milestone03_yujiaochen_brianho_jonjay_part00_intro

April 19, 2017

1 AC209b / CS109b Final Project - Milestone 3

Yujiao Chen, Brian Ho, Jonathan Jay // 04/19/2017

Traditional statistical and machine learning methods, due Wednesday, April 19, 2017

Think about how you would address the genre prediction problem with traditional statistical or machine learning methods. This includes everything you learned about modeling in this course before the deep learning part. Implement your ideas and compare different classifiers. Report your results and discuss what challenges you faced and how you overcame them. What works and what does not? If there are parts that do not work as expected, make sure to discuss briefly what you think is the cause and how you would address this if you would have more time and resources.

You do not necessarily need to use the movie posters for this step, but even without a background in computer vision, there are very simple features you can extract from the posters to help guide a traditional machine learning model. Think about the PCA lecture for example, or how to use clustering to extract color information. In addition to considering the movie posters it would be worthwhile to have a look at the metadata that IMDb provides.

You could use Spark and the ML library to build your model features from the data. This may be especially beneficial if you use additional data, e.g., in text form.

You also need to think about how you are going to evaluate your classifier. Which metrics or scores will you report to show how good the performance is?

The notebook to submit this week should at least include:

- Detailed description and implementation of two different models
- Description of your performance metrics
- Careful performance evaluations for both models
- Visualizations of the metrics for performance evaluation
- Discussion of the differences between the models, their strengths, weaknesses, etc.
- Discussion of the performances you achieved, and how you might be able to improve them in the future

1.0.1 Overview

This week, we applied traditional statistical and machine learning methods to the problem of genre prediction within our dataset. Using a database of 990 movies, with a third each classified as horror, romance or science ficiton, we trained and tested two genre classifiers based on differently engineered and extracted features. As a whole, the work comprises:

A model based on bag-of-word features from movie description

In the first model (seen below) we vectorize the overview feature (i.e. the movie summary) in a bag-of-words approach in conjunction with basic metadata from the TMDB API results. We began with a gradient-boosted tree approach utilizing XGBoost, which was approximately 73% accurate. As this performance was unsatisfactory, we then took a more sophisticated approach involving PCA dimensionality reduction.

In "milestone03_yujiaochen_brianho_jonjay_part01_word" and "milestone03_yujiaochen_brianho_jonjay_part02_PCA_SVM.pdf" we perform both EDA of the word features themselves and PCA to features that account for 90% of the variance. We then employ SVM with radial basis function to classify the horror, romance and scifi movies based on this reduced feature set. The final predicting accuracy on the test set using this model is around 80%, which is a satisfactory result.

A model based on title features

In "milestone03_yujiaochen_brianho_jonjay_part03_titles" we build upon our analysis using word count features, by attempting to add the features of movie titles. We find that it's possible to train a model to predict between two distant classes (romance and horror) using only simple features engineered from the title itself, such as character/word length, presence of grammatical symbols, and sentiment. We also attempt to extend the model to predict among three classes—first using title alone – as well as integrating our established model for predicting on description word counts, although resulting improvement is not satisfactory.

Exploratory data analysis and vizualization of movie posters

In "milestone03_yujiaochen_brianho_jonjay_part04_color.pdf" we perform initial analysis and exploration of our poster data set on a few sample posters, with a particular emphasis on color decomposition and analysis of frequencies.

