Brian Barby (brb9da) Total 69 Sean Shu (sys3bb) Problem Set 4 First use universal instantiation so: P(c) if c = U (P(c) v O(c)) A ((-P(c) A O(c)) -> R(c)) -> (-R(c) -> P(c)) 4 Q(0) A((-P(0) A Q(0)) -> R(0)) -> (-R(0) -> P(0)) La Resolution (7PCC) 1 O(C)) -> R(C) SIMPLIFICATION These steps are incomplete, should have shown more steps. 2) a prove that for all odd integers, n, Then solve for k
What does it prove anyway? b. prove that for all even integers, n, [2] = = let n=2 k than solve fork 0 $\begin{bmatrix} k \end{bmatrix} = k = n \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{z} \end{bmatrix} = \hat{z}$ This does not prove anything. What does the [n] mean anyway?

3) a) if r and s are rational, then set r= P/q and s= alb where p,q,a,b are integers, therefore: rts pb+qa since p, a, q, b are into and into are closed under addition, subtraction, multiplication and division. Thus potace is cational since 4 they are integers and when that is divided by the integer 2, the same rules follows end the number must still be restioned therefore rts 2 is rational. b) a < b so C = b - a and b = c + a then plugging into formula: a+b 4 we get a 2 2 deta ... so ... 2 a < 2 a + c = 2 c + 2 a ... + herefore 0 4 C 4 2 C Only if c>0 c) given r and s we can prove they are rational from corollary a) and from there we can prove there 4 15 a number between r and S due to corollary b) therefore given any 2 rational numbers rands with res, there is another rational number between

rands.

4) let x= m2-n2 and y=2mn so ... $(m^2 - n^2)^2 + (2mn)^2 = Z^2$ W4-9W=U= + VA + AW=U= = 55 W 4 + 9W5 V5 + WA = 55 (Ws+Us)3 = SS S = W3 + W5 X = W3 - W5 A = 9 WV 20. (Ws-Us) = (Ws+Us) = Wa - 5 w = 4 + 4 4 + 4 w = 4 = W - 5 w - 5 w - 5 + 2 + 4 + 5 w = 45 5) a) when n=-1 -3(-1)2-14(-1)-8 = [1] which is a prime number which shows there exists an integer n that makes -3(n)2-14n-8 result as a prime number b) let m=2 and n=18 which are both Z+ 50 mn = 36 which is a perfect square of 6 but m and n are not perfect squares because Im and In do not result as integers that are positive c) let a = 2k+1 and b = 2k so that a and to are a consecutive integers, so prove a2-62 Ts odd ... $(2k+1)^2-(2k)^2$ 422+4K+1 - 462 > 46+1 with HE+1 we know that the difference of 2 savares of any two consecutive integers is odd because the + 1

will always result as an odd integer

(6) a) let m and n be perfect squares. Which implies m = a2 and n = b2 where a and b are integers. m+n+21mn = a2+62+2-1a262 a2+62+2a6 az +2ab+bz = (a+b)2 which results in (atb) which is a perfect square b) let p(x) = 2"-1 therefore we can test prime number p(3)=7 p(5)=31 p(7)=127 p(11)=2047 so when p=11 in 2°-1, p is prime and the result is not prime, therefore this diproves the statement

```
6) c) a, b, c, d are four consecutive integers
   so a=k b=k+1 c=k+2 d=k+3 therefore
   abcd=(k)(k+1)(k+2)(6+3)
         (k2+k) (k+2) (k+3)
         (K3+2k2+k2+2k)(k+3)
         K4+2k3+k3+2k2+3k3+6k2+3k2+6k
            = K4 +6 k3 + 11 k2 + 6 k
  this product +1 is K4 +6k3+11k2+6k+1
    which equals ( 12+3k+1)2 therefore the
    sum of 4 consecutive integers is one less
    than a perfect square
  d. honnegative real numbers a and b, Jab = Ja. Jb
     26+ d= X5 1P= A5
  3 SU Jx2y2 = Jx2. Jy2
         -> xy = x . y
               Xy= xy Therefore, the statement is true
  e. nonnegative real numbers a and b, Jato = Ja + JB
          Let a = 1 and b=4
                Ja+6 = Ja + J6
                 J1+4 = J1 + 54
                JF = 1+2
                ~2.236 $3, therefore, this is
                not a true statement
```

```
7) a. when odd: let r and s be represented
  by (2k+1) where (2k+1) r=sp-2k+12ts any odd integer.
   Then (x-+)(x-s) becomes (x- (2k+1)) (x- (2k+1))
   Then factor out:
  x2 - ((ak+1)x - (ak+1)x) + (ak+1)(2k+1)
        Difference of 2 odds = even factor of 2 odds = odd
  x2 = (2k)x + (2k+1)
   Lawhich is the equation we get when I and s are
  both odd values
  When even: let r and s be represented by (2k)
  where (2k) represents any even integer
  Then (x-r)(x-s) becomes (x-(2k)) (x-(2k))
  Then factor out:
   x2 = ((ak)x - (ak)x) + (2k)(ak)
        Difference of Levens = even factor of 2 evens = even
  x^2 = (2k)x + (2k)
  23 which is the equation we get when I and s are even
  when wenlodd: let r represent an odd integer as
  (2k+1) and 3 represent an even integer (2k)
   (x-(akti)) (x-(ak)) - factor out
   x2 - ((2k)x - (2k+1)x) + (2k) (2k+1)
          difference of even and odd . factor of even and odd
          results odd results even
  so when factoring when r is an odd value
  and S is an even value we should get:
     x2 = (2k+1)x + (2k)
```

