

CATEGORICAL KOSZUL DUALITY

J. HOLSTEIN AND A. LAZAREV

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish Koszul duality for dg categories and a class of curved coalgebras, generalizing the corresponding result for dg algebras and conilpotent curved coalgebras. We show that the normalized chain complex functor transforms the Quillen equivalence between quasicategories and simplicial categories into this Koszul duality. This allows us to give a conceptual interpretation of the dg nerve of a dg category and its adjoint. As an application, we prove that the category of representations of a quasicategory K is equivalent to the coderived category of comodules over $C_*(K)$, the chain coalgebra of K . A corollary of this is a characterization of the category of constructible dg sheaves on a stratified space as the coderived category of a certain dg coalgebra.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| 1.1. Notation and conventions | 3 |
| 2. Categories and semialgebras | 5 |
| 2.1. Semialgebras | 5 |
| 2.2. Split curved coalgebras | 6 |
| 2.3. Categories as semialgebras | 8 |
| 3. Koszul duality for categories | 9 |
| 3.1. Bar and cobar construction for (co)algebras | 9 |
| 3.2. Uncurving | 10 |
| 3.3. The bar construction for semialgebras | 12 |
| 3.4. The cobar construction of a split curved coalgebra | 14 |
| 3.5. Koszul adjunction | 16 |
| 3.6. The bar construction of a dg category | 18 |
| 3.7. Model structure | 19 |
| 3.8. Quillen equivalence | 24 |
| 3.9. Semimodule-comodule level Koszul duality | 25 |
| 4. The dg nerve and its adjoint | 27 |
| 4.1. The dg nerve of an algebra | 28 |
| 4.2. The dg nerve revisited | 29 |
| 5. Functor categories and comodules | 33 |
| 6. Stratified spaces | 34 |
| References | 36 |

Key words and phrases. DG category, dg-nerve, coalgebra, model category, ∞ -category, bar-construction, cobar-construction.

This work was partially supported by the EPSRC grant EP/N015452/1. It was completed in part during the second author's visit to MPIM (Bonn) and he acknowledges excellent working conditions in this institution. Both authors benefitted from discussions with J. Chuang, B. Keller, L. Positselski and J. Woolf.

1. INTRODUCTION

Koszul duality is a collection of related phenomena playing an important role in many subfields of algebra and geometry such as deformation theory [11], operads and operadic algebras [10], representation theory [4], to mention just a few prominent examples among very substantial literature on this subject. The present paper is focused on the part of this vast theory that is relevant to differential graded (dg) associative algebras, dg categories and coalgebras. Associative Koszul duality states that there is an adjunction between the categories of augmented dg algebras and a class of dg coalgebras (called conilpotent) provided by explicit bar and cobar constructions. Both categories possess structures of model categories and this adjunction can be strengthened to a Quillen equivalence between them.

There is also a version of Koszul duality between not necessarily augmented dg algebras and conilpotent coalgebras supplied with a ‘differential’, that does not necessarily square to zero, so-called *curved* coalgebras [19].

The main result of this paper is a further generalization of this correspondence with dg algebras replaced by *dg categories*. The Koszul dual of a dg category \mathcal{D} , given by a bar construction $B\mathcal{D}$ is a *pointed curved coalgebra*. Recall that a coalgebra is pointed if its coradical is a direct sum of copies of the ground field. This sum is indexed by the set of grouplike elements, and the grouplike elements of $B\mathcal{D}$ correspond to the objects of the dg category \mathcal{D} . A pointed curved coalgebra consists of a curved coalgebra that is pointed, has a splitting of the coradical and satisfies some compatibilities. We denote the category of pointed curved coalgebras equipped with a final object by \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* . We will construct a model structure on \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* in Proposition 3.31. We denote by \mathbf{dgCat}' the category of small dg categories modified by the assumption that identity morphisms are not equal to zero except in the case of the category with one object and a single zero morphism. Note that \mathbf{dgCat}' inherits the Dwyer-Kan model structure from \mathbf{dgCat} and is equivalent to it as an ∞ -category. Here is our first main result (Theorem 3.38 below):

Theorem A. *There is a Quillen equivalence $\Omega : \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* \rightleftarrows \mathbf{dgCat}' : B$ between \mathbf{dgCat}' and a suitable model structure on pointed curved coalgebras.*

Restricted to the subcategory of dg categories with one object, this reduces to the ordinary dg Koszul duality between dg algebras and curved conilpotent coalgebras.

The key new idea for proving this result is to interpret a dg category \mathcal{D} as a monoid in dg bicomodules over the coalgebra $\oplus_{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})} \mathbf{k}$. We believe that this is of independent interest. Then one can perform a bar construction in bicomodules to obtain a curved comonoid in bicomodules, which is a relative curved coalgebra. The adjoint is given by the relative cobar construction in bicomodules.

The bicomodule viewpoint allows us to directly adapt most of the arguments from the conilpotent case treated in [19]. Many proofs then boil down to the verification of various algebraic identities. While relatively straightforward, the computations can be quite cumbersome, and we have chosen to include some detailed arguments to conceptualize and simplify them. To this end we introduce and make extensive use of the so-called *uncurving functor* which associates to a curved (co)algebra an uncurved one in a universal way (see Proposition 3.6 and Corollary 3.7). This allows us to substantially streamline various calculations.

It is likely that our approach permits the translation of most of the results of [19] to the setting of dg categories and pointed curved coalgebras with only minimal modification. Such a translation would, of course, necessitate a considerable expansion of this paper and has not been undertaken here. Of particular interest is the treatment, only alluded to here, of A_∞ categories and A_∞ functors based on Koszul duality for dg categories.

Next we show that this dg categorical Koszul duality is closely related to the coherent nerve of simplicial categories and its adjoint. Recall from [15] that there is a Quillen equivalence $\mathfrak{C} : \mathbf{qCat} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{sCat} : N_{\text{coh}}$, where we write \mathbf{qCat} for simplicial sets with the Joyal model structure and \mathbf{sCat} for simplicial categories with the Dwyer-Kan model structure. We show that this equivalence is, in some sense, a Koszul duality in the nonlinear context.

More precisely, the normalized chain construction induces functors $\tilde{C}_* : \mathbf{qCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{ptdCoa}_*$ and $G_* : \mathbf{sCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{dgCat}$ which transform $\mathfrak{C} : \mathbf{qCat} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{sCat} : N_{\text{coh}}$ into Koszul duality, see Corollary 4.19.

Note that the functor $C_* : \mathbf{qCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{ptdCoa}$ is left Quillen and we denote by F its right adjoint. Thus, F associates to a pointed curved coalgebra a simplicial set; its construction is similar to the construction of a simplicial set out of a commutative dg algebra in rational homotopy theory, cf. [5]. We deduce from this an algebraic characterization of categorical equivalences of *reduced* simplicial sets as those maps $K \rightarrow S$ that induce quasi-isomorphisms of cobar-constructions of $C_*(S)$ and $C_*(K)$ (Corollary 4.21 below).

Another consequence is our second main result (Theorem 4.17 below), the following description of Lurie's dg nerve construction:

Theorem B. *The functor $N_{\text{dg}} : \mathbf{dgCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{qCat}$ constructed in [16] is equivalent to the functor $D \mapsto FB(D)$. Its left adjoint is given by $K \mapsto \Omega C_*(K)$.*

In particular, this provides a clear and conceptual construction of the left adjoint to Lurie's dg nerve. It has an especially nice form when K is a Kan complex; in that case $L(K)$ is (quasi-equivalent to) the dg category whose objects are in 1-1 correspondence with connected components of K , the endomorphism dg algebra of each object is the chain algebra of the based loop space on the corresponding component and there are no morphisms between different objects.

For simplicity we have stated these results for the case of dg categories over a field. Slightly weakened versions of these results hold true if we work in dg categories over the integers.

We also generalize Koszul duality for modules. As in the conilpotent case, there are two results of this type, see Theorem 3.41:

- (1) a Quillen equivalence between dg modules over a dg category \mathcal{D} and comodules over the coalgebra $B\mathcal{D}$ and
- (2) a Quillen equivalence between comodules over a pointed curved coalgebra C and dg modules over the dg category ΩC .

This leads to a characterization of the functor category from a quasicategory K to the ∞ -category of chain complexes in terms of C_*K -comodules (Theorem 5.2 below).

Theorem C. *Let K be an ∞ -category represented as a simplicial set. Then there is an equivalence between $\text{Fun}(K, N_{\text{dg}}(\mathbf{dgVect}))$ and the coderived ∞ -category of the coalgebra C_*K .*

Note that this category of functors may be considered as the derived ∞ -category of K . As an application of this result, we show that the ∞ -category of constructible sheaves of dg vector spaces on a stratified space X is equivalent to the coderived category of the chain coalgebra of the simplicial set $\text{Exit}(X)$ of exit paths on X , see Proposition 6.2.

1.1. Notation and conventions. We work in the category \mathbf{dgVect} of differential graded (dg) vector spaces over a field \mathbf{k} ; the grading is always cohomological. The n -fold shift of a graded vector space V is defined as $V[n]^i = V^{i+n}$ while the element in $V[n]$ corresponding to $v \in V$ will be denoted by $s^n v$.

The category \mathbf{dgVect} is symmetric monoidal, and monoids in it are called dg algebras. Similarly the category of comonoids in \mathbf{dgVect} are dg coalgebras. The structure theory of ungraded coalgebras generalizes in a straightforward way to the graded case and we will use results and terminology from standard reference books such as [22]. In particular, we will need the notion of a *coradical*, or the maximal cosemisimple subcoalgebra C_0 of a coalgebra C . A *pointed* (graded) coalgebra is a coalgebra whose simple subcoalgebras are one dimensional; furthermore a pointed (graded) coalgebra whose coradical is 1-dimensional is called *conilpotent*.

Given a dg coalgebra C , a left *dg C -comodule* is a dg vector space M together with a coaction map $M \rightarrow C \otimes M$ subject to the usual coassociativity and counit axioms. The notions of a right dg C -comodule and of a dg C -bicomodule are defined similarly.

We also need to work with *pseudocompact* dg vector spaces, or projective limits of finite-dimensional vector spaces; thus a pseudocompact dg vector space V can be written as $V = \varprojlim_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$ for a projective system $\{V_{\alpha}\}$ of finite dimensional dg vector spaces. The grading for pseudocompact vector spaces is likewise cohomological. The category of pseudocompact dg vector spaces \mathbf{PCVect} is equivalent to the opposite category to \mathbf{dgVect} with anti-equivalence established by the \mathbf{k} -linear duality functor. The category \mathbf{PCVect} also admits a symmetric monoidal structure, dual to that in \mathbf{dgVect} ; it will be denoted simply by \otimes . Monoids in \mathbf{PCVect} are called pseudocompact algebras; they form a category opposite to that of dg coalgebras.

The category of graded pseudocompact algebras has an auxiliary function as various results about coalgebras are technically easier to prove in the dualized setting. Given a graded pseudocompact algebra A , its maximal semisimple quotient will be denoted by A_0 ; it is *pointed* if A_0 is a product of copies of \mathbf{k} (so that A is dual to a pointed coalgebra).

Occasionally we need to consider the tensor product of a pseudocompact dg vector space $V = \varprojlim_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$ and a discrete one U ; in this situation we will always write $V \otimes U$ for $\varprojlim_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} \otimes U$; such a tensor product is in general neither discrete nor pseudocompact.

We will also need the notion of a Maurer-Cartan (MC) element in an algebra A ; it is an element $x \in A^1$ such that $dx + x^2 = 0$; the set of MC elements in A will be denoted by $\mathbf{MC}(A)$.

A dg category is a category enriched over the category of (co)chain complexes for abelian groups; and unless indicated otherwise, we will always assume that dg categories are, in fact, enriched over \mathbf{dgVect} . Dg categories possess a model category structure [23] where weak equivalences are so-called *quasi-equivalences*, a dg-version of the ordinary notion of equivalence of categories.

We will use some of the language and theory of ∞ -categories. In general, this term will stand for an $(\infty, 1)$ -category considered in a model-agnostic way.

We also use two specific models: *quasicategories* (weakly Kan simplicial sets) and *relative categories*. We will use standard results and terminology of quasicategories following [15, 16]. The symbol \mathbf{qCat} will stand for the category of simplicial sets supplied with the Joyal model structure whose fibrant objects are quasicategories. The weak equivalences are categorical equivalences, denoted by the symbol \simeq . The same category with the ordinary Quillen model structure will be denoted by \mathbf{sSet} . The subcategories of \mathbf{qCat} and \mathbf{sSet} whose objects are *reduced* simplicial sets will be denoted by \mathbf{qCat}^0 and \mathbf{sSet}^0 . Given simplicial sets K and L such that L is a quasicategory we denote by $\mathbf{Fun}(K, L)$ the quasicategory of functors from K to L .

Relative categories [3] are categories equipped with a special class of morphisms, to be thought of as weak equivalences, and their homotopy theory is equivalent to other models of ∞ -categories. Given any category \mathcal{C} with a class W of morphisms we may consider it as a relative category, and we call this the ∞ -category obtained by localizing \mathcal{C} at W . In particular any model category gives rise to an ∞ -category.

2. CATEGORIES AND SEMIALGEBRAS

2.1. Semialgebras. To prove Koszul duality for dg categories we will redefine the notion of a \mathbf{k} -linear category as a monoid in a certain monoidal category.

We first need some basics of the theory of comodules over coalgebra.

Definition 2.1. Let C be a graded coalgebra, M is a left dg C -comodule and N be a right dg C -comodule. Then their *cotensor product* $M \square_C N$ is the equalizer of the two maps given by the left and right coactions of C :

$$M \square_C N \longrightarrow M \otimes N \rightrightarrows M \otimes C \otimes N$$

If M, N are two dg bicomodules over a coalgebra C , then $M \square_C N$ is a dg C -bicomodule in a natural way. This endows the category of dg C -bicomodules with a (nonsymmetric) monoidal structure. Its unit is C , viewed as a bicomodule over itself.

Definition 2.2. A *semialgebra* is a pair (A, R) consisting of a graded coalgebra R and a monoid A in dg R -bicomodules.

A homomorphism of semialgebras $(A, R) \rightarrow (B, S)$ consists of a homomorphism of graded coalgebras $f : R \rightarrow S$ and a dg S -bicomodule map $A \rightarrow B$ (where A becomes a S -bicomodule via f), which is compatible with the monoid structure, i.e. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A \square_R A & \longrightarrow & A \square_S A & \longrightarrow & B \square_S B \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & B & & \end{array}$$

Note that in this setup A is not necessarily a monoid in S -bicomodules. For technical reasons we also consider the case that R is the zero coalgebra. The only comodule over 0 is the zero vector space, so this gives us the semialgebra $(0, 0)$, which is initial among semialgebras.

Semialgebras together with their morphisms form a category that we denote by $\mathbf{SemiAlg}$. We will often simplify the notation (A, R) to A when it does not cause confusion.

Remark 2.3. Positselski considers in [18] a more general notion of a semialgebra as a triple (A, R, B) where B is a \mathbf{k} -algebra and R is an B -coring. This more general notion reduces to ours when $B = \mathbf{k}$ and so the coring R becomes a \mathbf{k} -coalgebra. We will occasionally need to consider the case $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Z}$. Specializing further $R = \mathbf{k}$, we see that a semialgebra of the form (A, \mathbf{k}) is nothing but a \mathbf{k} -algebra.

There is a corresponding notion of a module (or, more precisely, a semimodule) over a semialgebra:

Definition 2.4. A *left semimodule* M over a semialgebra (A, R) is a left dg R -comodule endowed with a semi-action map $A \square_R M \rightarrow M$ that is unital and associative. Left semimodules over (A, R) clearly form a category that we will denote by $(A, R)\text{-Mod}$. Right semimodules and semibimodules are defined similarly.

Remark 2.5. Note that any semialgebra (A, R) is naturally a semibimodule over itself.

We now restrict to the class of semialgebras that will interest us in this paper.

Definition 2.6. We say a semialgebra (A, R) is *split* if R is cosemisimple and there is a retract $v : A \rightarrow R$ of the unit as a map of R -bicomodules. The category of split semialgebras will be denoted by $\mathbf{SemiAlg}_{\text{sp}}$.

This retract is not assumed to be compatible with the monoid structure. A morphism of split semialgebras is not required to be compatible with the retract. Therefore all choices of a retract on (A, R) lead to isomorphic split semialgebras. Thus we should not think of the retract as meaningful extra data. However, it allows us to carry out the bar construction more cleanly later on.

Remark 2.7. The main reason for the restriction on R is to ensure that cotensor products over R be exact. There are likely generalizations of many of our results beyond the cosemisimple case but we will not pursue them in this paper.

2.2. Split curved coalgebras. We will now consider a dual notion to that of a semialgebra. This will essentially be a suitable comonoid in bicomodules. We first note that any coalgebra homomorphism $C \rightarrow R$ turns C into an R -bicomodule, so a comonoid in bicomodules is just a relative coalgebra. However, in the setting of curved coalgebras (to be recalled shortly) a number of conditions are needed to make this setup precise.

We will restrict ourselves to the case where the subcoalgebra R is the coradical C_0 of C .

Definition 2.8. A *split coalgebra* is a coalgebra C equipped with a section $\epsilon : C \rightarrow C_0$ of the inclusion $C_0 \rightarrow C$.