In "milestone03_yujiaochen_brianho_jonjay_part05_poster.pdf" we perform exploratory analysis and visualization of the complete poster dataset, to understand properties within the data other than the posters themselves.

```
In [1]: ## Import libraries
        import pandas as pd
        import numpy as np
        import imdb
        import requests
        from ast import literal_eval
        from xgboost import XGBClassifier
        from sklearn.cross_validation import StratifiedKFold
        from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
        from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
        from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import CountVectorizer
In [74]: ## Read in the data
         movies = pd.read_csv("Movie subset for poster analysis_990 movies.csv")
         movies.info()
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 990 entries, 0 to 989
Data columns (total 21 columns):
Unnamed: 0
                     990 non-null int64
```

```
990 non-null int.64
Χ
adult.
                      990 non-null bool
backdrop_path
                      981 non-null object
genre_ids
                      990 non-null object
id
                      990 non-null int64
original language
                      990 non-null object
original title
                      990 non-null object
overview
                      986 non-null object
popularity
                      990 non-null float64
                      990 non-null object
poster_path
release_date
                      990 non-null object
                      990 non-null object
title
                      990 non-null bool
video
                      990 non-null float64
vote_average
                      990 non-null int64
vote_count
                      985 non-null object
genre_names
date
                      990 non-null object
                      990 non-null int64
year
                      990 non-null object
genres
decade
                      990 non-null int64
dtypes: bool(2), float64(2), int64(6), object(11)
memory usage: 149.0+ KB
In [75]: ## Cleanup column names
         movies.rename(columns={"Unnamed: 0":"result_id"}, inplace = True)
         movies.info()
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 990 entries, 0 to 989
Data columns (total 21 columns):
result id
                      990 non-null int64
Χ
                      990 non-null int.64
adult
                      990 non-null bool
backdrop_path
                      981 non-null object
genre_ids
                      990 non-null object
                      990 non-null int64
id
original_language
                      990 non-null object
original title
                      990 non-null object
overview
                      986 non-null object
popularity
                      990 non-null float64
poster_path
                      990 non-null object
release date
                      990 non-null object
title
                      990 non-null object
video
                      990 non-null bool
vote_average
                      990 non-null float64
vote count
                      990 non-null int64
                      985 non-null object
genre_names
```

```
990 non-null object
date
year
                     990 non-null int64
                     990 non-null object
genres
                     990 non-null int64
decade
dtypes: bool(2), float64(2), int64(6), object(11)
memory usage: 149.0+ KB
In [76]: ## Filter out movies with invalid information
         valid overview = [type(i) is str for i in movies["overview"]]
         movies = movies[valid overview]
         # valid_title_filter = [type(i) is str for i in movies["title"]]
         # movies = movies[valid_title_filter]
         movies = movies.reset_index()
        movies.tail()
Out [76]:
              index result_id
                                 X adult
                                                               backdrop_path \
         981
                985
                          8478 15 False /4liSXBZZdURIOc1Id1zLJo6Z3Gu.jpg
         982
                986
                                 2 False /cfVoH243KjWXV6JoLzwxqWNb23i.jpg
                          8165
         983
                                 1 False /jxdSxqAFrdioKqXwqTs5Qfbazjq.jpq
                987
                          7964
         984
                988
                          8167
                                4 False /cZkPJ0noQvcR3oCCZ4pwYZeWUYi.jpg
         985
                989
                          8380 17 False /oZY3DOlEZbEZvRxWynWkFTe4UgE.jpg
                        genre_ids
                                       id original_language
                                                                original_title
         981
                [878, 14, 28, 12]
                                    76757
                                                              Jupiter Ascending
                                                          en
         982
                  [878, 12, 9648]
                                    70981
                                                                     Prometheus
                                                          en
         983
                    [12, 28, 878]
                                                                     Iron Man 2
                                    10138
                                                          en
                    [28, 53, 878]
         984
                                   59967
                                                                         Looper
                                                          en
         985
              [53, 878, 18, 9648]
                                  157353
                                                          en
                                                                  Transcendence
                                                        overview
                                                                          release_da
                                                                   . . .
         981
              In a universe where human genetic material is ...
                                                                            2015-02-
                                                                   . . .
         982 A team of explorers discover a clue to the ori...
                                                                            2012-05-
             With the world now aware of his dual life as t...
         983
                                                                            2010-04-
              In the futuristic action thriller Looper, time...
                                                                            2012-09-
         984
              Two leading computer scientists work toward th...
                                                                            2014-04-
         985
                                                                   . . .
                          title video vote_average vote_count \
         981
              Jupiter Ascending False
                                                5.2
                                                           2206
         982
                     Prometheus False
                                                6.2
                                                           4135
         983
                     Iron Man 2 False
                                                6.6
                                                           5601
                         Looper False
                                                6.6
         984
                                                           4053
         985
                  Transcendence False
                                                5.9
                                                           1861
                                                genre_names
                                                                    date
                                                                          year \
         981
             [Science Fiction, Fantasy, Action, Adventure] 2015-02-04
