

高等演算法 HW1

許博翔

April 12, 2024

Notation 1. $N(v) :=$ the neighborhood of v .

Problem 1.

Equivalent ILP:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{v \in V} w_v x_v. \\ \text{subject to} \quad & x_u + x_v \geq 1, \quad \forall uv \in E. \\ & x_v \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \forall v \in V. \end{aligned}$$

LP relaxation:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{v \in V} w_v x_v. \\ \text{subject to} \quad & x_u + x_v \geq 1, \quad \forall uv \in E. \\ & x_v \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Dual LP:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{e \in E} \alpha_e. \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \sum_{u \in N(v)} \alpha_{uv} \leq w_v, \quad \forall v \in V. \\ & \alpha_e \geq 0, \quad \forall e \in E. \end{aligned}$$

The rephrased algorithm:

1. Initially, the residual weight $r_v = w_v$ for every vertex v . The vertex cover S is empty. All variables x_v, α_e are 0.
2. Repeat until all edges are covered by S :
 - (a) Pick any edge $e = uv$ that is not covered by S .
 - (b) Set α_{uv} to $\min(r_u, r_v)$, and reduce the residual weights r_u and r_v by α_{uv} .

(c) Add all vertices v with 0 residual weights to S , and set x_v to 1.

We want to prove that:

1. $x_u + x_v \geq 1, \forall uv \in E$.
2. $\sum_{u \in N(v)} \alpha_{uv} \leq w_v, \forall v \in V$.
3. $x_u + x_v \leq 2$ or $\alpha_{uv} = 0, \forall uv \in E$.
4. $\sum_{u \in N(v)} \alpha_{uv} \geq w_v$ or $x_v = 0, \forall v \in V$.

Proof of 1.:

If $x_u + x_v < 1$, then $x_u = x_v = 0$.

\Rightarrow neither u nor v is in S .

$\Rightarrow uv$ is not covered by S , contradiction.

$\therefore x_u + x_v \geq 1$.

Proof of 2.:

By 2(b) of the algorithm, the amount of change of r_v is the amount of α_e for e connected to v .

$\therefore \sum_{u \in N(v)} \alpha_{uv} = \text{the amount of change of } r_v = w_v - r_v \leq w_v$.

Proof of 3.:

$x_u + x_v \leq 1 + 1 \leq 2$.

Proof of 4.:

If $x_v \neq 0$, then r_v is set to 0 by 2(c).

$\Rightarrow \sum_{u \in N(v)} \alpha_{uv} = w_v - r_v = w_v$.

Since the complementary slackness conditions 3. 4. are satisfied, this is a 2-approximation.

Problem 2. Run phase 1 in class.

Let K denote the given k in the problem description (since k is frequently used in this proof).

Let $S_j := \{i : \alpha_j > c_{ij}\}$, $T_j := \{i : \alpha_j = c_{ij}\}$, $U_j := \begin{cases} S_j, & \text{if } S_j \neq \emptyset \\ T_j, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

Since the algorithm in phase 1 guaranteed that all clients are connected.

$$\therefore S_j \cup T_j \neq \emptyset, \forall j.$$

In another word, $U_j \neq \emptyset, \forall j$.

Let's modify the phase 2 in class.

Let $I :=$ the set of all temporarily facilities, and serve client j with an arbitrary element $p_j \in U_j$.

Let $B_i := \{j : p_j = i\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i \in I, \sum_{j \in B_i} (\alpha_j - c_{ij}) &\leq \sum_{j: i \in U_j} (\alpha_j - c_{ij}) \stackrel{\alpha_j = c_{ij} \text{ for } i \in T_j}{=} \sum_{j: i \in U_j \cap S_j} (\alpha_j - c_{ij}) \stackrel{\text{by the definition of } U_j}{=} \\ &\sum_{j: i \in S_j} (\alpha_j - c_{ij}) \stackrel{\text{by phase 1}}{=} f_i. \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{j \in B_i} \alpha_j &\leq \sum_{j \in B_i} c_{ij} + f_i. \\ f_i + \sum_{j \in B_i} c_{ij} &\leq f_i + \sum_{j: i \in U_j} c_{ij} = \sum_{j: i \in U_j} \alpha_j. \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{i \in I} \left(f_i + \sum_{j \in B_i} c_{ij} \right) &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j: i \in U_j} \alpha_j = \sum_j |U_j| \alpha_j \leq \sum_j k \alpha_j \text{ (since if } c_{ij} = \infty, \text{ then } \\ &\alpha_j < c_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

