# Assignment 2

Computational Intelligence, SS2020

Team Members		
Last name	First name	Matriculation Number
Blöcher	Christian	01573246
Bürgener	Max	01531577

## 1 Linear regression

### 1.1 Derivation of Regularized Linear Regression

### 1.2 Linear Regression with polynomial features

• Analytical derivation for the Gaussian distribution:

$$p(\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{[\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_i, \mathbf{p})]^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

The data is independent identically distributed (iid), therefore the likelihood function is the product of all individual likelihoods

$$P(\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p}) = \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{[\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_i, \mathbf{p})]^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

To convert the product to a sum we apply the natural logarithm.

$$L(\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p}) = ln \left[ \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{[\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_i, \mathbf{p})]^2}{2\sigma^2}} \right]$$

$$L(\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[ ln(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}) - \frac{[\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_i, \mathbf{p})]^2}{2\sigma^2} \right]$$

Since we want to find the parameter  $\sigma^2$ , which maximizes the probability of the distance, we derive  $L(\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p})$  and set it to zero.

$$L(\tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[ ln(1) - \frac{1}{2} ln(2\pi\sigma^{2}) - \frac{[\tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_{i}, \mathbf{p})]^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} \right] \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^{2}}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^{2}} L(\tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[ -\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} + \frac{[\tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_{i}, \mathbf{p})]^{2}}{\sigma^{4}} \right] \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$0 = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[ -\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} + \frac{[\tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_{i}, \mathbf{p})]^{2}}{\sigma^{4}} \right]$$

$$\frac{N}{\sigma^{2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{[\tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_{i}, \mathbf{p})]^{2}}{\sigma^{4}}$$

$$\sigma^{2} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [\tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_{i}, \mathbf{p})]^{2}$$

$$\frac{N}{\sigma^{2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [\tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_{i}, \mathbf{p})]^{2}$$

• Analytical derivation for the Exponential distribution:

$$p(\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p}) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i [\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_i, p)]} &, \tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) \ge d(a_i, \mathbf{p}) \\ 0 &, \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$L(\tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} ln(\lambda_{i}) - \lambda_{i} [\tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_{i}, \mathbf{p})] \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{i}}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{i}} L(\tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p}) = \frac{N}{\lambda_{i}} - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [\tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_{i}, \mathbf{p})] \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\frac{N}{\lambda_{i}} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [\tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_{i}, \mathbf{p})]$$

$$\lambda_{i} = \frac{N}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [\tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_{i}, \mathbf{p})]} , \tilde{d}_{n}(a_{i}, \mathbf{p}) \geq d(a_{i}, \mathbf{p})$$

#### 1.3 (Bonus) Linear Regression with radial basis functions

## 2 Logistic Regression

#### 2.1 Derivation of Gradient

• Analytical conversion of the ML estimation equation:

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{ML}(n) = \underset{\mathbf{p}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{i=0}^{N_A-1} p(\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p})$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{ML}(n) = \underset{\mathbf{p}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \ln \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{N_A-1} p(\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p}) \right]$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{ML}(n) = \underset{\mathbf{p}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=0}^{N_A-1} \ln \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i^2}} \right) - \frac{[\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_i, \mathbf{p})]^2}{2\sigma_i^2}$$

Because in scenario 1 we only use Gaussian models for all anchors that were calibrated with the same distance to the reference position, we can assume that  $\sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2 \,\forall i$ . That means the ln-term can be neglected since it only shifts the value of the maximum by a constant but does not affect its position. Similarly  $\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}$  can be omitted, as it is also just a scaling factor. Furthermore  $\underset{\mathbf{p}}{\operatorname{argmax}}(-\dots)$  is equivalent to  $\underset{\mathbf{p}}{\operatorname{argmin}}(\dots)$ . Thus:

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{ML}(n) = \underset{\mathbf{p}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=0}^{N_A-1} [\tilde{d}_n(a_i, \mathbf{p}) - d(a_i, \mathbf{p})]^2 = \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{LS}(n)$$

#### 2.2 Logistic Regression training with gradient descent