

54.[Introduction] The World Wide Web

The Web is a relatively primitive hypertext system, but it has certainly fulfilled its goal of being a pool of human knowledge—in fact, it has overflowed this original goal to become a vast sea of human knowledge. The Web demonstrates, as Donald Norman has said, that the technologies that prevail don't have to be the best ones—they just have to be good enough. Other factors, including availability, price, and the openness of standards can allow a technology which is inferior in many specific ways to dominate. That is just what has happened with the hypertext technologies of the Web—inferior to those conceptualized in Ted Nelson's Xanadu, inferior to those proposed in the Dexter hypertext standard, and inferior to a host of earlier local-area or stand-alone hypertext systems—and also overwhelmingly successful in linking and making accessible a world-wide wealth of information, more than has ever been contained in any physical library. The ACM Hypertext conference was probably right to reject Tim Berners-Lee's paper about the Web in 1991, reducing the announcement of this earth-shattering system to a poster session, just as it was probably right for the technologically inferior Web to eat alive those "superior" hypertext systems talked about at the ACM Hypertext conference.

The power of the Web is evident today, and should have been in 1994 when considered not against other hypertext systems but against its real competitors, then-popular Internet services such as Gopher and WAIS. (The most useful and most widely used Internet service today continues to be email, the less glamorous workhorse of the network.) Now, of course, it is television and video games that are seen as the Web's competition, at least within the media industries. But while the Web was well-suited to the information-publication tasks that had been the primary use of Gopher, the television-like "shows" and console-like games launched on the Web by well-funded companies in the 1990s found little traction. (A particularly spectacular failure that pursued this analogy was the high-rolling, show-based incarnation of the Microsoft Network, also known as MSN.) Instead, the Web's "killer app" was, and still is, simply the fast, ubiquitous, and not always flashy type of publication it has reveled in since the mid-1990s. These publications often are not much more elaborate than the pure textual format that email has used for decades. The true triumph of the Web is seen in the fulfillment of urgent public desire in *The Starr Report*, first released on the Web after the investigation was provoked by a textual Web publication; the banter and rehashed news of a new sort of community on *Slashdot*; the tense reloading of pages as a nation waited to see who prevailed in the 2000 presidential election; obsessively-updated personal diaries and Web logs; an almost infinite and rewriteable encyclopedia of academic discourse, organized and disorganized resistance, chessboards shared between distant opponents, labyrinths of literature, voyeurism of the ordinary, daily and sporadic expressions of desire; a pool that is murky and profound, teeming with the useless and the indispensable; a body of text we can surf in playfully or sail through with resolve on voyages of many sorts—religious missions or commercial journeys or attempts at conquest or exploration that aim to grow the great record of knowledge and give voice to, or change forever, who we are.

—NM & NWF

Further Reading

Berners-Lee, Tim. With Mark Fishetti. *Weaving the Web: The Original Design and Ultimate Destiny of the World Wide Web, by Its Inventor*. Harper San Francisco: 1999.

Google. <<http://www.google.com>>

Open Directory Project. <<http://www.dmoz.org>>

World Wide Web Consortium. <<http://www.w3.org>>

Original Publication

Communications of the ACM, 37(8): 907–912. August 1994.

The World-Wide Web

Tim Berners-Lee, Robert
Cailliau, Ari Luotonen,
Henrik Frystyk Nielsen,
and Arthur Secret

The World-Wide Web (W3) was developed to be a pool of human knowledge, which would allow collaborators in remote sites to share their ideas and all aspects of a common project. Physicists and engineers at CERN, the European Particle Physics Laboratory in Geneva, Switzerland, collaborate with many other institutes to build the software and hardware for high-energy physics research. The idea of the Web was prompted by positive experience of a small “home-brew” personal hypertext system used for keeping track of personal information on a distributed project. The Web was designed so that if it was used independently for two projects, and later relationships were found between the projects, then no major or centralized changes would have to be made, but the information could smoothly reshape to represent the new state of knowledge. This property of scaling has allowed the Web to expand rapidly from its origins at CERN across the Internet irrespective of boundaries of nations or disciplines.