Also, if $i \notin I, x_i = 0$.

$$\therefore \sum_i x_i \left(\sum_j \beta_{ij} c_{ij} + f_i \right) = \sum_{i \in I} \left(\sum_{j \in B_i} c_{ij} + f_i \right) \leq \sum_j k \alpha_j.$$

\therefore this is a k -approximation.

Problem 3. Let K denote the given k in the problem description (since k is frequently used in this proof).

$$\text{Let } S_j := \{i : \alpha_j > c_{ij}\}, T_j := \{i : \alpha_j = c_{ij}\}, U_j := \begin{cases} S_j, & \text{if } S_j \neq \emptyset \\ T_j, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Since the algorithm in phase 1 guaranteed that all clients are connected.

$$\therefore S_j \cup T_j \neq \emptyset, \forall j.$$

In another word, $U_j \neq \emptyset, \forall j$.

Algorithm in phase 2:

1. $I := \emptyset, J :=$ the set of all temporarily open facilities, $S := \emptyset$.
2. while $J \neq \emptyset$

- (a) Let $i \in J$ s.t. $q_i := \sum_{j \notin S: i \in U_j} \alpha_j$ is maximized, and let $S^{(i)} := S^c$.
 - (b) Let A_i denote all facilities in J that are conflict with i .
 - (c) Remove $A_i \cup \{i\}$ from J , add i to I .
 - (d) for all $j \notin S$ with $i \in U_j$, serve j with i , and add j to S .
3. for all $j \notin S$, select an arbitrary $i \in U_j$, it must be in some A_k for some k by 2(b), serve j with k .

The maximality of I is guaranteed by the condition of the while loop.

Let p_j denote the facility that serves j in the above algorithm, and $B_i := \{j : p_j = i\}$.

By the definition of temporarily open and that no two facilities in I are conflict with each other, $\forall i \in I, \{j : i \in S_j\} \subseteq B_i$.

$$\Rightarrow \forall i \in I, \sum_{j \in S \cap B_i} (\alpha_j - c_{ij}) = f_i.$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall i \in I, f_i + \sum_{j \in S \cap B_i} c_{ij} = \sum_{j \in S \cap B_i} \alpha_j.$$

$\forall j \notin S$, by 3., there's $i \in U_j$ s.t. i conflicts with p_j . By the definition of conflict, $\exists k$ s.t. $\alpha_k - c_{ik} > 0$ and $\alpha_k - c_{p_j k} > 0$.

$$\Rightarrow c_{p_j j} \leq c_{ij} + c_{ik} + c_{p_j k} < c_{ij} + 2\alpha_k \stackrel{i \in U_j}{\leq} \alpha_j + 2\alpha_k \leq 3\alpha_j.$$

The last inequality above is because $\alpha_k =$ the time that k is connected = the time that i is temporarily open \leq the time that j is connected = α_j .

