increases, improved or upgraded abilities” (e.g. leveling in RPGs) <sup>12</sup>. In our context, this might be upgrading a light source’s power or a sensor’s sensitivity. For instance, increasing photon flux could allow penetrating denser obstacles or lighting a distant target. Subsystem upgrades (like adding a battery to increase pulse duration) fit this model.

Progression systems often tie into the core gameplay loop. For example, a roguelike structure could reward each successful “experiment run” with new equipment: one run earns a better lens, another unlocks a higher-energy lamp, etc. Puzzle games could stage levels so that solving early puzzles (using only basic visible light) unlocks later puzzles requiring UV or polarized light. Modular upgrade trees could let players choose which aspect to boost next (e.g. widen spectrum vs. amplify power), enabling personalized playstyles. In all cases, the progression should feel rewarding: as players solve light-based puzzles, they obtain new frequencies, higher energy, or catalysts that let them tackle deeper challenges. Designing this

well keeps players engaged and gives a sense of achievement, just as any good progression system should motivate play <sup>13</sup> <sup>11</sup> <sup>12</sup> .

**Sources:** Game design literature and examples demonstrate these principles. For light mechanics: puzzle games like *Laser Grid* <sup>2</sup> , *Photochemistry* <sup>5</sup> <sup>6</sup> , and educational optics sims <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> show how real optics can translate into fun puzzles. UI/control principles are drawn from game feel research <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup> <sup>9</sup> and UI design guides <sup>10</sup> . Progression concepts follow standard game design (as summarized by UniversityXP <sup>11</sup> <sup>12</sup> ) adapted to the light/spectroscopy theme. Each cited source illustrates how physics and design combine to create satisfying, intuitive gameplay.

---

<sup>1</sup> Reflection, Absorption, and Transmission of Light Science Games | Legends of Learning

<https://www.legendsoflearning.com/learning-objectives/reflection-absorption-and-transmission-of-light/>

<sup>2</sup> Laser Grid on Steam

[https://store.steampowered.com/app/613050/Laser\\_Grid/](https://store.steampowered.com/app/613050/Laser_Grid/)

<sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> arxiv.org

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2202.07756>

<sup>5</sup> Photochemistry (Jam Version) by tchorne

<https://tchorne.itch.io/photochemistry>

<sup>6</sup> Photochemistry on Steam

<https://store.steampowered.com/app/2917850/Photochemistry/>

<sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup> <sup>9</sup> Game Feel: A Game Designer's Guide to Virtual Sensation (Morgan Kaufmann Game Design Books)

<https://gamifique.files.wordpress.com/2011/11/2-game-feel.pdf>

<sup>10</sup> Mastering Intuitive Game UI Design: Best Practices to Enhance Player Engagement Without Overwhelming Your Game's Aesthetic

<http://www.zigpoll.com/content/what-are-the-best-practices-for-designing-intuitive-user-interfaces-that-enhance-player-engagement-without-overwhelming-the-game-s-aesthetic>

<sup>11</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>13</sup> What are Progression Systems in Games? — University XP

<https://www.universityxp.com/blog/2024/1/16/what-are-progression-systems-in-games>