

# Graph Theory HW2

許博翔 B10902085

Teammate: 黃芊禧 B10902029

October 11, 2023

## Problem 2.

(a) Suppose that  $|V(G)| = n$ .

Let's prove by contradiction, and suppose that for all  $V' \subseteq V(G)$ , the spanning subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  with vertices set  $V'$  satisfies  $\delta(H) < \frac{1}{2}\bar{d}$ . — (1)

Construct a sequence of graphs  $\{G\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$ , where  $G_0 := G$ , and  $G_{i+1} (0 \leq i \leq n-2) := G_i \setminus \{u_i\}$ , where  $\deg_{G_i}(u_i) < \frac{1}{2}\bar{d}$ .

The construction is legal, since by (1), such  $u_i$  always exists in  $G_i$ .

$$\Rightarrow |V(G_{n-1})| = |V(G_{n-2})| - 1 = |V(G_{n-3})| - 2 = \cdots = |V(G_0)| - (n-1) = 1.$$

$$|E(G_0)| = |E(G)| = \frac{1}{2}|V|\bar{d} = \frac{1}{2}n\bar{d}.$$

Since  $\deg_{G_i}(u_i) < \frac{1}{2}\bar{d}$ , and all edges in  $E(G_i) \setminus E(G_{i+1})$  are incident to  $u_i$ , there is  $|E(G_{i+1})| = |E(G_i)| - |E(G_i) \setminus E(G_{i+1})| > |E(G_i)| - \frac{1}{2}\bar{d}$ .

$$\therefore |E(G_{n-1})| > |E(G_{n-2})| - \frac{1}{2}\bar{d} > |E(G_{n-3})| - \bar{d} > \cdots > |E(G_0)| - \frac{n-1}{2}\bar{d} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{d}.$$

Since each edge in  $G_{n-1}$  contributes two degrees to the only left vertex in  $G_{n-1}$ , the degree of that vertex  $> \frac{1}{2}\bar{d} \times 2 > \frac{1}{2}\bar{d}$ , which contradicts to (1).

$\therefore$  such subgraph should exist.

(b) I thought that the description missed a condition that  $G$  is a simple graph, so we assert  $G$  to be simple in the following.

Since  $\bar{d}(G) \geq 2k-2$ , from the above, there exists  $H \subseteq G$  s.t.  $\delta(H) \geq k-1$ .

Since  $G$  is simple,  $H$  is also simple.

Let's have an induction on  $m$  ( $1 \leq m \leq k$ ) to prove that  $H$  contains every tree of order  $m$  — (2).

For  $m = 1$ ,  $H$  contains a vertex, and therefore (2) holds.

Suppose for  $m = m' < k$ , (2) holds.

For  $m = m' + 1$ , for each tree  $T$  of order  $m' + 1$ , let  $v$  be a leaf of  $T$ , and  $uv \in E(T)$ .  $T \setminus \{v\}$  is a tree of order  $m'$ .

By the induction hypothesis,  $H$  contains  $T'$  which is isomorphic to  $T \setminus \{v\}$ , where  $u'$  is isomorphic to  $u$ .

Since  $\deg_H(u') \geq k - 1 > m' - 1$ , there exists a neighbor  $v'$  of  $u'$  in  $H$  such that  $v' \notin T'$ .

$\Rightarrow H$  contains  $T$  since  $T$  is isomorphic to  $T' \cup \{v'\} \cup \{u'v'\}$ .

$\therefore$  by induction,  $H$  contains all trees of order  $k$ .

**Problem 3.** For each  $e \in T_1$ , suppose that  $e = uv$ .

Since  $T_2$  is connected, there is a path  $u_0(=u)u_1u_2 \cdots u_k(=v)$  from  $u$  to  $v$ .

Suppose that  $U, V :=$  all vertices that are connected to  $u, v$  on  $T_1 - e$ , respectively.

Since  $T_1$  is connected, all vertices are connected to either  $u$  or  $v$  on  $T_1 - e$ .

$\therefore U, V$  is a partition of  $[n]$ .

Suppose that  $l$  is the minimum index such that  $u_l \in V$ .

Such  $l$  exists since  $u_k = v \in V$ .

We know that  $u_0 = u \in U$ .

$\Rightarrow l \geq 1$ , and  $u_{l-1} \in U$  by the definition of  $l$ .

$\Rightarrow$  take  $f = u_{l-1}u_l$ , and since  $u_0(=u)u_1u_2 \cdots u_k(=v)$  is a path on  $T_2$ ,  $f \in T_2$ .

Since  $u_{l-1} \in U$ ,  $u_l \in V$ ,  $f$  connects  $U$  and  $V$ .

$\Rightarrow T_1 - e + f$  is connected.

Since  $|E(T_1 - e + f)| = n - 1$ ,  $T_1 - e + f$  is a tree.

**Problem 6.** By the definition of  $k$ -connected,  $\forall U_1 \subseteq V(G_1), U_2 \subseteq V(G_2)$  where  $|U_1| < k, |U_2| < k$ ,  $G_1 \setminus U_1, G_2 \setminus U_2$  are connected.

$\forall X \subseteq V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$  with  $|X| < k$ , since  $|X \cap V(G_1)| \leq |X| < k$  and  $|X \cap V(G_2)| \leq |X| < k$ , the vertices in  $V(G_1) \setminus X$  are connected in  $(G_1 \cup G_2) \setminus X$ , and so are those in  $V(G_2) \setminus X$ .

Since  $|V(G_1) \cap V(G_2)| \geq k$ ,  $\exists u \in V(G_1) \cap V(G_2)$  s.t.  $u \notin X$ .

Since  $u$  is connected to all vertices in  $V(G_1) \setminus X$ , and connected to all vertices in

$V(G_2) \setminus X$ ,  $(G_1 \cup G_2) \setminus X$  is connected.

$\therefore G_1 \cup G_2$  is  $k$ -connected by the definition.