                                                                          2015
```

```
982
                      [Science Fiction, Adventure, Mystery] 2012-05-30 2012
         983
                       [Adventure, Action, Science Fiction] 2010-04-28 2010
         984
                        [Action, Thriller, Science Fiction] 2012-09-26 2012
         985
                [Thriller, Science Fiction, Drama, Mystery] 2014-04-16 2014
                         genres decade
         981
                878, 14, 28, 12
                                   2010
         982
                  878, 12, 9648
                                   2010
         983
                    12, 28, 878
                                  2010
                    28, 53, 878
         984
                                   2010
              53, 878, 18, 9648
         985
                                   2010
         [5 rows x 22 columns]
In [77]: ## Create bag-of-words feature representation from movie summaries
         corpus = movies["overview"].tolist()
         vectorizer = CountVectorizer(min_df=20, stop_words="english")
         words = vectorizer.fit_transform(corpus)
         print words.toarray().shape
         print vectorizer.get_feature_names()
         ## Convert to data frame
         words = pd.DataFrame(words.A, columns=vectorizer.get_feature_names())
(986, 149)
[u'alien', u'american', u'away', u'based', u'battle', u'beautiful', u'begin', u'be
In [21]: ## Create predictors from metadata and
         X = movies[[u'adult', u'id', u'popularity',
                     u'year',
                     u'vote_average', u'vote_count']]
         X = X.join(words, how="left", rsuffix="_word")
In [22]: ## A function to add a label for the response variable (which genre within
         def classify(ids):
             if "10749" in ids:
                                  # romance
                 return 0
             elif "27" in ids:
                                # horror
                 return 1
             elif "878" in ids: # science fiction
                 return 2
         ## Create response from complete genre labels
         movies["label"] = movies.apply(lambda x: classify(x["genre_ids"]), axis=1)
         Y = movies["label"].values
In [72]: X.head()
```

```
Out [72]:
            adult
                      id popularity year vote_average vote_count alien
                                                                                amerio
                             3.978771 1961
                                                       7.5
         0 False
                     164
                                                                   769
                                                                             0
                                                       7.3
         1 False
                     907
                             3.292234 1965
                                                                   193
                                                                             0
         2 False
                     284
                             3.270765 1960
                                                       8.0
                                                                   383
                                                                             0
                             3.257459 1967
                                                       7.5
                                                                             0
         3 False 37247
                                                                   637
         4 False 11113
                             3.092740 1964
                                                       7.4
                                                                   269
                                                                             0
            away based ...
                                 way wife woman
                                                   women
                                                           work
                                                                 world
                                                                        year_word
                                                                                    у
         0
               0
                      0
                                   0
                                         0
                                                1
                                                        0
                                                              0
                                                                     0
                         . . .
         1
               0
                      0
                          . . .
                                   1
                                         0
                                                0
                                                        0
                                                              0
                                                                     0
                                                                                 0
         2
                                                0
                                                        0
                                                              0
                                                                     0
                                                                                 0
               0
                      0
                                   1
                                         0
                          . . .
         3
               0
                      0
                                                0
                                                        ()
                                                              0
                                                                     0
                                                                                 0
                                   0
                                         1
               0
                                         0
                                                0
                                                              0
                                                                     0
                      0
                                   0
                                                        ()
            york
                  young
         0
               0
                      0
         1
               0
                      0
         2
               1
                      0
         3
               \cap
                      0
               0
         [5 rows x 155 columns]
In [31]: from sklearn.grid_search import GridSearchCV
In [68]: ## Set up K-fold cross validation
         kf = StratifiedKFold(Y, n_folds=5, shuffle=True)
         model = XGBClassifier()
         n_{estimators} = [100, 150, 200, 300, 400]
         max_depth = [1, 2, 4, 6]
         learning_rate = [0.1, 0.2, 0.3, .4, .5]
         param_grid = dict(max_depth=max_depth, n_estimators=n_estimators, learning
         grid_search = GridSearchCV(model, param_grid, n_jobs=-1, cv=kf)
         grid_result = grid_search.fit(X, Y)
         # summarize results
         print("Best: %f using %s" % (grid_result.best_score_, grid_result.best_par
Best: 0.743408 using {'n_estimators': 200, 'learning_rate': 0.3, 'max_depth': 2}
In [79]: from sklearn.cross_validation import ShuffleSplit
         from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
         rs = ShuffleSplit(len(X), n_iter=3, test_size=.25, random_state=0)
```

The gradient-boosted tree model had an accuracy of 74% after 5-fold cross validation and parameter tuning. Based on the confusion matrix, it appears there were roughly equal rates of misclassification between labels. The poor rate of accuracy might be related to the relatively small size of the dataset and expansive age range — having only 990 movie across several decades could make classification more difficult.