If you haven’t yet experienced the Web, the best way to find out about it is to try it. An Appendix to this article gives some recipes for getting hold of W3 clients. Given one of these, you will quickly find out all you need to know, and much more. For hard copy to read on the plane, or if you don’t have Internet access from your desktop machine, refer to our paper in *Electronic Networking* (see “Glossary and Further Reading”) for an overview of the project, material which we will not repeat but will summarize here.


A W3 “client” program runs on your computer. When it starts, it displays an object, normally a document with text and possibly images. Some of the phrases and images are highlighted: in blue, or boxed, or perhaps numbered, depending on what sort of a display you have and how your preferences have been set. Clicking the mouse on the

highlighted area (“anchor”) causes the client program to retrieve another object from some other computer, a “server.” The retrieved object is normally also in a hypertext format, so the process of navigation continues (see Figure 54.1).

When viewing some documents, the reader can request a search, by typing in plain text (or complex commands) to send to the server, rather than following a link. In either case, the client sends a request off to the server, often a completely different machine in some other part of the world, and within (typically) a second, the related information, in either hypertext, plain text or multimedia format, is presented. This is done repeatedly, and by a sequence of selections and searches one can find anything that is “out there.” Some important things to note are:

- Whatever type of server, the user interface is the same, so users do not need to understand the differences between the many protocols in common use. Before W3, access to networked information typically involved knowledge of many different access “recipes” for different systems, and a different command language for each. The model of hypertext with text input has proved sufficiently powerful to express all the user interfaces, while being sufficiently simple to require no training for a computer user.
- Links can point to anything that can be displayed, including search result lists. (When a query is applied to an object, the resulting object has an address, defined to be the address of the queried object concatenated with the text of the query. As the result object has an address, one can make links to it. Following the link later leads to a reevaluation of the query.)
- While menus and directories are available, the extra option of hypertext provides a more powerful communications tool. In simple cases, the server program can generate a hypertext view representing (for example) the directory structure of an existing file store. This allows existing data to be put “on the Web” without further human effort.
- There is a very extendable system for introducing new formats for multimedia data.
- There are many W3 client programs. As hypertext information is transmitted on the network in logical (markup) form, each client can interpret this in a way natural for the given platform, making optimal use of fonts, colors, and other human interface resources available on that platform.

Figure 54.1. Using the World-Wide Web. Shown here is the authors' prototype World-Wide Web application for NextStep machines. The application initially displays the user's "home" page (top) of personal notes and links (top). Clicking on underlined text takes the reader to new documents. In this case, the user visited the Virtual Library, and, in the high energy physics department, found a link to CERN. Linked to CERN was the "Atlas" collaboration's web including an engineering drawing (background). To save having to follow the same path again, the link menu (shown) allows a new link to be made, for example from text typed into the home page, directly to the Atlas information.



What Does W3 Define?

W3 has come to stand for a number of things, which should be distinguished. These include

- The idea of a boundless information world in which all items have a reference by which they can be retrieved;
- The address system (URI) which the project implemented to make this world possible, despite many different protocols;
- A network protocol (HTTP) used by native W3 servers giving performance and features not otherwise available;
- A markup language (HTML) which every W3 client is required to understand, and is used for the transmission of basic things such as text, menus and simple on-line help information across the net;
- The body of data available on the Internet using all or some of the preceding listed items.

The client-server architecture of the Web is illustrated in Figure 54.2.

Universal Resource Identifiers

Universal Resource Identifiers¹ (URIs) are the strings used as addresses of objects (e.g., menus, documents, images) on the Web. For example, the URI of the main page for the WWW project happens to be

<http://info.cern.ch/hypertext/WWW/TheProject.html>
[As of summer 2002, <http://www.w3.org/>]

URIs are "Universal" in that they encode members of the universal set of network addresses. For a new network protocol that has some concept of object, one can form an address for any object as the set of protocol parameters necessary to access the object. If these parameters are encoded into a concise string, with a prefix to identify the protocol and encoding, one has a new URI scheme. There are URIs for Internet news articles and newsgroups (the NNTP protocol), and for FTP archives, for telnet destinations, email addresses, and so on. The same can be done for names of objects in a given name space.