Remark 2.9. In many cases (for example when \mathbf{k} is a perfect field) such a splitting is guaranteed to exist, however it need not be canonical, so we always consider it as part of our data.

We will now extend this definition to the curved case. Recall the following definition from [19, Section 3.1].

Definition 2.10. A *curved algebra* $A = (A, d, h)$ is a graded algebra supplied with a derivation $d : A \rightarrow A$ (a differential) of degree 1 and an element $h \in A^2$ called the *curvature* of A , such that $d^2(x) = [h, x]$ and $d(h) = 0$ for any $x \in A$.

A *curved morphism* between two curved algebras $A \rightarrow B$ is a pair (f, b) where $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a map of graded algebras of degree zero and $b \in B^1$ so that:

- (1) $f(d_A x) = d_B f(x) + [b, f(x)]$;
- (2) $f(h_A) = h_B + d_B(b) + b^2$.

Two such morphisms (f, b) and (g, c) are composed as $(g, c) \circ (f, b) = (g \circ f, c + g(b))$. In particular, every map (f, b) can be decomposed $(f, b) = (\text{id}, b) \circ (f, 0)$.

Remark 2.11. In this paper we will, in fact, need *pseudocompact* curved algebras, whose definition is obtained simply by adding the adjective ‘pseudocompact’ to Definition 2.10.

Dually there are curved coalgebras.

Definition 2.12. A *curved coalgebra* is a coalgebra C equipped with an odd coderivation d and a homogeneous linear function $h : C \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ of degree 2, called the *curvature*, such that (C^*, d^*, h^*) is a curved pseudo-compact algebra.

A morphism of curved dg coalgebras from (C, d_C, h_C) to (D, d_D, h_D) is given by the data (f, a) where $f : C \rightarrow D$ is a morphism of graded coalgebras and $a : C \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is a linear map of degree 1, such that (f^*, a^*) is a curved morphisms $D^* \rightarrow C^*$. The composition rule is $(g, b) \circ (f, a) = (g \circ f, b \circ f + a)$.

To minimize sign issues we will perform most of our computations using curved pseudo-compact algebras.

Definition 2.13. A *split curved coalgebra* is a pair (C, ϵ) such that

- $C = (C, \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}, \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}, d, h_{\mathbf{k}})$ is a curved coalgebra (over \mathbf{k})
- the restriction of d to the coradical $C_0 \hookrightarrow C$ is zero,
- $\epsilon : C \rightarrow C_0$ is a coalgebra map compatible with the differential d , which is left inverse to i .

We will often write simply C for (C, ϵ) when it does not cause confusion.

It follows that $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ factors as $C \xrightarrow{\Delta} C \square_{C_0} C \rightarrow C \otimes C$. Thus, $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \square_{C_0} C$ exhibits C as a comonoid in the C_0 -bicomodules. The inclusion $C_0 \hookrightarrow C$ provides a coaugmentation of this comonoid. The differential d is compatible with the C_0 -bicomodule structure and the comonoid structure given by Δ and ϵ . Note also that there is automatically a curvature h with values in C_0 , obtained by factorizing the curvature $h_{\mathbf{k}} : C \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ as $\epsilon \circ (h_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes \text{id}_{C_0}) \circ \rho_C : C \rightarrow C \otimes C_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \otimes C_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$, where ρ_C is the right coaction. We define h as $(h_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes \text{id}_{C_0}) \circ \rho_C$.

Dually, a split curved pseudocompact algebra (A, u) consists of a curved pseudocompact algebra A with maximal semisimple quotient A_0 , a splitting $u : A_0 \rightarrow A$ of the quotient map and a structure of A as a monoid in A_0 -bicomodules, satisfying the dual conditions to Definition 2.13.

Definition 2.14. A morphism $(f, a) : (C, \epsilon) \rightarrow (D, \delta)$ of split curved coalgebras consists of

- a morphism $(f, a_{\mathbf{k}})$ of curved coalgebras
- a factorization of $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ as the composition $C \xrightarrow{a} D_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$

such that

- $\delta \circ f = f \circ \epsilon$,
- f and a are D_0 -bicomodule maps,

where the D_0 -bicomodule structure on C is induced by $f : C_0 \rightarrow D_0$.

The composition is then defined as

$$(g, b) \circ (f, a) = (g \circ f, b \circ f + g \circ a).$$

The category of split curved coalgebras will be denoted by spCoa .

Note that the zero coalgebra, equipped with the zero map to itself, gives us an initial object $(0, 0)$ in spCoa .

Furthermore, if (C, ϵ) is a split curved coalgebra then we call (C^*, ϵ^*) a split curved pseudocompact algebra. A map $(A, u) \rightarrow (B, v)$ of split curved pseudocompact algebras is of the form (f, b) with $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $b : A_0 \rightarrow B$ of degree 1 satisfying all the compatibilities derived from 2.14. Composition is given by $(g, b) \circ (f, a) = (g \circ f, b \circ f + g \circ a)$.

Dualization induces a contravariant equivalence of categories between split curved coalgebras and split curved pseudocompact algebras. Therefore we denote the latter category by spCoa^{op} . We will liberally use this equivalence as computations are usually much easier to perform for pseudocompact algebras.

We will also consider comodules over split curved coalgebras; these are nothing but comodules over the underlying curved coalgebra, cf. [19, Section 4.1].

Definition 2.15. Let C be a split curved coalgebra. A *left C -comodule* is a graded \mathbf{k} -module M endowed with an endomorphism d_M of degree 1 such that

- There is a coaction map $M \rightarrow C \otimes M$ compatible with d_C and d_M ,
- For all $m \in M$ we have $d^2(m) = h * m$ where $*$ is the action of the pseudocompact algebra $(C^*)^{\text{op}}$ on M corresponding to the coaction of C on M .

Given two left C -comodules M and N we denote by $\mathrm{Hom}_C(M, N)$ the complex of C -homomorphisms between them. It is given by the graded vector space of homomorphisms from M to N which are compatible with differential and coaction. The differentials on M and N induce the differential on $\mathrm{Hom}_C(M, N)$ which squares to zero (even if d_M and d_N do not). Thus left C -comodules form a dg category which we denote by $C\text{-Comod}$.

Remark 2.16. Note that a comodule over a split curved coalgebra C is a C_0 -comodule as C is a C_0 -bicomodule.

2.3. Categories as semialgebras. Next we show that (small) dg categories can be understood as semialgebras.

Let S be a set and $\mathbf{k}[S]$ be its linearization, i.e. the free \mathbf{k} -module with S as a basis. It has a (cosemisimple) coassociative, cocommutative coalgebra structure with $\Delta(s) = s \otimes s$ for any $s \in S$. Then S can be recovered from $\mathbf{k}[S]$ as the set of grouplike elements, and coalgebra homomorphisms $\mathbf{k}[S] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[T]$ correspond to maps of sets $S \rightarrow T$.

We now observe that the data of a small dg category \mathcal{C} over \mathbf{k} is equivalent to a semialgebra of the form $(V_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbf{k}[S])$. The set of objects of \mathcal{C} is given by S . A dg functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a homomorphism of the corresponding semialgebras.

Proposition 2.17. *The category of semialgebras of the form $(V, \mathbf{k}[S])$ is equivalent to the category of small dg categories.*

Proof. Given a set S and a dg $\mathbf{k}[S]$ -bicomodule V together with a monoid structure map $V \square_{\mathbf{k}[S]} V \rightarrow V$, we construct a dg category \mathcal{C} by setting $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) = S$. Note that for any $s \in S$ the inclusion $\{s\} \subset S$ determines an inclusion of coalgebras $\mathbf{k} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{k}[S]$ and thus, the structure of a $\mathbf{k}[S]$ -comodule on \mathbf{k} ; we will denote it by \mathbf{k}_s . Then for $s_1, s_2 \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ set $\mathrm{Hom}(s_1, s_2) := \mathbf{k}_{s_1} \square_{\mathbf{k}[S]} V \square_{\mathbf{k}[S]} \mathbf{k}_{s_2}$. The composition $\mathrm{Hom}(s_1, s_2) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(s_2, s_3)$ is determined by the monoid structure on V .

Conversely, given a dg category \mathcal{C} with a set of objects $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, we define

$$V_{\mathcal{C}} := \bigoplus_{s_1, s_2 \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} \mathrm{Hom}(s_1, s_2).$$

The space V has a natural structure of a $\mathbf{k}[\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})]$ -bicomodule $V_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})] \otimes V_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})]$ defined on each summand by the composition

$$\mathrm{Hom}(s_1, s_2) \hookrightarrow V_{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathbf{k}_{s_1} \otimes V_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes \mathbf{k}_{s_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})] \otimes V_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes \mathbf{k}[\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})].$$

The monoid structure on $V_{\mathcal{C}}$ is determined by the composition in \mathcal{C} .

The statement about the functors is likewise straightforward. \square

We note that the semialgebra corresponding to a dg category is split if and only if all its endomorphism spaces contain non-zero morphisms.

Let \mathcal{C} be a dg category represented by a semialgebra $(V_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbf{k}[S])$. We will call a left $(V_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbf{k}[S])$ -semimodule simply a \mathcal{C} -module.

Recall that given a dg category \mathcal{C} , a (dg) \mathcal{C} -module is usually defined as a \mathbf{k} -linear functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{dgVect}$. This agrees with our nomenclature:

Proposition 2.18. *The data of a dg functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{dgVect}$ is equivalent to that of a left $(V_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbf{k}[\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})])$ -semimodule.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be a dg category and $(V_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbf{k}[S])$ be its corresponding semialgebra. Given a $(V_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbf{k}[S])$ -semimodule M with a structure map $V_{\mathcal{C}} \square_{\mathbf{k}[S]} M \rightarrow M$ and $s \in S = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ we define a

functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{dgVect}$ by $F(s) = \mathbf{k}_s \square_{\mathbf{k}[S]} M$. For two objects $s, t \in S$ the semi-action map $V_{\mathcal{C}} \square_{\mathbf{k}[S]} M \rightarrow M$ restricts to

$$(\mathbf{k}_t \square_{\mathbf{k}[S]} V_{\mathcal{C}} \square_{\mathbf{k}[S]} \mathbf{k}_s) \otimes (\mathbf{k}_s \square_{\mathbf{k}[S]} M) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_t \square_{\mathbf{k}[S]} M$$

which can be rewritten as $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(s, t) \otimes F(s) \rightarrow F(t)$ or $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(s, t) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(F(s), F(t))$. A straightforward inspection shows that this map preserves compositions and identities.

Conversely, given a dg functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{dgVect}$ we define $M := \bigoplus_{s \in S} F(s)$; then clearly M is naturally a (left) $\mathbf{k}[S]$ -bicomodule and for $s, t \in S$ the homomorphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(s, t) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(F(s), F(t))$ combine to give a semi-action map $V_{\mathcal{C}} \square_{\mathbf{k}[S]} M \rightarrow M$. \square

3. KOSZUL DUALITY FOR CATEGORIES

3.1. Bar and cobar construction for (co)algebras. We begin by reviewing the Koszul duality between dg algebras and curved conilpotent coalgebras following [19].

We denote by \mathbf{dgAlg} the category of dg algebras over \mathbf{k} and by $\mathbf{dgAlg}/\mathbf{k}$ the category of augmented dg algebras. Next, $\mathbf{dgCoa}^{\mathrm{conil}}$ is the category of conilpotent dg coalgebras and $\mathbf{cuCoa}^{\mathrm{conil}}$ is the category of conilpotent curved coalgebras and $\mathbf{cuCoa}_*^{\mathrm{conil}}$ the category obtained from $\mathbf{cuCoa}^{\mathrm{conil}}$ by adding a final object $*$. Let $i : \mathbf{dgCoa}^{\mathrm{conil}} \rightarrow \mathbf{cuCoa}^{\mathrm{conil}}$ be the inclusion functor.

There is a model structure on $\mathbf{cuCoa}_*^{\mathrm{conil}}$ and the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.1. *The (reduced) cobar and bar construction provides adjunctions*

$$\Omega : \mathbf{cuCoa}_*^{\mathrm{conil}} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{dgAlg} : \mathbf{B}$$

and

$$\Omega i : \mathbf{dgCoa}^{\mathrm{conil}} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{dgAlg}/\mathbf{k} : \mathbf{B}$$

Composing with the adjunction $U : \mathbf{dgAlg}/\mathbf{k} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{dgAlg} : (-) \oplus \mathbf{k}$ we obtain another adjunction

$$U\Omega : \mathbf{dgCoa}^{\mathrm{conil}} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{dgAlg} : \mathbf{B}_{nr}$$

between the reduced cobar and the nonreduced bar construction $\mathbf{B}_{nr} = \mathbf{B}(- \oplus \mathbf{k})$.

Moreover, all of these adjunctions are Quillen if we choose suitable model structures. \square

The construction of the adjunctions can be found in Section 6.10 in [19].

A model structure on curved coalgebras is discussed in Section 9.3 of [19]. Positselski does not consider \mathbf{dgAlg} with its usual model category structure on the right hand side, but one may modify his construction of the model structure on curved coalgebras in a natural way.

We note that the reduced bar construction is not defined on the dg algebra 0, which is the final object in dg algebras. This may be remedied by defining $B(0) = *$ and $\Omega(*) = 0$ by hand.

Then we may define the model structure on $\mathbf{cuCoa}^{\mathrm{conil}}$ by defining cofibrations and weak equivalences by their images under the functor Ω . Compared to Positselski's model structure on $\mathbf{cuCoa}^{\mathrm{conil}}$ this only changes which maps to the final object are considered cofibrations and weak equivalences. This issue will be revisited when we generalize to pointed curved coalgebras in Section 3.7.

It follows from the proof of the theorem that morphism spaces in these adjunctions can be expressed as Maurer-Cartan sets.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $A \in \mathbf{dgAlg}/\mathbf{k}$ and $C \in \mathbf{dgCoa}^{\mathrm{conil}}$ be given. Write \overline{A} for the kernel of the augmentation and \overline{C} for the cokernel of the coaugmentation. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{dgAlg}/\mathbf{k}}(\Omega(C), A) \cong \mathrm{MC}(\mathrm{Hom}(\overline{C}, \overline{A})) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{dgCoa}^{\mathrm{conil}}}(C, \mathbf{B}(A)).$$

Let now $A \in \mathbf{dgAlg}$ and $C \in \mathbf{cuCoa}^{\text{conil}}$. Then we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{dgAlg}}(\Omega(C), A) \cong \text{MC}(\text{Hom}(\overline{C}, A)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{cuCoa}^{\text{conil}}}(C, B(A)).$$

Applying the first equivalences to $A \oplus \mathbf{k}$ we have

$$\text{MC}(\text{Hom}(\overline{C}, A)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{dgCoa}^{\text{conil}}}(C, B_{nr}(A)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{cuCoa}^{\text{conil}}}(C, B(A)). \quad \square$$

We will abuse notation and identify Ω , $U\Omega$ and Ωi .

We will see in Corollary 3.7 that the inclusion $i : \mathbf{dgCoa}^{\text{conil}} \rightarrow \mathbf{cuCoa}_*^{\text{conil}}$ has a right adjoint H . It follows immediately that there is a natural isomorphism of functors $H \circ B \cong B_{nr}$, as these are both right adjoint to $\Omega \circ i$. We may then summarize the situation in the following diagram which is commutative in the sense that the two paths formed by composing left adjoint functors lead to isomorphic functors and similarly for right adjoint functors.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{dgAlg}/\mathbf{k} & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{B}]{\Omega} & \mathbf{dgCoa}^{\text{conil}} \\ \uparrow U & \nearrow B_{nr} & \uparrow i \\ \mathbf{dgAlg} & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{B}]{\Omega} & \mathbf{cuCoa}^{\text{conil}} \end{array}$$

3.2. Uncurving. The inclusion of dg coalgebras in curved coalgebras admits a right adjoint, called the ‘uncurving’ functor. We will begin by explicitly constructing the uncurving functor for curved algebras. The same construction will apply to curved pseudocompact and split curved pseudocompact algebras and thus, by dualization to (split) curved coalgebras.

Definition 3.3. Given a curved algebra A we define the associated (uncurved) dg algebra HA as follows. The underlying graded algebra of HA is $A\langle\eta\rangle$ where η is an element of degree 1. The differential d^H on HA is defined by the formulas:

- (1) $d^H a = da - [\eta, a], a \in A \subset HA$.
- (2) $d^H(\eta) = h - \eta^2$.

To motivate this definition let us consider first $A\langle\eta\rangle$ with differential d_A on $A \subset A\langle\eta\rangle$ and such that $h - d\eta + \eta^2 = 0$. It is easy to see that $A\langle\eta\rangle$ is still a curved algebra with the same curvature h as A and that $-\eta$ is an MC element in it, i.e. it satisfies the equation $h + d(-\eta) + (-\eta)^2 = 0$. Thus HA is the twisting of $A\langle\eta\rangle$ by $-\eta$ and, as such, is a dg (uncurved) algebra.

Remark 3.4. In fact, a simple computation shows that the data (A, d, h) (where A is a graded algebra, d a derivation of degree 1 and $h \in A^2$) forms a curved algebra if and only if HA is a dg algebra, i.e. d^H squares to 0.

Given another curved algebra B and a curved map $(f, b) : A \rightarrow B$ the induced map $f_b : HA \rightarrow HB$ is equal to $f : A \rightarrow B \subset HB$ when restricted to $A \subset HA$ and $f_b(\eta_A) = b + \eta_B$.

