

Graph Theory HW3

許博翔 B10902085

April 29, 2024

Problem 1.

(a) Let $X := \{a \in A : |N(a) \cap Y| < (d - \epsilon)|Y|\}$.

$$e(X, Y) = \sum_{a \in X} |N(a) \cap Y| < \sum_{a \in X} (d - \epsilon)|Y| = (d - \epsilon)|X||Y|.$$

$$\Rightarrow d(X, Y) = \frac{e(X, Y)}{|X||Y|} < d - \epsilon = d(A, B) - \epsilon.$$

If $X \geq \epsilon|A|$, then by the definition of ϵ -regular, $|d(X, Y) - d(A, B)| \leq \epsilon$, which contradicts to $d(X, Y) < d(A, B) - \epsilon$.

$$\therefore X < |\{a \in A : |N(a) \cap Y| < (d - \epsilon)|Y|\}| \epsilon|A|.$$

(b) For any $C \subseteq X, D \subseteq Y$ with $|C| \geq \epsilon'|X|$, $|D| \geq \epsilon'|Y|$, there is $|C| \geq \epsilon'|X| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}|X| \geq \epsilon|A|$, $|D| \geq \epsilon'|Y| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}|Y| \geq \epsilon|B|$.

Since $\{A, B\}$ is an ϵ -regular pair, there is $|d(C, D) - d(A, B)| \leq \epsilon$.

Note that $|X| \geq \alpha|A| > \epsilon|A|$, $|Y| \geq \alpha|B| > \epsilon|B|$, there is $|d(X, Y) - d(A, B)| \leq \epsilon$.

$$\Rightarrow |d(C, D) - d(X, Y)| \leq |d(C, D) - d(A, B)| + |d(X, Y) - d(A, B)| \leq \epsilon + \epsilon \leq 2\epsilon \leq \epsilon'.$$

\therefore by the definition, $\{X, Y\}$ is an ϵ' -regular pair.

Problem 2. Let's prove that $W(k, r) \leq k^{HJ(k, r)}$.

For every coloring $c : [k^{HJ(k, r)}] \rightarrow [r]$, consider the coloring $c' : [k]^{HJ(k, r)} \rightarrow [r]$ where

$$c'(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{HJ(k, r)}) := c \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{HJ(k, r)} (a_i - 1)k^{i-1} \right).$$

By the Hales-Jewett Theorem, there is a monochromatic combinatorial line in the coloring c' .

That is, there is a set $S \neq \emptyset$ and $a_{ij} (1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq HJ(k, r))$, where $a_{ij} =$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{cases} i, & \text{if } j \in S \\ a_{1j}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \text{ such that } c'(a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{i, HJ(k,r)}) \text{ are the same for all } i \in [k]. \\
 \Rightarrow & c \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{HJ(k,r)} (a_{ij} - 1)k^{j-1} \right) \text{ are the same for all } i \in [k]. \\
 \Rightarrow & c \left(1 + \sum_{j \in S} (i - 1)k^{j-1} + \sum_{j \notin S} (a_{1j} - 1)k^{j-1} \right) \text{ are the same for all } i \in [k]. \\
 \Rightarrow & c \left(1 + \sum_{j \notin S} (a_{1j} - 1)k^{j-1} + (i - 1) \sum_{j \in S} k^{j-1} \right) \text{ are the same for all } i \in [k]. \\
 \because & 1 + \sum_{j \notin S} (a_{1j} - 1)k^{j-1}, \sum_{j \in S} k^{j-1} \text{ are constants with respect to } i, \\
 \therefore & \left\{ 1 + \sum_{j \notin S} (a_{1j} - 1)k^{j-1} + (i - 1) \sum_{j \in S} k^{j-1} \mid i \in [k] \right\} \text{ is a } k\text{-AP}. \\
 \Rightarrow & \text{we find a monochromatic } k\text{-AP}. \\
 \Rightarrow & W(k, r) \leq k^{HJ(k,r)}, \text{ which proves Van der Waerden's Theorem.}
 \end{aligned}$$