The prefix "http" in the preceding example indicates the address space, and defines the interpretation of the rest of the string. The HTTP protocol is to be used, so the string contains the address of the server to be contacted, and a substring to be passed to the server. Different protocols use different syntaxes, but there is a small amount of common syntax. For example, the common URI syntax reserves the "/" as a way of representing a hierarchical space, and "?" as a separator between the address of an object and a query operation applied to it. As these forms recur in several information systems, to allow expression of them in the common syntax allows the features to be retained in the common model, where appropriate. Hierarchical forms are useful for hypertext, where one "work" may be split up into many interlinked documents. Relative names exploit the




Figure 54.2. The World-Wide Web client-server architecture. For published information to be universally available, W3 relies on a common addressing syntax, a set of common protocols, and negotiation of data formats.

hierarchical structure and allow links to be made within the work independent of the higher parts of the URI such as the server name.

URI syntax allows objects to be addressed not only using HTTP, but also using the other common networked information protocols in use today (FTP, NNTP, Gopher, and WAIS), and will allow extension when new protocols are developed.

URIs are central to the W3 architecture. The fact that it is easy to address an object anywhere on the Internet is essential for the system to scale, and for the information space to be independent of the network and server topology.

Hypertext Transfer Protocol

Perhaps misnamed, rather than being a protocol for transferring hypertext, HTTP is a protocol for transferring information with the efficiency necessary for making hypertext jumps. The data transferred may be plain text, hypertext, images, or anything else.

When a user browses the Web, objects are retrieved in rapid succession from often widely dispersed servers. For small documents, the limitations to the response time stem mainly from the number of round trip delays across the network necessary before the rendition of the object can be started. HTTP is therefore a simple request/response protocol.

HTTP does not only transfer HTML documents. Although HTML comprehension is required of W3 clients, HTTP is used for retrieving documents in an unbounded and extensible set of formats. To achieve this, the client sends a (weighted) list of the formats it can handle, and the server replies with data in any of those formats that it can produce.

This allows proprietary formats to be used between consenting programs in private, without the need for standardization of those formats. This is important both for high-end users who share data in sophisticated forms, and also as a hook for formats that have yet to be invented. The same negotiation system is used for natural language (English, French, for example) where available, as well as for compression forms.

HTTP is an Internet protocol. It is similar in its readable, text-based style to the File Transfer (FTP) and Network News (NNTP) Protocols that have been used to transfer files and news on the Internet for many years. Unlike these protocols, however, HTTP is stateless. (That is, it runs over a TCP connection that is held only for the duration of one operation.) The stateless model is efficient when a link from one object may lead equally well to an object stored on the same server, or to another distant server. The purpose of a reference such as a URI is that it should always refer to the “same” (in some sense) object. This also makes a stateless protocol appropriate, as it returns results based on the URI but irrelevant of any previous operations performed by the client.

The HTTP request from the client starts with an operation code (known as the method, in conformance with object-oriented terminology) and the URI of the object. The “GET” method used by all browsers is defined to be idempotent in that it should preserve the state of the Web (apart from billing for the information transfer, and statistics). A “PUT” method is defined for front-end update, and a “POST” method for the attachment of a new document to the Web, or submission of a filled-in form or other object to some processor. Use of PUT and POST is currently limited, partly due to scarcity of hypertext editors. The extension to other methods is a subject of study.

When objects are transferred over the network, information about them (“metainformation”) is transferred in HTTP headers. The set of headers is an extension of the Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) set. This design decision was taken to open the door to integration of hypermedia mail, news, and information access. Unlike in email, transfer in binary, and transfer in nonstandard but mutually agreed document formats is possible. This allows, for example, servers to indicate links from, and titles of, documents (such as bit-map images) whose data format does not otherwise include such information.