Lemma 3.5. f_b is a dg map.

Proof. Let $a \in A \subset HA$. Then

$$d^{HB} f_b(a) = d_B f(a) - [\eta_B, f(a)].$$

Furthermore,

$$f_b(d^{HA} a) = f_b(d_A a - [\eta_A, a]) = f(d_A a) - [f_b(\eta_A), f(a)] = f(d_A a) - [b + \eta_B, f(a)].$$

and taking into account condition (1) of Definition 2.10, we conclude that $d^{HB} f_b(a) = f_b(d^{HA} a)$.

Similarly we have

$$d^{HB}f_b(\eta_A) = d^{\eta_B}(b + \eta_B) = d_B b - [\eta_B, b] + h_B - \eta_B^2$$

Furthermore,

$$f_b(d^{HA}(\eta_A)) = f_b(h_A - \eta_A^2) = f(h_A) - (b + \eta_B)^2 = f(h_A) - b^2 - [b, \eta_B] - \eta_B^2$$

and taking into account condition (2) of Definition 2.10 and the identity $[b, \eta_B] = [\eta_B, b]$, we conclude that $d^{HB}f_b(\eta_A) = f_b(d^{HA}(\eta_A))$. \square

If (A, u) is a split curved pseudo-compact algebra the same arguments go through: The underlying pseudocompact algebra for HA is $A\langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle$ obtained from A by freely adjoining a power series generator η . The splitting is given by composing u with the inclusion $A \rightarrow A\langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle$. The differential d^H is defined by the same formula as above and Lemma 3.5 holds with the same proof. In the rest of this subsection we will formulate and prove results for curved algebras but they have obvious versions, with the same proofs, in the split pseudocompact case.

Proposition 3.6. *The uncurving functor $A \mapsto HA$, $(f, b) \mapsto f_b$ is left adjoint to the inclusion functor from dg algebras to curved algebras.*

Proof. Let B be a dg algebra. Then a dg algebra map $f : HA \rightarrow B$ is determined by a map $\tilde{f} : A \rightarrow B$ and the image of η in B that we denote by $b \in B$. We claim that (\tilde{f}, b) is a curved map $A \rightarrow B$. Indeed, for $x \in A$ we have $f(d^H x) = f(dx - [\eta, x]) = df(x)$ or $\tilde{f}(dx) = [b, \tilde{f}(x)] + df(x)$.

Similarly, $f(d^H \eta) = f(h - \eta^2) = f(h) - b^2 = d(b)$. Our claim is proved. \square

The following result is immediate by the duality between coalgebras and pseudocompact algebras.

Corollary 3.7. *The inclusion of (split) dg coalgebras into (split) curved coalgebras has right adjoint. We will denote this functor by the same symbol H as in the algebra case.* \square

Remark 3.8. It follows from Proposition 3.6 that for a curved algebra A there is a canonical curved map $A \rightarrow HA$ such that any curved map from A into a dg algebra factors through it. This map has the form (i_A, η) where i_A is the natural inclusion $A \subset HA \cong A\langle\eta\rangle$.

We see that curved maps $A \rightarrow B$ where the target B is *uncurved* are described solely in terms of uncurved maps. We will see that a similar description can be obtained for *arbitrary* curved maps.

Proposition 3.9. *The set of curved maps $(f, b) : A \rightarrow B$ between curved algebras A and B is naturally identified with the subset of dg maps $f_b : HA \rightarrow HB$ such that $f_b(a) = f(a)$ for $a \in A$ and $f_b(\eta_A) = b + \eta_B$.*

Proof. Given a curved map $(f, b) : A \rightarrow B$ we saw that the map $f_b : HA \rightarrow HB$ is a dg map. Conversely, if f_b is a dg map then repeating the calculation in the proof of Lemma 3.5 in the opposite direction, we find that (f, b) is a curved map $A \rightarrow B$ as required. \square

It is also possible to understand MC elements for curved algebras in terms of their uncurving. Recall that for a curved algebra (A, d, h) , an element $a \in A^1$ is MC if it satisfies the equation $h + da + a^2 = 0$.

Proposition 3.10. *Let A be a curved algebra. Then $a \in A$ is MC if and only if $a + \eta \in HA$ is MC.*

Proof. We have

$$d^\eta(a + \eta) = da - [\eta, a] + h - \eta^2.$$

Similarly

$$(a + \eta)^2 = a^2 + [a, \eta] + \eta^2.$$

Taking into account that $[\eta, a] = [a, \eta]$, we conclude that $d^\eta(a + \eta) + (a + \eta)^2 = 0$ if and only if $h + da + a^2 = 0$. \square

3.3. The bar construction for semialgebras. We will now generalize the bar-cobar adjunction in order to extend it to dg categories.

The natural generality in which the Koszul adjunction holds is the category of split semi-algebras on one side and that of split curved coalgebras on the other, see Definitions 2.6 and 2.13. Note that when a ‘ground’ cosemisimple coalgebra R is fixed and finite-dimensional (and so gives rise by dualization to a semisimple finite-dimensional algebra), then this adjunction, in the augmented and noncurved case, was considered in [26]. However, in order for it to be applicable to dg categories, it is essential to work over non-fixed, possibly infinite-dimensional, cosemisimple coalgebras.

We would like to define a reduced bar construction following Section 6.1 of [19]. However, it is technically easier to begin with the non-reduced bar construction.

Definition 3.11. Let (A, R) be a semialgebra. Then the *non-reduced bar construction* on A is the split curved coalgebra

$$B_{nr}(A) = B_{nr}(A, R) := (T_R A[1], m_1 + m_2)$$

with coradical R . Here T_R is formed using the iterated cotensor product over R , i.e.

$$T_R V = R \oplus V \oplus (V \square_R V) \oplus (V \square_R V \square_R V) \oplus \dots$$

and the differential is induced by multiplication and differential on A : m_2 is defined as $sb_1 \otimes sb_2 \mapsto (-1)^{|b_1|+1} sb_1 \cdot b_2$ while m_1 is defined by the differential $sa \mapsto -sd_A a$ on A .

The coproduct is given by deconcatenation. The inclusion of R is a coaugmentation.

The computation verifying that $m_1 + m_2$ squares to zero is the same as in the non-relative case. The details are spelled out for example in Section A.1 of [1].

Dually we can consider $B_{nr}^*(A) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(B_{nr}(A), \mathbf{k})$ as a curved pseudocompact algebra in bimodules over the pseudocompact algebra R^* . This may be written as $\hat{T}_{R^*} A^*[-1]$. Here \hat{T} is the completion of the tensor algebra in bimodules over R^* so that

$$B_{nr}^*(A) \cong R^* \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (A^*[-1])^{\hat{\otimes}_{R^*} n}.$$

If now (A, v) is split, with $v : A \rightarrow R$ a retract of the unit $u : R \rightarrow A$ (as R -bicomodules), then v determines an isomorphism $B_{nr}(A) \cong \text{HB}_v(A)$ with the uncurving of a certain split curved coalgebra. This $B_v(A)$ will be the non-reduced bar construction of A .

To explain this, we will perform this construction for the duals. As a preparation, let (A, u) be a split graded pseudocompact algebra; recall that $u : A_0 \rightarrow A$ is a section of the quotient map from A onto its maximal semisimple quotient. Consider $A\langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle$, the graded pseudocompact algebra obtained by freely adjoining a power series variable η in degree 1. Then the splitting u induces a splitting $R \rightarrow A\langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle \rightarrow R$ that we denote, by an abuse of notation, by the same symbol u , making $(A\langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle, u)$ a split pseudocompact algebra.

Suppose we are given a split dg pseudocompact algebra whose underlying graded is of the form $(B, u) = (A\langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle, u)$. Following Definition 3.3 we define

$$\begin{aligned} d_A(a) &= d_B a + [\eta, a] \\ h &= d\eta + \eta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume that $h \in A^2$ and that d_A is an endomorphism of A of degree 1. Then we have the following result. It allows one to recognize dg algebras of the form HA for a curved algebra A .

Lemma 3.12. *The endomorphism d_A makes A into a curved pseudocompact algebra with curvature h and $B \cong HA$.*

Proof. Consider the twisted algebra $B^\eta = (B, d^\eta)$ with differential $d^\eta = d + [\eta, -]$. This is a curved algebra with the curvature element $h = d\eta + \eta^2$. The twisted differential restricts to d_A on A . By assumption A is a curved subalgebra, completing the proof. \square

Proposition 3.13. *Let (A, R) be a split semialgebra, choose a splitting $v : A \rightarrow R$ giving a decomposition $A \cong \bar{A} \oplus R$. Then there is a structure of a curved pseudocompact algebra on $\hat{T}_{R^*} \bar{A}^*[-1]$ such that $H\hat{T}_{R^*} \bar{A}^*[-1] \cong B_{nr}^*(A, R)$.*

Proof. Denote the element in $A^*[-1] \cong \bar{A}^*[-1] \oplus \mathbf{k}^*[-1]$ dual to $-1 \in A$ by η ; thus there is an isomorphism of graded pseudocompact algebras

$$B_{nr}^*(A, R) \cong \hat{T}_{R^*} \bar{A}^*[-1] \cong \hat{T}_{R^*} \bar{A}^*[-1]\langle\langle\eta\rangle\rangle.$$

The differential in $B_{nr}^*(A, R)$ is determined by its values on $\bar{A}^*[1]$ and on η and it is easy to see that it has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{B_{nr}^* A}(t) &= Y(t) - [\eta, t] \\ d_{B_{nr}^* A}(\eta) &= X - \eta^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in \bar{A}^*[-1]$ and $Y(t), X \in \hat{T}_{R^*} \bar{A}^*[-1]$ (more precisely, $Y(t)$ and X are sums of quadratic monomials in $\bar{A}^*[1]$ but this is unimportant for the argument). Note that a similar argument was employed in [13, Proposition 3.8] in the context of A_∞ algebras.

In particular $d_{B_{nr}^* A} + [\eta, -]$ preserves $T_{R^*} \bar{A}^*[-1]$ and applying Lemma 3.12 we see that the twisted differential $d_{B_{nr}^* A} + [\eta, -]$ makes $\hat{T}_{R^*} \bar{A}^*[-1]$ into a curved algebra with curvature element X . It is also clearly split. \square

Definition 3.14. Given a split semialgebra (A, R) with $v : A \rightarrow R$ a retract of the unit map $u : R \rightarrow A$ (as R -bicomodules) we let $\bar{A} = A/R \cong \ker(v)$. Then the *dual bar construction* on (A, R) is the split curved pseudocompact algebra structure on $T_{R^*} \bar{A}^*[-1]$ constructed in Proposition 3.13. The splitting is given by the natural inclusion $R^* \rightarrow T_{R^*} A^*[-1]$. The dual bar construction will be denoted by $B_v^*(A, R)$ or $B_v^*(A)$.

The dual split curved coalgebra structure on $T_{R^*} \bar{A}^*[1]$ is called the *bar-construction* of (A, R) . The splitting is given by the natural projection $T_{R^*} A^*[1] \rightarrow R$. The bar construction will be denoted by $B_v(A, R)$ or $B_v(A)$.

Remark 3.15. One can of course define differential $m_1 + m_2$ and curvature $h_1 + h_2$ of the reduced bar construction directly.

We write $A = \bar{A} \oplus R$ and decompose differential and product as $d_A = d_{\bar{A}} + d_R$ and $\mu_A = \mu_{\bar{A}} + \mu_R$.

The differential m_2 is defined on $\bar{A} \otimes \bar{A}$ by $sb_1 \otimes sb_2 \mapsto (-1)^{|b_1|+1} s\mu_{\bar{A}}(b_1 \otimes b_2)$ while m_1 is defined by the differential $sa \mapsto -sd_{\bar{A}}a$ on \bar{A} .

The curvature $h : T_R V \rightarrow R$ is induced by μ_R and d_R via $h_2(sb_1 \otimes sb_2) = (-1)^{|b_1|+1} \mu_R(b_1 \otimes b_2)$ and $h_1(sb) = -d_R(b)$.

The patient reader may check by hand that this is a curved coalgebra, invariant under choice of v and adjoint to the cobar construction to be constructed below.

Another computation simplified by the relation $B_{nr} = HB_v$ is the following.

Lemma 3.16. *The bar construction is functorial.*

Proof. For morphisms compatible with the retract this is clear. It remains to compare the bar constructions for two different retracts $v, w : A \rightarrow R$. The underlying graded pseudocompact algebras are easily identified and we claim that $(\text{id}_{B^*(A)}, v^* - w^*)$ is an isomorphism of curved pseudocompact algebras $B_v^*(A) \cong B_w^*(A)$.

From Definition 3.14 it is clear that $HB_v^*(A) \cong HB_w^*(A)$ are isomorphic, the only difference is the indeterminate, which is v^* respectively w^* . We apply Proposition 3.9: $(\text{id}, v^* - w^*)$ is a curved algebra map if $\text{id}_{v^* - w^*}$ is a dg map. But $\text{id}_{v^* - w^*}$ acts as $v^* \mapsto w^* + (v^* - w^*)$ i.e. is the identity map. \square

As the isomorphism $B_v(A) \cong B_w(A)$ is canonical, we can drop the subscript v from our notation.

3.4. The cobar construction of a split curved coalgebra.

Definition 3.17. Given a split curved coalgebra (C, ϵ) we define its (reduced) cobar construction as follows. Let \bar{C} be the cokernel of the inclusion $C_0 \rightarrow C$. The splitting $C = \bar{C} \oplus C_0$ allows us to decompose coproduct, differential and curvature. We write $\Delta_{\bar{C}} : \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{C} \otimes \bar{C}$ and $d_{\bar{C}} : \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{C}$ and $h_{\bar{C}} : \bar{C} \rightarrow C_0$ for the induced maps.

Then the *cobar construction* on C is the semialgebra

$$\Omega(C) := \Omega(C, \epsilon) := (T_{C_0} \bar{C}[-1], m_0 + m_1 + m_2)$$

where T_{C_0} is again the iterated cotensor product over C_0 . The differential is defined on \bar{C} by $s^{-1}c \mapsto m_0(s^{-1}c) + m_1(s^{-1}c) + m_2(s^{-1}c)$ with $m_0(s^{-1}c) = +s^{-1}h_{\bar{C}}(c)$, $m_1(s^{-1}c) = -s^{-1}d_{\bar{C}}(c)$ and $m_2(s^{-1}c) = -(-1)^{|c^{(1)}|} s^{-1}c^{(1)} \otimes c^{(2)}$. Here the Sweedler notation refers to the comultiplication $\Delta_{\bar{C}}$.

The product is given by concatenation. $\Omega(A)$ is split via the natural map $T_{C_0} \bar{C}[-1] \rightarrow C_0$.

For a split curved pseudocompact algebra (A, u) we will denote by $\Omega(A, u)$ or $\Omega(A)$ the cobar construction on the dual split curved coalgebra (A^*, u^*) .

As $C_0 \subset C$ is a coaugmentation there is no curvature term (we check below that the differential does indeed square to 0).

We note that the cobar construction makes sense over the zero coalgebra. In this case C, C_0 and $\bar{C} = C/C_0$ are all equal to the zero coalgebra. Then the tensor algebra is 0 in every degree (including $T^0 = C_0$) and we have $\Omega(C) = 0$, the zero semialgebra over the zero coalgebra, corresponding to the empty dg category.

We need to check that $\Omega(C)$ is in fact differential graded.

Lemma 3.18. *We have $(m_0 + m_1 + m_2)^2 = 0$.*

Proof. We check that the constant, linear, quadratic and cubic term of $(m_0 + m_1 + m_2)^2$ all equal 0. The equation for the cubic term $m_2^2 = 0$ is coassociativity of C and the quadratic equation $[m_1, m_2] = 0$ expresses the fact that d_C is a coderivation. These equations do not involve the curvature. Detailed derivations can be found in Section A.2 of [1].

To consider the equations involving curvature, we denote by (A, d, h) the pseudocompact dual of C . We write \check{m}_i for the dual of m_i .

Then constant term $[\check{m}_0, \check{m}_1] = 0$ is equivalent to $d(h) = 0$ and the quadratic term $\check{m}_1^2 + [\check{m}_0, \check{m}_2] = 0$ is equivalent to the condition $d^2x = [h, x]$.

We spell out the check for the latter assertion. Fix $x \in A$ and evaluate $[m_0, m_2]$ on $s^{-1}x \in A[-1]$.

$$\begin{aligned} [m_0, m_2](s^{-1}x) &= 0 + m_2(s^{-1}h \otimes s^{-1}x + (-1)^{|s^{-1}x|} s^{-1}x \otimes s^{-1}h) \\ &= -(-1)^{|h|} s^{-1}(hx) - (-1)^{|x|+|s^{-1}x|} s^{-1}(xh) \\ &= -s^{-1}(hx - xh) = -s^{-1}[h, x] \end{aligned}$$

As it is clear that $\check{m}_1^2(s^{-1}x) = s^{-1}d^2(x)$ this completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.19. *The reduced cobar construction is a functor $\mathbf{spCoa} \rightarrow \mathbf{SemiAlg}_{\text{sp}}$.*

Proof. We will construct a contravariant functor on the opposite category of \mathbf{spCoa} , writing $\Omega(A)$ for $\Omega(A^*)$ etc. whenever A is a split curved pseudocompact algebra.

