```
(\alpha \vee \beta) \equiv (\beta \vee \alpha) \quad \text{commutativity of } \vee \\ ((\alpha \wedge \beta) \wedge \gamma) \equiv (\alpha \wedge (\beta \wedge \gamma)) \quad \text{associativity of } \wedge \\ ((\alpha \vee \beta) \vee \gamma) \equiv (\alpha \vee (\beta \vee \gamma)) \quad \text{associativity of } \vee \\ \neg (\neg \alpha) \equiv \alpha \quad \text{double-negation elimination}
          (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \equiv (\neg \beta \Rightarrow \neg \alpha) contraposition (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \lor \beta) implication elimination
 (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \equiv ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha)) \text{ biconditional elimination } \\ \triangle \begin{array}{c} \neg(\alpha \land \beta) \equiv ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha)) \text{ biconditional elimination } \\ \triangle \begin{array}{c} \neg(\alpha \land \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \lor \neg \beta) \text{ De Morgan} \\ \neg(\alpha \lor \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \lor \neg \beta) \text{ De Morgan} \\ \\ \triangle \begin{array}{c} (\alpha \land (\beta \lor \gamma)) \equiv ((\alpha \land \beta) \lor (\alpha \land \gamma)) \text{ distributivity of } \land \text{ over } \lor \\ (\alpha \lor (\beta \land \gamma)) \equiv ((\alpha \lor \beta) \land (\alpha \lor \gamma)) \text{ distributivity of } \lor \text{ over } \land \\ \end{array} 
                9/10 propositional logic
                Knowledge representation: Constrains, domains, variables clike these)
                                                      propositional logic
                propositional logic & System:
                                                   Knowledge Base: KB ("\(\triangle\)") all the Dperations (tell: a new proposition ask: if the kB entails a query
                                                     factorabe -> amenable to inference
                 Syntax (创绘, i音绘) Semantic (语义的)
                                    Here: true / false
propositional model: an assignment of every atomics
                                    nuth table
```

Logically Valid: true for all models invalid/unsatisfiable: false for all models satisfiable/contingent: true in some models ontailment: d = β if MCd) ⊆ MCB) inference & model checking (1) theorem proving (2) (1) Complexity: 2k (K = #atomics) 最大32 propositions. Check: every model in d, in B too? < yes F (2) arguments (ié i/e), proof-like ned to Search for a proof inint state: △

autions: Inference rules

trasactions: results of

goal test: prove or disprove

Cost: uniform

inference rules: (Soundness (稳健性): for every 以上多, 从上多

Completeness (完整性): for every 以上多, 从上多 resolution rule: li V li-1 V-li+1 V... Vlk remember to remove vadundant: AVA 简化为只有A refutable asmplete: Dis inansistant @ derive [] proof by contradiction: D = β iff d Λ ¬β is unsatisfiable

To show  $\Delta \neq d$ , we show that  $\Delta \Lambda \neg d$  is unsatisfiable

CNT: logically equivallent normalized from 注意: 每次只能用两个proposition, 飞风不 Sound. 7(7d) = d  $7(d \wedge \beta) = 7d \vee 7\beta$  同样的,  $7(d \vee \beta) = 7d \wedge 7\beta$   $(d \wedge \beta) \vee r = (d \vee r) \wedge (\beta \vee r)$ 

流程:

O TO CHT

② 到出 KB, N为开始3、编3 ② 到出了goal、编3 ④ 两种 Hesolve, 对口编3 ⑤ Therefore ①八75 is anscrisfiable So ○ トS