The convention that unrecognized HTTP headers and parameters are ignored has made it easy to try new ideas on working production servers. This has allowed the protocol definition to evolve in a controlled way by the incorporation of tested ideas.

Hypertext Markup Language (HTML)

Despite the ability of HTTP to negotiate formats, W3 needed a common basic language of interchange for hypertext. HTML is that language, and much of the fabric of the Web is constructed out of it. It was designed to be sufficiently simple so as to be easily produced by both people and programs, but also to adhere to the SGML standard in that a valid HTML document, if attached to SGML declarations including the HTML “DTD,” may be parsed by an SGML parser. HTML is a markup language that does not have to be used with HTTP. It can be used in hypertext email (it is proposed as a format for MIME), news, and anywhere basic hypertext is needed. It includes simple structure elements, such as several levels of headings, bulleted lists, menus and compact lists, all of which are useful when presenting choices, and in on-line documents.

Under development is a much enriched version of HTML known as HTML+. This includes features for more sophisticated on-line documentation, form templates for the entry of data by users, tables and mathematical formulae.

Currently many browsers support a subset of the HTML+ features in addition to the core HTML set.

HTML is defined to be a language of communication, which actually flows over the network. There is no requirement that files are stored in HTML. Servers may store files in other formats, or in variations on HTML that include extra information of local interest only, and then generate HTML on the fly with each request.

W3 and Other Systems

Two other systems, WAIS (from Thinking Machines Corporation and now WAIS, Inc.) and Gopher (from the University of Minnesota), share W3’s client-server architecture and a certain amount of its functionality. Table 1 indicates some of the differences.

The WAIS protocol is influenced largely by the z39.50 protocol designed for networking library catalogs. It allows a text-based search, and retrieval following a search. Indexes to be searched are found by searching in a master index. This two-stage search has been demonstrated to be sufficiently powerful to cover the current world of WAIS data. There are no navigational tools to allow the reader to be shown the available resources, however, or guided through the data: the reader is “parachuted in” to a hopefully relevant spot in the information world, but left without context.

Gopher provides a free text search mechanism, but principally uses menus. A menu is a list of titles, from which

	WAIS	Gopher	World-Wide Web
Original target application	Text-based information retrieval	Campus-wide information (CWIS)	Collaborative work
Typical objects			
Text	YES	YES	YES
Menus, Graphics	NO	YES	YES
Hypertext	NO	NO	YES
Search functions			
Text search	YES	YES	YES
Relevance feedback	YES	NO	NO
Reference to other servers	NO	YES	YES
Registered servers			
April 1993	113	455	62
April 1994	137	1410	829

Table 54.1. A comparison of three popular network information projects.

Registered server figures taken April 27, 1993 and April 15, 1994. WAIS: from Thinking Machines Corporation directory, number of distinct hosts. Gopher: from “All the Gophers in the world” register at the University of Minnesota. W3: from Geographical registry at CERN. In all cases many more servers exist which are not directly registered, so these are a very rough guide with no indication of quantity or quality of information at each host.

the user may pick one. While gopher space is in fact a web containing many loops, the menu system gives the user the impression of a tree. The Veronica server provides a master index for gopher space.

The W3 data model is similar to the gopher model, except that menus are generalized to hypertext documents. In both cases, simple file servers generate the menus or hypertext directly from the file structure of a server. The W3 hypertext model gives the program more power to communicate the options available to the reader, as it can include headings and various forms of list structure, for example, within the hypertext.

All three systems allow for the provision of graphics, sound and video, although because the WAIS system only has access by text search, text has to be associated with graphics files to allow them to be found.

W3 clients provide access to servers of all types, as a single simple interface to the whole Web is considered very important. Unknown to the user, several protocols are in use behind the scenes. A common code library "libwww" put into the public domain by CERN has promoted this uniformity. Whereas one would not wish to see greater proliferation of protocols, the existence of more than one protocol probably allows for the most rapid progress during this phase in the development of the field. It also allows a certain limited confidence that, if an architecture can encompass older systems and allow transition to current systems, it will, by induction, be able to provide a transition to newer and better ideas as they are invented.

