

Polymorphism

OOP in C++

1. Pointers to Base Class

Lets revisit the example from *Friendship and Inheritance*. Instead of directly referencing the base class, we can use a pointer that points to the base class. This is known as polymorphism.

```
1 #include <iostream>
2
3 class Polygon {
4 protected:
5     int width, length;
6 public:
7     void setValues(int a, int b){
8         width = a; length = b;
9     }
10 };
11
12 class Rectangle: public Polygon {
13 public:
14     Rectangle(int a, int b): Polygon(a, b) {} //use Polygon constructor
15     int area() {
16         return width * length; //access protected members
17     }
18 };
19
20 class Triangle: public Polygon {
21 public:
22     Triangle(int a, init b) Polygon(a, b) {}
23     int area() {
24         return width * length / 2;
25     }
26 };
27
28 int main() {
29     Rectangle rect;
30     Triangle tri;
31     Polygon *poly1 = &rect;
32     Polygon *poly2 = &tri;
33     poly1->setValues(4, 5);
34     poly2->setValues(4, 5);
35     std::cout << rect.area() << std::endl;
36     std::cout << tri.area() << std::endl;
37     return 0;
38 }
```

Output:

20

10

We create two pointers to `Polygon`, `poly1` and `poly2` and assign them to the addresses of `rect` and `tri`. This is possible since `Rectangle` and `Triangle` are both derived from `Polygon`. It is important to note that this inheritance can only go in one direction; while `Rectangle` and `Triangle` have access to all non-private members of `Polygon`, `Polygon` cannot access any members of the derived classes. Thus, a statement such as `poly1->area()` would not work, since `area()` is not defined in `Polygon`.

2. Virtual Members

A virtual member is a member function that can be redefined in a derived class. The syntax for defining a virtual function is to precede the declaration with the `virtual` keyword. As well, while it is not enforced, it is good practice to use the `override` keyword when overriding a virtual function. This helps prevent typos, as the compiler will raise an error if no matching `virtual` function is found in the base class.

```
1 #include <iostream>
2
3 class Polygon {
4 protected:
5     int width, length;
6 public:
7     void setValues(int a, int b) {
8         width = a; height = b;
9     }
10    virtual int area() {
11        return 0;
12    }
13 };
14
15 class Rectangle: public Polygon {
16 public:
17     int area() override {
18         return width * length;
19     }
20 };
21
22 class Triangle: public Polygon {
23 public:
24     int area() override {
25         return width * length / 2;
26     }
27 };
28
29 int main() {
30     Rectangle rect;
31     Triangle tri;
32     Polygon poly;
33     Polygon *polyPtr1 = &rect;
```

```

34     Polygon *polyPtr2 = &tri;
35     Polygon *polyPtr3 = &poly;
36     polyPtr1->setValues(4, 5);
37     polyPtr2->SetValues(4, 5);
38     polyPtr3->SetValues(4, 5);
39     std::cout << polyPtr1->area() << std::endl;
40     std::cout << (*polyPtr2).area() << std::endl;
41     std::cout << polyPtr3->area() << std::endl;
42 }
```

Output:

```

20
10
0
```

In this example, all three classes share a common member, `area`. In the base class, it was declared as `virtual`, therefore it can be redefined in the derived classes. Without this keyword, all three calls to `area` would just return `0`, since we would get `Polygon::area()`.

2.1. How does this even work??

Polymorphism is a bit like magic. We have three different implementations of the same function, `area()`, and they all have different behaviour. How does the compiler know which `area` to call?

At compile time, the compiler first performs a check to see if `Polygon` has a method called `area`. It doesn't choose which implementation to call, but instead places a "marker", saying to call the virtual function `area()` for the specified object through a *vtable*. During runtime, each class with a virtual function gets a vtable, which is a table of function pointers. Then, each object will get a vptr, pointing to its corresponding vtable. For example, `rect` has a vptr to `Rectangle`'s vtable, containing `Rectangle::area()`. So, when we call `PolyPtr1->area()`, `PolyPtr1` points to a rectangle object, which points to a rectangle vtable, which stores the implementation of `Rectangle::area()`. This is known as *dynamic dispatch*: the decision of which function to call is not done at compile time, but rather dynamically at runtime.

3. Abstract Base Class

An abstract base class is a class that can only be used as a base class. In other words, we are unable to instantiate the class directly; instead, it has to be through derived classes. Due to this, we can create virtual functions that have no concrete implementation, known as a pure virtual function. This is done by replacing their definition with a `=0`. They are oftentimes thought of as *interfaces*; they don't have any concrete behaviour, but instead provide "frameworks" for other classes.

```

1 #include <iostream>
2 #include <string>
3
4 //Abstract base class
5 class Animal {
```

```

6  protected:
7      int height;
8  public:
9      void setHeight(int a) {
10         height = a;
11     }
12     virtual void sound(void) = 0; //pure virtual function, thus Animal cannot be
13     ↳ instantiated directly.
14 };
15 class Dog: public Animal {
16 public:
17     virtual void sound(void) override {
18         std::cout << "Height: " << height << std::endl;
19         std::cout << "A dog barks" << std::endl;
20     }
21 };
22
23 class Person: public Animal {
24 public:
25     virtual void sound(void) override {
26         std::cout << "Height: " << height << std::endl;
27         std::cout << "A person talks" << std::endl;
28     }
29 };
30
31 int main() {
32     Dog dog;
33     Person person;
34     Animal *ptr1 = &dog;
35     Animal *ptr2 = &person;
36     ptr1->setHeight(1);
37     ptr2->setHeight(2);
38
39     ptr1->sound();
40     (*ptr2).sound();
41     return 0;
42 }
```

Output:

```
Height: 1
A dog barks
```

```
Height: 2
A person talks
```

We are also able to implement functions within a base class that use pure virtual functions, even if there is no concrete implementation.

```

1 #include <iostream>
2
3 class Polygon {
```

```
4  protected:
5      int width, length;
6  public:
7      void setValues(int a, int b) { width = a; length = b; }
8      virtual int area() = 0;
9      void printArea() {
10          std::cout << area() << std::endl;
11      }
12  };
13
14 class Rectangle {
15 public:
16     int area() {
17         return width * length;
18     }
19 };
20
21 class Triangle {
22 public:
23     int area() {
24         return width * length / 2;
25     }
26 };
27
28 int main() {
29     Rectangle rect;
30     Triangle tri;
31     Polygon *ptr1 = &rect;
32     Polygon *ptr2 = &tri;
33     ptr1->set_values(4, 5);
34     ptr2->set_values(4, 5);
35     ptr1->printArea();
36     ptr2->printArea();
37     return 0;
38 }
```

Output:

```
20
10
```