Let $(f, b) : (A, u) \rightarrow (B, v)$ be a map of split curved pseudocompact algebras. We note that $b : A_0 \rightarrow B$ is an A_0 -bimodule map, so we may consider it as an element of B , and thus also as a map $b : B_0 \rightarrow B$.

Using this we may factor (f, b) as $(\text{id}_B, b) \circ (f, 0)$, as one does in the absolute case, and deal with the cases $b = 0$ and $f = \text{id}$ separately.

We first note that $f^* : B^* \rightarrow A^*$ induces a morphism of cobar constructions by extending to the tensor algebras, and this is the map induced by $(f, 0)$.

Next we describe the morphism of cobar constructions induced by the change of curvature map $(\text{id}, b) : (A, d_A, h_A) \rightarrow (B, d_B, h_B)$. Here $A \cong B$ as split graded pseudocompact algebras (and so $A_0 = B_0$) but d and h vary.

The conditions for (id, b) to be a curved map is simplified to $d_A(x) = d_B(x) + [b, x] = 0$ for any $x \in A$ and $h_A = h_B + db + b^2 = 0$.

We write R for $A_0 = B_0$ and denote by V the graded R -bimodule underlying both \bar{A} and \bar{B} and consider the cobar-construction $T_R V^*[-1]$.

We define $\Omega(\text{id}, b)$ to be the affine automorphism β of $T_R V^*[-1]$ that is defined on any linear generator $v^* \in V^*[-1]$ by $\beta(v^*) = v^* + v^*(s^{-1}b)$ and then extended uniquely to a (multiplicative) automorphism of $T_R V^*[-1]$. To analyse β we consider the constant derivation ξ induced by $s^{-1}b$ on $T_R A^*[-1]$, i.e. $\xi : v^* \mapsto v(b)$.

If the ground field \mathbf{k} has characteristic 0, we have that $\beta = e^\xi$ since the two maps are multiplicative automorphisms of $T_R V^*[-1]$ taking the same value on $V^*[-1]$. In general, even though e^ξ has factorials in the denominators, all denominators clear when applying e^ξ to word monomials inside $T_R V^*[-1]$ and then the corresponding map can be linearly extended to the whole of $T_R V^*[-1]$. So we can still formally write e^ξ for the automorphism β of $T_R V^*[-1]$.

It remains to show that e^ξ is compatible with the differentials m_{A^*} and m_{B^*} which we write as $m_{A^*} = m_0^A + m_1^A + m_2^A$ and $m_{B^*} = m_0^B + m_1^B + m_2^B$. We need to check $e^\xi m_{B^*} = m_{A^*} e^\xi$ or

$e^\xi m_{B^*} e^{-\xi} = m_{A^*}$. Note that

$$e^\xi m_{B^*} e^{-\xi} = e^{\text{ad} \xi} m_{B^*} = m_{B^*} + [\xi, m_0^B + m_1^B + m_2^B] + \frac{1}{2!} [\xi, [\xi, m_0^B + m_1^B + m_2^B]].$$

The higher degree components are absent since $[\xi, -]$ lowers the tensor degree by one and m_{B^*} has no terms of degree higher than two.

The degree 2 component of the above formula is m_2^B so we must have $m_2^A = m_2^B$. In other words, the multiplication on A is the same as the multiplication on B .

The degree 1 component is $m_1^B + [\xi, m_2^B]$ so we must have $m_1^B + [\xi, m_2^B] = m_1^A$. Dualizing we see that this is true if and only if $d_A = d_B + [b, -]$ since

$$\begin{aligned} [\xi, m_2^B](v^*)(sx) &= v(m_2^*(\xi^* sx)) \\ &= v(m_2^*(sb \otimes sx + (-1)^{|sb||sx|} sx \otimes sb)) \\ &= v(-(-1)^{|b|} s(b.x) - (-1)^{|x|} s(x.b)) \\ &= v(s(b.x - (-1)^{|x|} x.b)) = v(s[b, x]) \end{aligned}$$

Finally the degree zero component is $m_0^B + [\xi, m_1^B] + \frac{1}{2!} [\xi, [\xi, m_2^B]]$ so we must have $m_0^B + [\xi, m_1^B] + \frac{1}{2!} [\xi, [\xi, m_2^B]] = m_0^A$. But this corresponds exactly to $h_B + db + b^2 = h_A$ in the formula for the curved map. This follows as we have seen that $[\xi, m_2^B]$ is the derivation of degree 1 of $T_R V^*[-1]$ corresponding to the operator $[b, -]$ in B , and then $[\xi, [\xi, m_2^B]]$ corresponds to $[b, b] = 2b^2$.

Thus, we define Ω by sending (f, b) to the map defined by f^* on B_0^* and by $v^* \rightarrow f^*(v^*) + v(s^{-1}b)$ on $\bar{B}^*[-1]$. Note that $v(s^{-1}b) : A_0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is an element in $A_0^* \subset \Omega(A)$.

We check that Ω is a contravariant functor: Let $(f, b) : A \rightarrow B$ and $(g, c) : B \rightarrow C$ be maps of split curved pseudocompact algebras. Then on $v^* \in C^*[1]$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(f, b) \circ \Omega(g, c)(v^*) &= \Omega(f, b)(g^*(v^*) + v(c)) \\ &= (gf)^*(v^*) + f^*g^*v^*(s^{-1}b) + f^*v(c) \\ &= \Omega(gf, cf + gb)(v^*) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.20. Of course the definition of curved coalgebras and their morphisms is chosen exactly so that Lemmas 3.18 and 3.19 hold. In fact, one could define a curved coalgebra to be a triple (C, d, h) such that $d_{\Omega C}$ squares to zero, and a morphism to be a pair (f, a) such that $\Omega(f, a)$ is compatible with the differential.

If we fix $R = \mathbf{k}$ then these constructions reduce to the bar and cobar construction for curved conilpotent coalgebras and dg algebras.

3.5. Koszul adjunction. We can also define MC elements for the convolution algebra $\text{Hom}(C, A)$ as in the conilpotent case. We will restrict to MC elements that vanish on the coradical of C .

Definition 3.21. Given $C \in \text{spCoa}$ and $(A, S) \in \text{SemiAlg}_{\text{sp}}$ we define

$$\text{MC}(C, A) := \coprod_{f_O : C_0 \rightarrow S} \text{MC}(\text{Hom}_S(\bar{C}, A)).$$

Here we take the coproduct over coalgebra homomorphisms, each such f_O makes \bar{C} into S -bicomodules, and Hom_S denotes morphisms in S -bicomodules. Then $\text{Hom}_S(\bar{C}, A)$ is a curved convolution algebra. For $f, g : \bar{C} \rightarrow A$ we define the product $f * g : c \mapsto (-1)^{|g||c^{(1)}|} f(c^{(1)})g(c^{(2)})$. Moreover we define $df : c \mapsto d_A(fc) - (-1)^{|f|}(d_{C^*}c)$ and a curvature $h : c \mapsto -f_O \circ h_C(c)$.

The MC condition on $\text{Hom}_S(C, A)$ is the curved MC condition, i.e. the MC elements are all $\xi : \bar{C} \rightarrow A$ such that $d\xi + \xi * \xi + h = 0$.

Remark 3.22. When $C_0 = S = \mathbf{k}$ we have that C is just a conilpotent curved coalgebra and S is a dg algebra. In that case MC elements in the convolution (curved) algebra are alternatively called *twisting cochains*. This terminology is adopted in [19].

Theorem 3.23. *There is an adjunction*

$$\Omega : \text{spCoa} \rightleftarrows \text{SemiAlg}_{\text{sp}} : \text{B}.$$

In fact, for any $C \in \text{spCoa}$ and $A \in \text{SemiAlg}_{\text{sp}}$ we may write:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{spCoa}}(C, \text{BA}) \cong \text{MC}(C, A) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{SemiAlg}_{\text{sp}}}(\Omega C, A)$$

Proof. The proof follows the conilpotent case. Fix $C \in \text{spCoa}$ and $(A, S) \in \text{SemiAlg}_{\text{sp}}$.

We begin with the equivalence on the right. We may decompose the morphism space of semialgebras as a disjoint union over coalgebra homomorphisms. We may write the cobar construction as $(\Omega C, C_0)$. We have

$$\text{Hom}((\Omega C, C_0), (A, S)) = \coprod_{f_O : C_0 \rightarrow S} \text{Hom}_S(\Omega C, A)$$

where we take Hom in dg algebras which are S -bicomodules. This makes sense as f_O makes ΩC into a S -bicomodule. This matches with the decomposition in the definition of $\text{MC}(C, A)$, so we may compare each component.

Now consider a map from $T_{C_0}\bar{C}[-1]$ to A . As we have fixed $f_O : C_0 \rightarrow S$ this factors uniquely through the free monoid in S -bicomodules $T_S\bar{C}[-1]$, and it follows that a map $\Omega C \rightarrow A$ is determined by a degree 1 map $\bar{C} \rightarrow A$. Now the condition that f commutes with differentials is

$$d_A \circ f = f \circ (m_0 + m_1 + m_2),$$

which we may spell out as

$$d_A \circ \phi = f_O \circ h_C - \phi \circ d_C - (-1)^{|c(1)|} \phi(c(1)) \cdot \phi(c(2)),$$

which is in turn equivalent to the MC equation $d\phi + \phi * \phi + h = 0$ where $h = -f_O \circ h_C$.

The equivalence on the left is technically a little more complicated.

Again we decompose the hom space of split curved coalgebras as a disjoint union over coalgebra homomorphisms. We have and we have

$$\text{Hom}(C, \text{BA}) = \coprod_{g_O : C_0 \rightarrow S} \text{Hom}_S(C, \text{BA})$$

where we take Hom in S -coalgebras, i.e. curved coalgebras which are S -bicomodules. This matches with the decomposition in the definition of $\text{MC}(C, A)$, so we may compare each component.

Now $T_S\bar{A}[1]$ is cofree as a conilpotent coalgebra in S -bicomodules by the lemma in Section 1.2 of [27]. Explicitly, a coalgebra map $f : C \rightarrow T_S\bar{A}[1]$ is given by a coalgebra map $C \rightarrow S$ and an S -bicomodule map $C \rightarrow \bar{A}[1]$.

The first map is just the composition of the counit $C \rightarrow C_0$ with f .

The second map is equivalent to a map $\phi : \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{A}$ of degree 1. To see this we note that by assumption C_0 is cosemisimple. Thus by compatibility with the coproduct C_0 is mapped to $S \subset T_S\bar{A}[1]$ and the map $C \rightarrow \bar{A}[1]$ is induced by ϕ .

It remains to unravel that curved coalgebra maps are exactly those such that ϕ satisfies the MC condition. One may just check this by hand, but in particular the signs are rather unpleasant.

To simplify this computation we uncurve the (nonunital) curved algebra structure on $\text{Hom}_S(\bar{C}, A)$. We dualize and consider $(f, c) \in \text{Hom}(B^*A, C^*)$, which by Proposition 3.9 and Definition 3.14 is the same as a map $f_c : B_{nr}^*(A) \rightarrow HC^*$ that send a to $f(a)$ and η_{B^*A} to $\eta_{C^*} + c$.

As $B_{nr}^*(A)$ is free on A the map f_c is represented by an element $\phi : A^* \rightarrow H\bar{C}^*$. Here we use that the kernel of the augmentation map on HC^* is $H\bar{C}^*$. The standard computation for the bar construction of an augmented algebra shows that f_c is dg if and only if ϕ is an MC element in $\text{Hom}(A^*, H\bar{C}^*) \cong A \otimes H\bar{C}^*$. See for example Section A.3 in [1]; the computation is unaffected by working in S -bicomodules.

Moreover, the MC element ϕ takes the form $\phi' + \text{id}_A \otimes \eta_{C^*} \in A \otimes H\bar{C}^*$. But $A \otimes HC^* \cong H(A \otimes C^*)$ and $\eta_{A \otimes C^*}$ is $\text{id}_A \otimes \eta_{C^*}$. Thus by Lemma 3.10 it is identified with an MC element in $A \otimes C$. \square

3.6. The bar construction of a dg category. Given a dg category \mathcal{D} we consider it as a semialgebra $(V_{\mathcal{D}}, \mathbf{k}[\text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})])$ as in Proposition 2.17. We can then define the *bar construction of \mathcal{D}* , denoted $B(\mathcal{D})$ as the split curved coalgebra $B(V_{\mathcal{D}}, \mathbf{k}[\text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})])$.

Here coradical of $B(\mathcal{D})$ is $\mathbf{k}[\text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})]$, and thus the bar construction is an example of a *pointed curved coalgebra*.

Definition 3.24. A *pointed curved coalgebra* is a split curved coalgebra whose coradical is a direct sum of copies of \mathbf{k} . Its dual pseudocompact algebra will be referred to as a *pointed curved pseudocompact algebra*.

By analogy with categories we denote by $\text{Ob}(C)$ the set of grouplike elements of a pointed dg coalgebra. Then the coradical of C is $\mathbf{k}[\text{Ob}(C)]$.

We note that any morphism $C \rightarrow C'$ of pointed dg coalgebras induces a morphism $\text{Ob}(C) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(C')$.

We denote the full subcategory of spCoa formed by pointed curved coalgebras by ptdCoa . We then have the following proposition, which is the bar-cobar adjunction for dg categories.

Proposition 3.25. *There is an adjunction $\Omega : \text{ptdCoa} \rightleftarrows \text{dgCat} : B$ induced by equivalences $\text{Hom}(\Omega C, \mathcal{D}) \cong \text{MC}(C, \mathcal{D}) \cong \text{Hom}(C, B\mathcal{D})$.*

Proof. We restrict the bar-cobar adjunction from Theorem 3.23 to the subcategories $\text{dgCat} \subset \text{SemiAlg}_{\text{sp}}$ and $\text{ptdCoa} \subset \text{spCoa}$.

Our discussion above shows that the functor B lands in pointed curved coalgebras. Conversely $\Omega(C)$ is a semialgebra over $\mathbf{k}[\text{Ob}(C)]$, and thus a dg category over \mathbf{k} by Proposition 2.17. \square

Remark 3.26. Given a pointed curved coalgebra C and a dg category \mathcal{D} we can write the MC elements from Definition 3.21 explicitly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MC}(C, \mathcal{D}) &\cong \coprod_{O: \text{Ob}(C) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})} \text{MC}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}[\text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})]}(\bar{C}, V_{\mathcal{D}})) \\ &\cong \coprod_{O: \text{Ob}(C) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})} \text{MC} \left[\coprod_{s, t \in \text{Ob}(C)} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\mathbf{k}.e_s \square_{\mathbf{k}[\text{Ob}(C)]} \bar{C} \square_{\mathbf{k}[\text{Ob}(C)]} \mathbf{k}.e_t, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(O(s), O(t)) \right) \right] \\ &\cong \coprod_{O: \text{Ob}(C) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})} \text{MC} \left[\coprod_{s, t \in \text{Ob}(C)} e_s \bar{C}^* e_t \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(O(s), O(t)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here we abuse notation and write e_s and e_t for the canonical elements in C and in C^* corresponding to objects s and t in $\text{Ob}(C)$.

The first isomorphism follows as coalgebra morphisms $\mathbf{k}[\mathrm{Ob}(C)] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})]$ are in bijective correspondence with maps on the sets of grouplike elements.

The second isomorphism holds as we can use $k[S] = \bigoplus_{s \in S} \mathbf{k}.e_s$ to rewrite

$$\bar{C} = \bigoplus_{s,t \in \mathrm{Ob}(C)} \mathbf{k}.e_s \square_{\mathbf{k}[R]} \bar{C} \square_{\mathbf{k}[R]} \mathbf{k}.e_t$$

and unravel the definition of bicomodule maps.

The last isomorphism is obtained by dualizing.

3.7. Model structure. We would like to promote the adjunction in Proposition 3.25 to a Quillen equivalence between the Dwyer-Kan model structure on dgCat and a suitable model structure on ptdCoa .

However, there is an obvious obstacle in that curved coalgebras do not have a final object. A related issue is that a dg category having objects whose identity morphisms are equal to zero, are not representable by split semialgebras, and thus do not admit a bar construction.

The main step in avoiding these problems is to add a final object to curved coalgebras and defining its cobar construction to be the dg category with one object and morphism space equal to zero.

We thus formally define the symbol $*$ to be a curved coalgebra which receives exactly one morphism from every curved coalgebra and has no outgoing morphisms (except for a unique endomorphism).

We also declare $*$ with its identity map to be a split curved coalgebra. (While $*$ has no maximal cosemisimple subcoalgebra, it has a unique such admitting a splitting, namely itself.)

For any split curved coalgebra C we declare that there is a unique map $C \rightarrow *$ and no map going the other way.¹

We denote by spCoa^* the finalized category of split curved coalgebras, whose objects are all split curved coalgebras together with $*$ and whose morphisms are as above.

We consider the full subcategory ptdCoa^* of spCoa^* consisting of all pointed curved coalgebras together with $*$. The construction of products of pointed curved coalgebras is somewhat subtle. To explain it, we dualize and consider the equivalent problem of constructing coproducts of pointed curved pseudocompact algebras. For two graded pseudocompact algebras A and B we will write $A \coprod B$ for their coproduct in the pseudocompact category (i.e. the completion of their ordinary coproduct). Now suppose that A, B are pointed and split; the splitting allows us to view their maximal semisimple quotients A_0 and B_0 as subalgebras of A and B . We denote by $A \coprod_{A_0, B_0} B$ the quotient of $A \coprod B$ by the closed two-sided ideal generated by the elements $a_0 b_0 - b_0 a_0$ (i.e. we impose the relation that the subalgebras A_0 and B_0 commute).