Recent W3 Developments

This article, like others in this issue, was derived from material written in April 1993 for the INET'93 conference. Growth of the Web since that time has been so great that this section has been completely rewritten. There are now 829 (May: 1,248) rather than 62 registered HTTP servers, and many more client programs available as then.

The initial prototype W3 client was a "wysiwyg" hypertext browser/editor using NeXTStep. We developed a line mode browser, and were encouraging the developments of a good browser for X workstations. One year ago, NCSA's Mosaic W3 browser was in wide use on X workstations. Its easy installation and use was a major reason for the spread of the Web. Today there are many browsers available for workstations, Macintosh and IBM/PC compatible machines, and for users with

character-based terminals. Of the latter category, "Lynx" from the University of Kansas provides full-screen access to the Web for users with character terminals or emulators running on personal computers. Since new software is appearing frequently, readers are advised to check the lists on the Web for those most suited to their needs.

The availability of browsers and the availability of quality information have provoked each other. One available indicator of growth has been Merit Inc.'s count of the traffic of various different protocols across the NSF T3 backbone in the U.S. (see Figure 54.3).

An indicator of the uptake rate of clients is the load on the *info.cern.ch* W3 server at CERN, which provides information about the Web itself, which more than doubled every 4 months over the three years between April 1991 and April 1994.

Information providers have also blossomed. Some of these provide simple overviews of what is available at particular institutes or in particular fields. Others use the power of the W3 model to provide a virtual world of great richness.




Figure 54.3. Traffic in bytes per month across the NSF T3 backbone in the U.S. File Transfer Protocol (FTP) was traditionally used to access archives of software. FTP uses separate connections for control and data flow. WAIS arose as an interface to text retrieval systems, Gopher protocol with menu-style interfaces, and W3's HTTP with hypertext and multimedia. W3 clients handle many protocols to access all these worlds of data as a seamless continuum, but new W3 servers use HTTP by preference. Each vertical division represents a tenfold increase in traffic. The horizontal divisions are months. Data: Merit <<ftp://ftp.merit.edu/statistics/nsfnet>>. [As of summer 2002, <http://www.ifla.org/documents/internet/nsf-hist.txt>]

Examples of servers that use hypertext in interesting ways are the RAL-Durham Particle Database, and the Legal Information Institute's hypertexts of several great tomes of American law. Franz Hoesel's hypertext version of the Vatican's Renaissance Culture exhibit at the Library of Congress set an example that was followed by many collections of art, history and other fields. The Palo Alto town hall runs a server with everything from building regulations to restaurants. As an example of the increasing use of the Web for commerce, a user-friendly virtual clothing store prompts for one's size, and points to a virtual store containing only those clothes that are the right size and also in stock.

The Future

The W3 initiative occupies the meeting point of many fields of technology. Users put pressure and effort into bringing about the adoption of W3 in new areas. Apart from being a place of communication and learning, and a new market place, the Web is a show ground for new developments in information technology. Some of the developments that we look forward to in the next few years include

- The implementation of a name service that will allow documents to be referenced by name, independent of their location;
- Hypertext editors allowing nonexpert users to make hypertext links to organize published information. This will bring the goal of computer-supported collaboration closer, with front-end update, and annotation;
- More sophisticated document type definitions providing for the needs of commercial publishers of on-line material;
- The development of a common format for hypertext links from two- and three-dimensional images giving more exciting interface possibilities;
- Integration with concurrent editors and other real-time features such as teleconferencing and virtual reality;
- Easy-to-use servers for low-end machines to ease publication of information by small groups and individuals;
- Evolution of objects from being principally human-readable documents to contain more machine-oriented semantic information, allowing more sophisticated processing;
- Conventions on the Internet for charging and commercial use to allow direct access to for-profit services.

