

Brian Barba (brb9da)

Sean Shu (sys3bb)

68

Problem Set 5

1) $\mathbb{Q} = \{x \mid \exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } b \neq 0 \text{ where } x = \frac{a}{b}\}$

i) x is rational. Let x represent a rational number by the given definition, therefore, $x = \frac{a}{b}$, where $b \neq 0$, thus i) is true.

ii) $\frac{x}{2}$ is rational. Again let x represent a \mathbb{Q} , where $x = \frac{a}{b}$ and $b \neq 0$, thus:

$$x = \frac{a}{b} \quad \text{or} \quad x = \frac{a}{2b} \quad \text{and since we know } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$$

we know an integer times an integer will result in an integer and since we know $b \neq 0$, we know $2b$ will result in some integers and because we proved that there still exists an $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we know x is still rational. So ii) is true.

iii) $3x - 1$ is rational. Let x represent a rational number by the given definition, where $x = \frac{a}{b}$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \neq 0$.

$$3\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) - 1$$

$$\frac{3a}{3b} - 1$$

since we know a and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we know an int times an int results in an int. We also know that $\frac{3a}{3b}$ is rational and that 1 is rational. And the difference of 2 rational numbers is rational, therefore all 3 statements about the real number x are equivalent.

2) proof by contradiction:

we can say now that none of the \mathbb{R} numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n is greater than or equal to the average of these numbers.

let the average be denoted as A where:

$$A = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n}$$

we say that $a_1 < A, a_2 < A, \dots, a_n < A$

$$\text{or} \\ A \cdot n > a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$$

from here we take A and replace it with its equivalence $\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n}$ where we have:

$$\left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} \right) \cdot n > a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$$

Distribute:

$$\star a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n > a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$$

here we have a contradiction which means $\neg P \rightarrow F$, which proves that the initial given hypothesis, must be true.

- 3) Find a counter example to the statement:
Every Positive integer can be written as the sum of the squares of 3 integers.

Initial perfect squares are: 0, 1, 4, 9, 16

$$1 = 1 + 0 + 0$$

$$2 = 1 + 1 + 0$$

$$4 = 4 + 0 + 0$$

$$5 = 4 + 1 + 0$$

$$6 = 4 + 1 + 1$$

$$\rightarrow 7 = 4 + x + x$$

At the number 7, we have our first error as the numbers

0, 1, 4 are the only perfect squares able to go into 7, however no possible combination of the 3 add up to 7.

- 4) a) Harmonic Mean = $\frac{2xy}{x+y}$ Arithmetic Mean: $\frac{x+y}{2}$
Let Harmonic mean be denoted HM and Arithmetic mean, AM

HM	points (x, y)	AM
1	1, 1	1
4/3	1, 2	9/6
6/4	1, 3	8/4
8/5	1, 4	25/10

based on the above, we found that the $AM \geq HM$, thus we can make our conjecture:

$$\frac{x+y}{2} \geq \frac{2xy}{x+y} \quad \text{then} \quad x+y \geq \frac{4xy}{x+y}$$

$$x^2 + 2xy + y^2 \geq 4xy \rightarrow \underline{x^2 + y^2 \geq 2xy} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{(x^2 + y^2)}{2xy} \geq 1$$

we prove our conjecture by plugging in random variables for (x, y). Test 1: (6, 3) $\rightarrow 45 \geq 36$

$$\text{Test 2: (1, 12)} \rightarrow 145 \geq 24$$

$$\text{Test 3: (3, 2)} \rightarrow 13 \geq 12$$

which proves our conjecture is true. QED

4) b. Quadratic mean = $\sqrt{\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}}$ Arithmetic = $\frac{x+y}{2}$

let QM denote Quadratic mean, and AM = Arithmetic mean.