Lemma 3.27. (1) *Let (A, d_A) and (B, d_B) be pointed split pseudocompact dg algebras. Then their categorical coproduct is isomorphic to $A \coprod_{A_0, B_0} B$. The differential is induced by the differentials d_A and d_B in A and B .*

(2) *Let (A, d_A, h_A) and (B, d_B, h_B) be pointed curved pseudocompact algebras. Then their categorical coproduct is the pointed curved pseudocompact algebra $A \coprod_{A_0, B_0} B \langle \langle c \rangle \rangle$ where c is a generator in degree 1. The differential is given by $a \mapsto d_A a$ for $a \in A$, $b \mapsto d_B b - [c, b]$ for $b \in B$ and $c \mapsto h_B - h_A - c^2$ and the curvature element is h_A .*

Proof. Let us prove (1). First note that $A \coprod B$ is pointed. Indeed, let C be a simple finite dimensional algebra and consider a surjective map $A \coprod B \rightarrow C$. Such a map comes from a pair

¹ This case is not covered by Definition 2.14 as the curved map $C \rightarrow *$ is not of the form (f, a) . Therefore we make this definition by hand.

of maps $A \rightarrow C$ and $B \rightarrow C$ and so it factors through $A_0 \amalg B_0$ and thus, through $A_0 \otimes B_0$ (which is the maximal semisimple quotient of $A_0 \amalg B_0$). Since $A_0 \otimes B_0$ is a product of copies of \mathbf{k} , so is C , which implies that $A \amalg B$ is pointed. The same argument shows that the maximal semisimple quotient of $A \amalg B$ is $A_0 \otimes B_0$, and the splittings $A_0 \rightarrow A, B_0 \rightarrow B$ determines a splitting $A_0 \otimes B_0 \rightarrow A \amalg B$. Thus, $A \amalg B$ is a pointed split pseudocompact dg algebra.

Next, suppose we are given a pair of morphisms $f : A \rightarrow C$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ into a pointed curved pseudocompact dg algebra C . The maps f and g determine a map $f \amalg g : A \amalg B \rightarrow C$ and it is easy to see that it restricts to a map $A_0 \amalg B_0 \rightarrow C_0$. Since C_0 is commutative, the latter map factors through $A_0 \otimes B_0$ and it follows that $f \amalg g$ factors through $A \amalg_{A_0, B_0} B$. Conversely, any morphism $A \amalg_{A_0, B_0} B \rightarrow C$ restricts to a pair of morphisms $A \rightarrow C$ and $B \rightarrow C$. This establishes a 1-1 correspondence between maps $A \amalg_{A_0, B_0} B \rightarrow C$ and such pairs.

The proof of (2) follows readily, with the modification of the coproduct in (1) taking into account the curvature as in [19, Lemma 9.2]. Explicitly, given maps $(f, a) : A \rightarrow C$ and $(g, b) : B \rightarrow C$ the map $(h, x) : A \amalg_{A_0, B_0} B \langle \langle c \rangle \rangle \rightarrow C$ is defined by $h|_A = f$, $h|_B = g$ and $h(c) = b - s$ while $x : A_0 \otimes B_0 \rightarrow C$ takes $r \otimes s$ to $a(r)$.

Note that this construction appears asymmetrical, but there is a unique isomorphism to the alternative construction where the differential on A gets twisted and the curvature element is inherited from B . \square

Lemma 3.28. *ptdCoa* is complete and cocomplete.*

Proof. This does not differ much from the conilpotent case treated in [19, Sections 9.2, 9.3].

We consider limits first. It is enough to compute equalizers and products in ptdCoa^* , which we do by considering the dual category.

So let A and B be pointed curved pseudocompact algebras with maximal semisimple quotients A_0 and B_0 . The coequalizer of $(f, a), (g, b) : A \rightrightarrows B$ is the quotient of B by $f(x) - g(x)$ and $a(r) - b(r)$ for $x \in A$ and $r \in A_0$. This is naturally a pointed curved pseudocompact algebra over the equalizer of $a, b : A_0 \rightrightarrows B_0$.

The product of two pointed curved pseudocompact algebras is constructed in Lemma 3.27, (2) above.

For an arbitrary non-empty product we follow the same playbook. Consider pointed curved pseudocompact algebras A^i with maximal semisimple quotients A_0^i and single out an index i_0 . We define their categorical coproduct as

$$A^{i_0} \amalg_{\{A_0^i, i \in I\}} \left(\amalg_{i \in I \setminus \{i_0\}} A^i \langle \langle c_i \rangle \rangle \right),$$

where we take the free product of all A^i together with an additional generator for each $i \in I \setminus \{i_0\}$ and quotient out by the ideal generated by all commutators of elements of the A_0^i .

The differential is defined as follows: Let d^i and h^i be the differential and curvature of A^i . Then for $a \in A^{i_0}$ we define $a \mapsto d^{i_0}a$ and for $a \in A^{i \neq i_0}$ we define $a \mapsto d^i a - [c_i, a]$. The differential of c_i is $h^i - h^{i_0} - c_i^2$. The curvature element is h^{i_0} .

This coproduct is a pointed curved pseudocompact algebra with maximal semisimple quotient $\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_0^i$. Then given a family of curved maps $(f^i, a^i) : A^i \rightarrow C$, the map $(f, x) : A^{i_0} \amalg_{\{A_0^i, i \in I\}} \left(\amalg_{i \neq i_0} A^i \langle \langle c_i \rangle \rangle \right) \rightarrow C$ is determined by $f|_{A^i} = f^i$ and $f(c_i) = a^i - a^{i_0}$. while x is given by a^{i_0} .

The empty product, i.e. the final object, in ptdCoa^* is by definition $*$.

Arbitrary coproducts in \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* exist and are preserved by the forgetful functor to pairs of graded vector spaces, i.e. we may just equip the direct sums of the underlying graded vector spaces with differential, curvature and coproduct. In particular the empty coproduct is given by the split curved coalgebra $(0, 0)$.

To consider coequalizers we dualize again. The equalizer of two pointed curved pseudocompact algebras can be computed as follows. Let $(f, a), (g, b) : (A, u) \rightarrow (B, v)$ be an equalizer diagram and $h : (E, r) \rightarrow (A, u)$ the equalizer of f and g . (In particular E_0 is the equalizer of $f, g : A_0 \rightrightarrows B_0$ and E is the equalizer of $f, g : A \rightrightarrows B$.) If there is $e : E_0 \rightarrow A$ satisfying $fe + ah = ge + bh$ then (h, e) is the equalizer of (f, a) and (g, b) . Any other choice e' is uniquely isomorphic via $(\text{id}_E, e - e')$. If there is no such e then there is no equalizer in the pointed curved pseudocompact algebras, however in the initialized category the limit is given by the initial object.

Thus \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* is complete and cocomplete. \square

We define the cobar construction of $*$ to be the dg category with one object and only the zero morphism, which we will call the zero dg category.

We similarly define the bar construction of the zero dg category as $*$.

There are other dg categories which have some identity morphisms equal to zero. Instead of defining new curved coalgebras to be their bar constructions, we will define a new model category \mathbf{dgCat}' . It is the full subcategory of \mathbf{dgCat} which consists of all dg categories where no identity morphism equals 0, together with the zero dg category.

Lemma 3.29. *The usual generating cofibrations in \mathbf{dgCat} turn into \mathbf{dgCat}' a model category.*

Proof. As dg categories with identities equal to zero do not feature in the generating cofibrations we only need to check that \mathbf{dgCat}' is closed under limits and colimits.

We note that the product $\prod_i \mathcal{D}_i$ in \mathbf{dgCat}' exists. It is equal to the product of all factors that are not the final object.

For two functor $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ in \mathbf{dgCat}' we denote the equalizer in \mathbf{dgCat} by $J : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. All identities in \mathcal{B} are nonzero (as $FJ(\text{id}_B) = GJ(\text{id}_B)$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}$), unless they are zero in \mathcal{C} , in which case \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{B} are zero.

The coproduct $\coprod_i \mathcal{D}_i$ of objects in \mathbf{dgCat}' is the same as in \mathbf{dgCat} . It is zero if one of the \mathcal{D}_i is, and has no zero identities otherwise.

For the coequalizer a similar argument applies. \square

It is easy to see that $\Omega : \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* \rightleftarrows \mathbf{dgCat}' : B$ is an adjunction and we will define a model structure on \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* so that this becomes a Quillen equivalence.

Lemma 3.30. *The category \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* is locally presentable.*

Proof. We recall that an object s of a category is finitely presentable if $\text{Hom}(s, -)$ commutes with filtered colimits. A category \mathcal{C} is finitely presentable (and thus in particular locally presentable) if it is cocomplete and there is a small set of finitely presentable objects S such that every object of \mathcal{C} is a filtered colimit of objects in S . In particular S is a generating set.

We let $S \subset \mathbf{ptdCoa}$ be the subcategory of finite-dimensional split coalgebras. It is clear that any finite-dimensional split curved coalgebra is finitely presentable.

Next, any coalgebra is the union of its finite-dimensional sub-coalgebras. The same applies to dg coalgebras and for curved coalgebras: It is clear for graded coalgebras and the coalgebra obtained by adding the images of all differentials to a finite-dimensional subcoalgebra is still finite-dimensional (using that the action of the curvature term is nilpotent).

We now claim that any pointed curved coalgebra (C, ϵ) is a colimit of finite-dimensional ones. Indeed, C is the union of its finite-dimensional subcoalgebras C^i , and the restriction of ϵ provides splittings $\epsilon^i : C^i \rightarrow C_0 \cap C^i = (C^i)_0$ compatible with inclusions. Then (C, ϵ) is the colimit of the (C^i, ϵ^i) . Thus S generates \mathbf{ptdCoa} under filtered colimits.

Thus \mathbf{ptdCoa} is locally presentable, and the lemma follows as the additional object $*$ is finitely presentable. \square

Proposition 3.31. *There is a left proper combinatorial model structure on \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* . Weak equivalences are maps f such that $\Omega(f)$ is a quasi-equivalence. Cofibrations are inclusions together with the map $0 \rightarrow *$.*

Proof. This is an application of [15, Proposition A.2.6.15]. We let W be the class of maps that Ω sends to quasi-equivalences,² and we denote by C_0 a set representing all inclusions of finite-dimensional pointed curved coalgebras. The existence of the model structure then follows if we have the following:

- (1) \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* is locally presentable.
- (2) The class W is stable under filtered colimits.
- (3) There is a small set W_0 contained in W such that all morphisms in W are filtered colimits of elements in W_0 .
- (4) The class W is stable under pushouts along pushouts of morphisms in C_0 .
- (5) Any morphism with the right lifting property with respect to all morphisms in C_0 lies in W .

In the first condition note that Lurie uses *presentable* for what we call locally presentable.

The second and third condition show that W is perfect as it is clear that W contains isomorphisms and satisfies the two-out-of-three property. Thus (1-5) will show \mathbf{ptdCoa} is a model category.

The category \mathbf{ptdCoa} is finitely presentable by Lemma 3.30.

Conditions (2) and (3) are exactly saying that $W \subset \mathbf{ptdCoa}^{[1]}$ is a finitely accessible subcategory, cf. [15, Definition A.2.6.2] for the case $\kappa = \aleph_0$. Here $[1]$ denotes the category with 2 objects and 1 non-identity morphism.

As Ω preserves filtered colimits so does $\mathbf{ptdCoa}^{[1]} \rightarrow \mathbf{dgCat}^{[1]}$. By [15, Corollary A.2.6.5] it then suffices to show that quasi-equivalences of dg categories are finitely accessible. Since \mathbf{dgCat} is combinatorial by [12] this follows from [15, Corollary A.2.6.6]. We need to strengthen the conclusion a little bit, from W being accessible to being finitely accessible. Inspecting the proof (as well as the proof of [15, Proposition A.1.2.5]) this follows if the sources and targets of all generating cofibrations and generating trivial cofibrations are \aleph_0 -compact, i.e. morphisms out of them commute with filtered colimits. This is immediate for the standard set of generating (trivial) cofibrations for \mathbf{dgCat} (we recall them further below).

For (4) we first show that Ω sends inclusions of pointed curved coalgebras to cofibrations. Let $C \rightarrow D$ be an inclusion in \mathbf{ptdCoa} . We need to show that $\Omega(D)$ is of the form $\text{colim}_{i \geq 0} G^i$ where $G^0 = \Omega(C)$ and G^{i+1} is obtained from G^i by freely adjoining morphisms f with differentials $df \in G^i$.

It is clear that the cobar construction on D corresponds to the free category generated by the elements of D .

Thus we use the filtration induced by the order of comultiplication on D , i.e. $F^0 = \mathbf{k}[\text{Ob}(D)]$ and $F^i = \Delta^{-1}(F^{i-1}(D \otimes D))$. Then $\Omega(C + F^{i+1})$ is obtained from $\Omega(C + F^i)$ by first freely

²We consider Ω as a functor into \mathbf{dgCat} rather than \mathbf{dgCat}' here as this is more convenient for certain arguments.

adjoining arrows for all the homogeneous elements of F^{i+1} with differential equal to 0, and then for all other homogeneous elements of F^{i+1} . This shows $\Omega(C) \rightarrow \Omega(D)$ is a cofibration in \mathbf{dgCat} .

Now let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in C_0 and $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ its pushout along an arbitrary map $X \rightarrow X'$. We have shown that Ω sends maps in C_0 to cofibrations. As Ω is a left adjoint it preserves pushouts and $\Omega(f')$ is a pushout of $\Omega(f)$ and thus also a cofibration.

If now $g : X' \rightarrow X''$ is in W and $g'' : Y' \rightarrow Y''$ is its pushout along f' we need to show that g'' is also in W . By assumption $\Omega(g)$ is a quasi-equivalence and $\Omega(f')$ is a cofibration. Since \mathbf{dgCat} is left proper if \mathbf{k} is a field, see [12], we deduce that $\Omega(g')$ is a quasi-equivalence and g' is in W .

Finally, for (5) it suffices to show that if $\Omega(g) : \Omega C \rightarrow \Omega D$ in \mathbf{dgCat} has the right lifting property with respect to all $\Omega(f)$ for $f \in C_0$, then Ωg is a quasi-equivalence. Clearly Ωg will be a quasi-equivalence if it has the right lifting property with respect to all generating cofibrations of \mathbf{dgCat} . So it suffices to show that the generating cofibrations lie in the image of C_0 . This can be shown directly. Let $D(n)$ be the dg category with two objects 1 and 2 and with $\mathrm{Hom}(1, 1) = \mathrm{Hom}(2, 2) = \mathbf{k}$, $\mathrm{Hom}(1, 2) = \mathrm{cone}(\mathrm{id} : \mathbf{k}[-n] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[-n])$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(2, 1) = 0$. Let $S(n)$ be the dg category which only differs from $D(n)$ by $\mathrm{Hom}(1, 2) = \mathbf{k}[-n]$ and let $i_n : S(n) \rightarrow D(n)$ be the natural inclusion functor. Then i_n is a generating cofibration of \mathbf{dgCat} , and we have $i_n = \Omega B(i_n)$. We have equality as there are no valid compositions, so the bar and cobar construction degenerate. The other generating cofibration of \mathbf{dgCat} is $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ (where we denote by \mathbf{k} the dg category with one object and endomorphism space equal to \mathbf{k}), which is the image of $0 \rightarrow (\mathbf{k}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{k}})$ in \mathbf{ptdCoa} . (At this point it is necessary to have the zero coalgebra in \mathbf{ptdCoa}). This shows the lifting property and establishes that \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* is a model category.

Using the representation of split curved coalgebras as colimits of their finite-dimensional sub-coalgebras it follows that the cofibrations, generated by C_0 , are exactly the inclusions. \square

Remark 3.32. One may define a model category structure on pointed dg coalgebras with the same weak equivalences and cofibrations.

As the inclusion ι of pointed dg coalgebras into pointed curved coalgebras then preserves cofibrations, the uncurving adjunction $\iota \dashv H$ from Corollary 3.7 is Quillen. Note that $H(*)$ is the terminal dg coalgebra \mathbf{k} .

Remark 3.33. The weak equivalences between conilpotent curved coalgebras considered in [19] are generated by *filtered quasi-isomorphisms* with respect to admissible filtrations. A naive generalization to pointed curved coalgebras would lead us to consider admissible filtrations with $F^0(C) = C_0$ (instead of $F^0 = \mathbf{k}$ as in [19]). Then any filtered quasi-isomorphism would have to preserve the set of grouplike elements of a pointed curved coalgebra. But we know that a quasi-equivalence of dg categories can change the set of objects, thus such maps do not generate all weak equivalences of pointed curved coalgebras.

Remark 3.34. We may apply the same reasoning to the adjunction between conilpotent curved coalgebras (together with a final object) and dg algebras to obtain a model structure on curved conilpotent coalgebras that is Quillen equivalent to the standard model structure on dg algebras.

This differs from the model structure considered in [19] in that for us a map $C \rightarrow *$ is only a cofibration if $C = *$ or $C = 0$. Moreover, there are curved coalgebras weakly equivalent to $*$, namely those arising as bar constructions of dg algebras with 0 homology.