7) b. we cannot break down x2-1253x +255 because it follows the format: 1 x2 - (2k+1)x + (2k+1) which is not one of the formulas we proved in C: (x-r)(x-s)(x-t) = x3 - (r+s+t)x2+(rs+r6+st)x-rst combinations possible: odd odd odd we most test each combo odd.odd. even by making an odd as (2k+1) and an even as (2k) odd even even even even . even odd. odd. odd: let r= 2k+1 s= 2k+1 t= 2k+1 and plug into x3 - (r+s+E) x2 + (rs+re+st)x - rs+ where sum of 3 odd values (r+s+t) results odd = The factor of 2 odd values is odd and, the sum of 3 odd values is odd which makes (rs+rt+st) odd. The finally the factor of 3 odd values 1st results 3 odd, therefore we get: X3 - (2k+1) x2 + (2b)x - (2k+1) when all values are odd d. x3+7x2-8x-27 written as product of three polynomials with integer coefficients This can be denoted as x3+(2K+1)x2-(2k)x-(2k+1) Therefore it can be written that way as long as

(x-r)(x-4)(x-+) where r, s, and + are odd numbers

- 8.) a. if alb, that means that there is an integer k that factors in so beak. Then alberthoust also work because b times any integer c will always have bas a factor of that product. Since b divides evenly into be and a divider evenly into be, so if alb, then albe
 - b. a, b are integers. a= 2k, b=2s so they are both even

 ab > (2k)(2s) = 4ks, 4ks is the product of

 two ever integers and will always be divisible by 4

 so ab = ks
 - C. Yes, this is a sufficient condition. 16 is always divisible by 8 with a factor of 2. Therefore any number that is divisible so n=16k where k is an integer, will also be divisible so n=8.2k. This can be rewritten with integer d=2k so n=8d to fur they demonstrate the concept.
 - d. if alb and alc, then al(26-36)

 so b=ak and c=as where k and s are integers

 al(25-3c) because a is already a proven

 factor of b and c, a and a still result in an integer,

 but the latter is multiplied by a product of two, Similarly,
 and -3c is an integer but the latter is multiplied by

 -3. Therefore a will divide in (26-3c) evenly

 and result in an integer if alb and alc

8.) e. if ablc, then alc and blc

C=abk so k is also an integer. An integer times

an integer is another integer so ak can = d and

bk = e. Therefore, c=ae or c=bd which

gatisfies the condition as e and d are integers

i. if ablc, then alc and blc

f. if al(b+c), then alb or alc, whereab, and care integers

b+c=ak

if b=4, c=5, and a=3, alb+c) because

4+5=3k => 3=k which is an integer! and

Satisfies the conditions. However axb and

satisfies the conditions. However axb and

axc because 314 and 315 does not make

a true statement since the k in b=ak or

C=ak is not an integer. Therefore, this

Statement is false.

9.) a. If
$$3x + 5 = 1$$
, then $x \neq 8$
 $x^2 + 6 = x$

plug in $8 \cdot 38 + 5 = 1$
 $8^2 + 6 = 8$
 $2 + 5 = 1$
 $64 + 6 = 8$
 $7 = 1$
 $70 = 8$
 $1 \neq 1 \leq 50 \times 78$

b.
$$3 \times + 2 \times 5$$
, if $\times 71$, then $y < 1$

$$\frac{3 \times + 2 \times 5}{3} > \times + \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{5}{3} > \times < \frac{5 - 2}{3}$$

$$\times \leq \frac{5 - 2}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \times > 1$$
3