

Exploring Binary

Converting a Bicimal to a Fraction (Subtraction Method)

By [Rick Regan](#) June 30th, 2012

In my article “[Binary Division](#)” I showed how binary long division converts a fraction to a repeating [bicimal](#). In this article, I’ll show you a well-known procedure — what I call the *subtraction method* — to do the reverse: convert a repeating bicimal to a fraction.

$$\frac{101111}{1100} \longleftrightarrow 11.11\overline{10}$$

Equivalent Representations of 47/12, in Binary

Bicimals

A [bicimal](#) is the base-two analog of a decimal; it has a bicimal point and bicimal places, and can be terminating or repeating.

A **terminating** bicimal has a finite number of bicimal places; a **repeating** bicimal (also known as a recurring bicimal or periodic bicimal) has an infinite number of bicimal places, due to a sequence of digits that repeat forever. There are two types of repeating bicimals: **pure repeating** (also known as immediate repeating or strictly repeating) and **mixed repeating** (also known as delayed repeating). In a pure repeating bicimal, the repeating part (also known as the *repetend*) starts immediately after the bicimal point. In a mixed repeating bicimal, a non-repeating part starts immediately after the bicimal point; it is then followed by a repeating part.

Here are some examples:

- 0.1101 (a terminating bicimal).
- 101. $\overline{01}$ (a pure repeating bicimal).
- 11.11 $\overline{10}$ (a mixed repeating bicimal).

Like decimals, bicimals are created from fractions through long division. Also like decimals, bicimals can be converted *back* to fractions. You convert a bicimal to a fraction the same way you convert a decimal to a fraction — you just work in binary instead of decimal, and use powers of two instead of powers of ten.

A terminating bicimal is easy to convert to a fraction: the numerator of the resulting fraction is the bicimal itself, treated as an integer; the denominator is 2^n , where n is the number of bicimal places. For example, $0.1101 = 1101/2^4 = 1101/10000$ (which in decimal equals $13/16 = 0.8125$). (Notice how I mixed decimal and binary numerals. Although potentially confusing, it is common practice, and actually makes things easier. I will be doing this throughout the article.)

Repeating bicimals take more work to convert. There are several methods; I will describe the *subtraction method*.

Subtraction Method

In the subtraction method, you take a bicimal b and create an expression that subtracts out its repeating part, and then rewrite that expression so that b is expressed as a fraction.

Specifically, you create two [nonnegative power of two](#) multiples of b , mb and nb , such that $mb - nb = i$, where i is an integer. Using simple algebra, you rewrite this expression as a fraction: $b(m-n) = i$, or $b = i/(m-n)$.

Here is a straightforward way to pick m and n . Let p be the length of the non-repeating part, and let r be the length of one cycle of the repeating part. Choose $m = 2^{p+r}$, and choose $n = 2^p$. These values of m and n shift b left by differing numbers of places, creating new bicimals with identical fractional parts but different integer parts. (For pure repeating bicimals, p will be 0 and thus n will be 1, so $nb = b$; that is, it's not shifted.)

Examples

Example 1: $101.\overline{01} = 10000/11$

Consider the pure repeating bicimal $b = 101.\overline{01}$. Picking $m = 2^2 = 4$ shifts b left by two places, giving $10101.\overline{01}$. Now if we subtract b from that, we will remove the fractional part (leaving b as

is means we've picked $n = 2^0 = 1$). Let's show the subtraction algebraically, in mixed decimal and binary numerals:

$$mb - nb$$

$$4b - b = 10101.\overline{01} - 101.\overline{01}$$

$$3b = 10000$$

$$11b = 10000$$

$$b = 10000/11$$

which in decimal equals $16/3 = 5.\overline{3}$.

Example 2: $11.111\overline{0} = 101111/1100$

Consider the mixed repeating bicimal $b = 11.111\overline{0}$. Picking $m = 2^4 = 16$ will shift b left by four places — pulling out the non-repeating part and one cycle of the repeating part — giving $111110.\overline{10}$. Picking $n = 2^2 = 4$ will shift a copy of b left by two places — pulling out just the non-repeating part — giving $1111.\overline{10}$. Now let's do the algebra:

$$mb - nb$$

$$16b - 4b = 111110.\overline{10} - 1111.\overline{10}$$

$$12b = 101111$$

$$1100b = 101111$$

$$b = 101111/1100$$

which in decimal equals $47/12 = 3.91\overline{6}$.

Examples Redone Using Only Binary Numerals

You can perform the subtraction method using only binary numerals (thanks James for the comment); I will redo the two examples in this way.