$\forall i \in I$:

$$\sum_{j \in S \cap B_i} \alpha_j \stackrel{2(d)}{=} \sum_{j \in S^{(i)}} \alpha_j = q_i.$$

$$\sum_{j \in B_i \setminus S} \alpha_j \stackrel{\text{there's } k \in U_j \text{ s.t. } k \in A_i}{\leq} \sum_{k \in A_i} \sum_{j \in B_i \setminus S: k \in U_j} \alpha_j \leq \sum_{k \in A_i} \sum_{j \in S^{(i)}} \alpha_j = \sum_{k \in A_i} q_k \stackrel{2(a)}{\leq} \sum_{k \in A_i} q_i = |A_i|q_i \leq (K-1)q_i.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j \in S \cap B_i} \alpha_j = q_i = \frac{1}{K}(1 + K - 1)q_i \geq \frac{1}{K} \left(\sum_{j \in S \cap B_i} \alpha_j + \sum_{j \in B_i \setminus S} \alpha_j \right) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j \in B_i} \alpha_j.$$

$$\therefore \sum_{j \in B_i} c_{ij} + f_i = \sum_{j \in S \cap B_i} \alpha_j + \sum_{j \in B_i \setminus S} c_{ij} \leq \sum_{j \in S \cap B_i} \alpha_j + 3 \sum_{j \in B_i \setminus S} \alpha_j = 3 \sum_{j \in B_i} \alpha_j - 2 \sum_{j \in S \cap B_i} \alpha_j \leq \left(3 - \frac{2}{K}\right) \sum_{j \in B_i} \alpha_j.$$

Also, $\forall i \notin I, x_i = 0$.

\therefore this is a $(3 - \frac{2}{K})$ -approximation.

Problem 4. Let $OPT = \sum_i f_i y_i = \sum_j \alpha_j$. (They're equal by the strong duality theorem).

\Rightarrow all slackness conditions must hold.

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_j - \beta_{ij} = c_{ij}, \forall i, j.$$

Let p_j denote the facility that serves j , q_i denote the chosen client j that open i in N_j , and $B_i := \{j : p_j = i\}$.

If $p_j \notin N_j$, it means that $N_j \cap N_{q_{p_j}} \neq \emptyset$. Let r_j denote a facility that $\in N_j \cap N_{q_{p_j}}$.

Else just simply set $r_j := p_j$.

Let F denote the set of facilities that are open.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in F} f_i &\leq \sum_{i \in F} f_i \sum_{k \in N_{q_i}} x_{kq_i} \leq \sum_{i \in F} f_i \sum_{k \in N_{q_i}} y_k \stackrel{f_i \leq f_k, \forall k \in N_{q_i}}{\leq} \sum_{i \in F} \sum_{k \in N_{q_i}} f_k y_k \stackrel{N_{q_i} \cap N_{q_k} = \emptyset, \forall i \neq k}{\leq} \\ &\sum_i f_i y_i = OPT. \end{aligned}$$

$\forall j, c_{p_j j} \leq c_{r_j j} + c_{r_j q_{p_j}} + c_{p_j q_{p_j}}$ (by the definition of metric).

Since q_{p_j} is chosen before j , there is $\alpha_{q_{p_j}} \leq \alpha_j$.

$$c_{r_j j} \leq c_{r_j j} + \beta_{r_j j} = \alpha_j.$$

$$c_{r_j q_{p_j}} \leq c_{r_j q_{p_j}} + \beta_{r_j q_{p_j}} = \alpha_{q_{p_j}} \leq \alpha_j.$$

$$c_{p_j q_{p_j}} \leq c_{p_j q_{p_j}} + \beta_{p_j q_{p_j}} = \alpha_{q_{p_j}} \leq \alpha_j.$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{r_j j} \leq 3\alpha_j.$$

$$\therefore \sum_{i \in F} \sum_{j \in B_i} c_{p_j j} \leq \sum_{i \in F} \sum_{j \in B_i} 3\alpha_j = 3 \sum_j \alpha_j = 3OPT.$$

$$\therefore \sum_{i \in F} f_i + \sum_{j \in B_i} c_{p_j j} \leq OPT + 3OPT = 4OPT.$$

Since OPT is the optimal solution of LP relaxation, which is not greater than the optimal solution of ILP (denote as OPT').

$$\therefore \sum_{i \in F} f_i + \sum_{j \in B_i} c_{p_j j} \leq 4OPT \leq 4OPT'.$$

\Rightarrow this is a 4-approximation.

Problem 5.