Remark 3.35. One should note that from a homotopy-theoretic point of view the final objects $*$ and zero are not very important, and neither is the difference between \mathbf{dgCat} and \mathbf{dgCat}' .

Recall that we add a final object to curved coalgebras to satisfy the requirements of a model category to have all limits. However, this final object is weakly equivalent to an honest split curved coalgebra, just like the zero dg category is quasi-equivalent to a dg category with one object whose identity is a coboundary. Thus the ∞ -categories obtained by localizing at all weak equivalences in \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* and \mathbf{ptdCoa} are in fact weakly equivalent. In particular the non-finalised category \mathbf{ptdCoa} has all homotopy limits (or equivalently all ∞ -categorical limits).

Similarly, \mathbf{dgCat} and \mathbf{dgCat}' give rise to the same ∞ -category of dg categories.

Thus it is left to the reader's taste if they want to consider the strictly (rather than homotopy) terminal curved coalgebra, and if they want to consider the zero dg category and other dg categories with identities equal to zero.

Remark 3.36. Recall that in the model category of conilpotent curved coalgebras the fibrant objects are precisely those whose underlying coalgebras are conilpotent cofree (i.e. whose dual pseudocompact algebras are completed tensor algebras). This follows from a more general result of [19, Section 9.3, Lemma 2(i)] describing fibrations in this model category. These fibrant objects are nothing but (unital) A_∞ algebras. Arguing similarly to op. cit. we can obtain an explicit description of fibrant objects in \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* ; these are precisely those whose underlying pointed coalgebras are of the form $T_R(V)$; the cotensor algebra over a pointed cosemisimple coalgebra R of an R -bimodule V . These objects are otherwise known as (unital) A_∞ categories; these come up in various contexts of homological algebra and geometry, particularly in the study of Fukaya categories [21].

3.8. Quillen equivalence.

Lemma 3.37. *For any small dg category we have a quasi-equivalence $\Omega B\mathcal{D} \simeq \mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. If all hom spaces in \mathcal{D} are 0 this follows directly from the definitions. So let us assume B is given by the reduced bar construction.

By construction $\Omega B\mathcal{D}$ and \mathcal{D} have the same set of objects. Thus the counit $\Omega B\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a quasi-equivalence if for any $A, B \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{D})$ we have a quasi-isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Omega B\mathcal{D}}(A, B) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A, B)$. It suffices to show that the semialgebras $\Omega B\mathcal{D}$ and \mathcal{D} are quasi-isomorphic, as we can recover all morphism spaces as in Proposition 2.17 via $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B) = \mathbf{k}_A \square_{\mathbf{k}[\mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{D})]} V_{\mathcal{D}} \square_{\mathbf{k}[\mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{D})]} \mathbf{k}_B$

We apply the usual argument that the cobar-bar counit is a quasi-isomorphism, just in the category of $\mathbf{k}[\mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{D})]$ -bicomodules, see e.g. [19, Theorem 6.10]. Thus we filter \mathcal{D} by $\mathbf{k}[\mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{D})] \subset \mathcal{D}$ and then consider the filtration on $\Omega B(\mathcal{D})$ induced by the filtration by tensor power of $B\mathcal{D}$. The associated graded bicomodules agree in degree 0 and 1, and in degree $i \geq 2$ we have $\mathrm{Gr}^i(\mathcal{D}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^i(\Omega B\mathcal{D})$ is acyclic. \square

Theorem 3.38. *The adjunction $\Omega \dashv B$ induces a Quillen equivalence between \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* and \mathbf{dgCat}' with the model structures from Section 3.7.*

Proof. Ω is left Quillen as it sends generating cofibrations to cofibrations, see the proof of Proposition 3.31.

We have shown in Lemma 3.37 that the counit is a weak equivalence. It also follows by 2-out-of-3 that for any pointed curved coalgebra C the natural map $\Omega C \rightarrow \Omega B\Omega C$ is a quasi-equivalence, and thus the unit $C \rightarrow B\Omega C$ is a weak equivalence. \square

If we are relaxing our assumption that the ground ring \mathbf{k} is a field, the naive bar construction is no longer well-behaved. We still have a version of our main result if we let \mathbf{k} be a principal

ideal domain (the case of interest is of course $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Z}$) and restrict to the category $\mathbf{dgCat}_{\text{fr}}$ of dg categories such that the underlying graded modules for all hom spaces are free.

The second is not a serious restriction as any dg category may be canonically replaced by a quasi-equivalent *semi-free* dg category, see Lemma B.5 in [8] and the preceding definition.

Similarly we may consider Definition 2.13 over \mathbf{k} and consider the category $\mathbf{ptdCoa}_{\text{fr}}^*$ of split curved coalgebras whose underlying graded \mathbf{k} -module is free.

In this setting we define the functors B and Ω on $\mathbf{dgCat}_{\text{fr}}$ and $\mathbf{ptdCoa}_{\text{fr}}^*$ as in Definitions 3.14 and 3.17.

We will consider $\mathbf{dgCat}_{\text{fr}}$ and $\mathbf{ptdCoa}_{\text{fr}}^*$ as relative categories. To do this we declare the quasi-equivalences in $\mathbf{dgCat}_{\text{fr}}$ to be weak equivalences, and define a morphism $f : C \rightarrow D$ in $\mathbf{ptdCoa}_{\text{fr}}$ to be a weak equivalence if $\Omega(f)$ is.

Corollary 3.39. *Let \mathbf{k} be a principal ideal domain. Then with notation as above there is a weak equivalence of relative categories between $(\mathbf{ptdCoa}_{\text{fr}}^*, \simeq)$ and $(\mathbf{dgCat}_{\text{fr}}, \simeq)$.*

Proof. This follows as in [6, Proposition 3.7]: Let \mathcal{D} be an object of $\mathbf{dgCat}_{\text{fr}}$. Then tensoring over \mathbf{k} with any field commutes past the bar and cobar constructions. Thus the natural morphism $\eta_{\mathcal{D}} : \Omega B \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ becomes a quasi-isomorphism (of bicomodules) after tensoring with any field, thus $\eta_{\mathcal{D}}$ must have been a quasi-isomorphism, and thus a quasi-equivalence of dg categories, by [6, Lemma 3.6].

As in the proof of Theorem 3.38 it follows that $C \simeq B \Omega C$ and together this gives a strict homotopy equivalence of relative categories, and thus a weak equivalence. \square

3.9. Semimodule-comodule level Koszul duality. The bar-cobar adjunction 3.23 gives rise to an equivalence between the corresponding derived and coderived categories as in the case of ordinary dg Koszul duality, cf. [19, Theorem 6.3, 6.4]. We now formulate a generalization of this result with dg algebras replaced by dg categories and conilpotent curved coalgebras replaced by pointed curved coalgebras. The treatment of [19, Chapter 6], carries through with fairly obvious modifications; namely the tensor product over \mathbf{k} needs to be consistently replaced with the cotensor product over a suitable cosemisimple coalgebra.

Here we only consider semialgebras of the form (A, R) where R is a cosemisimple coalgebra. Recall, first of all, that the category of semimodules over a such a semialgebra is a model category where weak equivalences are quasi-isomorphisms and fibrations are surjective maps [18, Theorem 9.2]. Note that the result in op. cit. was formulated in greater generality, particularly not requiring that R be semisimple, and with this simplification, Positselski's notion of a weak equivalence reduces to a quasi-isomorphism. Similarly the category of comodules over a (not necessarily conilpotent) coalgebra is a model category where weak equivalences are maps with a coacyclic cone and fibrations are injective maps, [19, Theorem 8.2].

Let C be a split curved coalgebra and (A, C_0) a split semialgebra. Assume that the curved convolution algebra $\text{Hom}_{C_0}(\bar{C}, A)$ possesses an MC element τ .

Recall the categories of C -comodules from Definition 2.15 and (A, C_0) -semimodules from Definition 2.4. We construct a functor associating to a C -comodule N a dg A -semimodule $A \square_R^\tau N$ as follows. The underlying A -semimodule of $A \square_R^\tau N$ is $A \square_R N$ with A acting freely on the left, whereas the differential d^τ is given by the formula

$$d^\tau(x \square n) = d(x \square n) - x \square (\tau \otimes 1) \Delta(n)$$

where $x \in A, n \in M, \Delta : N \rightarrow C \otimes N$ is the coaction on N and d stands for the ordinary differential on N induced by the differential on N . Thus, $A \square_R^\tau N$ is given by cotensoring M with A and twisting the differential by the MC element τ .

Similarly we construct a functor associating to an (A, C_0) -semimodule M a C -comodule $C \square_{C_0}^\tau M$ as follows. Disregarding the differential, it is $C \square_{C_0} M$ with C coacting cofreely on the left, whereas the differential d^τ is given by the formula

$$d^\tau(c \square m) = d(c \square m) + (1 \otimes \tau)\Delta(c) \square m$$

where $c \in C, m \in M, \Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$ is the diagonal on C and d stands for the ordinary differential on $C \square_{C_0} M$ induced by the differentials on C and M . Thus, $C \square_{C_0}^\tau M$ is given by cotensoring M with C and twisting the differential by τ .

Clearly, $N \mapsto A \square_{C_0}^\tau N$ is a dg functor $C\text{-Comod} \rightarrow (A, C_0)\text{-Mod}$ and $M \mapsto C \square_{C_0}^\tau M$ is a dg functor $(A, C_0)\text{-Mod} \rightarrow C\text{-Comod}$.

Proposition 3.40. *The functor $A \square_{C_0}^\tau -$ is left adjoint to $C \square_{C_0}^\tau -$.*

Proof. One simply has to note that the dg spaces of morphisms $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-Mod}}(A \square_{C_0}^\tau N, M)$ and $\text{Hom}_{C\text{-Comod}}(N, C \square_{C_0}^\tau M)$ are naturally isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_{C_0}(N, M)$ with the differential twisted by the MC element τ . \square

We apply these constructions to two situations:

- (1) Given a split semialgebra (A, C_0) , the split curved coalgebra C is the bar-construction of A , $C = B(A)$, so that the MC element $\tau \in \text{Hom}_{C_0}(\bar{C}, A)$ is the one corresponding to the identity map $B(A) \rightarrow B(A)$ via the adjunction in Theorem 3.23.
- (2) Given a split curved coalgebra C , the semialgebra (A, C_0) is its cobar-construction, $A = \Omega C$ and the MC element $\tau \in \text{Hom}_{C_0}(\bar{C}, \Omega C)$ is the one corresponding to the identity map $\Omega C \rightarrow \Omega C$ via the adjunction 3.23.

Then the following result holds.

Theorem 3.41.

- (1) *Let (A, R) be a split semialgebra. Then the functors*

$$A \square_{C_0}^\tau - : B(A)\text{-Comod} \rightleftarrows A\text{-Mod} : BA \square_{C_0}^\tau -$$

form a Quillen equivalence.

- (2) *Let C be a split curved coalgebra. Then the functors*

$$\Omega C \square_{C_0}^\tau - : C\text{-Comod} \rightleftarrows \Omega(C)\text{-Mod} : C \square_{C_0}^\tau -$$

form a Quillen equivalence.

Proof. The arguments of [19, Theorems 6.3, 6.4] carry over to our situation without any changes (other than replacing the ground field by C_0) to show that in both cases (1) and (2) both adjoint functors

- preserve weak equivalences (and so descend to the homotopy categories) and
- determine mutual equivalences of homotopy categories.

Note that, also in both cases, the right adjoint functor preserves monomorphisms and so, in particular, takes cofibrations to cofibrations. Together these facts imply that, in both cases, the given adjunction forms a Quillen equivalence of model categories. \square

Example 3.42. Let \mathcal{D} be a dg category and $B\mathcal{D}$ be its bar construction. For any object X in \mathcal{D} we consider the 1-dimensional $B\mathcal{D}$ -comodule \mathbf{k}_X generated by the grouplike element in $B\mathcal{D}$ corresponding to X . Unravelling definitions, we see that $\mathcal{D} \square_{\mathbf{k}[\text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})]}^\tau \mathbf{k}_X$ is the functor (co)represented by X . Thus the image under the dual Yoneda embedding of \mathcal{D}^{op} in $\mathcal{D}\text{-Mod}$

is identified with the dg category of 1-dimensional comodules in $B\mathcal{D}\text{-Comod}$ (equivalently the Yoneda image of \mathcal{D} is identified with dg category of 1-dimensional *right* comodules in $B\mathcal{D}\text{-comodules}$).

Corollary 3.43. *If two split curved coalgebras are weakly equivalent, then their coderived categories are equivalent.*

Proof. Let $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a weak equivalence between split curved coalgebras C and D . Recall from [19, Section 4.8] that f induces a pair of adjoint functors $E_f : C\text{-Comod} \rightleftarrows D\text{-Comod} : R_f$. Here for a D -comodule M we have $E_f(M) = C \square_D M$ and R_f is the restriction of scalars from C to D . Consider the following diagram of dg categories and functors.

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C\text{-Comod} & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{R_f} \\ \xrightarrow{E_f} \end{array} & D\text{-Comod} \\ \begin{array}{c} \Omega C \square_{C_0}^\tau - \\ \uparrow C \square_{C_0}^\tau - \\ \downarrow \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \Omega D \square_{D_0}^\tau - \\ \uparrow D \square_{D_0}^\tau - \\ \downarrow \end{array} \\ (\Omega(C), C_0)\text{-Mod} & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\Omega(R_f)} \\ \xrightarrow{\Omega(E_f)} \end{array} & (\Omega(D), D_0)\text{-Mod} \end{array}$$

Then straightforward inspection shows that it commutative in the sense that there exist natural isomorphisms $E_f \circ \Omega D \square_{D_0}^\tau - \cong \Omega(E_f) \circ \Omega C \square_{C_0}^\tau -$ and $C \square_{C_0}^\tau - \circ \Omega(R_f) \cong R_f \circ D \square_{D_0}^\tau -$.

Since f is a weak equivalence, the functors $\Omega(E_f)$ and $\Omega(R_f)$ induce an adjoint equivalence between the derived categories $D(\Omega(C))$ and $D(\Omega(D))$. It follows from (3.1) and Theorem 3.41 that E_f and R_f induce an adjoint equivalences between $D^{\text{co}}(C)$ and $D^{\text{co}}(D)$ as claimed. \square

We will also need Theorem 3.41 as a statement about ∞ -categories.

Definition 3.44. The *coderived ∞ -category* of a coalgebra C , written $\mathcal{D}^{\text{co}}(C)$ is the quasicategory obtained by localizing the category of C -comodules at all maps with coacyclic cone.

Similarly we write $\mathcal{D}(A)$ for the derived ∞ -category, obtained by localizing the category of A -semimodules at all quasi-isomorphisms.

In particular if \mathcal{D} is a dg category then $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D})$ stands for the ∞ -category of functors into \mathbf{dgVect} , localized at object-wise quasi-isomorphisms.

Then we have $\mathcal{D}^{\text{co}}(C) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\Omega(C))$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\text{co}}(B(A)) \simeq \mathcal{D}(A)$ in the setting of Theorem 3.41.

Remark 3.45. It may be interesting to consider an analogue of *nonconilpotent* Koszul duality, cf. [19, Section 6.7] where the starting point is a curved relative coalgebra (C, R) where R is a not necessarily the coradical of C . In this situation there is still an adjoint pair $\Omega(C)\text{-Mod} \rightleftarrows C\text{-Comod}$ but it is not a Quillen equivalence, in general (with the standard model structure on $\Omega C\text{-Mod}$). Indeed, already for $R = \mathbf{k}$ one has to consider exotic weak equivalences (of second kind) on the side of dg-modules. We will not treat this case.

4. THE DG NERVE AND ITS ADJOINT

In this section we will revisit the construction of the dg nerve $N_{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{C})$ of a dg category \mathcal{C} from [16] and explicitly describe its left adjoint, using our bar-cobar adjunction between dg categories and pointed curved coalgebras.

To work in maximal generality we allow $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Z}$ in this section, but we will mention some results specific to the case when \mathbf{k} is a field.

We first recall Definition 1.3.1.6 from [16].

Definition 4.1. Given a dg category \mathcal{D} we define its *differential graded nerve* $N_{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{D})$ as a simplicial set as follows. For all $n \geq 0$ we let $N_{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{D})_n = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{sSet}}(\Delta^n, N_{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{D}))$ be the set of ordered pair $(\{X_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}, \{f_I\})$ where:

- (1) For $0 \leq i \leq n$, X_i is an object of \mathcal{D} .
- (2) For every subset $I \subset \{i_- < i_m < \dots < i_1 < i_+\} \subset [n]$ with $m \geq 0$, $f_I \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}^m(X_{i_-}, X_{i_+})$ satisfying the equation

$$df_I = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} (-1)^j \left(f_{I \setminus \{i_j\}} - f_{\{i_j < \dots < i_1 < i_+\}} \circ f_{\{i_- < i_m < \dots < i_j\}} \right)$$

If $\alpha : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ is a non-decreasing function then the induced map $N_{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{D})_n \rightarrow N_{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{D})_m$ is given by

$$(\{X_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}, \{f_I\}) \mapsto (\{X_{\alpha(i)}\}_{0 \leq j \leq m}, \{g_J\})$$

where for any $J \subset [m]$ we define $g_J = f_{\alpha(J)}$ if $\alpha|_J$ is injective, $g_J = \text{id}_{X_i}$ if $J = \{j, j'\}$ and $\alpha(j) = \alpha(j') = i$ and $g_J = 0$ otherwise.