QM	points	AM
1	1, 1	1
$\sqrt{5/2}$	1, 2	$3/2$
$\sqrt{5}$	1, 3	2
$\sqrt{17/2}$	1, 4	$5/2$
2	2, 2	2

from this we know that
 $QM > AM$

$$\frac{x+y}{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}} \quad \text{so...}$$

$$\frac{(x+y)^2}{(2)^2} \leq \frac{x^2+y^2}{2} \rightarrow \left(\frac{x^2+2xy+y^2}{4} \leq \frac{x^2+y^2}{2} \right) \cdot 2$$

then

$$x^2+2xy+y^2 \leq 2x^2+2y^2$$

$$2xy \leq x^2+y^2$$

$$0 \leq x^2-2xy+y^2 \quad \text{or} \quad (x-y)^2 \geq 0$$

we test our conjecture: $(x-y)^2 \geq 0$

test 1: $(1, 2) \rightarrow 1 \geq 0$

test 2: $(3, 4) \rightarrow 1 \geq 0$

test 3: $(2, 7) \rightarrow 25 \geq 0$

thus, we can say that our conjecture holds true.

5) prove: if x and y are \mathbb{R} , then $|x| - |y| \leq |x - y|$
Proof by Cases:

Case 1: let x and y be positive

let a represent the \mathbb{R}^+ , x

let b represent the \mathbb{R}^+ , y

$$\text{thus } |a| - |b| = a - b$$

$$\text{thus } |a - b| = a - b$$

since $|a| - |b| = |a - b|$, we can say $|x| - |y| \leq |x - y|$ is true.

Case 2: let x and y be negative

let a represent the \mathbb{R}^- , x

let b represent the \mathbb{R}^- , y

$$\text{thus } |a| - |b| = |(-a)| - |(-b)| \Rightarrow a - b$$

$$\text{thus } |a - b| = |(-a) - (-b)| \Rightarrow |-a + b|$$

since $a - b < |-a + b|$, we can say $|x| - |y| \leq |x - y|$ is true.

Case 3: let x be positive and y be negative

let a represent a \mathbb{R}^+ , x

let b represent a \mathbb{R}^- , y

thus

$$|a| - |b| = |a| - |-b| \Rightarrow a - b$$

$$|a - b| = |a - (-b)| \Rightarrow |a + b|$$

since $a - b < |a + b|$, we can say $|x| - |y| \leq |x - y|$ is true.

Since these 3 cases encompass all Real numbers, we can say: if x and y are \mathbb{R} , then $|x| - |y| \leq |x - y|$ is true.

PROVE

6.) $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$ that $x^2 \bmod 3 = 0$ or $x^2 \bmod 3 = 1$

all x values are integers:

\times QR theorem $\rightarrow a = bq + r$ $0 \leq r < b$ $a = x, b = 3$

$$x \bmod 3 \rightarrow x = 3q + r \quad r = 0, 1, \text{ or } 2$$

Proof by cases:

Case 1: $r = 0$

$$x = 3q + 0$$

$$x^2 = 9q^2$$

$$9q^2 \bmod 3 = 0$$

Case 2: $r = 1$

$$x = 3q + 1$$

$$x^2 = 9q^2 + 6q + 1$$

$$9q^2 + 6q + 1 \bmod 3 = 1$$

Case 3: $r = 2$

$$x = 3q + 2$$

$$x^2 = 9q^2 + 12q + 4 = 9q^2 + 12q + 3 + 1$$

$$9q^2 + 12q + 4 \bmod 3 = 1$$

These three cases demonstrate all possibilities and proves the theorem since in each case $x^2 \bmod 3$ equals 0 or 1