Conclusion

It is intended that after reading this article you will have an idea of what W3 is, where it fits in with other systems in the field, and where it is going. There is much more to be said, especially about providing information, but this is described on the Web itself. Also in the "Web about the Web" are lists of contributed research and development work and ideas, and pointers to work in progress, so that those interested can work together.

The Web does not yet meet its design goal as being a pool of knowledge that is as easy to update as to read. That level of immediacy of knowledge sharing waits for easy-to-use hypertext editors to be generally available on most platforms. Most information has in fact passed through publishers or system managers of one sort or another. However, the incredible diversity of information available gives great credit to the creativity and ingenuity of information providers, and points to a very exciting future.

Appendix: Getting Started

If you have a vt100 terminal, you can try out a full-screen interface by telnet to ukanaix.cc.ukans.edu[†] and logging in as www. With any terminal, you can telnet to info.cern.ch[†] for the simplest interface. These browsers are also available in source and in some cases binary form. Details of status and coordinates of about 20 different browsers are available on the Web—just follow a link to World-Wide Web, and select "software available."

The kernel W3 code (a common code library, and basic server and clients) from CERN is in the public domain. (All protocols and specifications are public domain.) It is available by anonymous FTP from info.cern.ch[†].

NCSA's "Mosaic" browser for W3 is available for X, Mac or PC/Windows by anonymous FTP from <ftp.ncsa.uiuc.edu>, currently without charge for academic users. [As of summer 2002, <ftp.ncsa.uiuc.edu> is still online; Mosaic is available for free from there by ftp. Hosts marked † are no longer online.]

Note

1. The Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) is currently defining a similar and derived syntax known as a Uniform Resource Locator (URL). As this work is not complete, and there is no guarantee that URLs will have the same syntax or properties as URIs, we use the term URI here to avoid confusion.

Glossary and Further Reading

FTP: File Transfer Protocol. Postel, J. and Reynolds, J. File Transfer Protocol. Internet RFC 959, October 1985.

<<ftp://ds.internic.net/rfc/rfc959.txt>>

Gopher: The Internet Gopher. Anklesaria, F. et. al. The Internet Gopher Protocol. Internet RFC 1436, March 1993.

<<ftp://ds.internic.net/rfc/rfc1436.txt>>

HTML: Hypertext Markup Language. Berners-Lee, T., and Connolly, D. Hypertext Markup Language Protocol.

<<ftp://info.cern.ch/pub/www/doc/html-spec.ps,.txt>>

HTTP: Hypertext Transfer Protocol. Berners-Lee, T. Hypertext Transfer Protocol. <<ftp://info.cern.ch/pub/www/doc/http—spec.ps,.txt>>

MIME: Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions. Borenstein, N., and Freed, N. MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions): Mechanisms for Specifying and Describing the Format of Internet Message Bodies. Internet RFC 1341, June 1992.

NNTP: Network News Transfer Protocol. Kantor, B. and Lapsley, P. A proposed standard for the transmission of news.

Internet RFC 977, 1986.

URI: Universal Resource Identifier. Berners-Lee, T. Universal Resource Identifiers for the World-Wide Web. Submitted as an Internet RFC as yet unnumbered. See <<http://info.cern.ch/hypertext/WWW/Addressing/Addressing.html>> for pointers to information on this area.

WAIS: Wide Area Information Servers. See Addyman, T. WAIS: Strengths, Weaknesses and Opportunities. In *Proceedings of Information Networking 93* (London, May 1993), Meckler, London.

W3: Berners-Lee, T.J., Cailliau, R., Groff, J-F., Pollermann, B. World-Wide Web: The Information universe. *Electronic Networking: Research, Applications and Policy*, (Spring 1992), 52–58. See also documents in <<ftp://info.cern.ch/pub/www/doc>> and information referenced by <<http://info.cern.ch/hypertext/WWW/TheProject.html>>

[As of summer 2002, for files formerly available at info.cern.ch, see <<http://www.w3.org/>>. For RFCs, see <<http://www.faqs.org/rfcs/>>.]