Remark 4.2. Note that in [16] it is shown that N_{dg} is right Quillen, but the left adjoint L is not constructed. There is an explicit combinatorial description of the adjoint in [20].

4.1. The dg nerve of an algebra. As a warm-up, we express the dg nerve of a dg algebra in terms of its bar construction. Let \mathbf{k} be a field for now.

We introduce the cosimplicial coalgebra $n \mapsto C_*(\Delta^n, \mathbf{k})$ given by the normalized chains on the standard n -simplex. The coalgebras $C_*(\Delta^n)$ are not conilpotent, but we may form a conilpotent quotient by identifying all the grouplike elements. In other words, the inclusion from $\text{dgCoa}^{\text{conil}}$ into all dg coalgebras has a left adjoint Q . We write $\text{Hom}(\Delta, -)$ for the functor $\text{Hom}_{\text{cuCoa}^{\text{conil}}}(QC_*(\Delta^\bullet), -)$ whose faces and degeneracies are induced by the corresponding maps on simplices.

Lemma 4.3. *There is a Quillen adjunction $C_* : \mathbf{qCat}^0 \rightleftarrows \text{cuCoa}^{\text{conil}} : \text{Hom}(\Delta, -)$.*

Proof. As we may write the usual reduced chain coalgebra as $C_*(K) = \text{colim}_{\Delta K} C_*(\Delta^n)$ we have an adjunction $Q \circ C_* : \mathbf{sSet} \rightleftarrows \text{dgCoa}^{\text{conil}} : \text{Hom}(QC_*(\Delta^\bullet), -)$. This factors through reduced simplicial sets $C_* : \mathbf{sSet}^0 \rightleftarrows \text{dgCoa}^{\text{conil}} : \text{Hom}(QC_*(\Delta^\bullet), -)$.

By Lemma 3.4 in [6] C_* is a left Quillen functor $\mathbf{qCat}^0 \rightarrow \text{dgCoa}^{\text{conil}}$, which we compose with the left Quillen functor $i : \text{dgCoa}^{\text{conil}} \rightarrow \text{cuCoa}^{\text{conil}}$ from Corollary 3.7 and Remark 3.32. \square

Definition 4.4. Let A be a dg algebra. Then we define its dg nerve $N'_{\text{dg}}(A)$ as the composition $\text{Hom}(\Delta, B(A))$. Explicitly this is the simplicial set $n \mapsto \text{Hom}(QC_*(\Delta^n), B(A)) \cong \text{MC}(QC_*(\Delta^n), A)$.

Remark 4.5. Note that we define the algebra structure on $C^*(\Delta^n, A) = \text{Hom}(QC_*(\Delta^n), A)$ by

$$(f \cup g)(\sigma) := \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^{|f|(n-j)} g(\sigma_{j \dots n}) f(\sigma_{0 \dots j}).$$

We change the order of multiplication for better compatibility with the case where A is replaced by a category. The sign arises as f is commuted past the truncation of σ .

Then we have the following result.

Proposition 4.6. *The functor N'_{dg} is a right Quillen functor from the category of dg algebras to the category of reduced simplicial sets with the Joyal model structure. Its left adjoint assigns*

to a reduced simplicial set S the dg algebra $L(S) := \Omega(C_*(S))$, the cobar-construction of the chain coalgebra of S .

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.3. \square

Proposition 4.7. N'_{dg} as defined above is equivalent to N_{dg} as in Definition 4.1.

As we will work out the details in a more general case in Theorem 4.17, we only give an outline of the proof of this result.

Sketch of proof. The key observation is that we may define a curved coalgebra $\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^n)$ for the n -simplex Δ^n as the chain coalgebra whose differential is obtained by removing the boundary summands, i.e. $\tilde{d}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \partial_i = \partial_n - \partial_0 - (-1)^n \partial_n$.

As curved coalgebras $C_*(\Delta^n)$ and $\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^n)$ are isomorphic via the isomorphism (id, e) where $e : C_1(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is constant and takes the value 1.

Then unravelling Lurie's definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\text{dg}}(A)_n &= \text{MC}(\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^n), A) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{cuCoa}^{\text{conil}}}(\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^n), BA) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{cuCoa}^{\text{conil}}}(C_*(\Delta^n), BA) = N'_{\text{dg}}(A)_n. \end{aligned}$$

Compatibility with face and degeneracy maps can be checked. \square

Remark 4.8. When comparing the twisted and untwisted chain coalgebras we note that the cobar constructions of $C_*(\Delta^n)$ and $\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^n)$ are isomorphic as dg algebras. However, since $C_*(\Delta^n)$ and $\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^n)$ are only isomorphic as curved coalgebras (despite having curvature 0) this isomorphism of cobar constructions is not compatible with the canonical augmentations.

4.2. The dg nerve revisited. In this section we will define a dg nerve functor $N'_{\text{dg}} : \text{dgCat} \rightarrow \text{sSet}$ drawing on our work from the previous section. We then show our construction agrees with the explicit description in Definition 4.1.

We would like to apply the adjunction from Proposition 3.25 in the case where C is the normalised chain coalgebra of a simplicial set with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . The underlying graded \mathbb{Z} -module of $C_*(K)$ is free, thus we may consider its cobar construction, compare the discussion before Corollary 3.39.

Note however, that C_* is a pointed coalgebra with a dg structure and a unique splitting given by the projection $C_* \rightarrow C_0$, but C_* is not a pointed curved coalgebra since this splitting is not compatible with the differential. However, it is isomorphic to a pointed curved coalgebra. We will describe this pointed model explicitly.

Definition 4.9. Given a simplicial set K we define its *twisted cochain algebra* $\tilde{C}^*(K)$ as the usual cochain algebra equipped with the differential $\tilde{\delta}f = \delta f - e \cup f + (-1)^{|f|} f \cup e$ where e is the constant 1-cochain with value 1. The *twisted chain coalgebra* $\tilde{C}_*(K)$ is the dual of $\tilde{C}^*(K)$.

Example 4.10. Given the n -simplex Δ^n we find that $\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^n)$ as a subspace of the unnormalized chains has a canonical basis given by subsets of $\{0, \dots, n\}$. Unravelling the definition the differential becomes $\tilde{\partial} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \partial_i$.

Lemma 4.11. \tilde{C}_* is a functor from simplicial sets to ptdCoa^* . As a functor to curved coalgebras it is naturally isomorphic to chain coalgebras.

Proof. The isomorphism is given by $(\text{id}, -e)$ on objects and any map $f : K \rightarrow L$ of simplicial sets gives a map $(\text{id}, -e) \circ f_* \circ (\text{id}, e)$ on twisted chains.

As $\tilde{\partial}$ in degree 1 is just the zero map it is immediate that $\tilde{C}_*(K)$ is a pointed curved coalgebra. \square

As e is not a Maurer-Cartan element the differential $\tilde{\partial}$ does not square to 0 and the twisted chain coalgebra has nonzero curvature in general.

Lemma 4.12. *There is an adjunction $\tilde{C} : \mathbf{sSet} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* : \text{Hom}(\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^\bullet), -)$. We denote the right adjoint by F .*

Explicitly $F(\mathcal{D})_n = \text{Hom}(\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^n), \mathcal{D})$ and the maps $[m] \rightarrow [n]$ induce natural maps $F(\mathcal{D})_n \rightarrow F(\mathcal{D})_m$ making it into a simplicial set.

Proof. Let K be a simplicial set and C a pointed curved coalgebra. As in the untwisted chain case we have $\tilde{C}_*(K) = \text{colim}_{\Delta^n \in \Delta K} (\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^n), C)$ where ΔK is the simplex category of K . Then by a standard argument

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\tilde{C}_*(K), C) &= \lim_{\Delta K} \text{Hom}(\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^n), C) = \lim_{\Delta K} (\Delta^n, \text{Hom}(\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^\bullet), C)) \\ &= \text{Hom}(K, \text{Hom}(\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^\bullet), C)) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

For later use we note down an explicit description of the functoriality for \tilde{C}_* on the standard simplices.

Lemma 4.13. *For a morphism $\alpha : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ we have $\tilde{C}_*(\alpha) = (\alpha_*, x_\alpha) : \tilde{C}_*(\Delta^m) \rightarrow \tilde{C}_*(\Delta^n)$ where α_* sends $\sigma : [k] \rightarrow [m]$ to $\alpha \circ \sigma : [k] \rightarrow [n]$ and $x_\alpha(\sigma)$ is 0, unless σ is a 1-simplex and $\alpha \circ \sigma$ is degenerate. In the latter case $\alpha \circ \sigma$ is degenerate of the form sp where p is the point in Δ^n corresponding to $x_\alpha(\sigma) \in \tilde{C}_*(\Delta^n)$.*

Proof. We check on twisted cochains. We need to check that $(\text{id}, -e) \circ (\alpha_*, 0) = (\alpha_*, x_\alpha) \circ (\text{id}, -e)$. This is true if and only if $x_\alpha - e = -e \circ \alpha$. This holds by unravelling definitions: e and $e \circ \alpha$ agree except on 1-simplices which become degenerate under α , and these are exactly the only simplices on which x_α is nonzero. \square

Definition 4.14. The dg nerve $N'_{\text{dg}} : \mathbf{dgCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{qCat}$ is defined as $\mathcal{D} \mapsto \text{MC}(\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^\bullet), \mathcal{D})$.

Remark 4.15. If \mathbf{k} is a field we may write $N'_{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{D}) = \text{FB}(\mathcal{D})$. This is immediate from Proposition 3.25 together with the isomorphism from Lemma 4.11.

Lemma 4.16. *The functor N'_{dg} is left adjoint to $\Omega \circ \tilde{C}_*$*

Proof. If \mathbf{k} is a field we may just combine the adjunctions of Proposition 3.25 and Lemma 4.12 to obtain an adjunction between $F \circ \text{B}$ and $\Omega \circ \tilde{C}_*$.

If \mathbf{k} is not a field we argue as follows. Let K be an arbitrary simplicial set, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(K, N'_{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{D})) &\cong \text{Hom}(K, \text{MC}(\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^\bullet), \mathcal{D})) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(K, \text{Hom}(\Omega \tilde{C}_*(\Delta^\bullet), \mathcal{D})) \\ &\cong \lim_{\Delta K} \text{Hom}(\Delta^n, \text{Hom}(\Omega \tilde{C}_*(\Delta^\bullet), \mathcal{D})) \\ &\cong \lim_{\Delta K} \text{Hom}(\Omega \tilde{C}_*(\Delta^n), \mathcal{D}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(\Omega \tilde{C}_*(K), \mathcal{D}) \end{aligned}$$

To get to the second line we use the bijection $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{dgCat}}(\Omega C, \mathcal{D}) \cong \mathrm{MC}(C, \mathcal{D})$ for C in $\mathrm{ptdCoa}_{\mathrm{fr}}$. This is the second isomorphism of Theorem 3.23. Our ground coalgebra C_0 is now a direct sum of copies of \mathbb{Z} , but the proof is unaffected by working over the integers as \tilde{C}_* is free over \mathbb{Z} .

Later we use the fact that $\Omega \circ \tilde{C}_*$ commutes with colimits. This is clear for \tilde{C}_* by Lemma 4.12 and for Ω we argue as follows. Let \mathcal{D} be an arbitrary dg category and C be a split curved coalgebra with free underlying \mathbf{k} -module. Let $C = \mathrm{colim}_i C^i$. As all morphism spaces decompose over the coproduct of maps $f_O : C_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})]$ we consider each summand in turn and fix a map f_O (equivalently a collection of maps $C_0^i \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})]$). Then we have $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{colim}_i \Omega C^i, \mathcal{D}) \cong \lim_i \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega C^i, \mathcal{D}) \cong \lim_i \mathrm{MC}(\mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{C}^i, \mathcal{D})) \cong \mathrm{MC}(\lim_i \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{C}^i, \mathcal{D})) \cong \mathrm{MC}(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{colim}_i \tilde{C}^i, \mathcal{D})) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega \mathrm{colim}_i C^i, \mathcal{D})$. Here we use the fact that taking Maurer-Cartan elements commutes with limits and taking the quotient by the coradical commutes with colimits in ptdCoa^* . \square

Theorem 4.17. *In the adjunction $L : \mathrm{qCat} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{dgCat} : N_{\mathrm{dg}}$ described in [16] there are natural isomorphisms $N_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{D}) \cong N'_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{D})$ and $L(K) \cong \Omega \tilde{C}_*(S)$.*

Proof. We will explicitly compare $N'_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{D}) := \mathrm{MC}(\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^\bullet), \mathcal{D})$ with Lurie's construction of N_{dg} as recalled in Definition 4.1.

For $n = 0$ we have $N'_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{D})_0 = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D}) = N_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{D})$. To be precise, unravelling the definitions we have $N'_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{D})_0 = \coprod_{D \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})} \mathrm{MC}(0, \mathcal{D}) = \coprod_{D \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})} *$.

For $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} N'_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{D})_n &\cong \coprod_{O : \mathrm{Ob}([n]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})} \mathrm{MC} \left[\coprod_{s, t \in \mathrm{Ob}([n])} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\mathbf{k}.e_s \square_R \tilde{C}_{<0}(\Delta^n) \square_R \mathbf{k}.e_t, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(O(s), O(t)) \right) \right] \\ &\cong \coprod_{O : \mathrm{Ob}([n]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} \mathrm{MC} \left[\coprod_{s, t \in \mathrm{Ob}([n])} e_s \tilde{C}^{>0}(\Delta^n) e_t \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(O(s), O(t)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here we write R for the coalgebra $\mathbf{k}[\mathrm{Ob}([n])]$. The differential is induced by the differential in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and the differential $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \partial_i$ in $\tilde{C}_*(\Delta^n)$, see Example 4.10. The product is induced by the coproduct $\sigma \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n \sigma_{i\dots n} \otimes \sigma_{0\dots i}$ where $\sigma_{i\dots j}$ is the restriction of an n -simplex along $[i, j] \subset [0, n]$. (We could remove the $i = 0$ and $i = n$ terms as they are necessarily 0 and do not contribute.)

Unravelling definitions, we conclude that this is exactly $N_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{D})_n$ as defined by Lurie.

For the face and degeneracy maps, consider the rules for $\alpha : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ inducing a map $N_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{D})_n \rightarrow N_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{D})_m$ in Definition 4.1 and compare with the functoriality of \tilde{C}_* set out in Lemma 4.11.

For any subset $J \subset [m]$ we distinguish three cases:

If $\alpha|_{J \subset [m]}$ is injective we just consider the map $f \mapsto f \circ \alpha|_J$ on cochains in both cases.

If $\alpha|_{J \subset [m]}$ is not injective then in general the induced map on N_{dg} is 0. This agrees with our definition on N'_{dg} because the image of J is a reduced simplex and there is no MC element associated to it.

However, there is an exception in the case that $\alpha|_J$ is of the form $[1] \rightarrow [0]$. In this case Definition 4.1 sends $X_0 \in N_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{D})_0$ to the data $((X_0, X_0), \mathrm{id}_{X_0})$ in $N_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{D})_1$.

By Lemma 4.13 the map on $N'_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{C})$ is induced by (α_*, x_α) . Let now $\alpha|_J : [1] \rightarrow [0]$. This is the only case when x_α takes a nonzero value. We split the semialgebra corresponding to \mathcal{D} as

$V_{\mathcal{D}} = \bar{V}_{\mathcal{D}} \oplus \mathbf{k}[\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})]$ where the second summand is identified with the units. The MC element $\xi : \tilde{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is sent to $\xi \circ \alpha + x_\alpha : \tilde{C}_1 \rightarrow \bar{V}_{\mathcal{D}} \oplus \mathbf{k}[\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})]$.

The first summand vanishes as $\xi \circ \alpha$ is degenerate, and the second summand picks out the element $e_{X_0} \in \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D})}$ corresponding to the identity at X_0 . Thus the map induced by α sends $X_0 \in N'_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{D})_0$ to $((X_0, X_0), \mathrm{id}_{X_0})$ in $N_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{D})_1$. \square

Remark 4.18. If \mathbf{k} is a field it is easy to see that $C : \mathbf{qCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{ptdCoa}^*$ is left Quillen and we may deduce from Theorem 3.38 that $L \dashv N_{\mathrm{dg}}$ is Quillen.

This result holds more generally, see [16, Proposition 1.3.1.20].

We have the following corollary of Theorem 4.17, which makes precise that Koszul duality is a linear version of the coherent nerve construction, an idea which was previously exploited in [6].

Corollary 4.19. *Consider the following diagram of ∞ -categories*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{qCat} & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{coh}}]{\mathfrak{C}} & \mathbf{sCat} \\ \tilde{C}_* \downarrow & & \downarrow G_* \\ \mathbf{ptdCoa}^* & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathrm{B}]{\Omega} & \mathbf{dgCat} \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are ∞ -equivalences and downward arrows are induced by normalized chain functors. Then in the associated diagram of homotopy categories we have natural equivalences $G_* \circ \mathfrak{C} \simeq \Omega \circ C_*$ and $C_* \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{coh}} \simeq \mathrm{B} \circ C_*$.

If \mathbf{k} is not a field we understand the categories on the bottom to be $\mathbf{ptdCoa}_{\mathrm{fr}}^*$ and $\mathbf{dgCat}_{\mathrm{fr}}$.

