

✓ Congratulations! You passed!

Next Item



1/1 points

1.

Consider the space shuttle data **?shuttle** in the **MASS** library. Consider modeling the use of the autolander as the outcome (variable name **use**). Fit a logistic regression model with autolander (variable auto) use (labeled as "auto" 1) versus not (0) as predicted by wind sign (variable wind). Give the estimated odds ratio for autolander use comparing head winds, labeled as "head" in the variable headwind (numerator) to tail winds (denominator).



0.969

Correct

```
1 library(MASS)
2 data(shuttle)
3 ## Make our own variables just for illustration
4 shuttle$auto <- 1 * (shuttle$use == "auto")
5 shuttle$headwind <- 1 * (shuttle$wind == "head")
6 fit <- glm(auto ~ headwind, data = shuttle, family = binomial)
7 exp(coef(fit))
8</pre>
```

```
1 ## (Intercept) headwind
2 ## 1.3273 0.9687
3
```

```
1 ## (Intercept) relevel(wind, "tail")head
2 ## 1.3273 0.9687
```

0.031

-0.031

1.327





∠. Quiz, 6 questions

Consider the previous problem. Give the estimated odds ratio for autolander use comparing head winds (numerator) to tail winds (denominator) adjusting for wind strength from the variable magn.

	1	485
V /		400

0.684

0.96	9
------	---

Correct

The estimate doesn't change with the inclusion of wind strength

```
1  shuttle$auto <- 1 * (shuttle$use == "auto")
2  shuttle$headwind <- 1 * (shuttle$wind == "head")
3  fit <- glm(auto ~ headwind + magn, data = shuttle, family = binomial)
4  exp(coef(fit))
5  |</pre>
```

```
1 ## (Intercept) headwind magnMedium magnOut magnStrong
2 ## 1.4852 0.9685 1.0000 0.6842 0.9376
3
```

1	##	(Intercept)	relevel(wind, "tail")head
2	##	1.4852	0.9685
3	##	magnMedium	magnOut
4	##	1.0000	0.6842
5	##	magnStrong	
6	##	0.9376	
		•	

1.00



1/1 points

3.

If you fit a logistic regression model to a binary variable, for example use of the autolander, then fit a logistic regression model for one minus the outcome (not using the autolander) what happens to the coefficients?

- /	0 1	TI CC:			1.	
- 1) The coefficients	change	ın s	nan linaar	tachian
١.		I HE COEHICIENS	CHAILEC	111 0	, 11011 - 1111 <i>-</i> 011	iasiliuli

- The intercept changes sign, but the other coefficients don't.
- The coefficients reverse their signs.

Correct

10/4/2018	Regression Models - Home Coursera
	nember that the coefficients are on the log scale. So changing the sign changes the numerator and denominator for the
	uiz, 6 questions
	The coefficients get inverted (one over their previous value).
4.	1 / 1 points
	ler the insect spray data <code>InsectSprays</code> . Fit a Poisson model using spray as a factor level. Report the estimated relative rate pring spray A (numerator) to spray B (denominator).
0	0.9457
Corr	ect I fit <- glm(count ~ relevel(spray, "B"), data = InsectSprays, family = poisson
2) 2 exp(coef(fit))[2]
1 2	## relevel(spray, "B")A 2 ## 0.9457
	-0.056 0.321
0	0.136
×	0/1 points
glm(c and t glm(c	is the natural log of a monitoring time. What is impact of the coefficient for x if we fit the model count $x + offset(t2)$, $family = poisson$) where $2 < -log(10) + t$? In other words, what happens to the ients if we change the units of the offset variable. (Note, adding log(10) on the log scale is multiplying by 10 on the original
	The coefficient estimate is divided by 10.
	The coefficient is subtracted by log(10).
	The coefficient estimate is unchanged
	The coefficient estimate is multiplied by 10.

This should not be selected



Quiz 4

Quiz, 6 questions



1/1 points

6.

Consider the data

```
1 x <- -5:5
2 y <- c(5.12, 3.93, 2.67, 1.87, 0.52, 0.08, 0.93, 2.05, 2.54, 3.87, 4.97)
```

Using a knot point at 0, fit a linear model that looks like a hockey stick with two lines meeting at x=0. Include an intercept term, x and the knot point term. What is the estimated slope of the line after 0?



1.013

Correct

```
1 z <- (x > 0) * x
2 fit <- lm(y ~ x + z)
3 sum(coef(fit)[2:3])
```

1	## [1] 1.013	

- 2.037
- -0.183
- -1.024