Example 1: $101.\overline{01} = 10000/11$

$$mb - nb$$

$$100b - b = 10101.\overline{01} - 101.\overline{01}$$

$$11b = 10000$$

$$b = 10000/11$$

Example 2: $11.111\overline{0} = 101111/1100$

$$mb - nb$$

$$10000b - 100b = 111110.\overline{10} - 1111.\overline{10}$$

$$1100b = 101111$$

$$b = 101111/1100$$

A Way to Avoid Binary Arithmetic on the Left Hand Side

The binary subtraction on the left hand side of the equation can be avoided; let's [manipulate the left hand side algebraically](#) (remember that $m = 2^{p+r}$ and $n = 2^p$):

$$mb - nb$$

$$2^{p+r}b - 2^pb$$

$$2^p(2^rb - b)$$

$$2^pb(2^r - 1)$$

$$(2^r - 1)2^p b$$

You don't need to do any arithmetic to compute $(2^r - 1)2^p$: it is a string of r 1s followed by p 0s. For *example 1*, $r = 2$ and $p = 0$ gives 11; for *example 2*, $r = 2$ and $p = 2$ gives 1100.

On Going Directly to a Fraction Written in Binary

If you look around the Web (for example, [Wikipedia](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary_number)), you'll see this procedure is typically used to convert a bicimal straight to a fraction written in *decimal* numerals. For *example 2*, the steps would be:

$$mb - nb$$

$$16b - 4b = 111110.\overline{10} - 1111.\overline{10}$$

$$12b = 47$$

$$b = 47/12$$

which you'd then say is 101111/1100 in binary.

Conceptually, I see the process as bicimal \rightarrow fraction written in binary \rightarrow fraction written in decimal \rightarrow decimal, which is why I prefer my presentation.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{101111}{1100} & \longleftrightarrow & 11.11\overline{10} \\ \updownarrow & & \\ \frac{47}{12} & \longleftrightarrow & 3.91\overline{6} \end{array}$$

Equivalent Representations of 47/12, in Binary and Decimal

Other Methods

Read my articles about the [direct method](#) and the [series method](#) — two other ways to convert a bicimal to a fraction.

EB

Related

- [A Pattern in Powers of Ten and Their Binary Equivalents](#)



**Binary
Subtraction**

**Floating-Point
Will Still Be
Broken In...**

**An Hour of
Code... A
Lifelong...**

**Why 0.1 Does
Not Exist In
Floating-Point**

**Number of
Bits in a
Decimal...**

**What a Binary
Counter Looks
and Sounds...**

**My Fascination
with Binary
Numbers**



[Get articles by RSS \(What Is RSS?\)](#)



[Get articles by e-mail](#)

Binary numbers / Binary arithmetic, Binary integers, Convert to binary, Convert to decimal, Decimals, Fractions

2 comments

1. James

July 1, 2012 at 6:59 am

Good method! Am I right in saying that this should work in all bases?

Decimal:

3.4'83 (apostrophe signifies start of repetend)

md – nd

$$1000d - 10d = 3483.'83 - 34.'83$$

$$990d = 3449$$

$$d = 3449/990$$

Correct!

Dozenal/uncial (0123456789XE):

3.4'83

mu – nu

$$1000u - 10u = 3483.'83 - 34.'83$$

$$EE0u = 344E$$

$$u = 344E/EE0$$

Correct!

Hexadecimal (0123456789ABCDEF):

3.4'83

mx – nx

$$1000x - 10x = 3483.'83 - 34.'83$$

$$FF0x = 344F$$

$$x = 344F/FF0$$

Correct!

(Checked on W|A).

2. Rick Regan

July 1, 2012 at 10:01 am

@James,

Yes, it's good for any base (of course you must have the means — like Wolfram Alpha — to do arithmetic and base conversion in that base).

You do the method slightly differently than I do. You do the left hand side arithmetic in the non-decimal base. For example, here is your base 12 example (copied here in my formatting):

$mu - nu$

$$1000u - 10u = 3483.\overline{83} - 34.\overline{83}$$

$$\text{EE}0u = 344\text{E}$$

$$u = 344\text{E}/\text{EE}0$$

I would have done it this way (I'm using $12^3 = 1728$ and $12^1 = 12$):

$mu - nu$

$$1728u - 12u = 3483.\overline{83} - 34.\overline{83}$$

$$1716u = 344\text{E}$$

$$\text{EE}0u = 344\text{E}$$

$$u = 344\text{E}/\text{EE}0$$

I think I like your way better: all numerals are in one base, and the required left shifting is more apparent.

Your examples also highlight the form the denominators take: decimal, 9s followed by 0s; dozenal, Es followed by 0s; hexadecimal, Fs followed by 0s. I will talk about this more in my next article, which will discuss what I call the ~~denominator method~~ [direct method](#).

As usual, thanks for the comment.

Comments are closed.

Copyright © 2008–2020 Exploring Binary

[Privacy policy](#)