Proof. The right vertical functor $G_* : \mathbf{sCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{dgCat}$ is more precisely the composition $i \circ N_{DK} \circ \mathbf{k}$ where on hom spaces i is inclusion of non-negative complexes, N_{DK} is normalization and \mathbf{k} is the free functor. There is a natural functor $H = U \circ DK \circ \tau_{\geq 0} : \mathbf{dgCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{sCat}$ which is a right adjoint to G_* on the level of homotopy categories. Note that DK is a left adjoint, see [24], but it induces an equivalence of homotopy categories.

The composition $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{coh}} \circ H$ is equivalent to the dg nerve N_{dg} , see [16, Proposition 1.3.1.17]. N_{dg} is right Quillen and its left adjoint is L by Theorem 4.17.

Thus on the level of homotopy categories the two left adjoints of N_{dg} must agree and $L(S) = \Omega \tilde{C}_*(S)$ is homotopy equivalent to $G_* \circ \mathfrak{C}$. In the other direction we have

$$\mathrm{B} \circ G_* \simeq \mathrm{B} \circ G_* \circ \mathfrak{C} \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{coh}} \simeq \mathrm{B} \circ \Omega \circ \tilde{C}_* \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{coh}} \simeq \tilde{C}_* \circ \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{coh}}.$$

Here we use the fact that the top and bottom row are weak equivalences, by Theorem 3.38 resp. Corollary 3.39. \square

Corollary 4.20. *Let K be a connected Kan complex. Then $L(K)$ is equivalent to the chain algebra on the loop space of K .*

For a general Kan complex K we obtain that $L(K)$ is the disjoint union of the chain algebras on the loop spaces of the components.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.17 together with [6, Corollary 4.2]. \square

Corollary 4.21. *Let $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Z}$. Then $C_* : \mathbf{qCat}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{ptdCoa}^*$ preserves and reflects weak equivalences.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 4.11 and Corollary 3.39 it suffices to show that $\Omega\tilde{C}_*$ preserves and reflects weak equivalences. Preservation is clear from Corollary 4.19 as G_* and \mathfrak{C} preserve weak equivalences.

Let now $f : K \rightarrow L$ be a morphism of reduced quasicategories such that $\Omega\tilde{C}_*(f)$ is a weak equivalence of dg categories, in fact a quasi-isomorphism of dg algebras. By Corollary 4.19 if $\Omega\tilde{C}_*(f)$ is a weak equivalence then so is $G_*\mathfrak{C}(f)$. But the functor \mathfrak{C} on \mathbf{qCat}^0 factors through the category \mathbf{sMon} of simplicial monoids. Thus we have a map $\mathfrak{C}(f)$ whose normalised chain algebra is a quasi-isomorphism. But any homology isomorphism of H-spaces is a weak equivalence [9]. From this we deduce that f was a categorical equivalence of reduced quasicategories. \square

Composing with the cobar construction we see that $f : K \rightarrow L$ is a categorical equivalence in \mathbf{qCat}^0 if and only if $\Omega C_*(f)$ is a quasi-isomorphism of dg algebras.

Remark 4.22. The corollary shows that the chain coalgebra contains information about the homotopy theory of quasicategories (which is finer than the homotopy theory of spaces).

Note however that the result does not remain true in the non-reduced case. If \tilde{C}_* were to reflect weak equivalences, so would $G_* \circ \mathfrak{C}$ and thus G_* . Consider now a simplicial category \mathcal{C} with two objects a and b with trivial endomorphisms and morphism spaces $\mathrm{Map}(a, b) \cong \emptyset$ and $\mathrm{Map}(b, a)$ any non-trivial acyclic space. Then the natural inclusion from a discrete category with two objects is clearly not a weak equivalence of simplicial categories, yet it induces a quasi-equivalence after applying G_* .

5. FUNCTOR CATEGORIES AND COMODULES

Lemma 5.1. *Let S be a simplicial set. We denote by $R\mathrm{Hom}$ the derived internal hom of dg categories. Then there is a categorical equivalence of quasicategories*

$$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{dg}}(R\mathrm{Hom}(L(S), \mathrm{dgVect})) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(S, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathrm{dgVect})).$$

In particular this implies that $\mathrm{Fun}(S, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathrm{dgVect}))$ is categorically equivalent to $\mathcal{D}(L(S))$, the ∞ -category of dg modules over $L(S)$.

Proof. By the Yoneda lemma it suffices to compare the functors $\mathrm{Hom}(-, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathrm{Fun}(L(S), \mathrm{dgVect})))$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(-, \mathrm{Fun}(S, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathrm{dgVect})))$ on the homotopy category of quasicategories. We recall that $L \dashv \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{dg}}$ induces an adjunction of homotopy categories between quasicategories and dg categories by [16, Proposition 1.3.1.20].

Let K be any simplicial set. By the proof of [15, Proposition 1.2.7.3] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{qCat})}(K, \mathrm{Fun}(S, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathrm{dgVect}))) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{qCat})}(K \times S, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathrm{dgVect})) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{dgCat})}(L(K \times S), \mathrm{dgVect}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{dgCat})}(LK \otimes LS, \mathrm{dgVect}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{dgCat})}(LK, R\mathrm{Hom}(LS, \mathrm{dgVect})) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{qCat})}(K, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{dg}}(R\mathrm{Hom}(LS, \mathrm{dgVect}))) \end{aligned}$$

To show that L sends products to tensor product in the homotopy category of dg categories we used that as in Corollary 4.19 we may write $L = G_* \circ \mathfrak{C}$ on the level of homotopy categories. Then \mathfrak{C} preserves products by [15, Corollary 2.2.5.6]. Note that LS is cofibrant so we may consider the tensor product as derived. The derived tensor product in \mathbf{dgCat} is adjoint to the derived internal hom by [25, Corollary 6.4].

For the final part we recall from [25] that $R\mathrm{Hom}(LS, \mathbf{dgVect})$ has an explicit model given by the dg category of fibrant cofibrant right quasi-representable $LS \otimes^L \mathbf{dgVect}^{op}$ -modules. Unravelling the definitions, we see that these are exactly fibrant cofibrant dg modules over LS . The dg nerve of the subcategory of fibrant cofibrant objects in a dg model structure is equivalent to the localization at weak equivalences, thus $N_{\mathrm{dg}}(R\mathrm{Hom}(L(S), \mathbf{dgVect})) \simeq \mathcal{D}(L(S))$. \square

Note that the quasicategory $\mathrm{Fun}(S, N_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathbf{dgVect}))$ can be viewed as the derived ∞ -category of the quasicategory S .

Theorem 5.2. *Let S be a simplicial set considered as a quasicategory. Then there is an equivalence of ∞ -categories between $\mathrm{Fun}(S, N_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathbf{dgVect}))$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{co}}(C_*S)$.*

Proof. Theorem 3.41 (with the notation of Definition 3.44) provides an equivalence $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{co}}(\tilde{C}_*S) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\Omega(\tilde{C}_*S))$, where the right hand side is just the ∞ -categorical localization of $\Omega(C_*S)$ -modules at quasi-isomorphisms. The isomorphism $C_*S \cong \tilde{C}_*S$ gives $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{co}}(\tilde{C}_*S) \simeq \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{co}}(C_*S)$.

By Theorem 4.17 we know $\Omega(\tilde{C}_*S) \simeq L(S)$ and thus the result follows from Lemma 5.1. \square

Remark 5.3. The coderived ∞ -category of $C_*(S)$ is also equivalent to (the ∞ -version of) the category of twisted modules over $C^*(S)$ as they were considered in [7].

We also have the following consequence of our earlier results.

Proposition 5.4. *For any simplicial set S , the Morita fibrant replacement of the dg category $L(S)^{op}$ is quasi-equivalent to the category of finite dimensional $C_*(S)$ comodules. This may be viewed as the perfect derived category of S .*

Proof. Note that we can identify the dg category generated by one-dimensional comodules with the image of $L(S)^{op}$ under the Yoneda embedding in $L(S)\text{-Mod}$. Indeed, for any vertex $s \in S_0$ consider the corresponding one-dimensional comodule \mathbf{k}_s of C_*S (all 1-dimensional C_*S -comodules are of this form). By Example 3.42 the adjunction from Proposition 3.40 identifies \mathbf{k}_s with the right $L(S)$ -module represented by $s \in \mathrm{Ob}(L(S))$.

To complete the proof of the corollary we close both sides of this correspondence under extensions and suspensions. \square

Corollary 5.5. *The functor $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{co}}(C_*(S))$ sends colimits to limits.*

Proof. This is immediate from Theorem 5.2. \square

6. STRATIFIED SPACES

We finish with an application of Theorem 5.2 to stratified spaces. We will use the terminology of [16, Appendix B]. Let X be a paracompact topological space which is locally of singular shape and is equipped with a conical A -stratification where A is a partially ordered set satisfying the ascending chain condition. We write $\mathrm{Exit}(X)$ for the ∞ -category of exit paths of the A -stratified space X , denoted by $\mathrm{Sing}^A(X)$ in [16].

Then Theorem A.9.3 of [16] (together with the discussion just before Construction A.9.2) states that the ∞ -categories of constructible sheaves of spaces on X , written as $\mathrm{Constr}(X, \mathcal{S})$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Exit}(X), \mathcal{S})$ where \mathcal{S} is the quasicategory of spaces. We write this equivalence as Ψ .

We are interested in the linear version. This is probably well-known to experts, we outline a proof for lack of a reference.

Proposition 6.1. *The ∞ -category of constructible sheaves of cochain complexes on X is equivalent to $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Exit}(X), \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathrm{dgVect}))$.*

Proof. We first extend the result to spectra by considering the associated stabilizations. We may for example identify categories of spectrum objects in the sense of [16, Section 1.4.2] for both categories, obtaining an equivalence $\Psi : \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Exit}(X), \mathcal{S})) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{Constr}(X, \mathcal{S}))$.

By [16, Remark 1.4.2.] we have $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Exit}(X), \mathcal{S})) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Exit}(X), \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{S}))$, and of course $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{S})$ is the ∞ -category of spectra Sp .

It remains to check that spectrum objects in constructible sheaves of spaces are constructible sheaves of spectra.

The stabilization of the ∞ -category of sheaves of spaces is the ∞ -category of sheaves of spectra, see [14, Remark 1.2]. Moreover, the subcategories of constructible sheaves can be identified. Constructible sheaves are those whose pullback to small enough open subsets of strata of X are constant. We first observe that constant sheaves on both sides are identified. Thus it suffices to show that the equivalence of sheaves of spectra with the stabilization of sheaves of spaces is compatible with pullbacks. We consider the stabilization as the homotopy limit of a tower of loop functors, see [16, Proposition 1.4.2.24]. As the pullback functor is left exact it commutes with constructing the loop functor and we can identify constructible sheaves as desired.

Next we replace spectra by (unbounded) chain complexes. By the stable Dold-Kan correspondence $\mathrm{dgVect}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is equivalent to \mathbf{k} -modules in spectra, and these may be characterized as \mathbf{k} -module objects in Sp , i.e. abelian group objects in the cartesian monoidal category Sp equipped with a compatible action of the monoid \mathbf{k} .

We now claim that the ∞ -categories of the corollary arise as \mathbf{k} -module objects in the categories considered above. To be precise, the equivalence Ψ , and the induced map on constructible spectra, is an equivalence of cartesian monoidal categories and it identifies the constant functor \mathbf{k} with the constant sheaf with value \mathbf{k} . We write \mathbf{k} for both. In both categories \mathbf{k} is a monoid and we may identify the \mathbf{k} -module objects of $\mathrm{Constr}(X, \mathrm{Sp})$ and $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Exit}(X), \mathrm{Sp})$.

These are the objects we are interested in, as the product of sheaves or functors is defined object-wise. \square

We may now interpret constructible sheaves as follows:

Proposition 6.2. *Let X be a topological space with an A -stratification as above. Then the derived ∞ -category of constructible sheaves of chain complexes on X is equivalent to $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{co}}(C_* \mathrm{Exit}(X))$.*

Proof. This follows by combining Theorem 5.2 with Proposition 6.1. \square

Of course the exit path category is in general quite unwieldy. However, it forms part of an adjunction with the left adjoint given by a *stratified realization functor* $K \mapsto ||K||$ from simplicial sets to stratified spaces, see [17, Definition 7.1.0.1]. To construct the stratified realization we send Δ^n to $|\Delta^n|$ stratified by the k -simplices spanned by the first $k + 1$ vertices for all $k \geq 0$, and then extend by colimits.

Corollary 6.3. *Let K be a simplicial set. If $K \simeq \mathrm{Exit}(|K|)$ then the ∞ -category of constructible sheaves on the stratified space $||K||$ is categorically equivalent to $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{co}}(C_* K)$.*

While $K \simeq \mathrm{Exit}(|K|)$ does not hold for all simplicial sets, there is a natural class for which one can expect it.

Conjecture 6.4. *Let K be a quasicategory in which all endomorphisms are equivalences. Then the ∞ -category of constructible sheaves on the stratified space $\|K\|$ is categorically equivalent to $\mathcal{D}^{\text{co}}(C_*K)$.*

This is in line with Conjecture 0.0.8 in [2] which states that these are exactly the quasi-categories arising as exit paths of stratified spaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ANEL AND A. JOYAL, *Sweedler theory for (co)algebras and the bar-cobar constructions*, arXiv e-prints, (2013), arXiv:1309.6952.
- [2] D. AYALA, J. FRANCIS, AND N. ROZENBLYUM, *A stratified homotopy hypothesis*, ArXiv e-prints, (2015), arXiv:1502.01713.
- [3] C. BARWICK AND D. M. KAN, *Relative categories: Another model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theories*, *Indagationes Mathematicae*, 23 (2012), pp. 42–68.
- [4] A. BEILINSON, V. GINZBURG, AND W. SOERGEL, *Koszul duality patterns in representation theory*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9 (1996), pp. 473–527.
- [5] A. K. BOUSFIELD AND V. K. A. M. GUGENHEIM, *On PL de Rham theory and rational homotopy type*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8 (1976), pp. ix+94.
- [6] J. CHUANG, J. HOLSTEIN, AND A. LAZAREV, *Homotopy theory of monoids and derived localization*, Arxiv e-prints, (2018), arxiv:1810.00373.
- [7] ———, *Maurer-Cartan moduli and theorems of Riemann-Hilbert type*, ArXiv e-prints, (2018), arXiv:1802.02549.
- [8] V. DRINFELD, *DG quotients of DG categories*, *J. Algebra*, 272 (2004), pp. 643–691.
- [9] E. DROR, *A generalization of the Whitehead theorem*, (1971), pp. 13–22. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 249.
- [10] V. GINZBURG AND M. KAPRANOV, *Koszul duality for operads*, *Duke Math. J.*, 76 (1994), pp. 203–272.
- [11] V. HINICH, *DG coalgebras as formal stacks*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 162 (2001), pp. 209 – 250.
- [12] J. V. S. HOLSTEIN, *Properness and simplicial resolutions for the model category $dgCat$* , *Homology, Homotopy Appl.*, 16 (2014), pp. 263–273.
- [13] A. LAZAREV, *Hochschild cohomology and moduli spaces of strongly homotopy associative algebras*, *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 5 (2003), pp. 73–100.
- [14] J. LURIE, *Derived Algebraic Geometry VII: Spectral Schemes*, preprint available from author’s website as <http://math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/DAG-VII.pdf>, (2011).
- [15] ———, *Higher Topos Theory*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 170 (2011).
- [16] ———, *Higher Algebra*. Available from the author’s website as www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf, 2017.
- [17] S. J. NAND-LAL, *A simplicial approach to stratified homotopy theory*, PhD thesis, University of Liverpool, 2019.
- [18] L. POSITSIELSKI, *Homological algebra of semimodules and semicontramodules*, vol. 70 of *Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademii Nauk. Monografie Matematyczne (New Series)*, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2010.
- [19] ———, *Two kinds of derived categories, Koszul duality, and comodule-contramodule correspondence*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 212 (2011), pp. vi+133.
- [20] M. RIVERA AND M. ZEINALIAN, *The colimit of an infinity local system as a twisted tensor product*, *Higher Structures*, 3 (2019), pp. 1–24.
- [21] P. SEIDEL, *Fukaya categories and Picard-Lefschetz theory*, *Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics*, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008.
- [22] M. E. SWEEDLER, *Hopf algebras*, *Mathematics Lecture Note Series*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969.
- [23] G. TABUADA, *Invariants additifs de dg-catégories*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (2005), p. 3309.
- [24] ———, *Differential graded versus simplicial categories*, *Topology Appl.*, 157 (2010), pp. 563–593.
- [25] B. TOËN, *The homotopy theory of dg-categories and derived Morita theory*, *Invent. Math.*, 167 (2006), pp. 615–667.
- [26] M. VAN DEN BERGH, *Calabi-Yau algebras and superpotentials*, *Selecta Mathematica*, 21 (2015), pp. 555–603.
- [27] F. VAN OYSTAEYEN AND P. ZHANG, *Quiver hopf algebras*, *Journal of Algebra*, 280 (2004), pp. 577–589.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITÄT HAMBURG, 20146 HAMBURG, GERMANY

E-mail address: `julian.holstein@uni-hamburg.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LANCASTER UNIVERSITY, LANCASTER LA1 4YF, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: `a.lazarev@lancaster.ac.uk`