7.) a. For all integers a, b , and c , if $a|b$ and $a|c$ then $a|b+c$ and $a|b-c$

$$b = ak \quad c = am \quad \text{and} \quad b+c = at \quad \text{and} \quad b-c = ap$$

a can be divided from both sides \rightarrow (case 1 $ak+am=at$ case 2 $ak-am=ap$)
 $a(k+m)=at$ $a(k-m)=ap$

a clearly divides $b+c$ and $b-c$ when $a|b$ and $a|c$ is true

b. When a nonnegative integer n ends in the digit 0 or 5, there will be some nonnegative integer p so that $n = 10p + d$ where d is the last digit

n can be rewritten as $n = 5 \cdot 2 \cdot p + d$ to show that $10x$ is always divisible by 5. There are two proof cases:

$$n = 5 \cdot 2x + 0 \quad \text{or} \quad n = 5 \cdot 2x + 5$$

$5|n$ because

$5|n$ because

$5 \cdot (2x) + 0 = 5k$ where k is an integer is true

$5 \cdot (2x) + 5 = 5k$ where k is an integer is true

5 divides into the n values in the cases demonstrated which encompass the statement given and therefore proves the statement

c. If the decimal representation ends in $d_1 d_0$ and $4|d_1 \cdot 10 + d_0$, then $4|n$, n is $d_k d_{k-1} d_{k-2} \dots d_0$

$d_k \rightarrow d_2$ can be represented as $d_k 10^k + d_{k-1} 10^{k-1} + \dots + d_2 10^2$

this sum of d_k to d_2 will always be divisible by 4 since 4 factors into factors of 100 easily. Since

4 will clearly divide into $d_k \rightarrow d_2$ digits, then if $4|d_1 \cdot 10 + d_0$ is true, $4|n$ will certainly be true since n is just the sum of these two digit representations.

7.) d. Prove that for any nonnegative integer n , if the sum of the digits of n is divisible by 3, then n is divisible by 3

n has digits $d_k d_{k-1} \dots d_0$

n can be written as $n = d_k 10^k + d_{k-1} 10^{k-1} + \dots + d_1 10^1 + d_0 10^0$

$\rightarrow n = d_k(10^k - 1) + d_{k-1}(10^{k-1} - 1) + \dots + d_0(10^0 - 1) + d_k + d_{k-1} + \dots + d_0$

$10^k - 1$ where k is an integer will always result in a number with every digit as a 9, or it will be 0

Since every version of $10^k - 1$ (like $10^{k-1} - 1$) will be a number with digits of 9, they will all certainly be divisible by 3. Therefore, the only remaining factor is whether $d_k + d_{k-1} + \dots + d_0$ is divisible by 3. If that is true, $3 \mid n$ because $n = d_k(10^k - 1) + d_{k-1}(10^{k-1} - 1) + \dots + d_0(10^0 - 1) + d_k + d_{k-1} + \dots + d_0$ will certainly be divisible by 3 since the condition of the sum of digits being divisible by 3 is true and $d_k(10^k - 1) + d_{k-1}(10^{k-1} - 1) + \dots + d_0(10^0 - 1)$ is always divisible by 3. Therefore, the statement is true.

8. a. For any integer n , $n^2 - 2$ is not divisible by 4

This means that $4 \nmid n^2 - 2$ or $n^2 - 2 \neq 4a$ where a is an integer. So $n^2 \neq 4a + 2 \rightarrow \sqrt{n^2} \neq \sqrt{4a + 2}$

$n \neq \sqrt{4a + 2}$ because 4 times an integer a plus 2 will always result in an integer whose square root does not result in an integer n .

a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\sqrt{4a+2}$	$\sqrt{6}$	$\sqrt{10}$	$\sqrt{14}$	$\sqrt{18}$	$\sqrt{22}$	$\sqrt{26}$	$\sqrt{30}$	$\sqrt{34}$	$\sqrt{38}$	$\sqrt{42}$

b. For all prime numbers a, b , and c , $a^2 + b^2 \neq c^2$

A prime number can only be divided by 1 and itself and must be a whole number greater than 1.

Proof by contradiction: $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$ or $a^2 = c^2 - b^2$

$a^2 = (c-b)(c+b)$: a^2 must be greater than 0 and positive because it is the square of a prime. $(c+b)$ is the sum of two primes and must be positive. To make $a^2 = (c-b)(c+b)$ true, $(c-b)$ must be greater or equal to 1. $(c-b) \geq 1$ Proof by cases

Case 1: $(c-b) = 1$, c and b are prime so the only time this is true is when $c = 3, b = 2$. Therefore, a would be $\sqrt{5}$. fails because $\sqrt{5}$ is irrational

Case 2: $(c-b) > 1$, for $a^2 = (c-b)(c+b)$ to be true, $(c+b)$ and $(c-b) > 1$. for a^2 , a must be $(c-b)(c+b)$, but this fails as $-b \neq b$. So it is proven for prime numbers that $a^2 + b^2 \neq c^2$

c. a, b, c are integers and $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$, then at least one of a or b is even

Proof by contradiction:

Suppose a and b are both odd so $a = 2k + 1$ and $b = 2c + 1$ where k and c are integers, this representation for a and b will always be odd. $c^2 = a^2 + b^2 \rightarrow c^2 = (2k+1)^2 + (2c+1)^2$

$$c^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 + 4c^2 + 4c + 1$$

$$c^2 = 4(k^2 + k + c^2 + c) + 2$$

$4k^2 + 4k + 4c^2 + 4c + 2 = p$ an integer because sum of integers and squares of integers is always an integer, so

$$c^2 = 4p + 2 \rightarrow c^2 - 2 = 4p \text{ so } 4 \mid c^2 - 2$$

Since $c^2 - 2$ is divisible by 4, c^2 cannot be divisible by 4 since two less than a divisible number by 4 will not divide by an integer

\forall real numbers x , if x is irrational, then $-x$ is irrational.

9) (a) The negative of any irrational number is irrational.

1) Contraposition: if the negative of a number x is rational, then x is rational

$$\neg p \rightarrow F$$

2) Contradiction: The negative of any rational number is irrational.

proof by contraposition:

let there be an integer that is rational. Then use the definition of a rational. $n \in \mathbb{Q}$ if $\exists p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $n = \frac{p}{q}$ and $q \neq 0$

so let there exist an integer where $n = -p/q$ or $(-p)/q$ and since we know $-p$ and q are integers where $q \neq 0$, we know $-x$ is rational, which proves the original statement true.

proof by contradiction:

we assume $\neg p$, so we assume x is rational then prove to see if its negation is irrational

so let $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ if $\exists p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $x = \frac{p}{q}$ and $q \neq 0$ start by negating x . $x = p/q$

then $-1 \cdot x = -1 \cdot \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$ thus we have

$$-x = \frac{(-1)(p)}{q} \quad \text{or} \quad -x = \frac{(-p)}{q} \quad \text{and}$$

since we know $-p$ and q are integers with $q \neq 0$ we can say $\neg p \rightarrow \text{False}$, which proves the original statement true.

9) (b) : for all integers a, b , and c , if $a|b$ and $a \nmid c$, then $a \nmid (b+c)$

1) Contraposition: if $a|(b+c)$, then $a \nmid b$ or $a|c$

2) Contradiction: if $a \nmid b$ or $a|c$, then $a \nmid (b+c) \rightarrow F$

proof by contraposition:

assume, $\neg q$, so assume $\frac{b+c}{a}$, so $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$ st.

$b+c = ka$ then prove $\neg q$

$$b = ka - c$$

so $a \nmid b \Rightarrow \frac{ka-c}{a}$ then

$$\frac{ka}{a} - \frac{c}{a} \Rightarrow k - \frac{c}{a} \quad \text{which proves } b \nmid a \text{ true}$$

and since it is an or-statement we only need to prove the one condition.

proof by contradiction:

assume $\neg p$, so $a \nmid b$ or $a|c$, then $a \nmid (b+c)$

starting with $\neg p$ we start with $a|c$, so assume $\frac{c}{a}$, so $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$ st $ka = c$ then prove q

$$a \nmid (b+c) \Rightarrow \frac{b}{a} + \frac{ka}{a} \Rightarrow \frac{b}{a} + k \quad \text{which}$$

shows $a \nmid (b+c)$ is false because $a \nmid b$ then $\exists k$